New York State District Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 66-18-00-01-0000 Name: Rye City School District

Superintendent: Edward J. Shine

Fall Enrollment

Grade	2001–02	2002–03	2003–04
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	229	237	232
First	238	230	239
Second	261	236	223
Third	242	266	234
Fourth	214	240	244
Fifth	200	211	227
Sixth	188	191	213
Ungraded Elementary	12	12	13
Seventh	185	185	185
Eighth	215	185	191
Ninth	156	196	188
Tenth	152	165	196
Eleventh	134	149	162
Twelfth	127	125	141
Ungraded Secondary	0	5	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	2553	2633	2688

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

	200	2001–02		2002-03		3–04
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	189	7.4%	163	6.2%	177	6.6%
Black (Not Hispanic)	25	1.0%	30	1.1%	29	1.1%
Hispanic	115	4.5%	107	4.1%	117	4.4%
White (Not Hispanic)	2224	87.1%	2333	88.6%	2365	88.0%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size	Average Class Size								
Grade Level	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04						
Kindergarten	19	17	19						
Common Branch	20	19	18						
English Grade 8	20	22	23						
Mathematics Grade 8	21	25	20						
Science Grade 8	21	23	24						
Social Studies Grade 8	21	23	24						
English Grade 10	18	16	21						
Mathematics Grade 10	18	19	16						
Science Grade 10	15	20	21						
Social Studies Grade 10	17	21	22						

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

N/RC Category	Description
6	This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district
O	resource capacity.

Similar School Group and Description

Similar School Group	Description
NA	NA

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	200	2001-02		2-03	2003–04	
	Count	Percent	Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	105	4.1%	110	4.2%	143	5.3%
Eligible for Free Lunch	24	0.9%	41	1.6%	45	1.7%

Attendance and Suspension

	2000-01		2001	1–02	2002–03	
	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
Annual Attendance Rate		96.6%		96.2%		96.7%
Student Suspensions	23	0.9%	11	0.4%	35	1.3%

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

	2001–02	2002-03	2003–04
Reduced Lunch	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Public Assistance	NA	NA	NA
Student Stability	NA	NA	NA

Staff Counts

Staff	2003-04
Total Teachers	230
Total Other Professional Staff	37
Total Paraprofessionals	62
Teaching Out of Certification*	4

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

High School Graduates Earning Regents Diplomas*

		2001–02			2002-03			2003-04		
	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	Total Grads	Regents Diplomas	% Regents Diplomas	
General Education	114	114	100%	114	97	85%	131	131	100%	
Students with Disabilities	7	7	100%	13	3	23%	11	10	91%	
All Students	121	121	100%	127	100	79%	142	141	99%	

^{*}Only students awarded local diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements) are counted as high school graduates. Because of a change in data collection procedures for the 2001–02 school year, diploma counts for that year do not include counts from August 2001. Data for the 2002–03 school year include August 2002 and January and June 2003 graduates; data for the 2003–04 school year include August 2003 and January and June 2004 graduates.

Distribution of 2003–04 Graduates (All Students)

	To 4-year College	To 2-year College	To Other Post- Secondary	To the Military	To Employment	Other
Number	124	6	5	0	3	4
Percent	87%	4%	4%	0%	2%	3%

Number of High School Completers with Disabilities in 2003–04

Graduates* (a)	Regents	IEP Diplomas	All 2003–04
	Diplomas	or Certificates	Completers
	(b)	(c)	(a+c)
11	10	1	12

^{*}Local Diplomas (including local diplomas with Regents endorsements)

High School Noncompletion Rates

	•	200	2001–02		2-03	200	3–04
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	2	Ziii oii,	9	Ziii oii,	2	0.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	2		9		2	0.3%
Students	Dropped Out	2		2		1	1.8%
with	Entered GED Program*	0		0		0	0.0%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	2		2		1	1.8%
All	Dropped Out	4	0.7%	11	1.7%	3	0.4%
Students	Entered GED Program*	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Students	Total Noncompleters	4	0.7%	11	1.7%	3	0.4%

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

Grades	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
K-1	0%	0%	0%
2–3	0%	0%	0%

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4–12

Grades	S Developing a Career Fran, 4	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
4–5	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
4–5	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	0
6–8	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	0
0-8	Number of All Students	0	0	0
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	0%
	Number of General-Education Students	0	0	152
0.12	Number of Students with Disabilities	0	0	10
9–12	Number of All Students	0	0	162
	Percent of Enrollment	0%	0%	24%

Career and Technical Education (CTE) Programs

CTE Program	This	District	Statewide
CIETIOgram	Count	Percentage	Average
All CTE Programs			
Completed the CTE Program			
Completed and Passed Regents Exams			
Completed and had Course Average of 75% or More			
Completed and Attained a HS Diploma or Equivalent			
Completed and Whose Status is Known			
Completed and Were Successfully Placed			
Nontraditional Programs			
Underrepresented Gender Members Enrolled			
Underrepresented Gender Members Who Completed			

Enrollment data are for the 2003-2004 school year; completer data are as of June 2003.

