## **New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 66-16-01-03-0000

Name: Pelham Union Free School District

Superintendent: Charles T. Wilson

#### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	210	212	187
First	201	211	211
Second	214	209	222
Third	195	222	210
Fourth	186	196	225
Fifth	216	181	195
Sixth	191	203	185
Ungraded Elementary	4	3	0
Seventh	194	201	209
Eighth	204	185	195
Ninth	169	184	182
Tenth	161	167	186
Eleventh	148	156	171
Twelfth	143	143	151
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2436	2473	2529

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

9	200	2001–02 2002–03 2003–0		3–04		
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	127	5.2%	137	5.5%	136	5.4%
Black (Not Hispanic)	169	6.9%	151	6.1%	160	6.3%
Hispanic	150	6.2%	148	6.0%	165	6.5%
White (Not Hispanic)	1990	81.7%	2037	82.4%	2068	81.8%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
Kindergarten	18	19	18
Common Branch	20	20	20
English Grade 8	22	24	21
Mathematics Grade 8	24	22	24
Science Grade 8	25	24	24
Social Studies Grade 8	22	20	23
English Grade 10	17	21	21
Mathematics Grade 10	15	21	21
Science Grade 10	17	20	18
Social Studies Grade 10	20	21	21

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
0	resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001–02		2002-03		2003-04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	
Limited English Proficient	19	0.8%	32	1.3%	19	0.8%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	58	2.4%	69	2.8%	70	2.8%	

**Attendance and Suspension** 

_	2000-01		2001–02		2002–03	
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	% of
	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.	Students	Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		95.9%		96.1%		96.1%
Student Suspensions	26	1.1%	78	3.2%	43	1.7%

### Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	1.4%	1.2%	1.3%
<b>Public Assistance</b>	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

#### **Staff Counts**

~**************************************	~
Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	202
Total Other Professional Staff	36
Total Paraprofessionals	20
Teaching Out of Certification*	15

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas\*

	2001–02				2002–03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	118	83	70%	135	102	76%	130	112	86%	
Students with Disabilities	17	3	18%	12	1	8%	18	2	11%	
All Students	135	86	64%	147	103	70%	148	114	77%	

<sup>\*</sup>Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

**Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)** 

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	125	4	1	1	9	8
Percent	84%	3%	1%	1%	6%	5%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates*	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
18	2	1	19

<sup>\*</sup>Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2001–02		2002–03		2003-04	
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	2		0		1	0.2%
Education	Entered GED Program*	2		0		4	0.7%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4		0		5	0.9%
Students	Dropped Out	0		0		0	0.0%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		0		0	0.0%
All	Dropped Out	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Students	Entered GED Program*	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	4	0.6%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4	0.6%	0	0.0%	5	0.7%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

## **Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)**

### Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Flan, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	188	183	171
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	16	18	24
0-8	Number of All Students	204	201	195
	Percent of Enrollment	35%	34%	33%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%

**Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs** 

CTF Dwagnam	This	District	Statewide
CTE Program	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data.

This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	200	2001–02		2-03	2003-04		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	35	100%	21	90%	29	100%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	27	100%	34	97%	32	100%	
Latin	19	100%	18	100%	18	100%	
Spanish	79	90%	76	99%	79	96%	

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	1	#	1	#	3	#
Latin	0	0%	3	#	0	0%
Spanish	7	43%	8	100%	3	#

## **Regents Competency Tests**

#### **General-Education Students**

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	2	#	0	0%
Science	1	#	0	0%	1	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

#### **Students with Disabilities**

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	22	91%	17	76%			
Science	1	#	5	80%	10	40%			
Reading	1	#	11	91%	3	#			
Writing	1	#	5	80%	9	100%			
Global Studies	2	#	10	80%	4	#			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	4	#	9	56%	1	#			

(Form - E)

	regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	ehensive Eng	lish			
Number Tested	147	157	174	10	18	28
Number Scoring 55–100	145	148	166	9	12	25
Number Scoring 65–100	140	141	160	7	8	23
Number Scoring 85–100	97	67	90	3	0	6
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	94%	95%	90%	67%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	90%	92%	70%	44%	82%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	66%	43%	52%	30%	0%	21%
	M	athematics A			•	
Number Tested	237	206	209	27	32	25
Number Scoring 55–100	223	175	208	23	14	24
Number Scoring 65–100	192	156	200	17	12	21
Number Scoring 85–100	67	58	76	2	1	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	85%	100%	85%	44%	96%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	81%	76%	96%	63%	38%	84%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	28%	28%	36%	7%	3%	12%
		athematics B		, , , ,		
Number Tested	0	0	111	0	0	11
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	108	0	0	10
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	97	0	0	8
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	48	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	97%	0%	0%	91%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	87%	0%	0%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	43%	0%	0%	0%
		story and Geo				
Number Tested	156	175	194	18	33	29
Number Scoring 55–100	153	170	190	16	29	27
Number Scoring 65–100	147	158	182	13	24	26
Number Scoring 85–100	65	87	108	2	7	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	97%	98%	89%	88%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	90%	94%	72%	73%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	50%	56%	11%	21%	17%
	U.S. Histo	ry and Gover	nment		•	•
Number Tested	145	158	165	9	18	26
Number Scoring 55–100	144	156	164	8	16	26
Number Scoring 65–100	141	152	161	7	14	25
Number Scoring 85–100	76	116	122	1	6	11
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	99%	99%	89%	89%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	96%	98%	78%	78%	96%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	73%	74%	11%	33%	42%

