## **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 47-02-02-04-0003 Grade Range: 7-12

Name: Gilbertsville-Mount Upton Junior-Senior High School

Principal: Carl Mummenthey

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	58	39	42
Eighth	62	59	41
Ninth	52	58	66
Tenth	57	59	63
Eleventh	46	51	51
Twelfth	44	46	52
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	319	312	315

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	3	0.9%	3	1.0%	4	1.3%	
Black (Not Hispanic)	7	2.2%	9	2.9%	3	1.0%	
Hispanic	2	0.6%	3	1.0%	3	1.0%	
White (Not Hispanic)	307	96.2%	297	95.2%	305	96.8%	

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	21	19	19
Mathematics Grade 8	16	14	15
Science Grade 8	0	20	0
Social Studies Grade 8	19	20	21
English Grade 10	20	18	18
Mathematics Grade 10	20	20	13
Science Grade 10	18	17	22
Social Studies Grade 10	18	19	23

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
46	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count	Count Percent		Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	0 0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	61	19.1%	72	23.1%	61	19.4%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01 No. of % of		2001	1–02	2002–03	
			No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.2%		96.0%		95.4%
Student Suspensions	32	10.3%	15	4.7%	21	6.7%

# Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Engellment)

(Percent of Enrollment	t)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	8.5%	8.3%	14.6%
Public Assistance	11-20%	21-30%	31-40%
Student Stability	100%	93%	96%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	27
Total Other Professional Staff	2
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

**High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*** 

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	43	31	72%	35	29	83%	45	39	87%	
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	5	1	20%	3	1	33%	
All Students	44	31	70%	40	30	75%	48	40	83%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	27	9	0	1	10	1
Percent	56%	19%	0%	2%	21%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	1	0	3

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	-	200	1–02	200	2002–03		3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		3		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		4		5	2.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		7		5	2.5%
Students	Dropped Out	0		2		1	4.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	4.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		2		2	8.0%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.5%	5	2.3%	1	0.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	4	1.9%	6	2.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.5%	9	4.2%	7	3.1%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	60	68	82
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	7	30	0
0-8	Number of All Students	67	98	82
	Percent of Enrollment	56%	100%	99%
	Number of General-Education Students	167	214	224
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	32	0	0
9-14	Number of All Students	199	214	224
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	97%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Dugguer	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	200	1–02	2002-03		2003	3-04
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	23	83%	14	100%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	4	#	29	86%	14	79%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Toot	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	2	#	4	#	

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	200	2001–02		2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	2	#	
Science	1	#	0	0%	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	1	#	4	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

reaches with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	2	#			
Science	5	100%	0	0%	2	#			
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#			
Global Studies	1	#	2	#	0	0%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	0	0%	2	#			

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	regente					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	47	52	40	8	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	46	50	39	7	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	45	50	37	6	5	4
Number Scoring 85–100	25	32	25	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	97%	88%	83%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	96%	93%	75%	83%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	62%	62%	0%	17%	20%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	44	65	71	1	9	4
Number Scoring 55–100	36	60	65	#	8	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	54	61	#	6	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	16	19	#	1	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	82%	92%	92%	#	89%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	83%	86%	#	67%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	25%	27%	#	11%	#
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	1	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	12	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	86%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	79%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	36%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	62	58	75	7	7	7
Number Scoring 55–100	59	46	66	6	5	4
Number Scoring 65–100	52	42	57	4	4	3
Number Scoring 85–100	22	15	20	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	79%	88%	86%	71%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	72%	76%	57%	57%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	26%	27%	0%	0%	0%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	45	54	36	6	5	6
Number Scoring 55–100	43	53	35	6	5	5
Number Scoring 65–100	36	50	31	4	4	3
Number Scoring 85–100	25	32	20	2	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	97%	100%	100%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	93%	86%	67%	80%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	56%	59%	56%	33%	40%	0%

 $\overline{(Form - F)}$ 

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	51	44	118	2	4	7
Number Scoring 55–100	51	43	112	#	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	50	42	96	#	#	6
Number Scoring 85–100	20	14	27	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	95%	#	#	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	95%	81%	#	#	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	32%	23%	#	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	66	76	4	11	6	2
Number Scoring 55–100	57	69	#	9	6	#
Number Scoring 65–100	48	62	#	7	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	20	#	0	2	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	91%	#	82%	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	73%	82%	#	64%	83%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	26%	#	0%	33%	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	21	25	28	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	21	25	28	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	20	24	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	9	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	62%	80%	86%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	32%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			3			0
Number Scoring 55–100			#			0
Number Scoring 65–100			#			0
Number Scoring 85–100			#			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			#			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			#			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	25	29	15	4	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	20	27	15	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	14	23	13	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	9	9	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	93%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	56%	79%	87%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	16%	31%	60%	#	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital		_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	18	15	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	18	15	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	18	14	15	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	8	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	93%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	53%	40%	0%	0%	0%
referringe of Tested Scoring 05 100		rehensive La		0 70	0 /0	070
Number Tested	0		0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	0	All Students		Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	41	6	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	31	4	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	27	3	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	14	1	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	76%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	1	#	15	93%	17	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	5	100%	6	50%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	32	9%	47%	38%	6%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	5	20%	80%	0%	0%
	All Students	37	11%	51%	32%	5%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Tested Not Tested Level 1 Level 2 Level								
Elementary Level										
Social Studies         0         0         0         0         0										
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	43	43	43	5	5	5	48	48	48
Number Scoring 55–64	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	2	3
Number Scoring 65–84	21	16	21	3	1	2	24	17	23
Number Scoring 85–100	21	26	20	0	1	0	21	27	20
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)