## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 59-12-01-04-0000

Name: Tri-Valley Central School District

Superintendent: Nancy S. George

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	55	53	50
Kindergarten	101	88	96
First	81	89	87
Second	92	88	92
Third	91	96	86
Fourth	87	91	98
Fifth	115	93	90
Sixth	111	116	88
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	103	110	121
Eighth	102	103	109
Ninth	107	107	103
Tenth	79	108	95
Eleventh	75	77	106
Twelfth	94	74	68
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1238	1240	1239

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	1-02 2002-03		2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	6	0.5%	13	1.0%	16	1.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	40	3.2%	48	3.9%	49	4.0%
Hispanic	42	3.4%	62	5.0%	68	5.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	1150	92.9%	1117	90.1%	1106	89.3%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	20	17	19						
Common Branch	19	20	19						
English Grade 8	18	19	22						
Mathematics Grade 8	17	19	20						
Science Grade 8	20	20	21						
Social Studies Grade 8	19	18	21						
English Grade 10	19	18	16						
Mathematics Grade 10	0	21	0						
Science Grade 10	19	20	23						
Social Studies Grade 10	16	18	22						

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001-02		2002-03		3–04
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	9	0.7%
Eligible for Free Lunch	225	18.2%	153	12.3%	151	12.2%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	2001–02		2-03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.8%		94.4%		93.7%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	68	5.7%	80	6.5%	88	7.1%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	10.5%	6.9%	6.9%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	116
Total Other Professional Staff	20
Total Paraprofessionals	45
Teaching Out of Certification*	5

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02			_	2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	75	59	79%	59	41	69%	68	47	69%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	
All Students	75	59	79%	60	41	68%	69	47	68%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	30	24	3	3	9	0
Percent	43%	35%	4%	4%	13%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
1	0	6	7

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	200	1–02	2002–03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	4	Ziii Vii	7	2311 011	5	1.5%
Education	Entered GED Program*	4		7		6	1.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8		14		11	3.2%
Students	Dropped Out	0		2		6	7.1%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		1		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		3		6	7.1%
All	Dropped Out	4	1.1%	9	2.5%	11	2.6%
Students	Entered GED Program*	4	1.1%	8	2.2%	6	1.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	8	2.3%	17	4.6%	17	4.0%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

## Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	94	79	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	21	15	0
4–3	Number of All Students	115	94	0
	Percent of Enrollment	57%	51%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
( 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	100	216	66
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	59	11
9–12	Number of All Students	100	275	77
	Percent of Enrollment	28%	75%	21%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Brogram	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program	8		
Completed and Passed Regents Exams	8	100%	77%
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More	8	100%	81%
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent	8	100%	96%
Completed and Whose Status is Known	8		
Completed and Were Successfully Placed	8	100%	96%
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			30%
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			19%

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	72	86%	91	100%	83	95%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Т.,4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	1	#	6	67%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	1	#	3	#	1	#	
Science	6	83%	0	0%	3	#	
Reading	7	100%	2	#	4	#	
Writing	7	86%	2	#	8	100%	
Global Studies	3	#	0	0%	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	1	#	4	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	29	86%	12	50%
Science	0	0%	9	33%	13	46%
Reading	0	0%	2	#	9	89%
Writing	0	0%	3	#	10	90%
Global Studies	0	0%	13	23%	17	29%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	8	25%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	Negents	LAum	mations	,		
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	75	71	96	0	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	68	64	96	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	63	54	87	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	29	29	46	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	90%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	76%	91%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	41%	48%	0%	#	#
		athematics A				
Number Tested	114	88	147	0	7	14
Number Scoring 55–100	86	73	140	0	2	9
Number Scoring 65–100	75	65	136	0	0	8
Number Scoring 85–100	27	24	48	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	75%	83%	95%	0%	29%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	66%	74%	93%	0%	0%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	24%	27%	33%	0%	0%	0%
1 ordering of 1 octor Storms of 100		athematics B	23,0	0,0	0,70	0,0
Number Tested	0	0	30	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	29	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	14	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	97%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	47%	0%	0%	0%
	Global His	story and Geo				
Number Tested	74	96	86	0	8	13
Number Scoring 55–100	71	94	78	0	7	5
Number Scoring 65–100	61	92	73	0	7	4
Number Scoring 85–100	15	28	14	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	98%	91%	0%	88%	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	82%	96%	85%	0%	88%	31%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	29%	16%	0%	0%	0%
		ory and Gover				
Number Tested	67	67	89	0	1	3
Number Scoring 55–100	67	66	84	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	66	64	82	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	30	37	62	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	94%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	96%	92%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	45%	55%	70%	0%	#	#
	, ,	1 22/0	, 0, 0			

 $\frac{\pi}{(\text{Form} - \text{F})}$ 

		All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	87	98	102	0	10	20			
Number Scoring 55–100	87	97	91	0	9	13			
Number Scoring 65–100	84	89	74	0	6	7			
Number Scoring 85–100	27	25	12	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	89%	0%	90%	65%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	91%	73%	0%	60%	35%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	26%	12%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	58	64	64	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	53	63	63	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	52	63	62	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	24	36	34	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	98%	98%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	98%	97%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	56%	53%	0%	0%	0%			
		Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	47	31	44	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	44	29	44	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	38	29	43	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	5	3	4	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	94%	100%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	94%	98%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	10%	9%	0%	0%	0%			
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			16			0			
Number Scoring 55–100			15			0			
Number Scoring 65–100			13			0			
Number Scoring 85–100			1			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			94%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			81%			0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			6%			0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Fre				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	•	•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish		J.	l
Number Tested	40	52	48	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	40	52	48	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	40	52	48	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	34	38	34	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	85%	73%	71%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	47	56	9	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	45	53	8	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	42	51	8	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	19	21	0	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	89%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	91%	89%	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	38%	0%	0%	0%	0%			

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	76	99%	13	100%	79	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	74	5%	12%	61%	22%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	15	47%	0%	53%	0%
	All Students	89	12%	10%	60%	18%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	89	0%	19%	63%	18%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	17	12%	65%	24%	0%
	All Students	106	2%	26%	57%	15%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students							
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 3	Level 4					
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	72	72	72	12	12	12	84	84	84
Number Scoring 55–64	3	1	3	0	0	1	3	1	4
Number Scoring 65–84	42	20	36	1	1	2	43	21	38
Number Scoring 85–100	15	38	26	0	0	0	15	38	26
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities							
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade K–1)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	ł)						
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			1			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>)</u>						
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			0			0				
Proficient (37–39)			0			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			0			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			0			0				
Proficient (33–35)			0			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students		Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)	I	I	
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)	)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)			
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)