# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:64-08-01-04-0002Name:Greenwich Junior-Senior High SchoolPrincipal:Matthias Donnelly

Grade Range : 7-12

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	82	101	114
Eighth	108	86	103
Ninth	124	106	85
Tenth	90	122	107
Eleventh	105	84	123
Twelfth	104	103	86
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	2
Total K-12 Enrollment	613	602	620

#### **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	200	2001–02		2–03	2003–04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	6	1.0%	5	0.8%	10	1.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	4	0.7%	1	0.2%	1	0.2%
Hispanic	0	0.0%	1	0.2%	5	0.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	603	98.4%	595	98.8%	604	97.4%

#### **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	21	16	19
Mathematics Grade 8	21	17	18
Science Grade 8	0	20	20
Social Studies Grade 8	21	20	20
English Grade 10	17	24	20
Mathematics Grade 10	0	26	20
Science Grade 10	0	0	22
Social Studies Grade 10	18	25	19

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
50	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

#### Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002–03		2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	3	0.5%
Eligible for Free Lunch	40	6.5%	42	7.0%	50	8.1%

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		94.2%		95.1%		95.0%
Student Suspensions	48	7.8%	79	12.9%	40	6.6%

### **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	3.6%	2.7%	3.6%
Public Assistance	11-20%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	95%	97%	95%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	54
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

Ingii School	2001–02				2002–03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	87	60	69%	75	57	76%	69	54	78%	
Students with Disabilities	8	1	12%	12	3	25%	8	0	0%	
All Students	95	61	64%	87	60	69%	77	54	70%	

### High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	35	26	4	2	10	0
Percent	45%	34%	5%	3%	13%	0%

#### Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
8	0	1	9

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

#### **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2–03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	5		4		4	1.1%
Education	Entered GED Program*	6		3		1	0.3%
Students	Total Noncompleters	11		7		5	1.4%
Students	Dropped Out	1		3		2	3.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	1		1		2	3.6%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		4		4	7.3%
All	Dropped Out	6	1.4%	7	1.7%	6	1.5%
Students	Entered GED Program*	7	1.7%	4	1.0%	3	0.7%
Stutellts	Total Noncompleters	13	3.1%	11	2.7%	9	2.2%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and <u>Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3</u>

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

### Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades		2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4 5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	96	0	183
( )	Number of Students with Disabilities	12	0	34
6–8	Number of All Students	108	0	217
	Percent of Enrollment	57%	0%	100%
	Number of General-Education Students	365	0	346
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	56	0	57
9–12	Number of All Students	421	0	403
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	0%	100%

### **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# Second Language Proficiency Examinations

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	39	90%	22	86%	25	88%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	56	95%	53	100%	79	94%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	1	#	2	#	3	#	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001-02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	3	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	0	0%	0	0%	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001-02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	11	91%	6	100%	13	62%	
Science	5	60%	6	83%	1	#	
Reading	4	#	7	100%	13	62%	
Writing	3	#	0	0%	19	89%	
Global Studies	3	#	5	100%	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	2	#	2	#	

(Form - E)

	Regents			r		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng		1		
Number Tested	101	98	139	11	14	10
Number Scoring 55–100	81	74	129	6	5	3
Number Scoring 65–100	59	56	109	1	2	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	8	53	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	80%	76%	93%	55%	36%	30%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	58%	57%	78%	9%	14%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	17%	8%	38%	0%	0%	0%
		athematics A		-		
Number Tested	17	111	123	4	3	8
Number Scoring 55–100	4	92	120	#	#	5
Number Scoring 65–100	3	75	114	#	#	3
Number Scoring 85–100	0	28	31	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	24%	83%	98%	#	#	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	18%	68%	93%	#	#	38%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	25%	25%	#	#	0%
	M	athematics <b>B</b>	•		•	•
Number Tested	0	0	71	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	55	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	48	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	10	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	77%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	68%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	14%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	83	117	105	9	8	10
Number Scoring 55–100	77	116	99	6	8	9
Number Scoring 65–100	69	111	95	2	8	8
Number Scoring 85–100	24	53	44	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	99%	94%	67%	100%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	95%	90%	22%	100%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	45%	42%	0%	0%	10%
<u> </u>		ry and Gove	rnment			
Number Tested	123	91	112	14	12	11
Number Scoring 55–100	116	89	110	13	10	9
Number Scoring 65–100	103	87	108	10	10	7
Number Scoring 85–100	26	45	62	1	1	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	98%	98%	93%	83%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	84%	96%	96%	71%	83%	64%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	49%	55%	7%	8%	0%
recentinge of reside beofing 05-100	21/0		5570	//0	070	(Earma

