New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:54-10-01-04-0001Name:Middleburgh High SchoolPrincipal:Lori Petrosino

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	84	87	88
Tenth	93	76	76
Eleventh	75	91	69
Twelfth	62	85	87
Ungraded Secondary	3	0	5
Total K-12 Enrollment	317	339	325

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	5	1.6%	9	2.7%	4	1.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.6%	1	0.3%	1	0.3%
Hispanic	4	1.3%	4	1.2%	3	0.9%
White (Not Hispanic)	306	96.5%	325	95.9%	317	97.5%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	21
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	22	0	0
English Grade 10	23	21	20
Mathematics Grade 10	13	11	0
Science Grade 10	15	15	9
Social Studies Grade 10	22	20	19

(Form - A)

Middleburgh High School

54-10-01-04-0001 03/03/05

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
4	This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
46	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	1	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	65	20.5%	65	19.2%	67	20.6%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		92.8%		93.2%		93.1%
Student Suspensions	9	2.6%	31	9.8%	41	12.1%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.4%	11.2%	14.2%
Public Assistance	21-30%	21-30%	21-30%
Student Stability	100%	99%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	27
Total Other Professional Staff	3
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

8	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	56	35	62%	69	32	46%	71	40	56%	
Students with Disabilities	8	1	12%	8	1	12%	12	2	17%	
All Students	64	36	56%	77	33	43%	83	42	51%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	22	29	5	5	21	1
Percent	27%	35%	6%	6%	25%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
12	2	2	14

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	6	Em on.	13	Em on,	7	2.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	5		0		1	0.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	11		13		8	2.9%
Students	Dropped Out	1		5		2	3.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		5		2	3.6%
All	Dropped Out	7	2.2%	18	5.3%	9	2.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	6	1.9%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	13	4.1%	18	5.3%	10	3.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	314	70	308
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	30
9–12	Number of All Students	314	70	338
	Percent of Enrollment	99%	21%	104%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng			1	T
Number Tested	75	83	67	8	11	8
Number Scoring 55–100	71	72	62	5	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	67	65	56	4	5	3
Number Scoring 85–100	35	28	27	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	87%	93%	62%	64%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	78%	84%	50%	45%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	34%	40%	0%	9%	12%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	49	114	80	12	12	8
Number Scoring 55–100	16	92	78	5	6	8
Number Scoring 65–100	8	68	69	3	5	5
Number Scoring 85–100	1	15	27	1	3	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	33%	81%	97%	42%	50%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	16%	60%	86%	25%	42%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	2%	13%	34%	8%	25%	0%
		athematics B	•			
Number Tested	35	35	23	1	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	28	29	23	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	26	19	21	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	1	3	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	83%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	54%	91%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	3%	13%	#	#	#
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	85	84	75	11	13	9
Number Scoring 55–100	81	73	70	9	9	7
Number Scoring 65–100	59	64	64	4	7	5
Number Scoring 85–100	15	25	28	1	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	87%	93%	82%	69%	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	69%	76%	85%	36%	54%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	30%	37%	9%	8%	0%
		ory and Gover		,,,,	0,0	0,0
Number Tested	87	83	63	10	10	6
Number Scoring 55–100	78	81	57	6	10	3
Number Scoring 65–100	61	69	46	2	7	1
Number Scoring 85–100	23	29	30	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	98%	90%	60%	100%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	70%	83%	73%	20%	70%	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	35%	48%	0%	10%	17%
recentage of reside Scotting 65–100	2070	5570	40/0	070	10/0	(Earma

(Form - F)

	Regents			1			
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme	1		1		
Number Tested	87	71	64	9	11	8	
Number Scoring 55–100	87	71	64	9	11	8	
Number Scoring 65–100	87	69	64	9	9	8	
Number Scoring 85–100	32	24	31	1	0	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	100%	100%	82%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	34%	48%	11%	0%	12%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	51	52	48	2	2	3	
Number Scoring 55–100	51	51	47	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	49	46	41	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	27	35	21	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	98%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	88%	85%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	67%	44%	#	#	#	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	31	36	34	0	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	30	36	34	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	28	30	32	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	13	9	3	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	83%	94%	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	25%	9%	0%	#	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics				
Number Tested			22			1	
Number Scoring 55–100			22			#	
Number Scoring 65–100			21			#	
Number Scoring 85–100			9			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			95%			#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			41%			#	

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

		All Students			nts with Disa	hilities
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
		cehensive Fre		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested		1	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100 Number Scoring 65–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		# rehensive Ital		0%	0%	0%
Number Tested			0	0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0		0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0		0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb			-	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa			1	1
Number Tested	34	43	44	2	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	33	43	43	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	32	42	42	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	20	23	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	100%	98%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	98%	95%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	47%	52%	#	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	1	#	41	100%
0	0%	0	0%	9	100%
		0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 1	No. Tested% PassingNo. Tested% Passing00%1#	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 1 # 41

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
Nov 2003	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Not Tested	Level 1 Level 2		Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	76	76	76	13	13	13	89	89	89
Number Scoring 55–64	10	8	2	3	3	0	13	11	2
Number Scoring 65–84	46	34	40	5	6	8	51	40	48
Number Scoring 85–100	13	27	28	1	1	1	14	28	29
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)