New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:58-01-01-03-0000Name:Babylon Union Free School DistrictSuperintendent:William Bernhard

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	146	142	140
First	153	153	152
Second	157	152	151
Third	146	162	148
Fourth	155	144	154
Fifth	154	153	145
Sixth	174	161	157
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	6
Seventh	158	176	158
Eighth	155	155	176
Ninth	179	149	159
Tenth	140	182	147
Eleventh	129	143	177
Twelfth	149	125	136
Ungraded Secondary	4	4	3
Total K-12 Enrollment	1999	2001	2009

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	62	3.1%	68	3.4%	66	3.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	108	5.4%	103	5.1%	100	5.0%
Hispanic	110	5.5%	106	5.3%	121	6.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	1719	86.0%	1724	86.2%	1722	85.7%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	22	21	20
Common Branch	24	24	22
English Grade 8	19	19	21
Mathematics Grade 8	22	22	22
Science Grade 8	22	22	22
Social Studies Grade 8	23	22	24
English Grade 10	15	14	17
Mathematics Grade 10	23	19	17
Science Grade 10	18	24	20
Social Studies Grade 10	22	20	21

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	29	1.5%	55	2.8%	56	2.8%
Eligible for Free Lunch	97	4.9%	150	7.5%	182	9.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		200	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.1%		95.5%		95.7%
Student Suspensions	21	1.0%	8	0.4%	18	0.9%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	2.4%	4.3%	3.6%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003-04				
Total Teachers	166				
Total Other Professional Staff	22				
Total Paraprofessionals	34				
Teaching Out of Certification*	0				

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

g	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	126	110	87%	118	102	86%	120	100	83%	
Students with Disabilities	15	7	47%	12	4	33%	16	10	62%	
All Students	141	117	83%	130	106	82%	136	110	81%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	95	23	0	4	7	7
Percent	70%	17%	0%	3%	5%	5%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
16	10	1	17

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		2		3	0.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		4		3	0.5%
Students	Total Noncompleters	3		6		6	1.1%
Students	Dropped Out	1		1		3	3.3%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		2	2.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	1		2		5	5.6%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.2%	3	0.5%	6	0.9%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.5%	5	0.8%	5	0.8%
Stutents	Total Noncompleters	4	0.7%	8	1.3%	11	1.7%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	5%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	7	118
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	21	24
4–3	Number of All Students	0	28	142
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	9%	47%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	145	586
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	24	61
0-0	Number of All Students	0	169	647
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	34%	131%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	269
0 12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	63
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	332
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	53%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	30		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	30	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	29	97%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	30	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	30		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	30	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	24	100%	20	100%	20	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	88	100%	101	100%	102	100%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	4	#

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	2	#	5	100%	1	#
Science	1	#	5	60%	2	#
Reading	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	1	#	1	#
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	29	97%	20	85%	
Science	5	20%	15	67%	12	92%	
Reading	1	#	6	83%	7	14%	
Writing	3	#	5	80%	5	100%	
Global Studies	4	#	15	47%	8	75%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	6	83%	1	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	133	153	176	13	22	20
Number Scoring 55–100	130	148	168	11	19	13
Number Scoring 65–100	127	137	158	9	16	11
Number Scoring 85–100	80	89	118	1	5	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	95%	85%	86%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	90%	90%	69%	73%	55%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	58%	67%	8%	23%	10%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	171	225	177	16	31	21
Number Scoring 55–100	132	179	170	9	10	18
Number Scoring 65–100	117	161	163	6	10	15
Number Scoring 85–100	44	55	85	2	1	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	77%	80%	96%	56%	32%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	72%	92%	38%	32%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	26%	24%	48%	12%	3%	24%
	M	athematics B	•			•
Number Tested	0	79	120	0	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	73	112	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	64	104	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	10	42	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	92%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	81%	87%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	13%	35%	0%	#	#
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	•
Number Tested	147	194	154	24	29	24
Number Scoring 55–100	138	177	145	21	15	18
Number Scoring 65–100	127	164	139	17	12	15
Number Scoring 85–100	41	80	79	1	0	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	91%	94%	88%	52%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	85%	90%	71%	41%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	41%	51%	4%	0%	12%
5		ry and Gove			1	
Number Tested	131	152	178	10	24	18
Number Scoring 55–100	127	145	172	7	19	17
Number Scoring 65–100	125	136	168	7	18	15
Number Scoring 85–100	62	70	109	1	2	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	97%	70%	79%	94%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	89%	94%	70%	75%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	46%	61%	10%	8%	28%
	.,,,		01/0	1070	0,0	(Form

