New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:	63-01-01-04-0001
Name:	Bolton Central School
Principal:	James Donahue

Grade Range : K-12

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	13	19	15
First	19	18	21
Second	23	17	19
Third	22	25	17
Fourth	22	23	25
Fifth	26	22	24
Sixth	29	26	28
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	20	28	25
Eighth	23	23	26
Ninth	21	23	25
Tenth	22	19	24
Eleventh	19	23	22
Twelfth	14	21	24
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	273	287	295

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	3	1.1%	5	1.7%	1	0.3%
Black (Not Hispanic)	3	1.1%	5	1.7%	6	2.0%
Hispanic	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
White (Not Hispanic)	266	97.4%	277	96.5%	288	97.6%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04
Kindergarten	13	19	15
Common Branch	23	21	22
English Grade 8	12	11	12
Mathematics Grade 8	9	11	11
Science Grade 8	23	12	13
Social Studies Grade 8	12	11	12
English Grade 10	11	10	12
Mathematics Grade 10	0	6	7
Science Grade 10	11	10	11
Social Studies Grade 10	11	12	12

(Form - A)

Bolton Central School

63-01-01-04-0001 03/08/05

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
64	All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02 Count Percent		2002–03		2003-04	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	0 0.0%		0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	28	10.3%	29	10.1%	29	9.8%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01 No. of % of		2001	1–02	2002–03	
			No. of % of		No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.5%		95.6%		95.8%
Student Suspensions	4	1.5%	3	1.1%	6	2.1%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
Reduced Lunch	4.0%	5.9%	7.8%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	100%	100%	92%

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	33
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

	2001-02			2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas
General Education	11	11	100%	18	16	89%	20	15	75%
Students with Disabilities	1	0	0%	1	0	0%	0	0	0%
All Students	12	11	92%	19	16	84%	20	15	75%

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	11	8	1	0	0	0
Percent	55%	40%	5%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
0	0	0	0

*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	•	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		1		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		1		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		1	14.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		1	14.3%
All	Dropped Out	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	0	0.0%
All Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	1.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	1.3%	1	1.2%	1	1.0%

*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	95%	61%
2–3	0%	100%	100%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	38	48
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	7	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	45	48
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	98%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	67	79
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	10	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	77	79
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	81	85
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	2	10
9-12	Number of All Students	0	83	95
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	97%	100%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	200	1-02	2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	9	89%	12	75%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	11	100%	13	85%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001	2001–02		2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003–04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	

(Form – E)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng		ſ	1	1
Number Tested	19	23	21	0	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	19	21	18	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	18	20	18	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	11	8	6	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	91%	86%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	87%	86%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	35%	29%	0%	#	#
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	36	41	0	1	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	33	39	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	31	36	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	5	17	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	92%	95%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	86%	88%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	14%	41%	0%	#	#
	M	athematics B			•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	20	20	23	1	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	20	20	22	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	18	15	21	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	4	8	9	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	96%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	75%	91%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	20%	40%	39%	#	#	#
refeelinge of rested Scoring 05 100		ory and Gove		11	п	П
Number Tested	23	22	20	1	2	2
Number Scoring 55–100	23	21	16	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	19	10	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100 Number Scoring 85–100	10	6	10	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	95%	80%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	86%	75%	#	#	#
	43%	27%	75% 50%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	43%	21%	30%	#	#	(Eerm

(Form – F)

		All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	19	19	21	1	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	19	19	21	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	19	18	21	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	10	8	13	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	95%	100%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	42%	62%	#	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	20	0	26	2	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	19	0	21	#	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	18	0	20	#	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	7	0	8	#	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	0%	81%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	0%	77%	#	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	35%	0%	31%	#	0%	#
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	18	15	14	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	17	14	13	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	13	11	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	2	2	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	93%	93%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	73%	71%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	13%	14%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			7			0
Number Scoring 55–100			7			0
Number Scoring 65–100			7			0
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			29%			0%

* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Negents					1 .11.4.	
		All Students			nts with Disa		
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
		rehensive Fre			0	0	
Number Tested	10	8	14	1	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	9	8	14	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	8	8	13	#	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	3	6	#	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	100%	100%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	100%	93%	#	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	10%	38%	43%	#	0%	0%	
		rehensive Ital			1	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Ger			•	1	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Сотр	ehensive Heb	orew				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compi	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	18	8	13	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	17	7	12	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	15	5	10	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	3	1	3	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	88%	92%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	62%	77%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	12%	23%	0%	0%	0%	
C	Com	orehensive La					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	0,0	- / 0	- / 0		- / 0	(Form –	

(Form – H)

		All Students		Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)										
Number Tested	19	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	12	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	8	0	0	0	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	0	0	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	63%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	42%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	16	100%	20	90%	23	91%	
Students with Disabilities	2	#	1	#	2	#	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	23	0%	9%	52%	39%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	23	0%	9%	52%	39%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	23	#	#	#	#
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	2	#	#	#	#
	All Students	25	4%	60%	32%	4%

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

			Count of Students							
Test	Tested Not Tested Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Lev									
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students			
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	
Cohort Enrollment	23	23	23	1	1	1	24	24	24	
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	2	2	0	
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	14	12	11	
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	4	6	10	
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0	

(Form – J)