New York State School Report Card **Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code:	58-09-09-02-0001
Name:	Bridgehampton School
Principal:	Vincent Tummimello

Grade Range :

PK-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	20	15	11
Kindergarten	12	10	12
First	15	7	7
Second	14	11	11
Third	12	13	13
Fourth	10	6	13
Fifth	12	11	8
Sixth	11	14	14
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	9	9	13
Eighth	9	10	13
Ninth	5	9	15
Tenth	10	5	11
Eleventh	17	10	9
Twelfth	12	13	11
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	148	128	150

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	80	54.1%	65	50.8%	74	49.3%
Hispanic	27	18.2%	35	27.3%	46	30.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	41	27.7%	28	21.9%	30	20.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	12	10	13
Common Branch	12	10	11
English Grade 8	9	9	10
Mathematics Grade 8	7	10	7
Science Grade 8	7	9	9
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	13
English Grade 10	9	7	7
Mathematics Grade 10	5	0	1
Science Grade 10	6	6	7
Social Studies Grade 10	0	5	7

(Form - A)

Bridgehampton School

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
	Count Percent		Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	12	7.1%	16	11.2%	20	12.4%
Eligible for Free Lunch	38	25.7%	45	35.2%	59	39.3%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01 No. of % of		2001	1–02	2002–03	
			No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		98.2%		96.7%		96.6%
Student Suspensions	15	9.8%	5	3.4%	23	18.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	5.4%	9.4%	19.3%
Public Assistance	21-30%	41-50%	41-50%
Student Stability	100%	100%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	37
Total Other Professional Staff	4
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

		2001-02		2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	8	4	50%	7	2	29%	9	2	22%
Students with Disabilities	5	0	0%	3	0	0%	3	0	0%
All Students	13	4	31%	10	2	20%	12	2	17%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	7	5	0	0	0	0
Percent	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	0	3

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		0		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		1	11.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		1	11.1%
All	Dropped Out	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	2.3%	0	0.0%	1	2.8%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	79%
2–3	0%	0%	108%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	19
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	1
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	20
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	95%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	32
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	5
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	37
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	93%
	Number of General-Education Students	33	27	31
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	11	10	12
9-12	Number of All Students	44	37	43
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	93%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	200	1-02	2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	4	#	3	#	3	#	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Spanish	4	#	2	#	5	80%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	2	#	1	#	
Science	4	#	2	#	0	0%	
Reading	3	#	1	#	1	#	
Writing	3	#	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	4	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	3	#	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Negenis					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Comp	rehensive Eng	lish		1	1
Number Tested	14	10	8	4	4	0
Number Scoring 55–100	11	9	8	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	8	6	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	2	1	4	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	79%	90%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	64%	80%	75%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	14%	10%	50%	#	#	0%
	Μ	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	6	13	0	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	5	12	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	5	10	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	3	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	83%	92%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	83%	77%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	23%	0%	#	#
	M	athematics B	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy	•	•	•
Number Tested	9	9	6	2	3	0
Number Scoring 55–100	8	6	5	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	5	5	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	2	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	67%	83%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	56%	83%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	0%	33%	#	#	0%
	U.S. Histo	ory and Gove	nment	•	•	•
Number Tested	17	10	6	4	3	0
Number Scoring 55–100	16	9	6	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	14	5	5	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	1	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	90%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	50%	83%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	10%	17%	#	#	0%

(Form – F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		g Environme		I		
Number Tested	7	8	6	3	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	7	7	6	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	7	6	6	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	0	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	88%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	75%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	14%	0%	0%	#	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	8	13	12	1	3	2
Number Scoring 55–100	7	12	8	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	7	10	7	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	3	1	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	88%	92%	67%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	77%	58%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	23%	8%	#	#	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	3	0	3	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Negents					1. 21242 -	
	0001 00	All Students			nts with Disa		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0	
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%	
N. 1		rehensive Ital		0		0	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Ger			I		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
		ehensive Heb		•	1		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	2	2	4	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	#	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	#	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	0%	0%	
	Comp	orehensive La	tin				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	•	•	•	-	•	(Form –	

(Form – H)

		All Students	-	Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04		
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	4	4	0	0	1	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	#	#	0	0	#	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	#	#	0	0	#	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	0	0	#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	#	0%	0%	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	#	0%	0%	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	#	0%	0%	#	0%		

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
1	#	5	100%	5	80%
0	0%	1	#	4	#
		No. Tested% Passing1#	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 1 # 5	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 1 # 5 100%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 1 # 5 100% 5

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	8	#	#	#	#
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	1	#	#	#	#
	All Students	9	11%	0%	67%	22%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	11	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	14	0%	57%	43%	0%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	6	6	6	4	4	4	10	10	10	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	3	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	6	3	7	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	1	1	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form – J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ing (Grade K–	1)		
Number Tested			2	,		0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)		•
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	4)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 2–4)			
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–	6)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	ng (Grade 5–6)			
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#	1	1	0

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	8)			
Number Tested			5			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0	
Advanced (32–36)			2			0	
Proficient (37–39)			2			0	
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8))			
Number Tested			5			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			2			0	
Advanced (25–32)			2			0	
Proficient (33–35)			1			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			4			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

1 0 a 1 т 1. .

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003-04.

(Form – L)