(Form - F)

	Regents			1			
		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
		g Environme					
Number Tested	167	183	162	18	27	17	
Number Scoring 55–100	165	179	162	16	25	17	
Number Scoring 65–100	163	172	157	16	21	16	
Number Scoring 85–100	53	61	57	2	2	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	98%	100%	89%	93%	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	94%	97%	89%	78%	94%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	33%	35%	11%	7%	6%	
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science				
Number Tested	134	150	153	10	16	11	
Number Scoring 55–100	128	144	149	9	14	9	
Number Scoring 65–100	127	138	141	9	12	8	
Number Scoring 85–100	67	79	69	2	1	1	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	96%	97%	90%	88%	82%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	95%	92%	92%	90%	75%	73%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	50%	53%	45%	20%	6%	9%	
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry				
Number Tested	93	109	113	4	3	5	
Number Scoring 55–100	89	106	111	#	#	5	
Number Scoring 65–100	76	96	103	#	#	4	
Number Scoring 85–100	17	24	27	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	98%	#	#	100%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	82%	88%	91%	#	#	80%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	18%	22%	24%	#	#	0%	
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics				
Number Tested			40			0	
Number Scoring 55–100			38			0	
Number Scoring 65–100			37			0	
Number Scoring 85–100			11			0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			95%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			93%			0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			28%			0%	

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents	All Students				L 11:41 a
	2001 02				nts with Disa	
	2001–02		2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Noushan Testad		ehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	13	31	21	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	13	31	21	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	31	21	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	21	15	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	68%	71%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger			1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	89	107	86	3	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	89	107	86	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	89	107	86	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	67	77	60	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	75%	72%	70%	#	#	#
		rehensive La			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ı
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of rested Scotting 05 100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	100	9	0	4	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	91	6	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	84	2	0	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	48	0	0	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	67%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	22%	0%	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	0%	0%	#	#	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	6	100%	14	86%	4	#
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	3	#	1	#

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	134	3%	3%	53%	41%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	10	20%	10%	60%	10%
	All Students	144	4%	3%	53%	39%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	156	1%	23%	65%	11%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	18	0%	67%	33%	0%
	All Students	174	1%	28%	62%	10%

(Form – I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level	•					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	2	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	2	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	118	118	118	21	21	21	139	139	139	
Number Scoring 55–64	2	5	2	2	1	0	4	6	2	
Number Scoring 65–84	78	48	53	15	15	18	93	63	71	
Number Scoring 85–100	34	62	62	1	2	1	35	64	63	
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	

(Form – J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Stude	ents with Disab	oilities	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K-	1)	I	
Number Tested			13			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			5			0
Advanced (32–36)			6			0
Proficient (37–39)			2			0
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K-1)		•
Number Tested			13			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0
Advanced (25–32)			11			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			17			1
Beginning (0–18)			2			#
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#
Advanced (32–36)			3			#
Proficient (37–39)			11			#
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			17			1
Beginning (0–14)			3			#
Intermediate (15–24)			8			#
Advanced (25–32)			5			#
Proficient (33–35)			1			#
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	6)		
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–18)			1			0
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0
Advanced (32–36)			2			0
Proficient (37–39)			2			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			6			0
Beginning (0–14)			2			0
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0
Advanced (25–32)			2			0
Proficient (33–35)	luciaistans din the 20		1	ta data Canalla		0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students	2011.guu	ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	B)			
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			9			1	
Beginning (0–18)			2			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			#	
Advanced (32–36)			3			#	
Proficient (37–39)			4			#	
· · · · ·	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)		•	
Number Tested			9			1	
Beginning (0–14)			1			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			3			#	
Advanced (25–32)			5			#	
Proficient (33–35)			0			#	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)