New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 66-14-02-02-0000

Name: Briarcliff Manor Union Free School District

Superintendent: Frances G. Wills

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	118	126	99
First	112	122	139
Second	123	115	128
Third	137	127	116
Fourth	133	145	128
Fifth	152	136	147
Sixth	123	159	134
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	123	124	161
Eighth	128	123	124
Ninth	129	156	151
Tenth	120	126	157
Eleventh	114	118	118
Twelfth	110	113	115
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1622	1690	1717

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

9	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	84	5.2%	82	4.9%	104	6.1%
Black (Not Hispanic)	18	1.1%	17	1.0%	19	1.1%
Hispanic	20	1.2%	19	1.1%	14	0.8%
White (Not Hispanic)	1500	92.5%	1572	93.0%	1580	92.0%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	iverage class size							
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Kindergarten	20	18	19					
Common Branch	22	21	21					
English Grade 8	21	17	20					
Mathematics Grade 8	19	19	19					
Science Grade 8	19	22	21					
Social Studies Grade 8	24	21	20					
English Grade 10	20	20	23					
Mathematics Grade 10	19	12	18					
Science Grade 10	23	20	21					
Social Studies Grade 10	19	19	20					

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	20	1.2%	13	0.8%	15	0.9%
Eligible for Free Lunch	8	0.5%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000–01		2001	1–02	2002-03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.4%		96.6%		92.6%
Student Suspensions	23	1.5%	28	1.7%	16	1.0%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.8%	0.4%	0.1%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

~ tuil = 0 till to	
Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	160
Total Other Professional Staff	22
Total Paraprofessionals	83
Teaching Out of Certification*	2

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

	2001–02				2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	100	91	91%	96	95	99%	114	110	96%	
Students with Disabilities	13	11	85%	11	7	64%	6	4	67%	
All Students	113	102	90%	107	102	95%	120	114	95%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	115	5	0	0	0	0
Percent	96%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents Diplomas (b)	IEP Diplomas or Certificates (c)	All 2003–04 Completers (a+c)
6	4	0	6

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	2001–02		2002-03		200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
<i>(</i> 0	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
6–8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	105	106	142
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	18	22	15
9–12	Number of All Students	123	128	157
	Percent of Enrollment	26%	25%	29%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2003	2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	30	100%	30	100%	28	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	83	100%	78	100%	81	99%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	6	83%	4	#	9	89%	

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	1	#	
Science	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

Students with Disabilities

Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	0	0%
Science	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Reading	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	2	#	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	1	#	0	0%

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

	Regents					
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	108	119	116	11	7	15
Number Scoring 55–100	107	119	116	10	7	15
Number Scoring 65–100	106	119	114	9	7	13
Number Scoring 85–100	91	106	89	4	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	100%	91%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	100%	98%	82%	100%	87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	84%	89%	77%	36%	29%	13%
		athematics A				
Number Tested	31	124	149	8	8	14
Number Scoring 55–100	31	123	149	8	8	14
Number Scoring 65–100	29	123	149	7	8	14
Number Scoring 85–100	6	95	111	1	3	4
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	99%	100%	88%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	19%	77%	74%	12%	38%	29%
1 ordering of 1 order storing of 100		athematics B	7 . 7 0	1270	2070	
Number Tested	0	0	99	0	0	2
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	99	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	99	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	71	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	72%	0%	0%	#
		story and Geo			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Number Tested	120	94	166	6	14	15
Number Scoring 55–100	119	91	166	6	13	15
Number Scoring 65–100	118	90	164	6	12	15
Number Scoring 85–100	63	52	110	1	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	97%	100%	100%	93%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	96%	99%	100%	86%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	55%	66%	17%	14%	13%
		ry and Gover	rnment		l .	1
Number Tested	107	121	115	11	7	14
Number Scoring 55–100	107	120	114	11	7	14
Number Scoring 65–100	105	118	113	10	7	13
Number Scoring 85–100	69	89	92	2	4	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	98%	98%	91%	100%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	64%	74%	80%	18%	57%	14%

