# **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 63-07-01-04-0003 Grade Range: 7-12

Name: Lake George Junior-Senior High School

Principal: David Eagle

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	83	98	89
Eighth	94	90	107
Ninth	100	97	90
Tenth	86	100	96
Eleventh	76	76	93
Twelfth	85	78	78
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	524	539	553

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	1–02	2002-03		2003	3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	9	1.7%	10	1.9%	14	2.5%
Black (Not Hispanic)	2	0.4%	3	0.6%	2	0.4%
Hispanic	5	1.0%	7	1.3%	10	1.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	508	96.9%	519	96.3%	527	95.3%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	18	16	20
Mathematics Grade 8	17	17	21
Science Grade 8	18	11	20
Social Studies Grade 8	18	18	21
English Grade 10	17	18	18
Mathematics Grade 10	17	0	20
Science Grade 10	13	16	17
Social Studies Grade 10	16	18	18

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
54	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Eligible for Free Lunch	31	5.9%	36	6.7%	38	6.9%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.6%		95.8%		96.2%
<b>Student Suspensions</b>	15	2.8%	24	4.6%	19	3.5%

# **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	3.4%	4.1%	4.0%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	96%	94%	99%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	52
Total Other Professional Staff	6
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	62	43	69%	70	52	74%	67	43	64%	
Students with Disabilities	10	1	10%	11	3	27%	10	1	10%	
All Students	72	44	61%	81	55	68%	77	44	57%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	32	35	0	1	9	0
Percent	42%	45%	0%	1%	12%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
10	1	2	12

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		200	2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	2		1		3	1.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		5		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		6		4	1.4%
Students	Dropped Out	0		3		1	1.5%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		4		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		7		1	1.5%
All	Dropped Out	2	0.6%	4	1.1%	4	1.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	9	2.6%	1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2	0.6%	13	3.7%	5	1.4%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	96
( 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	11
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	107
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	55%
	Number of General-Education Students	281	257	287
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	66	94	70
9–12	Number of All Students	347	351	357
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	43	100%	28	86%	44	93%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	31	100%	41	98%	47	89%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Т4	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	5	80%	3	#	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	3	#	4	#	1	#

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	5	80%	2	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	3	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	4	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	7	100%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	2	#	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	3	#	0	0%	

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	regents		1100010110			
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	83	83	88	12	10	8
Number Scoring 55–100	79	79	88	12	9	8
Number Scoring 65–100	70	74	86	6	7	6
Number Scoring 85–100	35	36	52	0	1	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	95%	100%	100%	90%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	89%	98%	50%	70%	75%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	43%	59%	0%	10%	12%
	M	athematics A			•	
Number Tested	53	94	126	4	12	13
Number Scoring 55–100	46	77	126	#	7	13
Number Scoring 65–100	38	66	125	#	5	13
Number Scoring 85–100	17	16	60	#	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	87%	82%	100%	#	58%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	72%	70%	99%	#	42%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	32%	17%	48%	#	8%	23%
		athematics B	1979		0,74	
Number Tested	0	35	42	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	0	34	41	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	30	38	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	11	23	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	97%	98%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	86%	90%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	31%	55%	0%	#	#
		story and Geo			l .	1
Number Tested	73	87	91	12	11	12
Number Scoring 55–100	71	85	91	10	9	12
Number Scoring 65–100	64	81	90	7	8	12
Number Scoring 85–100	20	38	58	1	0	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	100%	83%	82%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	93%	99%	58%	73%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	44%	64%	8%	0%	33%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment			
Number Tested	88	78	91	12	10	8
Number Scoring 55–100	86	77	91	12	9	8
Number Scoring 65–100	77	73	91	9	7	8
Number Scoring 85–100	42	46	60	0	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	99%	100%	100%	90%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	94%	100%	75%	70%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	59%	66%	0%	20%	38%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	68	65	90	10	5	7
Number Scoring 55–100	68	65	90	10	5	7
Number Scoring 65–100	68	65	88	10	5	7
Number Scoring 85–100	27	29	41	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	45%	46%	0%	0%	14%
	Physical S	etting/Earth (	Science			
Number Tested	85	99	84	10	9	16
Number Scoring 55–100	84	99	83	10	9	16
Number Scoring 65–100	78	97	77	8	8	13
Number Scoring 85–100	33	63	41	1	4	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	98%	92%	80%	89%	81%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	39%	64%	49%	10%	44%	31%
		Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	53	61	45	0	3	0
Number Scoring 55–100	48	58	44	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	42	40	33	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	9	10	11	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	91%	95%	98%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	66%	73%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	16%	24%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			20			0
Number Scoring 55–100			19			0
Number Scoring 65–100			16			0
Number Scoring 85–100			2			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			95%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			80%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			10%	4 11	41 D	0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents						
		All Students			nts with Disa		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
		rehensive Fre			1	1	
Number Tested	17	25	22	1	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	17	25	22	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	15	24	22	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	1	19	13	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	88%	96%	100%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	6%	76%	59%	#	#	0%	
		rehensive Ita				_	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew				
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish				
Number Tested	13	17	24	1	1	1	
Number Scoring 55–100	13	17	24	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 65–100	13	16	23	#	#	#	
Number Scoring 85–100	5	10	15	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	94%	96%	#	#	#	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	38%	59%	62%	#	#	#	
	Comp	rehensive La	tin	_			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

(Form – H)

		All Students			Students with Disabilities				
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	66	1	1	3	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	63	#	#	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	62	#	#	#	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	32	#	#	#	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	#	#	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	#	#	#	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	#	#	#	0%	0%			

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	6	100%	27	96%	24	100%	
Students with Disabilities	2	#	7	100%	6	100%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	86	0%	31%	62%	7%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	15	7%	60%	33%	0%
	All Students	101	1%	36%	57%	6%

 $\overline{(Form - I)}$ 

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary I	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	66	66	66	13	13	13	79	79	79
Number Scoring 55–64	4	1	4	2	2	3	6	3	7
Number Scoring 65–84	34	21	29	6	4	6	40	25	35
Number Scoring 85–100	18	41	28	0	3	0	18	44	28
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	W TOTK State En	All Students	<u> </u>	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04		
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–8	3)	I	I		
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–18)			0			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0		
Advanced (32–36)			0			0		
Proficient (37–39)			0			0		
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)					
Number Tested			0			0		
Beginning (0–14)			0			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0		
Advanced (25–32)			0			0		
Proficient (33–35)			0			0		
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)				
Number Tested			1			0		
Beginning (0–18)			#			0		
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0		
Advanced (32–36)			#			0		
Proficient (37–39)			#			0		
Reading and Writing (Grade 9–12)								
Number Tested			1			0		
Beginning (0–14)			#			0		
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0		
Advanced (25–32)			#			0		
Proficient (33–35)			#			0		

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)