New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:	58-05-04-03-0004
Name:	Sayville High School
Principal:	Joseph Buderman

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	256	282	294
Tenth	242	251	277
Eleventh	262	242	251
Twelfth	250	250	248
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1010	1025	1070

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	36	3.6%	35	3.4%	45	4.2%
Black (Not Hispanic)	11	1.1%	10	1.0%	10	0.9%
Hispanic	27	2.7%	17	1.7%	19	1.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	936	92.7%	963	94.0%	996	93.1%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	27	26	0
Science Grade 8	26	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	22	23	23
Mathematics Grade 10	23	18	19
Science Grade 10	25	22	20
Social Studies Grade 10	22	23	22

(Form - A)

Sayville High School

58-05-04-03-0004

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
49	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Count Percent		Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	9	0.9%	5	0.5%	5	0.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	23	2.3%	23	2.2%	22	2.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	l -02	2002–03	
	No. of % of		No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		95.7%		95.1%
Student Suspensions	31	3.2%	64	6.3%	87	8.5%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.5%	1.9%	2.4%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	99%	100%	99%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	85
Total Other Professional Staff	12
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

ingn School Of addates Earning Regents Diplomas										
	2001–02			2002–03			2003–04			
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	188	136	72%	213	159	75%	210	174	83%	
Students with Disabilities	29	7	24%	31	5	16%	28	10	36%	
All Students	217	143	66%	244	164	67%	238	184	77%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	157	70	0	0	11	0
Percent	66%	29%	0%	0%	5%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
28	10	2	30

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		6		14	1.4%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	0.1%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7		6		15	1.5%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		5	3.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		3		5	3.9%
All	Dropped Out	7	0.7%	9	0.9%	19	1.7%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	7	0.7%	9	0.9%	20	1.8%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	881	893	954
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	129	132	116
9-12	Number of All Students	1010	1025	1070
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	15	67%	25	88%	14	79%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	3	#	11	82%	2	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	4	#	2	#	10	80%	
Science	3	#	0	0%	10	100%	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Global Studies	4	#	2	#	5	20%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	2	#	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	16	94%	21	86%	32	94%	
Science	18	100%	19	79%	22	77%	
Reading	1	#	9	89%	8	63%	
Writing	1	#	8	100%	13	69%	
Global Studies	7	86%	13	62%	11	64%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	90%	4	#	8	88%	

(Form - E)

	Regents	All Students		r	nts with Disa	hilities
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
		cehensive Eng		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	238	240	298	26	26	21
Number Scoring 55–100	226	226	289	23	20	13
Number Scoring 65–100	214	219	283	16	21	11
Number Scoring 85–100	125	133	197	1	3	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	94%	97%	88%	81%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	91%	95%	62%	81%	52%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	55%	66%	4%	12%	14%
referring of rested Scotting 05–100		athematics A	0070	470	1270	14/0
Number Tested	26	270	293	13	30	26
Number Scoring 55–100	13	242	292	7	20	26
Number Scoring 65–100	7	212	292	5	16	23
Number Scoring 85–100	0	49	142	0	0	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	50%	90%	100%	54%	67%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	27%	81%	97%	38%	53%	88%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	18%	48%	0%	0%	15%
refeelinge of rested Scoring 05 100		athematics B	4070	070	070	1570
Number Tested	0		162	0	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	154	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	145	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	52	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	95%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55 100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	90%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	32%	0%	0%	#
recentage of rested scoring 05 100		story and Geo		070	070	П
Number Tested	238	254	292	22	20	37
Number Scoring 55–100	224	236	266	18	13	25
Number Scoring 65–100	204	220	241	16	11	20
Number Scoring 85–100	76	102	111	0	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	93%	91%	82%	65%	68%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	87%	83%	73%	55%	54%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	40%	38%	0%	15%	3%
		ory and Gover		070	1070	570
Number Tested	246	248	237	29	29	21
Number Scoring 55–100	223	244	223	2)	28	15
Number Scoring 65–100	197	237	204	12	26	7
Number Scoring 85–100	72	144	119	0	7	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	98%	94%	72%	97%	71%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	96%	86%	41%	90%	33%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	58%	50%	0%	24%	14%
researce of restearce beering of 100	2770	5570	5070	070	2 T/U	(Form

(Form - F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		<u>g Environme</u>				
Number Tested	169	166	176	12	12	16
Number Scoring 55–100	167	166	176	12	12	16
Number Scoring 65–100	165	165	170	11	12	11
Number Scoring 85–100	53	41	70	3	3	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	99%	97%	92%	100%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	25%	40%	25%	25%	6%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	252	257	303	23	24	34
Number Scoring 55–100	245	244	291	20	16	29
Number Scoring 65–100	232	236	270	16	15	22
Number Scoring 85–100	99	104	91	3	4	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	95%	96%	87%	67%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	92%	92%	89%	70%	62%	65%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	39%	40%	30%	13%	17%	9%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	196	188	212	9	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	179	171	199	4	3	5
Number Scoring 65–100	137	141	158	1	2	1
Number Scoring 85–100	23	39	38	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	91%	94%	44%	50%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	70%	75%	75%	11%	33%	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	12%	21%	18%	0%	0%	0%
	Physics	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			58			0
Number Scoring 55–100			58			0
Number Scoring 65–100			55			0
Number Scoring 85–100			20			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			95%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			34%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities		
	2001-02	All Students	2003-04	2001-02	2001–02 2002–03	
	Compr	ehensive Fre	nch	•	L	
Number Tested	67	56	46	2	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	67	56	46	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	65	55	46	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	32	43	37	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	98%	100%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	77%	80%	#	0%	#
		rehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compre	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew	•	L	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
0	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	•	L	
Number Tested	147	114	152	0	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	145	114	152	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	145	114	151	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	111	84	116	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	99%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	76%	74%	76%	0%	#	#
<u> </u>	Comp	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	191	201	32	8	6	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	179	182	23	4	6	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	166	159	21	2	5	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	81	69	4	0	1	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	91%	72%	50%	100%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	87%	79%	66%	25%	83%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	34%	12%	0%	17%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
12	100%	15	100%	15	100%
3	#	6	100%	3	#
		8	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 12 100% 15 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 12 100% 15 100% 15

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	211	211	211	27	27	27	238	238	238
Number Scoring 55–64	12	2	1	4	2	3	16	4	4
Number Scoring 65–84	114	71	79	17	15	16	131	86	95
Number Scoring 85–100	77	131	125	0	7	4	77	138	129
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

Nev	w York State Eng		cond Langua							
		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)										
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)						
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)						
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

1 04 4 a 1 т 1. ANALAT Y

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)