(Form - F)

		All Students	<b>3</b>	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities			
	2001-02	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04			2002-03	2003-04			
Living Environment									
Number Tested	154	201	193	25	35	30			
Number Scoring 55–100	153	197	187	25	31	28			
Number Scoring 65–100	149	188	183	22	25	25			
Number Scoring 85–100	48	87	78	0	4	3			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	98%	97%	100%	89%	93%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	94%	95%	88%	71%	83%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	31%	43%	40%	0%	11%	10%			
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science						
Number Tested	197	183	195	28	26	26			
Number Scoring 55–100	192	180	173	25	24	15			
Number Scoring 65–100	181	165	157	20	15	10			
Number Scoring 85–100	101	95	89	6	4	1			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	89%	89%	92%	58%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	90%	81%	71%	58%	38%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	51%	52%	46%	21%	15%	4%			
	Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry						
Number Tested	156	129	170	5	12	13			
Number Scoring 55–100	152	118	167	5	11	13			
Number Scoring 65–100	129	104	141	3	10	8			
Number Scoring 85–100	33	24	42	0	0	2			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	91%	98%	100%	92%	100%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	83%	81%	83%	60%	83%	62%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	21%	19%	25%	0%	0%	15%			
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	sics						
Number Tested			89			10			
Number Scoring 55–100			87			10			
Number Scoring 65–100			80			8			
Number Scoring 85–100			22			0			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100			98%			100%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100			90%			80%			
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100			25%			0%			

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			1	1
Number Tested	23	24	31	0	1	1
Number Scoring 55–100	23	24	31	0	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	23	24	31	0	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	12	20	24	0	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	52%	83%	77%	0%	#	#
		rehensive Ita				_
Number Tested	36	29	21	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	36	29	21	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	35	29	21	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	17	18	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	97%	100%	100%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	47%	59%	86%	0%	#	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa	nish	_		
Number Tested	66	65	81	3	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	65	65	80	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	65	65	79	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	60	50	54	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	100%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	98%	100%	98%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	91%	77%	67%	#	#	#
	Comp	rehensive La	tin			•
Number Tested	28	20	23	1	2	1
Number Scoring 55–100	28	20	23	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	28	19	23	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	13	12	19	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	95%	100%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	46%	60%	83%	#	#	#

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04				
Sequential Math	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	91	94	1	3	1	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	90	94	#	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	90	94	#	#	#	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	60	70	#	#	#	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	99%	100%	#	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	99%	100%	#	#	#	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	66%	74%	#	#	#	0%				

**Introduction to Occupations Examination** 

	No. Tested % Passing		2002	2–03	2003–04		
			No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
General-Education Students	1	#	1	#	2	#	
Students with Disabilities	2	#	1	#	0	0%	

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

**Elementary-Level Social Studies** 

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	174	1%	1%	29%	70%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	21	5%	10%	43%	43%
	All Students	195	1%	2%	30%	67%

## **Middle-Level Social Studies**

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	168	0%	7%	39%	54%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	24	0%	29%	67%	4%
	All Students	192	0%	10%	42%	48%

(Form - I)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

		Count of Students									
Test	Tested	ested Not Tested		Level 1 Level 2		Level 4					
Elementary Level											
Social Studies	1	0	#	#	#	#					
Middle Level											
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
		Secondary 1	Level								
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0					

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	128	128	128	22	22	22	150	150	150
Number Scoring 55–64	1	1	1	2	2	4	3	3	5
Number Scoring 65–84	65	22	65	11	9	13	76	31	78
Number Scoring 85–100	60	103	61	2	5	1	62	108	62
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		Students with Disabilities								
	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K–1)										
Number Tested		Ŭ I	3			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Readi	ng and Writin	g (Grade K–1)							
Number Tested			3			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	ł)						
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–18)			0			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			0			0				
Advanced (32–36)			1			0				
Proficient (37–39)			4			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			5			0				
Beginning (0–14)			0			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			0			0				
Advanced (25–32)			1			0				
Proficient (33–35)			4			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>)</u>						
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–18)			#			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0				
Advanced (32–36)			#			0				
Proficient (37–39)			#			0				
	Read	ing and Writir	ıg (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			2			0				
Beginning (0–14)			#			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0				
Advanced (25–32)			#			0				
Proficient (33–35)			#			0				

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

	,, Tolk State En	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	1
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–18)			#			0
Intermediate (19–31)			#			0
Advanced (32–36)			#			0
Proficient (37–39)			#			0
, , ,	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8	)		
Number Tested			2			0
Beginning (0–14)			#			0
Intermediate (15–24)			#			0
Advanced (25–32)			#			0
Proficient (33–35)			#			0
	Listen	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			8			0
Beginning (0–18)			1			0
Intermediate (19–31)			1			0
Advanced (32–36)			3			0
Proficient (37–39)			3			0
, , ,	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			8			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			1			0
Advanced (25–32)			5			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)