# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 58-04-04-03-0009 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Northport Senior High School

Principal: Irene Mclaughlin

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	433	460	520
Tenth	437	432	460
Eleventh	382	434	422
Twelfth	381	390	442
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1633	1716	1844

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	53	3.2%	47	2.7%	53	2.9%
Black (Not Hispanic)	14	0.9%	13	0.8%	12	0.7%
Hispanic	38	2.3%	51	3.0%	59	3.2%
White (Not Hispanic)	1528	93.6%	1605	93.5%	1720	93.3%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Avel age Class Size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	0	0	0					
Common Branch	0	0	0					
English Grade 8	0	0	0					
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0					
Science Grade 8	0	20	0					
Social Studies Grade 8	0	13	0					
English Grade 10	20	18	21					
Mathematics Grade 10	20	15	17					
Science Grade 10	22	22	23					
Social Studies Grade 10	22	22	22					

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
53	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	19	1.2%	23	1.3%	23	1.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	31	1.9%	49	2.9%	49	2.7%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.8%		95.1%		95.1%
Student Suspensions	97	6.0%	75	4.6%	108	6.3%

# **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.2%	1.1%	1.0%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	97%	94%	97%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	163
Total Other Professional Staff	21
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	283	237	84%	323	274	85%	351	311	89%	
Students with Disabilities	46	20	43%	64	37	58%	65	37	57%	
All Students	329	257	78%	387	311	80%	416	348	84%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	334	59	2	2	15	4
Percent	80%	14%	0%	0%	4%	1%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
65	37	1	66

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		2002-03		2003	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		6		8	0.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8		6		8	0.5%
Students	Dropped Out	8		13		2	1.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	2		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	10		13		2	1.1%
All	Dropped Out	15	0.9%	19	1.1%	10	0.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	18	1.1%	19	1.1%	10	0.5%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	1385	1463	1599
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	248	253	245
9–12	Number of All Students	1633	1716	1844
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	5	80%	4	#
Science	5	40%	2	#	5	80%
Reading	0	0%	4	#	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	4	#	0	0%
Global Studies	2	#	2	#	5	60%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	2	#	2	#

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	2	#	38	92%	7	86%			
Science	10	80%	7	57%	3	#			
Reading	15	100%	28	79%	17	82%			
Writing	11	91%	31	74%	17	100%			
Global Studies	20	85%	23	52%	8	75%			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	11	64%	13	92%			

(Form - E)

	Negents	, L'Aaiiii	mations	,		
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	387	443	420	60	82	66
Number Scoring 55–100	373	425	397	46	68	49
Number Scoring 65–100	362	407	381	40	56	37
Number Scoring 85–100	223	228	251	6	13	10
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	96%	95%	77%	83%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	92%	91%	67%	68%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	51%	60%	10%	16%	15%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	506	494	463	87	71	22
Number Scoring 55–100	458	463	460	69	53	20
Number Scoring 65–100	413	432	454	57	39	18
Number Scoring 85–100	141	209	278	9	3	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	94%	99%	79%	75%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	87%	98%	66%	55%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	42%	60%	10%	4%	18%
1 troomage of region Secting of 100		athematics B	0070	1070	.,,	1070
Number Tested	0	0	142	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	140	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	140	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	105	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	99%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	74%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	443	435	462	71	73	15
Number Scoring 55–100	433	421	450	63	62	13
Number Scoring 65–100	404	408	436	52	54	11
Number Scoring 85–100	201	256	297	17	16	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	97%	89%	85%	87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	94%	94%	73%	74%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	59%	64%	24%	22%	20%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	372	433	413	55	80	61
Number Scoring 55–100	366	424	401	50	72	51
Number Scoring 65–100	351	422	389	40	70	45
Number Scoring 85–100	231	305	283	7	34	19
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	97%	91%	90%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	97%	94%	73%	88%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	70%	69%	13%	42%	31%
	0=,0	, , , ,	0,7,0	10,0	/ -	21/

(Form - F)

	Tegenes	All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	397	460	484	60	58	26
Number Scoring 55–100	396	457	481	59	57	26
Number Scoring 65–100	392	445	465	56	49	20
Number Scoring 85–100	194	212	265	11	9	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	99%	98%	98%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	97%	96%	93%	84%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	46%	55%	18%	16%	8%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	312	288	338	49	30	12
Number Scoring 55–100	307	276	318	46	25	11
Number Scoring 65–100	299	263	291	44	25	7
Number Scoring 85–100	146	130	100	13	8	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	94%	94%	83%	92%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	91%	86%	90%	83%	58%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	45%	30%	27%	27%	8%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	297	340	363	15	27	14
Number Scoring 55–100	291	327	356	13	25	14
Number Scoring 65–100	243	279	310	7	20	10
Number Scoring 85–100	51	81	104	1	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	96%	98%	87%	93%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	82%	85%	47%	74%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	24%	29%	7%	4%	14%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			133			7
Number Scoring 55–100			129			7
Number Scoring 65–100			124			5
Number Scoring 85–100			63			1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			97%			100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			93%			71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			47%			14%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	
Number Tested	62	58	61	2	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	61	58	60	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	61	57	59	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	29	44	50	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	98%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	98%	97%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	76%	82%	#	#	0%
		rehensive Ital		_	•	
Number Tested	49	39	59	4	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	49	39	59	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	46	39	58	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	18	28	45	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	100%	98%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	37%	72%	76%	#	0%	#
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	12	16	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	12	16	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	11	16	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	14	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	88%	70%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	177	207	228	6	8	5
Number Scoring 55–100	175	207	227	6	8	5
Number Scoring 65–100	175	206	226	6	8	5
Number Scoring 85–100	139	148	184	3	4	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	79%	71%	81%	50%	50%	20%
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	1	0	3	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	387	370	46	19	26	6				
Number Scoring 55–100	366	304	28	19	18	3				
Number Scoring 65–100	350	282	24	16	18	3				
Number Scoring 85–100	191	126	2	5	4	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	82%	61%	100%	69%	50%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	76%	52%	84%	69%	50%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	34%	4%	26%	15%	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	10	100%	34	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	8	100%	14	100%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
<b>June 2004</b>	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	347	347	347	73	73	73	420	420	420
Number Scoring 55–64	0	0	0	12	2	3	12	2	3
Number Scoring 65–84	163	74	135	37	32	49	200	106	184
Number Scoring 85–100	178	266	211	19	30	20	197	296	231
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	2	Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			30			0				
Beginning (0–18)			6			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			5			0				
Advanced (32–36)			10			0				
Proficient (37–39)			9			0				
	Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)									
Number Tested			30			0				
Beginning (0–14)			7			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			5			0				
Advanced (25–32)			16			0				
Proficient (33–35)			2			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)