New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 51-16-02-04-0000

Name: Lisbon Central School District

Superintendent: Ernest L. Witkowski

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	20	26	40
First	47	51	35
Second	37	38	38
Third	40	40	40
Fourth	51	39	37
Fifth	48	52	39
Sixth	42	51	48
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	50	51	54
Eighth	43	49	39
Ninth	57	53	49
Tenth	46	56	43
Eleventh	36	47	50
Twelfth	51	34	40
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	568	587	552

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.4%	3	0.5%	3	0.5%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	566	99.6%	583	99.3%	548	99.3%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	13	14	13						
Common Branch	16	16	16						
English Grade 8	0	16	13						
Mathematics Grade 8	12	16	13						
Science Grade 8	0	0	13						
Social Studies Grade 8	14	17	39						
English Grade 10	0	0	14						
Mathematics Grade 10	14	20	15						
Science Grade 10	15	27	12						
Social Studies Grade 10	15	18	14						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

9 1	200	2001–02		2-03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	116	20.4%	142	24.2%	107	19.4%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.1%		95.4%		95.6%
Student Suspensions	8	1.3%	9	1.6%	9	1.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	11.1%	10.2%	11.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Start Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	51				
Total Other Professional Staff	7				
Total Paraprofessionals	2				
Teaching Out of Certification*	0				

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04			
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas		
General Education	46	33	72%	33	20	61%	33	30	91%		
Students with Disabilities	2	2	100%	5	0	0%	6	1	17%		
All Students	48	35	73%	38	20	53%	39	31	79%		

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	16	15	0	4	4	0
Percent	41%	38%	0%	10%	10%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
6	1	2	8

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	2001-02		2-03	200	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		2		2	1.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		4	2.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		2		6	3.6%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		2	6.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		2	6.1%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		2		4	12.1%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.5%	3	1.6%	4	2.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	1	0.5%	6	3.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.5%	4	2.1%	10	5.0%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	49
. 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	49
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	35%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	81
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	81
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	45%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	24		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	24	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	24	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	24	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	23		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	23	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled	7	24%	30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed	2	33%	19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2–03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	32	59%	39	85%	35	40%	

Students with Disabilities

Tr4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	0	0%	3	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
Reading	1	#	1	#	2	#	
Writing	1	#	1	#	2	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	Negents	LAAIIII	mations	,		
		All Students	}	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	38	47	54	8	9	8
Number Scoring 55–100	35	46	50	6	8	5
Number Scoring 65–100	28	36	44	2	3	2
Number Scoring 85–100	12	13	16	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	98%	93%	75%	89%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	77%	81%	25%	33%	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	28%	30%	0%	0%	12%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	46	59	48	7	6	10
Number Scoring 55–100	43	54	48	6	3	10
Number Scoring 65–100	37	51	44	4	3	7
Number Scoring 85–100	18	6	10	1	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	92%	100%	86%	50%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	86%	92%	57%	50%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	10%	21%	14%	0%	0%
1 orderings of 1 october 5		athematics B	2170	1.70	0,0	0,0
Number Tested	0	30	31	0	3	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	27	26	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	21	24	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	9	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	90%	84%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	70%	77%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	3%	29%	0%	#	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	50	59	48	10	9	8
Number Scoring 55–100	48	54	41	9	7	4
Number Scoring 65–100	39	47	37	6	5	3
Number Scoring 85–100	10	16	8	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	92%	85%	90%	78%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	80%	77%	60%	56%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	27%	17%	0%	0%	0%
		ry and Gover				
Number Tested	34	45	53	5	9	6
Number Scoring 55–100	33	43	48	4	8	4
Number Scoring 65–100	31	39	40	4	6	2
Number Scoring 85–100	12	13	20	0	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	96%	91%	80%	89%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	87%	75%	80%	67%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	29%	38%	0%	11%	0%
	20,0		20,0	0,0	1 / 5	0,0

(Form – F)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
	Livin	g Environme	ent				
Number Tested	41	53	43	9	7	6	
Number Scoring 55–100	41	52	43	9	7	6	
Number Scoring 65–100	40	52	42	9	7	6	
Number Scoring 85–100	10	13	12	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	98%	98%	100%	100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	25%	28%	0%	0%	0%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	54	35	43	4	2	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	52	30	40	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	46	29	34	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	13	10	10	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	86%	93%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	83%	79%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	29%	23%	#	#	#	
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry				
Number Tested	26	33	28	0	2	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	24	31	28	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	14	25	26	0	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	3	3	6	0	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	94%	100%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	54%	76%	93%	0%	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	12%	9%	21%	0%	#	#	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			11			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			9			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			7			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			1			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			82%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			64%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			9%			#	

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew		1	u.
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	20	20	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	20	20	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	20	20	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	15	15	9	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	75%	75%	69%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	32	1	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	29	#	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	26	#	0	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	13	#	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%			

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	30	100%	34	91%	13	100%	
Students with Disabilities	7	100%	3	#	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	34	3%	6%	68%	24%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	5	40%	40%	20%	0%
	All Students	39	8%	10%	62%	21%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	34	0%	35%	59%	6%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	8	25%	38%	38%	0%
	All Students	42	5%	36%	55%	5%
						<i>(</i> = -)

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test Teste		Not Tested	Not Tested Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	35	35	35	8	8	8	43	43	43
Number Scoring 55–64	2	1	1	1	2	0	3	3	1
Number Scoring 65–84	21	21	21	6	4	7	27	25	28
Number Scoring 85–100	12	12	13	0	1	0	12	13	13
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)