New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:62-16-01-06-0007Name:Saugerties Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Timothy Price

Grade Range : 9-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	283	318	300
Tenth	279	269	277
Eleventh	246	249	253
Twelfth	234	243	235
Ungraded Secondary	20	22	9
Total K-12 Enrollment	1062	1101	1074

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	8	0.8%	17	1.5%	19	1.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	29	2.7%	33	3.0%	25	2.3%
Hispanic	13	1.2%	23	2.1%	26	2.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	1012	95.3%	1028	93.4%	1004	93.5%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	23	23	24
Mathematics Grade 10	22	20	23
Science Grade 10	26	23	25
Social Studies Grade 10	20	21	25

(Form - A)

Saugerties Senior High School

62-16-01-06-0007 03/08/05

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	8	0.8%	6	0.5%	6	0.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	154	14.5%	87	7.9%	75	7.0%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	2001-02		2–03
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		93.4%		94.1%		85.9%
Student Suspensions	102	9.5%	91	8.6%	92	8.4%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	7.3%	4.6%	6.2%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	100%	98%	100%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	58
Total Other Professional Staff	9
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	1

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001–02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	188	139	74%	191	146	76%	200	140	70%
Students with Disabilities	2	0	0%	7	1	14%	9	2	22%
All Students	190	139	73%	198	147	74%	209	142	68%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	71	88	4	13	20	13
Percent	34%	42%	2%	6%	10%	6%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
9	2	12	21

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	37	2111 0111	44		47	4.7%
Education	Entered GED Program*	3		8		6	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	40		52		53	5.4%
Students	Dropped Out	2		5		8	8.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		2		3	3.2%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		7		11	11.7%
All	Dropped Out	39	3.7%	49	4.5%	55	5.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	3	0.3%	10	0.9%	9	0.8%
Students	Total Noncompleters	42	4.0%	59	5.4%	64	5.9%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	778	894
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	86	106
9-12	Number of All Students	0	864	1000
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	78%	93%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	66	98%	178	80%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	4	#	199	86%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	5	40%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	10	60%	4	#	0	0%
Science	3	#	4	#	192	81%
Reading	3	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	4	#	0	0%	209	86%
Global Studies	2	#	0	0%	1	#
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	1	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	35	49%	21	52%	24	54%	
Science	18	39%	14	50%	40	60%	
Reading	4	#	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	6	50%	3	#	16	38%	
Global Studies	14	29%	7	14%	4	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	3	#	8	50%	0	0%	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng	glish		1	T
Number Tested	204	225	175	6	15	1
Number Scoring 55–100	192	203	166	3	9	#
Number Scoring 65–100	176	177	156	2	6	#
Number Scoring 85–100	78	49	65	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	90%	95%	50%	60%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	79%	89%	33%	40%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	38%	22%	37%	0%	0%	#
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	18	309	267	0	14	7
Number Scoring 55–100	16	228	253	0	4	4
Number Scoring 65–100	9	186	232	0	2	3
Number Scoring 85–100	1	25	40	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	74%	95%	0%	29%	57%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	50%	60%	87%	0%	14%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	8%	15%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics B				
Number Tested	0	0	98	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	83	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	60	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	6	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	85%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	61%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		0,0	0,0	.,.
Number Tested	245	254	297	21	20	28
Number Scoring 55–100	222	208	244	16	7	8
Number Scoring 65–100	207	188	206	12	7	5
Number Scoring 85–100	49	61	74	2	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	82%	82%	76%	35%	29%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	74%	69%	57%	35%	18%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	24%	25%	10%	0%	4%
		ory and Gove		1070	070	170
Number Tested	234	222	234	7	16	12
Number Scoring 55–100	220	208	211	3	10	9
Number Scoring 65–100	196	198	187	2	10	5
Number Scoring 85–100	59	77	90	0	2	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	94%	90%	43%	<u> </u>	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	89%	80%	29%	62%	42%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	25%	35%	38%	0%	12%	42% 0%
reneared residu scoring 83–100	2370	5570	3070	070	1270	(E.e.wa

(Form – F)

	Regents			1		
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Number Tested		g Environme		5	1	2
Number Tested	196	189	203	5 5	1 #	3
Number Scoring 55–100	194	186	195	5	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	193	179	193		#	
Number Scoring 85–100	56	53	61	1		#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	98%	96%	100%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	95%	95%	100%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	28%	30%	20%	#	#
		etting/Earth		0	16	
Number Tested	212	271	165	8	16	4
Number Scoring 55–100	205	226	158	6	8	#
Number Scoring 65–100	189	197	144	5	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	47	80	40	1	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	83%	96%	75%	50%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	89%	73%	87%	62%	31%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	30%	24%	12%	0%	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	108	118	137	0	3	1
Number Scoring 55–100	106	112	128	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	89	93	97	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	10	15	13	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55-100	98%	95%	93%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	82%	79%	71%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	13%	9%	0%	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			124			10
Number Scoring 55–100			105			5
Number Scoring 65–100			91			2
Number Scoring 85–100			26			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			85%			50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			73%			20%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			21%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents				nta with Di	L:1:4:~~
	2001 02	All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
M. ash as Trackel		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	36	47	23	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	33	47	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	33	44	22	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	7	27	13	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	100%	96%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	94% 57%	96% 57%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	rehensive Ital		0%	0%	0%
Noushou Texted				0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0		0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Heb		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Spa		0	0	0
Number Tested	93	59	69 69	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	2	58	69 69	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	88	56	69	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	31	30	42	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	98%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	95%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	51%	61%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive La		0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0% (Form –

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)	
Number Tested	95	108	1	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	93	97	#	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	89	95	#	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	41	44	#	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	90%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	94%	88%	#	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	43%	41%	#	0%	#	0%

Introduction to Occupations Examination

200	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
0	0%	13	92%	76	87%
0	0%	7	86%	11	55%
		0 0%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 13	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing 0 0% 13 92%	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested 0 0% 13 92% 76

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	226	226	226	9	9	9	235	235	235
Number Scoring 55–64	11	11	12	2	0	0	13	11	12
Number Scoring 65–84	133	108	118	4	3	2	137	111	120
Number Scoring 85–100	45	73	70	0	0	1	45	73	71
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)

ne inc		All Students	John Langua	ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8))			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)			
Number Tested			7			1	
Beginning (0–18)			0			#	
Intermediate (19–31)			5			#	
Advanced (32–36)			2			#	
Proficient (37–39)			0			#	
· · · · ·	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12				
Number Tested			7			1	
Beginning (0–14)			2			#	
Intermediate (15–24)			4			#	
Advanced (25–32)			1			#	
Proficient (33–35)			0			#	

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NVSESLAT)*

*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003-04.

(Form - L)