# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 50-04-01-06-0009 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Suffern Senior High School

Principal: Patrick Faherty

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	371	379	394
Tenth	306	362	388
Eleventh	338	297	362
Twelfth	310	325	299
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1325	1363	1443

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	85	6.4%	83	6.1%	88	6.1%
Black (Not Hispanic)	75	5.7%	78	5.7%	85	5.9%
Hispanic	110	8.3%	112	8.2%	112	7.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	1055	79.6%	1090	80.0%	1158	80.2%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Avel age Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	0	0	0						
Common Branch	0	0	0						
English Grade 8	0	0	0						
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0						
Science Grade 8	0	0	0						
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0						
English Grade 10	21	25	24						
Mathematics Grade 10	20	22	22						
Science Grade 10	23	23	25						
Social Studies Grade 10	22	24	24						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
53	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	19	1.4%	33	2.4%	26	1.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	62	4.7%	66	4.8%	37	2.6%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.2%		95.1%		95.3%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	60	4.8%	61	4.6%	71	5.2%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	2.7%	2.6%	6.0%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	97%	98%	98%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	110
Total Other Professional Staff	17
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	7

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	257	200	78%	279	193	69%	251	195	78%	
Students with Disabilities	20	6	30%	25	4	16%	30	7	23%	
All Students	277	206	74%	304	197	65%	281	202	72%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	185	72	4	1	8	11
Percent	66%	26%	1%	0%	3%	4%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
30	7	3	33

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	1–02	200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	29	Em on.	17	Em on.	21	1.6%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		3		5	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	29		20		26	2.0%
Students	Dropped Out	1		2		2	1.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	0.6%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		2		3	1.9%
All	Dropped Out	30	2.3%	19	1.4%	23	1.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	3	0.2%	6	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	30	2.3%	22	1.6%	29	2.0%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	225	984	0
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	146	36	0
9–12	Number of All Students	371	1020	0
	Percent of Enrollment	28%	75%	0%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Dugguer	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	5	100%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	5	80%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	1	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

ottuents with Disubinities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	3	#			
Science	0	0%	7	43%	3	#			
Reading	0	0%	3	#	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	3	#	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	7	71%	6	67%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%			

(Form - E)

	regents	LAAIIII	mations	,		
		All Students	}	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	318	284	336	15	25	16
Number Scoring 55–100	309	274	336	15	22	16
Number Scoring 65–100	296	260	330	12	21	15
Number Scoring 85–100	193	180	209	4	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	100%	100%	88%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	92%	98%	80%	84%	94%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	63%	62%	27%	8%	19%
		athematics A				,L
Number Tested	83	395	378	17	21	38
Number Scoring 55–100	58	369	377	13	15	38
Number Scoring 65–100	39	346	372	7	15	37
Number Scoring 85–100	5	106	151	2	1	10
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	70%	93%	100%	76%	71%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	47%	88%	98%	41%	71%	97%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	27%	40%	12%	5%	26%
		athematics B	10,0			
Number Tested	0	0	245	0	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	232	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	216	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	102	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	95%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	88%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	42%	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo				,L
Number Tested	286	356	367	23	16	43
Number Scoring 55–100	283	344	356	23	13	37
Number Scoring 65–100	268	334	335	19	10	31
Number Scoring 85–100	126	163	165	1	2	6
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	97%	100%	81%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	94%	91%	83%	62%	72%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	44%	46%	45%	4%	12%	14%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	318	297	331	16	28	15
Number Scoring 55–100	308	292	325	15	28	15
Number Scoring 65–100	273	281	314	9	27	14
Number Scoring 85–100	124	167	170	1	11	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	98%	94%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	95%	95%	56%	96%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	56%	51%	6%	39%	27%
			/ 0	- / -		

 $\frac{2770}{(\text{Form} - \text{F})}$ 

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		1	1	1
Number Tested	333	254	279	31	25	35
Number Scoring 55–100	333	249	276	31	24	34
Number Scoring 65–100	322	236	258	30	16	25
Number Scoring 85–100	113	92	100	4	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	99%	100%	96%	97%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	93%	92%	97%	64%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	34%	36%	36%	13%	4%	9%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	279	355	376	3	22	37
Number Scoring 55–100	279	347	364	#	21	32
Number Scoring 65–100	276	327	330	#	20	23
Number Scoring 85–100	160	174	127	#	6	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	97%	#	95%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	92%	88%	#	91%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	57%	49%	34%	#	27%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	225	262	245	5	7	9
Number Scoring 55–100	223	257	241	5	6	9
Number Scoring 65–100	194	236	206	3	6	8
Number Scoring 85–100	43	61	50	2	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	98%	98%	100%	86%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	90%	84%	60%	86%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	23%	20%	40%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			137			0
Number Scoring 55–100			136			0
Number Scoring 65–100			133			0
Number Scoring 85–100			48			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			99%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			97%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			35%			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		_		
Number Tested	59	49	59	1	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	57	49	59	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	57	48	58	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	36	31	35	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	98%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	63%	59%	#	#	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	22	21	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	22	21	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	22	21	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	13	13	5	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	59%	62%	36%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	157	211	194	6	10	6
Number Scoring 55–100	153	206	194	6	8	6
Number Scoring 65–100	153	196	186	6	6	6
Number Scoring 85–100	88	94	105	1	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	100%	100%	80%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	93%	96%	100%	60%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	56%	45%	54%	17%	10%	50%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	220	204	12	3	5	1				
Number Scoring 55–100	205	186	12	#	4	#				
Number Scoring 65–100	201	175	9	#	2	#				
Number Scoring 85–100	113	79	1	#	0	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	91%	100%	#	80%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	86%	75%	#	40%	#				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	39%	8%	#	0%	#				

## **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	21	81%	14	93%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	3	#	1	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

## **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested Not Tested Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level									
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0 0 0 0 0								
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	261	261	261	33	33	33	294	294	294
Number Scoring 55–64	1	7	5	3	0	0	4	7	5
Number Scoring 65–84	122	86	100	21	19	24	143	105	124
Number Scoring 85–100	119	155	144	5	10	5	124	165	149
Approved Alternatives	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	<u> </u>	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	8)		l		
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)				
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			26			0		
Beginning (0–18)			5			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			10			0		
Advanced (32–36)			5			0		
Proficient (37–39)			6			0		
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)								
Number Tested			25			0		
Beginning (0–14)			5			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			10			0		
Advanced (25–32)			9			0		
Proficient (33–35)			1			0		

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)