New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 47-14-00-01-0000

Name: Oneonta City School District

Superintendent: James C. Piscitelli

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	32	32	32
Kindergarten	122	158	128
First	149	117	155
Second	144	140	121
Third	156	144	137
Fourth	138	148	139
Fifth	145	149	152
Sixth	183	151	153
Ungraded Elementary	29	22	22
Seventh	207	205	180
Eighth	187	198	204
Ninth	170	187	203
Tenth	172	170	186
Eleventh	158	170	164
Twelfth	178	160	166
Ungraded Secondary	4	12	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2142	2131	2110

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

9	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	61	2.8%	57	2.7%	71	3.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	84	3.9%	94	4.4%	99	4.7%
Hispanic	51	2.4%	64	3.0%	56	2.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	1946	90.8%	1916	89.9%	1884	89.3%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	17	19	19
Common Branch	19	18	18
English Grade 8	18	19	19
Mathematics Grade 8	18	19	20
Science Grade 8	18	22	19
Social Studies Grade 8	18	20	20
English Grade 10	18	20	19
Mathematics Grade 10	17	17	19
Science Grade 10	20	19	22
Social Studies Grade 10	17	18	18

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group		Description
NA	NA	

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	17	0.8%	14	0.7%	13	0.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	381	17.8%	420	19.7%	376	17.8%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		95.7%		95.0%
Student Suspensions	100	4.6%	97	4.5%	104	4.9%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.1%	7.1%	7.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	183				
Total Other Professional Staff	37				
Total Paraprofessionals	72				
Teaching Out of Certification*	3				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	148	113	76%	126	91	72%	126	109	87%
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	8	3	38%	1	0	0%
All Students	148	113	76%	134	94	70%	127	109	86%

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	97	23	1	1	2	3
Percent	76%	18%	1%	1%	2%	2%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
1	0	5	6

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	2001–02		2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	2		1		2	0.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	6		18		19	3.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8		19		21	3.4%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		2	1.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		5		2	1.9%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		5		4	3.8%
All	Dropped Out	2	0.3%	1	0.1%	4	0.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	7	1.0%	23	3.3%	21	2.9%
Students	Total Noncompleters	9	1.3%	24	3.4%	25	3.5%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	171
(0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	33
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	204
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	38%
	Number of General-Education Students	605	572	621
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	73	78	98
9–12	Number of All Students	678	650	719
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	94%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	34		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	27	79%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	34	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	34	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	34		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	34	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	10	71%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	4	15%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	37	86%	48	90%	36	92%	
German	23	87%	18	61%	22	91%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	96	71%	120	90%	103	88%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	3	#	6	0%	
German	0	0%	1	#	1	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	11	45%	23	61%	14	57%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	3	#	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	Regents	Lami	Hauons			
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	136	139	145	7	12	10
Number Scoring 55–100	135	137	143	6	12	9
Number Scoring 65–100	126	130	137	5	8	5
Number Scoring 85–100	74	65	71	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	86%	100%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	94%	94%	71%	67%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	47%	49%	0%	8%	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	138	146	175	7	13	17
Number Scoring 55–100	126	131	173	6	9	15
Number Scoring 65–100	117	115	161	4	8	11
Number Scoring 85–100	60	28	54	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	90%	99%	86%	69%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	79%	92%	57%	62%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	19%	31%	14%	0%	0%
		athematics B			•	
Number Tested	0	99	121	0	5	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	73	85	0	1	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	58	68	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	13	16	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	74%	70%	0%	20%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	59%	56%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	13%	13%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo				•
Number Tested	144	158	157	12	16	22
Number Scoring 55–100	143	154	146	12	13	17
Number Scoring 65–100	140	148	131	11	13	13
Number Scoring 85–100	73	68	56	3	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	93%	100%	81%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	94%	83%	92%	81%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	43%	36%	25%	6%	5%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	148	144	149	9	14	10
Number Scoring 55–100	139	141	141	7	12	6
Number Scoring 65–100	120	137	132	6	11	4
Number Scoring 85–100	59	88	70	1	4	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	98%	95%	78%	86%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	95%	89%	67%	79%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	61%	47%	11%	29%	10%

(Form - F)

	All Students				Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04		
		g Environme		2001 02	2002 03	2005 04		
Number Tested	149	131	147	11	12	19		
Number Scoring 55–100	149	130	147	11	11	19		
Number Scoring 65–100	149	129	142	11	11	16		
Number Scoring 85–100	82	60	43	1	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	100%	92%	100%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	98%	97%	100%	92%	84%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	55%	46%	29%	9%	0%	0%		
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science					
Number Tested	180	208	192	20	32	31		
Number Scoring 55–100	172	194	180	18	24	23		
Number Scoring 65–100	153	186	169	13	20	18		
Number Scoring 85–100	68	99	71	1	6	3		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	93%	94%	90%	75%	74%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	89%	88%	65%	62%	58%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	48%	37%	5%	19%	10%		
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry					
Number Tested	73	117	115	1	3	5		
Number Scoring 55–100	72	116	99	#	#	2		
Number Scoring 65–100	67	106	78	#	#	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	17	46	17	#	#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	86%	#	#	40%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	91%	68%	#	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	39%	15%	#	#	0%		
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics					
Number Tested			55			0		
Number Scoring 55–100			55			0		
Number Scoring 65–100			55			0		
Number Scoring 85–100			33			0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			60%			0%		

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	35	37	37	1	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	35	37	37	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	33	37	37	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	14	27	25	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	73%	68%	#	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	•	•	•
Number Tested	9	8	14	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	9	8	13	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	8	13	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	6	11	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	93%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	93%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	75%	79%	0%	#	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			l
Number Tested	58	51	74	0	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	57	51	68	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	55	51	65	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	27	38	33	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	92%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	100%	88%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	75%	45%	0%	0%	#
1 ordinage of 1 obtom 5 ording or 100		rehensive La		0,0	0,0	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	112	14	2	1	2	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	101	11	#	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	93	8	#	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	52	1	#	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	79%	#	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	57%	#	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	7%	#	#	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	78	100%	44	98%	56	96%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	15	80%	8	88%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	122	1%	6%	61%	33%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	31	26%	10%	65%	0%
	All Students	153	6%	7%	61%	26%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	158	1%	26%	59%	14%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	36	8%	64%	28%	0%
	All Students	194	2%	33%	54%	11%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary l	Level						
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	141	141	141	7	7	7	148	148	148
Number Scoring 55–64	1	3	0	0	1	0	1	4	0
Number Scoring 65–84	62	45	50	4	1	5	66	46	55
Number Scoring 85–100	69	85	83	2	2	1	71	87	84
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	nts with Disab	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			6			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0				
Advanced (32–36)			2			0				
Proficient (37–39)			3			0				
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1))						
Number Tested			6			0				
Beginning (0–14)			1			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0				
Advanced (25–32)			3			0				
Proficient (33–35)			1			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>6)</u>						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	<i>S</i> .	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)