(Form - F)

	Regents			0		
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		<u>g Environme</u>	1	1	1	
Number Tested	81	159	74	5	3	9
Number Scoring 55–100	81	157	73	5	#	9
Number Scoring 65–100	80	153	67	5	#	7
Number Scoring 85–100	19	34	12	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	99%	100%	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	96%	91%	100%	#	78%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	21%	16%	0%	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	97	40	83	9	5	5
Number Scoring 55–100	89	35	76	5	4	4
Number Scoring 65–100	76	34	66	2	3	2
Number Scoring 85–100	26	10	31	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	92%	88%	92%	56%	80%	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	85%	80%	22%	60%	40%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	27%	25%	37%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	35	46	84	1	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	33	42	81	#	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	26	39	68	#	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	3	2	17	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	91%	96%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	74%	85%	81%	#	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	9%	4%	20%	#	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			6			0
Number Scoring 55–100			6			0
Number Scoring 65–100			4			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			67%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents				/ •/I TS •	1 •1• / •
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre		0	0	0
Number Tested	17	25	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	17	25	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	17	25	24	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	12	21	16	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	71%	84%	67%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita				-
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		ehensive Ger	man	•	1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	41	53	40	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	38	52	40	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	38	51	40	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	26	36	33	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	93%	98%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	96%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	63%	68%	82%	0%	0%	#
		orehensive La		-	•	•
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
			- , -		- / -	(Form –

(Form - H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	54	57	2	2	0	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	48	54	#	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	42	51	#	#	0	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	26	30	#	#	0	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	89%	95%	#	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	78%	89%	#	#	0%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	48%	53%	#	#	0%	0%				

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
10	90%	8	100%	30	93%
4	#	2	#	10	70%
		No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing	No. Tested % Passing No. Tested % Passing No. Tested   10 90% 8 100% 30

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

### **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

### **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	93	1%	17%	63%	18%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	14	7%	50%	43%	0%
	All Students	107	2%	21%	61%	16%

(Form – I)

### New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
		Elementary	Level	•					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Middle Le	evel						
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary I	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

### 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	<b>General-Education Students</b>			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	75	75	75	11	11	11	86	86	86
Number Scoring 55–64	1	0	3	3	0	3	4	0	6
Number Scoring 65–84	41	27	43	2	8	2	43	35	45
Number Scoring 85–100	25	42	24	0	0	0	25	42	24
Approved Alternatives	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

(Form – J)

		All Students	Jona Dangua	ge Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	B)			
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–18)			0			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0	
Advanced (32–36)			0			0	
Proficient (37–39)			0			0	
	Read	ing and Writi	ng (Grade 7–8)				
Number Tested			0			0	
Beginning (0–14)			0			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0	
Advanced (25–32)			0			0	
Proficient (33–35)			0			0	
· · · · · ·	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		•	
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–18)			#			0	
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0	
Advanced (32–36)			#			0	
Proficient (37–39)			#			0	
· · · · ·	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)		•	
Number Tested			2			0	
Beginning (0–14)			#			0	
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0	
Advanced (25–32)			#			0	
Proficient (33–35)			#			0	

#### **x**7 1 04 4 Г 1.1 C 1 т A 1 . . **T** 4 ATTACE ATTA

\*The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)