## **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 66-04-04-03-0003 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Hastings High School

Principal: Thomas Fazio

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	142	129	130
Tenth	128	144	120
Eleventh	105	133	137
Twelfth	78	102	129
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	453	508	516

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	200	01-02 2002-		-03 200		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	28	6.2%	20	3.9%	25	4.8%
Black (Not Hispanic)	19	4.2%	20	3.9%	22	4.3%
Hispanic	24	5.3%	25	4.9%	23	4.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	382	84.3%	443	87.2%	446	86.4%

Average Class Size

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	18	22	17
Mathematics Grade 10	13	24	20
Science Grade 10	0	28	22
Social Studies Grade 10	19	21	16

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
O	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
53	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
<b>Limited English Proficient</b>	7	1.6%	10	2.0%	8	1.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	8	1.8%	11	2.2%	10	1.9%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

•	2000–01 No. of % of		200	1–02	2002–03	
			No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
<b>Annual Attendance Rate</b>		96.9%		97.2%		96.7%
Student Suspensions	14	3.5%	15	3.3%	14	2.8%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators** (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%
Student Stability	97%	75%	98%

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	45
Total Other Professional Staff	5
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	6

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

### **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

**High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*** 

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	74	57	77%	96	77	80%	111	111	100%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	2	2	100%	
All Students	74	57	77%	96	77	80%	113	113	100%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	99	2	0	1	1	10
Percent	88%	2%	0%	1%	1%	9%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003-04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
2	2	1	3

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	_	200	1–02	200	2–03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	1		1		1	0.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1		1		1	0.2%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		1	2.6%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		1	2.6%
All	Dropped Out	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	1	0.2%	1	0.2%	2	0.4%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

#### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–3	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	421	465	471
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	32	42	44
9-14	Number of All Students	453	507	515
	Percent of Enrollment	100%	100%	100%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTE Dugguer	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

## **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

To #4	2001–02		200	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	3	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

statents with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#			
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	2	#			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$ 

	Negents	LAAIIII	Hations	,		
		All Students	3	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	98	132	141	0	0	12
Number Scoring 55–100	97	128	136	0	0	8
Number Scoring 65–100	97	125	133	0	0	6
Number Scoring 85–100	79	106	109	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	96%	0%	0%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	95%	94%	0%	0%	50%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	81%	80%	77%	0%	0%	17%
8		athematics A				.1
Number Tested	92	123	149	0	8	7
Number Scoring 55–100	87	115	147	0	8	6
Number Scoring 65–100	79	97	143	0	7	6
Number Scoring 85–100	50	25	71	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	93%	99%	0%	100%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	79%	96%	0%	88%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	54%	20%	48%	0%	0%	14%
1 ordering of 1 october 2 ordering of 100		athematics B	1070	070	070	1170
Number Tested	0	112	128	0	0	5
Number Scoring 55–100	0	109	119	0	0	3
Number Scoring 65–100	0	101	107	0	0	3
Number Scoring 85–100	0	41	37	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	97%	93%	0%	0%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	90%	84%	0%	0%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	37%	29%	0%	0%	20%
		story and Geo				-II
Number Tested	128	139	129	0	0	13
Number Scoring 55–100	124	135	125	0	0	11
Number Scoring 65–100	123	133	122	0	0	11
Number Scoring 85–100	74	87	84	0	0	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	97%	97%	0%	0%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	96%	95%	0%	0%	85%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	63%	65%	0%	0%	23%
		ory and Gover				.1
Number Tested	98	128	136	0	0	13
Number Scoring 55–100	98	128	133	0	0	10
Number Scoring 65–100	97	126	129	0	0	8
Number Scoring 85–100	50	92	86	0	0	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	0%	77%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	98%	95%	0%	0%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	72%	63%	0%	0%	8%
	0 2 7 0		00,0	U / U	0,0	

 $\frac{670}{(Form - F)}$ 

		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	ent			
Number Tested	139	134	137	0	1	10
Number Scoring 55–100	138	131	136	0	#	9
Number Scoring 65–100	137	126	132	0	#	8
Number Scoring 85–100	68	72	71	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	98%	99%	0%	#	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	94%	96%	0%	#	80%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	54%	52%	0%	#	0%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	55	57	55	0	9	6
Number Scoring 55–100	55	56	55	0	9	6
Number Scoring 65–100	54	55	55	0	9	6
Number Scoring 85–100	37	33	24	0	5	1
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	96%	100%	0%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	58%	44%	0%	56%	17%
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry			
Number Tested	119	122	127	0	0	6
Number Scoring 55–100	114	118	118	0	0	4
Number Scoring 65–100	94	97	111	0	0	4
Number Scoring 85–100	21	19	24	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	93%	0%	0%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	79%	80%	87%	0%	0%	67%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	18%	16%	19%	0%	0%	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy		_	_	
Number Tested			30			0
Number Scoring 55–100			28			0
Number Scoring 65–100			22			0
Number Scoring 85–100			5			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			93%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			73%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			17%			0%

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre	1		1	1
Number Tested	29	54	41	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	29	54	41	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	29	54	41	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	19	35	35	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	66%	65%	85%	0%	0%	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ital		_	•	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	70	71	66	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	70	71	65	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	70	71	65	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	51	55	57	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	98%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	73%	77%	86%	0%	0%	#
Telechage of Tested Scoring 05 100		rehensive La		070	0 70	"
Number Tested	0	14	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	14	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	14	7	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	13	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	93%	100%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities					
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04			
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)								
Number Tested	139	60	1	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 55–100	137	57	#	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 65–100	135	55	#	0	0	0			
Number Scoring 85–100	108	47	#	0	0	0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	95%	#	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	92%	#	0%	0%	0%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	78%	78%	#	0%	0%	0%			

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2-03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students									
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
		Secondary 1	Level							
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

## 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	117	117	117	4	4	4	121	121	121
Number Scoring 55–64	#	#	#	#	#	#	1	2	1
Number Scoring 65–84	#	#	#	#	#	#	42	29	27
Number Scoring 85–100	#	#	#	#	#	#	74	89	92
Approved Alternatives	#	#	#	#	#	#	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students	Students with Disabilities			
	2001-02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	I	
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–18)			0			0
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0
Advanced (32–36)			0			0
Proficient (37–39)			0			0
	Read	ling and Writii	ng (Grade 7–8)	)		
Number Tested			0			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0
Advanced (25–32)			0			0
Proficient (33–35)			0			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)