Note: Blank cells indicate that either the district did not have a CTE program or did not report data. This data is reported only at the district level.

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04		
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	40	95%	33	97%	29	90%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	43	98%	34	100%	30	100%	
Spanish	81	99%	83	100%	101	97%	

Students with Disabilities

Tr4	2001–02		2002	2–03	2003-04	
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
French	1	#	1	#	1	#
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Latin	1	#	1	#	0	0%
Spanish	9	78%	4	#	0	0%

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

Test	2001–02		200	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	4	#
Science	0	0%	1	#	4	#
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Global Studies	0	0%	1	#	0	0%
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Students with Disabilities

Students with Disabilities									
Test	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04				
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing			
Mathematics	0	0%	0	0%	3	#			
Science	1	#	3	#	1	#			
Reading	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Writing	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			
Global Studies	0	0%	3	#	2	#			
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%			

(Form - E)

	Regents	Lami	Hations			
		All Students		Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	124	139	147	11	10	6
Number Scoring 55–100	124	138	146	11	10	6
Number Scoring 65–100	124	135	145	11	8	5
Number Scoring 85–100	98	119	123	4	5	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	99%	100%	100%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	97%	99%	100%	80%	83%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	79%	86%	84%	36%	50%	50%
	M	athematics A				
Number Tested	0	196	289	0	8	30
Number Scoring 55–100	0	193	287	0	7	28
Number Scoring 65–100	0	189	285	0	6	27
Number Scoring 85–100	0	101	167	0	1	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	98%	99%	0%	88%	93%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	96%	99%	0%	75%	90%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	52%	58%	0%	12%	17%
referring of rested seeming of two		athematics B	2070	070	1270	1770
Number Tested	0	0	134	0	0	3
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	131	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	128	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	83	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	98%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	96%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	62%	0%	0%	#
referrings of rested scoring of 100		story and Geo		070	0,0	
Number Tested	142	161	184	8	12	15
Number Scoring 55–100	142	160	182	8	11	13
Number Scoring 65–100	142	158	178	8	10	11
Number Scoring 85–100	88	88	128	1	3	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	99%	100%	92%	87%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	98%	97%	100%	83%	73%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	55%	70%	12%	25%	33%
Telechage of Tested Scoring 05 100		ory and Gove		12/0	2370	3370
Number Tested	131	146	147	12	13	9
Number Scoring 55–100	131	145	145	12	12	9
Number Scoring 65–100	125	142	142	8	10	8
Number Scoring 85–100	69	112	102	1	5	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	99%	100%	92%	100%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	95%	97%	97%	67%	77%	89%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	53%	77%	69%	8%	38%	56%
restentage of rested Scotting 83-100	3370	/ / 70	0970	070	3070	3070

(Form - F)

regents										
			Students with Disabilities							
			2001-02	2002-03	2003-04					
235	203	181	15	20	15					
235		178	15	17	14					
233	199	174	14	17	12					
150	88	91	2	3	2					
100%	98%	98%	100%	85%	93%					
99%	98%	96%	93%	85%	80%					
64%	43%	50%	13%	15%	13%					
Physical S	etting/Earth	Science								
28	127	138	7	12	6					
28	127	138	7	12	6					
28	126	135	7	12	5					
11	90	95	3	7	2					
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%					
100%	99%	98%	100%	100%	83%					
39%	71%	69%	43%	58%	33%					
Physical	Setting/Chen	nistry								
134	140	182	5	4	6					
132	138	182	5	#	6					
116	126	173	2		6					
18	34	62	0	#	1					
99%	99%	100%	100%	#	100%					
87%	90%	95%	40%	#	100%					
13%	24%	34%	0%	#	17%					
Physica	l Setting/Phy	sics								
		2			1					
		#			#					
		#			#					
		#			#					
		#			#					
		#			#					
		#			#					
	2001–02 Livin 235 235 235 233 150 100% 99% 64% Physical Services 28 28 28 11 100% 100% 39% Physical 134 132 116 18 99% 87% 13%	All Students 2001–02 2002–03 Eliving Environme 235 203 235 199 233 199 150 88 100% 98% 99% 98% 64% 43% Physical Setting/Earth 28 127 28 126 11 90 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 39% 71% Physical Setting/Chen 134 140 132 138 116 126 18 34 99% 99% 99% 87% 90% 13% 24%	All Students 2001-02 2002-03 2003-04	2001-02 2002-03 2003-04 2001-02	All Students Students with Disa 2001-02 2002-03 2003-04 2001-02 2002-03					

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2001–02 and 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in those years.

