New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:59-08-01-04-0001Name:Eldred Junior-Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Scott Krebs

Grade Range : 7-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	58	66	75
Eighth	68	54	66
Ninth	58	79	63
Tenth	48	53	64
Eleventh	56	42	53
Twelfth	52	50	44
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	340	344	365

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	2	0.6%	3	0.9%	4	1.1%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.6%	3	0.9%	5	1.4%
Hispanic	7	2.1%	12	3.5%	13	3.6%
White (Not Hispanic)	329	96.8%	326	94.8%	343	94.0%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	23	0	22
Mathematics Grade 8	0	19	22
Science Grade 8	20	18	22
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	16	18	20
Mathematics Grade 10	13	19	18
Science Grade 10	0	0	21
Social Studies Grade 10	17	20	19

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002–03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	3	0.9%	1	0.3%	2	0.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	32	9.4%	32	9.3%	52	14.3%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1-02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.4%		94.2%		92.4%
Student Suspensions	38	10.8%	0	0.0%	46	13.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	6.8%	6.4%	14.0%
Public Assistance	11-20%	11-20%	11-20%
Student Stability	100%	100%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	30
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	7

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	47	23	49%	48	28	58%	39	23	59%	
Students with Disabilities	4	0	0%	2	0	0%	3	0	0%	
All Students	51	23	45%	50	28	56%	42	23	55%	

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	10	20	1	2	7	2
Percent	24%	48%	2%	5%	17%	5%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	1	4

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	8		1		7	3.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	1		2		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	9		3		7	3.5%
Students	Dropped Out	0		1		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		1		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	8	3.7%	2	0.9%	7	3.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	1	0.5%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	9	4.2%	4	1.8%	7	3.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	84	224	224
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	10	0	0
9-12	Number of All Students	94	224	224
	Percent of Enrollment	44%	100%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	19	79%	22	100%	18	89%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	27	63%	40	68%	31	68%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	3	#	3	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001-02		200	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	3	#	5	100%	8	88%	
Science	1	#	6	33%	5	40%	
Reading	7	100%	4	#	0	0%	
Writing	7	86%	4	#	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	3	#	1	#	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	2	#	7	100%	6	100%	
Science	3	#	3	#	5	60%	
Reading	4	#	3	#	7	86%	
Writing	4	#	3	#	7	100%	
Global Studies	1	#	2	#	2	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	2	#	2	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng		1	1	
Number Tested	53	52	61	1	4	8
Number Scoring 55–100	48	40	54	#	#	2
Number Scoring 65–100	36	34	43	#	#	2
Number Scoring 85–100	21	11	20	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	77%	89%	#	#	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	68%	65%	70%	#	#	25%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	21%	33%	#	#	0%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	1	61	75	1	2	9
Number Scoring 55–100	#	43	71	#	#	7
Number Scoring 65–100	#	38	60	#	#	4
Number Scoring 85–100	#	16	18	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	70%	95%	#	#	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	62%	80%	#	#	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	26%	24%	#	#	0%
	Μ	athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	11	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	27%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo	graphy		•	
Number Tested	47	53	63	4	7	5
Number Scoring 55–100	47	52	60	#	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	45	47	51	#	5	1
Number Scoring 85–100	15	21	21	#	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	95%	#	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	89%	81%	#	71%	20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	40%	33%	#	14%	0%
6		ory and Gove				
Number Tested	62	51	53	2	3	8
Number Scoring 55–100	56	47	48	#	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	46	40	39	#	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	12	9	24	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	92%	91%	#	#	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	78%	74%	#	#	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	18%	45%	#	#	0%

(Form – F)

	Regents	All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001–02 2002–03 2003–04			2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
		g Environme		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested	54	<u>59</u>	55	7	5	4
Number Scoring 55–100	54	57	54	7	4	#
Number Scoring 65–100	52	54	50	7	2	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	14	5	, 1	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	97%	98%	100%	80%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	92%	91%	100%	40%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	24%	9%	14%	0%	#
		etting/Earth		11/0	0,0	
Number Tested	58	50	45	3	5	1
Number Scoring 55–100	57	44	42	#	5	#
Number Scoring 65–100	52	39	41	#	3	#
Number Scoring 85–100	26	19	16	#	2	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	88%	93%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	78%	91%	#	60%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	38%	36%	#	40%	#
<u> </u>	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry	•	•	
Number Tested	5	11	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	10	19	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	6	18	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	1	3	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	91%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	55%	95%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	9%	16%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents				4 14 51	1 •1•4•
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	12	4	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	12	#	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	11	#	6	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	4	#	4	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	#	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	#	67%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man		•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	11	9	12	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	11	9	12	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	9	9	8	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	4	5	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	100%	67%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	44%	42%	#	0%	0%
		orehensive La			070	0,0
Number Tested		0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
recentage of residu Scotting 65–100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)		
Number Tested	22	27	2	0	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	19	17	#	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	17	13	#	0	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	4	#	0	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	86%	63%	#	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	77%	48%	#	0%	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	15%	#	0%	#	0%	

Introduction to Occupations Examination

2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
14	100%	15	87%	20	90%
1	#	2	#	2	#
	No. Tested	0	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	60	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	3	#	#	#	#
	All Students	63	0%	25%	56%	19%

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	42	42	42	1	1	1	43	43	43
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	3	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	28	26	24
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	14	8	17
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form – J)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	<u>B)</u>				
Number Tested			1			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
	Read	ing and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	I				
Number Tested			1			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			1			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12)				
Number Tested			1			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NVSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003-04.

(Form - L)