(Form - F)

	All Students			Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Livin	g Environme	nt			
Number Tested	112	131	162	7	12	11
Number Scoring 55–100	112	131	160	7	12	11
Number Scoring 65–100	112	130	160	7	11	11
Number Scoring 85–100	85	90	96	3	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	99%	99%	100%	92%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	76%	69%	59%	43%	17%	27%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	111	127	159	22	6	19
Number Scoring 55–100	111	125	155	22	5	19
Number Scoring 65–100	108	123	151	20	5	17
Number Scoring 85–100	68	78	83	3	1	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	98%	97%	100%	83%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	97%	95%	91%	83%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	61%	61%	52%	14%	17%	11%
		Setting/Chen				
Number Tested	92	94	117	1	3	6
Number Scoring 55–100	91	93	116	#	#	6
Number Scoring 65–100	89	85	102	#	#	1
Number Scoring 85–100	27	27	41	#	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	#	#	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	90%	87%	#	#	17%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	29%	29%	35%	#	#	0%
	Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics			
Number Tested			45			1
Number Scoring 55–100			45			#
Number Scoring 65–100			45			#
Number Scoring 85–100			28			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			100%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			100%			#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			62%	. 11	41 D	#

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			_	1
Number Tested	25	31	36	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	25	31	36	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	24	31	36	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	15	27	26	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	87%	72%	0%	0%	#
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian	_		
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
-	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	_		
Number Tested	84	76	109	2	6	4
Number Scoring 55–100	84	76	109	#	6	#
Number Scoring 65–100	84	76	109	#	6	#
Number Scoring 85–100	72	68	101	#	3	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	#	100%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	86%	89%	93%	#	50%	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			•
Number Tested	5	7	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	5	7	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	5	7	10	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	5	6	7	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	100%	86%	70%	0%	0%	0%

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	
Sequential Math	ematics, Cou	rse III (last a	dministered J	January 2004)		
Number Tested	93	73	0	1	1	0	
Number Scoring 55–100	89	69	0	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 65–100	85	67	0	#	#	0	
Number Scoring 85–100	54	44	0	#	#	0	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	95%	0%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	91%	92%	0%	#	#	0%	
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	58%	60%	0%	#	#	0%	

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003–04		
	No. Tested % Passing		No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Students with Disabilities	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	124	0%	0%	25%	75%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	20	15%	5%	65%	15%
	All Students	144	2%	1%	31%	67%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	105	0%	8%	60%	32%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	17	0%	35%	65%	0%
	All Students	122	0%	11%	61%	28%
						(T)

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Mathematics	1	0	#	#	#	#			
Science	1	0	#	#	#	#			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	110	110	110	6	6	6	116	116	116
Number Scoring 55–64	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Number Scoring 65–84	46	26	27	5	2	3	51	28	30
Number Scoring 85–100	64	83	83	1	4	3	65	87	86
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		All Students	Stude	Students with Disabilities							
	2001.02		2002 04								
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04					
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)											
Number Tested			4			0					
Beginning (0–18)			#			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0					
Advanced (32–36)			#			0					
Proficient (37–39)			#			0					
	Reading and Writing (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			4			0					
Beginning (0–14)			#			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0					
Advanced (25–32)			#			0					
Proficient (33–35)			#			0					
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	1)							
Number Tested			4			0					
Beginning (0–18)			#			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0					
Advanced (32–36)			#			0					
Proficient (37–39)			#			0					
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)	1							
Number Tested			4			0					
Beginning (0–14)			#			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0					
Advanced (25–32)			#			0					
Proficient (33–35)			#			0					
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>()</u>							
Number Tested			3			0					
Beginning (0–18)			#			0					
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0					
Advanced (32–36)			#			0					
Proficient (37–39)			#			0					
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)	1							
Number Tested			3			0					
Beginning (0–14)			#			0					
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0					
Advanced (25–32)			#			0					
Proficient (33–35)			#			0					

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	,, Tork State En	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	1
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			3			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)