# New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code:66-04-10-02-0002Name:Martin Luther King, Jr High SchoolPrincipal:Anthony Braswell

Grade Range : 9-12

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	105	72	77
Tenth	58	64	56
Eleventh	9	16	34
Twelfth	12	14	9
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	184	166	176

## **Student Racial/Ethnic Origin**

	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	120	65.2%	118	71.1%	122	69.3%
Hispanic	64	34.8%	44	26.5%	48	27.3%
White (Not Hispanic)	0	0.0%	4	2.4%	5	2.8%

## **Average Class Size**

Grade Level	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	0	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	0	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	0	10	12
Mathematics Grade 10	0	11	12
Science Grade 10	0	10	12
Social Studies Grade 10	0	12	9

(Form - A)

#### **District Need to Resource Capacity Category**

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

#### Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
56	All schools in this group are in institutional school districts. These schools serve special needs students.

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

## Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002	2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	0	0.0%	1	0.6%	0	0.0%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	177	96.2%	166	100.0%	144	81.8%	

#### Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.0%		95.0%		79.1%
Student Suspensions	3	2.7%	23	12.5%	13	7.8%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

#### (Percent of Enrollment)

	2001-02	2002–03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Public Assistance	91-100%	91-100%	91-100%
Student Stability	100%	93%	100%

Staff Counts					
Staff	2003–04				
Total Teachers	21				
Total Other Professional Staff	4				
Total Paraprofessionals	NA				
Teaching Out of Certification*	3				

\*Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

# **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

	2001-02				2002-03			2003–04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	9	0	0%	3	1	33%	1	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0	0%	11	0	0%	3	0	0%	
All Students	9	0	0%	14	1	7%	4	0	0%	

## High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

\*Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

#### **Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)**

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	0	3	0	0	0	1
Percent	0%	75%	0%	0%	0%	25%

## Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
3	0	2	5

\*Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

## **High School Noncompletion Rates**

		200	1–02	200	2-03	200.	3–04
		No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
		Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	7		20		1	1.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7		20		1	1.3%
Students	Dropped Out	0		12		7	9.9%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		12		7	9.9%
All	Dropped Out	7	3.8%	32	19.3%	8	5.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	7	3.8%	32	19.3%	8	5.4%

\*The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

# **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

# Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K–3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

## **Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12**

Grades		2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6-8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-0	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	62	58
9–12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	104	118
9-12	Number of All Students	0	166	176
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	100%	100%

## **Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs**

CTE Drogrom	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Spanish	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

# **Regents Competency Tests**

## **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2–03	2003–04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	62	29%	1	#	3	#
Science	58	31%	3	#	14	43%
Reading	19	53%	0	0%	4	#
Writing	14	64%	0	0%	2	#
Global Studies	31	10%	0	0%	8	63%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	10	50%	1	#	0	0%

## **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003–04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	34	38%	26	73%	
Science	0	0%	20	30%	15	47%	
Reading	0	0%	16	56%	15	20%	
Writing	0	0%	13	46%	9	67%	
Global Studies	0	0%	27	33%	12	58%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	13	85%	11	91%	

(Form - E)

	Negents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		ehensive Eng			1	1
Number Tested	32	23	15	0	11	8
Number Scoring 55–100	14	5	5	0	3	1
Number Scoring 65–100	9	3	4	0	1	0
Number Scoring 85–100	1	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	44%	22%	33%	0%	27%	12%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	28%	13%	27%	0%	9%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Ma	athematics A				
Number Tested	42	30	19	0	11	10
Number Scoring 55–100	1	1	16	0	0	9
Number Scoring 65–100	1	1	12	0	0	7
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	3	0	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	2%	3%	84%	0%	0%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	2%	3%	63%	0%	0%	70%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	16%	0%	0%	20%
		athematics <b>B</b>				
Number Tested	0	0	1	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	#	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	#	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo		070	070	070
Number Tested	30	27	12	0	16	3
Number Scoring 55–100	13	4	10	0	3	#
Number Scoring 65–100	6	3	8	0	2	#
Number Scoring 85–100	2	0	2	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	43%	15%	83%	0%	19%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	20%	11%	67%	0%	12%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	7%	0%	17%	0%	0%	#
		ory and Gove		070	070	
Number Tested	11	1	5	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	5	#	5	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	4	#	5	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	2	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	45%	#	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	36%	#	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	40%	0%	0%	#
rested Scoring 63-100	070	#	4070	070	070	/ <b>F</b>

(Form - F)

	Regents			0	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	0001.00	All Students		Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
N. 1		g Environme	1	0		-
Number Tested	51	39	21	0	23	3
Number Scoring 55–100	29	15	12	0	8	#
Number Scoring 65–100	22	9	10	0	5	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	1	0	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	57%	38%	57%	0%	35%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	43%	23%	48%	0%	22%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%	#
		etting/Earth				r
Number Tested	14	20	20	0	6	5
Number Scoring 55–100	1	4	4	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	3	2	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	7%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	15%	10%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	10	3	0	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	2	#	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	2	#	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	#	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	20%	#	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	20%	#	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	#	0%	0%	#	0%
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	vsics			
Number Tested			0			0
Number Scoring 55–100			0			0
Number Scoring 65–100			0			0
Number Scoring 85–100			0			0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			0%			0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			0%			0%

\* Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form – G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre	1	0	0	0
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
		rehensive Ita		-	_	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger			•	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85-100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	orew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish			
Number Tested	1	5	0	0	2	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	4	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	4	0	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	1	0	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	80%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	80%	0%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	20%	0%	0%	#	0%
C	Com	rehensive La	tin			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Teretange of Tested Scoting 05 100	070	070	070	070	070	(Form –

	All Students			Students with Disabilities		
	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04	2001-02	2002-03	2003–04
Sequential Mathe	ematics, Cour	rse III (last a	dministered J	anuary 2004	)	
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Introduction to Occupations Examination**

	2001	1–02	2002	2–03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	13	54%	1	#	2	#
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	13	77%	1	#

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001-02 school year.

# **Elementary-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
June 2004	General-Education Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0%	0%	0%
	All Students	0	0%	0%	0%	0%

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 1 Level 2		Level 4				
Elementary Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Middle Level										
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Secondary Level										
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0				

# 2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-Education Students			Students with Disabilities			All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	7	7	7	7	7	7	14	14	14
Number Scoring 55–64	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	2
Number Scoring 65–84	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form – J)