New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:53-05-01-06-0000Name:Schalmont Central School DistrictSuperintendent:Valerie Kelsey

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	128	109	112
First	149	133	127
Second	147	157	140
Third	149	149	157
Fourth	177	154	151
Fifth	174	180	156
Sixth	187	198	200
Ungraded Elementary	0	13	23
Seventh	193	184	188
Eighth	193	195	185
Ninth	204	226	221
Tenth	228	174	199
Eleventh	178	195	164
Twelfth	183	177	205
Ungraded Secondary	0	4	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2290	2248	2228

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	17	0.7%	14	0.6%	18	0.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	25	1.1%	32	1.4%	31	1.4%
Hispanic	7	0.3%	11	0.5%	9	0.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	2241	97.9%	2191	97.5%	2170	97.4%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	21	16	18
Common Branch	23	23	22
English Grade 8	24	24	23
Mathematics Grade 8	23	23	23
Science Grade 8	24	24	24
Social Studies Grade 8	23	25	23
English Grade 10	24	25	24
Mathematics Grade 10	26	22	25
Science Grade 10	23	25	22
Social Studies Grade 10	25	22	25

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%
Eligible for Free Lunch	129	6.0%	127	5.9%	155	7.3%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.4%		94.3%		94.2%
Student Suspensions	144	6.5%	155	6.8%	183	8.1%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	2.3%	3.9%	3.3%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	169				
Total Other Professional Staff	39				
Total Paraprofessionals	62				
Teaching Out of Certification*	6				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

Ingii School	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	145	98	68%	134	81	60%	154	107	69%	
Students with Disabilities	16	1	6%	24	1	4%	34	2	6%	
All Students	161	99	61%	158	82	52%	188	109	58%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	85	85	4	1	12	1
Percent	45%	45%	2%	1%	6%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
34	2	6	40

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	9		1		15	2.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		4	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	9		1		19	3.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		6	3.7%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		7	4.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		13	8.0%
All	Dropped Out	9	1.1%	1	0.1%	21	2.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	11	1.4%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	9	1.1%	2	0.3%	32	4.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	484	172	164
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	89	23	20
0-0	Number of All Students	573	195	184
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	34%	32%
	Number of General-Education Students	230	230	645
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	20	20	144
9-12	Number of All Students	250	250	789
	Percent of Enrollment	32%	32%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTF Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	23	100%	5	100%	6	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	21	86%	0	0%	23	100%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	0	0%	7	86%	
Science	1	#	0	0%	3	#	
Reading	0	0%	4	#	2	#	
Writing	0	0%	4	#	2	#	
Global Studies	1	#	2	#	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	4	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	47	81%	5	60%	32	75%	
Science	11	18%	3	#	13	69%	
Reading	0	0%	13	77%	12	75%	
Writing	0	0%	11	91%	12	100%	
Global Studies	19	68%	9	78%	20	45%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	12	92%	2	#	15	60%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		<u>ehensive Eng</u>				
Number Tested	162	207	168	25	34	29
Number Scoring 55–100	153	198	160	19	27	22
Number Scoring 65–100	143	189	153	11	22	17
Number Scoring 85–100	65	116	100	2	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	96%	95%	76%	79%	76%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	91%	91%	44%	65%	59%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	56%	60%	8%	6%	7%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	234	224	228	36	35	45
Number Scoring 55–100	198	197	217	21	26	40
Number Scoring 65–100	154	169	201	9	21	33
Number Scoring 85–100	55	66	80	1	10	6
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	88%	95%	58%	74%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	75%	88%	25%	60%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	29%	35%	3%	29%	13%
	M	athematics B	•		•	
Number Tested	0	0	4	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	201	205	205	38	38	37
Number Scoring 55–100	191	186	182	30	24	23
Number Scoring 65–100	172	156	167	19	17	16
Number Scoring 85–100	54	49	61	0	3	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	91%	89%	79%	63%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	76%	81%	50%	45%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	24%	30%	0%	8%	11%
<u> </u>	U.S. Hista	ry and Gove	rnment		•	
Number Tested	168	208	164	25	30	26
Number Scoring 55–100	155	196	146	16	23	20
Number Scoring 65–100	130	176	131	10	13	14
Number Scoring 85–100	43	97	71	0	1	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	94%	89%	64%	77%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	85%	80%	40%	43%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	47%	43%	0%	3%	19%

(Form - F)

	Regents				/ · · / · D · · ·	
		All Students	-		nts with Disa	1
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme				
Number Tested	189	171	184	26	27	33
Number Scoring 55–100	187	166	180	24	24	30
Number Scoring 65–100	178	160	169	17	22	22
Number Scoring 85–100	92	66	69	3	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	98%	92%	89%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	94%	92%	65%	81%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	39%	38%	12%	7%	6%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	183	209	194	34	36	23
Number Scoring 55–100	172	188	165	30	27	16
Number Scoring 65–100	163	169	136	26	24	13
Number Scoring 85–100	67	66	44	2	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	90%	85%	88%	75%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	81%	70%	76%	67%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	32%	23%	6%	3%	13%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	104	147	118	3	3	3
Number Scoring 55–100	97	137	113	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	78	104	93	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	12	17	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	93%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	71%	79%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	8%	14%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			51			0
Number Scoring 55–100			46			0
Number Scoring 65–100			35			0
Number Scoring 85–100			7			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			90%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			69%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			14%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				/ •/I TN •	1 •1• /•
	0001 00	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	1
Number Tested	24	25	27	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	24	25	27	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	25	27	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	17	20	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	68%	74%	0%	0%	#
		rehensive Ita	lian		1	
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	<u>ehensive Ger</u>	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			•
Number Tested	58	92	57	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	58	92	57	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	57	91	57	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	48	64	27	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	99%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	83%	70%	47%	#	#	0%
6 6		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0	(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	104	147	3	0	6	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	96	113	#	0	1	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	91	107	#	0	1	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	56	55	#	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	77%	#	0%	17%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	73%	#	0%	17%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	54%	37%	#	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
40	98%	23	87%	18	94%
19	100%	18	50%	11	91%
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing 40 98%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 40 98% 23	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 40 98% 23 87%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 40 98% 23 87% 18

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	147	1%	3%	51%	46%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	13	54%	0%	46%	0%
	All Students	160	5%	3%	51%	42%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	160	1%	59%	38%	3%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	26	31%	58%	12%	0%
	All Students	186	5%	59%	34%	3%

(Form – I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	159	159	159	39	39	39	198	198	198
Number Scoring 55–64	3	5	1	10	12	5	13	17	6
Number Scoring 65–84	100	55	61	16	13	23	116	68	84
Number Scoring 85–100	55	96	96	1	1	4	56	97	100
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)	I	
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ig (Grade K–1)		•
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–	4)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–	6)		
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			1			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)		02 02	#	ta data fan all a		0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form – K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I		
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			1			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

37 arly Ctata E 1.1 C лı ۸ al.: 1 T AT ANOLOL AT *

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)