New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:44-12-01-06-0006Name:Monroe Woodbury High SchoolPrincipal:Hasna Muhammad

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	588	638	628
Tenth	512	514	579
Eleventh	473	516	560
Twelfth	441	471	509
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2014	2139	2276

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	91	4.5%	92	4.3%	96	4.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	60	3.0%	76	3.6%	103	4.5%
Hispanic	193	9.6%	235	11.0%	273	12.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	1670	82.9%	1736	81.2%	1804	79.3%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	23	26	25
Mathematics Grade 10	23	24	20
Science Grade 10	25	24	23
Social Studies Grade 10	24	24	25

(Form - A)

Monroe Woodbury High School

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
49	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	33	1.6%	18	0.8%	36	1.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	41	2.0%	54	2.5%	72	3.2%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001–02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.5%		95.2%		95.4%
Student Suspensions	103	5.4%	122	6.1%	122	5.7%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.9%	2.4%	1.5%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	95%	98%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	146
Total Other Professional Staff	26
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	3

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

0	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	354	270	76%	420	323	77%	462	347	75%	
Students with Disabilities	57	10	18%	13	2	15%	9	0	0%	
All Students	411	280	68%	433	325	75%	471	347	74%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	271	134	17	8	32	9
Percent	58%	28%	4%	2%	7%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
9	0	4	13

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	18		19		5	0.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	14		25		23	1.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	32		44		28	1.4%
Students	Dropped Out	1		4		2	0.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	7		4		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	8		8		2	0.7%
All	Dropped Out	19	0.9%	23	1.1%	7	0.3%
Students	Entered GED Program*	21	1.0%	29	1.4%	23	1.0%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	40	2.0%	52	2.4%	30	1.3%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	343	382	511
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	69	62	72
9–12	Number of All Students	412	444	583
	Percent of Enrollment	20%	21%	26%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	38	50%	0	0%	55	62%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	10	50%	0	0%	4	#

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	4	#	0	0%
Science	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	1	#	3	#	2	#
Writing	1	#	0	0%	2	#
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	2	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	1	#	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	16	88%	74	85%	1	#	
Science	9	44%	50	54%	2	#	
Reading	4	#	12	75%	0	0%	
Writing	4	#	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	2	#	64	55%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	5	80%	17	59%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		1 •1• /•
	0001.00	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			20	
Number Tested	457	486	570	53	38	77
Number Scoring 55–100	441	463	541	43	32	57
Number Scoring 65–100	406	422	515	24	19	49
Number Scoring 85–100	218	206	269	5	0	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	95%	81%	84%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	87%	90%	45%	50%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	42%	47%	9%	0%	6%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	599	599	607	72	91	86
Number Scoring 55–100	483	532	596	27	61	76
Number Scoring 65–100	390	464	572	14	41	64
Number Scoring 85–100	163	174	309	0	3	11
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	81%	89%	98%	38%	67%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	65%	77%	94%	19%	45%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	29%	51%	0%	3%	13%
	M	athematics B	•		•	
Number Tested	0	5	138	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	5	136	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	3	133	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	50	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	99%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	60%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	36%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	523	531	585	63	72	84
Number Scoring 55–100	500	491	547	52	48	65
Number Scoring 65–100	462	450	495	30	34	45
Number Scoring 85–100	161	181	188	1	8	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	92%	94%	83%	67%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	85%	85%	48%	47%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	34%	32%	2%	11%	6%
		ory and Gover		_,,,	11/0	0,0
Number Tested	485	518	537	61	58	70
Number Scoring 55–100	468	499	499	55	51	50
Number Scoring 65–100	400	475	467	35	42	37
Number Scoring 85–100	134	226	194	3	3	10
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	96%	93%	90%	88%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	90%	87%	57%	72%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	44%	36%	5%	5%	14%
recentage of reside Scotting 65–100	20/0	++/0	5070	570	570	(Earma

(Form - F)

	Regents			1			
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		<u>g Environme</u>	1	I	T		
Number Tested	441	444	535	18	21	43	
Number Scoring 55–100	441	442	525	18	21	42	
Number Scoring 65–100	437	436	507	18	21	38	
Number Scoring 85–100	176	166	176	1	5	3	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	98%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	95%	100%	100%	88%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	37%	33%	6%	24%	7%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science	-	-	-	
Number Tested	460	480	547	88	79	86	
Number Scoring 55–100	440	436	489	74	52	50	
Number Scoring 65–100	406	399	452	56	42	42	
Number Scoring 85–100	149	137	134	6	3	5	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	91%	89%	84%	66%	58%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	83%	83%	64%	53%	49%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	29%	24%	7%	4%	6%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	381	377	381	13	3	9	
Number Scoring 55–100	374	359	349	12	#	7	
Number Scoring 65–100	325	311	272	5	#	6	
Number Scoring 85–100	48	60	48	0	#	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	95%	92%	92%	#	78%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	82%	71%	38%	#	67%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	13%	16%	13%	0%	#	11%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			237			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			227			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			210			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			63			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			96%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			89%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			27%			0%	

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

Г	Regents				/ ·/I TS ·	1 •1• /•
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Fre		1	0	0
Number Tested	26	37	31	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	26	37	31	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	37	31	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	24	20	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	65%	65%	#	0%	0%
N. 1. (7) . 1		rehensive Ital		0	0	
Number Tested	48	47	67	0	0	l
Number Scoring 55–100	48	47	67	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	48	47	67	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	31	32	42	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	65%	68%	63%	0%	0%	#
		ehensive Ger				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb		ſ	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		<u>ehensive Spa</u>				•
Number Tested	255	281	238	6	8	7
Number Scoring 55–100	255	279	234	6	7	7
Number Scoring 65–100	254	273	229	6	7	7
Number Scoring 85–100	152	183	110	2	4	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	88%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	100%	97%	96%	100%	88%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	65%	46%	33%	50%	43%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	28	34	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	28	34	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	28	34	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	26	19	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	76%	83%	0%	0%	0%
T						(Form –]

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04			
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
283	99%	340	95%	0	0%
49	92%	56	63%	0	0%
	No. Tested 283	No. Tested % Passing 283 99%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 283 99% 340	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 283 99% 340 95%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 283 99% 340 95% 0

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	478	478	478	23	23	23	501	501	501
Number Scoring 55–64	29	19	15	5	2	5	34	21	20
Number Scoring 65–84	269	216	253	4	6	8	273	222	261
Number Scoring 85–100	156	223	199	0	0	0	156	223	199
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

		All Students		ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	B)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)	-			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			17			0	
Beginning (0–18)			1			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			4			0	
Advanced (32–36)			8			0	
Proficient (37–39)			4			0	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)			
Number Tested			17			0	
Beginning (0–14)			1			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			6			0	
Advanced (25–32)			6			0	
Proficient (33–35)			4			0	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)