(Form - G)

	Regents					
		All Students			nts with Disa	bilities
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
		rehensive Fre			_	1
Number Tested	29	30	34	0	1	0
Number Scoring 55–100	29	30	33	0	#	0
Number Scoring 65–100	29	30	33	0	#	0
Number Scoring 85–100	17	20	27	0	#	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	97%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	97%	0%	#	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	59%	67%	79%	0%	#	0%
	Comp	rehensive Ita			1	1
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man			
Number Tested	2	0	0	1	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	#	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	#	0	0	#	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	#	0	0	#	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	#	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	#	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	#	0%	0%	#	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	Compr	ehensive Spa				
Number Tested	66	94	98	5	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	66	93	96	5	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	66	93	95	5	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	44	79	66	2	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	99%	98%	100%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	99%	97%	100%	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	67%	84%	67%	40%	#	#
		rehensive La				
Number Tested	29	17	39	0	0	1
Number Scoring 55–100	29	17	39	0	0	#
Number Scoring 65–100	29	17	38	0	0	#
Number Scoring 85–100	18	8	25	0	0	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	100%	100%	97%	0%	0%	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	62%	47%	64%	0%	0%	#

(Form – H)

	All Students			Students with Disabilities						
	2001–02	2002–03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Sequential Mathe	Sequential Mathematics, Course III (last administered January 2004)									
Number Tested	131	154	2	11	9	0				
Number Scoring 55–100	128	146	#	9	8	0				
Number Scoring 65–100	126	144	#	8	8	0				
Number Scoring 85–100	85	85	#	2	5	0				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	95%	#	82%	89%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	96%	94%	#	73%	89%	0%				
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	65%	55%	#	18%	56%	0%				

Introduction to Occupations Examination

	2001–02		2002	2-03	2003-04	
	No. Tested	No. Tested % Passing		% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing
General-Education Students	1	#	0	0%	0	0%
Students with Disabilities	1	#	0	0%	0	0%

District reports contain data for all students with disabilities enrolled in the district for the 2001–02 school year.

Elementary-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	220	0%	0%	35%	65%
Nov 2003	Students with Disabilities	7	29%	0%	29%	43%
	All Students	227	1%	0%	34%	65%

Middle-Level Social Studies

		Number Tested	% at Level 1	% at Level 2	% at Level 3	% at Level 4
	General-Education Students	177	0%	6%	62%	32%
June 2004	Students with Disabilities	12	0%	42%	42%	17%
	All Students	189	0%	8%	61%	31%
						(= =)

(Form - I)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2003–04

	Count of Students								
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4			
Elementary Level									
Social Studies	3	0	#	#	#	#			
Middle Level									
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
		Secondary 1	Level						
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0			

2000 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

	General-	Education	Students	Studen	ts with Disa	abilities	All Students		
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science
Cohort Enrollment	136	136	136	11	11	11	147	147	147
Number Scoring 55–64	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
Number Scoring 65–84	46	24	76	7	3	5	53	27	81
Number Scoring 85–100	84	107	55	1	5	4	85	112	59
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

(Form - J)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

		Stude	Students with Disabilities							
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04				
Listening and Speaking (Grade K-1)										
Number Tested			48			0				
Beginning (0–18)			8			0				
Intermediate (19–31)			5			0				
Advanced (32–36)			7			0				
Proficient (37–39)			28			0				
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade K–1)							
Number Tested			48			0				
Beginning (0–14)			9			0				
Intermediate (15–24)			9			0				
Advanced (25–32)			16			0				
Proficient (33–35)			14			0				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 2–4	l)						
Number Tested			25			1				
Beginning (0–18)			5			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			4			#				
Advanced (32–36)			9			#				
Proficient (37–39)			7			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 2–4)							
Number Tested			25			1				
Beginning (0–14)			8			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			9			#				
Advanced (25–32)			6			#				
Proficient (33–35)			2			#				
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 5–6	<u>(i)</u>						
Number Tested			21			2				
Beginning (0–18)			1			#				
Intermediate (19–31)			1			#				
Advanced (32–36)			4			#				
Proficient (37–39)			15			#				
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 5–6)							
Number Tested			21			2				
Beginning (0–14)			1			#				
Intermediate (15–24)			2			#				
Advanced (25–32)			16			#				
Proficient (33–35)			2			#				

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - K)

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

	,, Tork State En	Students with Disabilities				
	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001–02	2002-03	2003-04
	Listen	ing and Speak	ing (Grade 7–	8)	L	l
Number Tested			16			0
Beginning (0–18)			1			0
Intermediate (19–31)			3			0
Advanced (32–36)			7			0
Proficient (37–39)			5			0
	Read	ling and Writin	ng (Grade 7–8)		
Number Tested			16			0
Beginning (0–14)			0			0
Intermediate (15–24)			5			0
Advanced (25–32)			10			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0
	Listeni	ing and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)		
Number Tested			14			0
Beginning (0–18)			1			0
Intermediate (19–31)			5			0
Advanced (32–36)			5			0
Proficient (37–39)			3			0
	Read	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	2)		
Number Tested			14			0
Beginning (0–14)			1			0
Intermediate (15–24)			8			0
Advanced (25–32)			4			0
Proficient (33–35)			1			0

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)