### The University of the State of New York The State Education Department



# OVERVIEW OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS, MATHEMATICS, AND SCIENCE AND

#### ANALYSIS OF STUDENT SUBGROUP PERFORMANCE

for

Malone Central School District

February 2005

#### THE UNIVERSITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

#### **Regents of The University**

ROBERT M. BENNETT, Chancellor, B.A., M.S.	Tonawanda
ADELAIDE L. SANFORD, Vice Chancellor, B.A., M.A., P.D.	Hollis
DIANE O'NEILL McGIVERN, B.S.N., M.A., Ph.D.	Staten Island
SAUL B. COHEN, B.A., M.A., Ph.D.	New Rochelle
JAMES C. DAWSON, A.A., B.A., M.S., Ph.D.	Peru
Anthony S. Bottar, B.A., J.D.	North Syracuse
MERRYL H. TISCH, B.A., M.A.	New York
GERALDINE D. CHAPEY, B.A., M.A., Ed.D.	Belle Harbor
ARNOLD B. GARDNER, B.A., LL.B.	Buffalo
HARRY PHILLIPS, 3rd, B.A., M.S.F.S.	Hartsdale
JOSEPH E. BOWMAN, JR., B.A., M.L.S., M.A., M.Ed., Ed.D	Albany
LORRAINE A. CORTÉS-VÁZQUEZ, B.A., M.P.A.	Bronx
JAMES R. TALLON, JR., B.A., M.A.	Binghamton
MILTON L. COFIELD, B.S., M.B.A., Ph.D.	Rochester
John Brademas, B.A., Ph.D.	New York

#### President of The University and Commissioner of Education

RICHARD P. MILLS

**Deputy Commissioner for Elementary, Middle, Secondary and Continuing Education** JAMES A. KADAMUS

#### Assistant Commissioner for Standards, Assessment and Reporting

DAVID M. ABRAMS

#### **Coordinator, Information and Reporting Services**

MARTHA P. MUSSER

The State Education Department does not discriminate on the basis of age, color, religion, creed, disability, marital status, veteran status, national origin, race, gender, genetic predisposition or carrier status, or sexual orientation in its educational programs, services and activities. Portions of this publication can be made available in a variety of formats, including braille, large print or audio tape, upon request. Inquiries concerning this policy of nondiscrimination should be directed to the Department's Office for Diversity, Ethics, and Access, Room 530, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234. Requests for additional copies of this publication may be made by contacting the Publications Sales Desk, Room 309, Education Building, Albany, NY 12234.

Please address all correspondence about this report that is not related to data corrections to:

School Report Card Coordinator Information and Reporting Services Team New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA 89 Washington Avenue Albany, NY 12234

E-mail: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on student performance and other measures of district performance. Knowledge gained from the district report card on a district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

The New York State District Report Card consists of three parts: the Overview of District Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science and Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance, the Comprehensive Information Report, and the Accountability Status Report. The Overview and Analysis presents performance data on measures required by the federal No Child Left Behind Act: English, mathematics, science, and graduation rate. Performance data on other State assessments can be found in the Comprehensive Information Report. The Accountability Status Report provides information as to whether a district is making adequate progress toward enabling all students to achieve proficiency in English and mathematics.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the foundation knowledge they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

In the *Overview*, performance on the elementary- and middle-level assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of students scoring at each of the four levels. These levels indicate performance on the standards from seriously deficient to advanced proficiency. Regents examination scores are reported in four score ranges. Scores of 65 to 100 are passing; scores of 55 to 64 earn credit toward a local diploma (with the approval of the local board of education). Though each elementary- and middle-level assessment is administered to students in a specific grade, secondary-level assessments are taken by students when they complete the coursework for the core curriculum. Therefore, the performance of students at the secondary level is measured for a student cohort rather than a group of students at a particular grade level. Students are grouped in cohorts according to the year in which they first entered grade 9.

The assessment data in the *Overview and Analysis* are for all tested students in the district, including general-education students and students with disabilities. In the *Overview*, each district's performance is compared with that of all public schools statewide. In the *Analysis*, performance is disaggregated by race/ethnicity, disability status, gender, limited English proficient status, income level, and migrant status.

Explanations of terms referred to or symbols used in this part of the district report card may be found in the glossary on the last page. Further information on the district report card may be found in the guide, *Understanding Your School Report Card: February 2005*, available on the Information and Reporting Services Web site at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

# Overview of District Performance in English Language Arts, Mathematics, and Science

#### **District Profile**

Superintendent: Wayne C. Walbridge			(518)483-7800
Organization	Grade Range		Student Enrollment
2003–04	NA		2376

2002-03 District-wide Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$11,886
2002–03 NYS Public Schools Total Expenditure per Pupil	\$13,085

#### 2003-04 Core Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers\*

Total Number of Core Classes	Percent Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
578	99%


<sup>\*</sup>To meet the federal definition of "highly qualified," public school teachers of core academic subjects must have at least a bachelor's degree and be State certified for and demonstrate subject matter competency in the core academic subject(s) they teach.

#### 2003-04 Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate\*

Total Number of Teachers	Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate
218	0%

<sup>\*</sup>Includes teachers with a modified temporary license.

English Language Arts



	Counts of Students					
Performance at This District	Level 1         Level 2         Level 3         Level 4           455-602         603-644         645-691         692-800					Mean Score
Jan-Feb 2002	16	58	74	21	169	650
Feb 2003	18	60	78	26	182	649
Feb 2004	12	87	97	15	211	647

Elementa	Elementary-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards				
Level 4	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.				
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				


Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement

Grade 4	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested
2004	0	0	0	0	0

Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

E1	A A 1 1 4	441.10			T-4-1 T4-1
Elementary Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
	,,	,,	,,	,,	4
2003–04	#	#	#	#	1

Mathematics




	Counts of Students					
Performance at This District	Level 1 448–601	Total Tested				
May 2002	14	36	81	34	165	651
May 2003	10	28	95	49	182	658
May 2004	5	28	127	51	211	661

Elementary-Level Mathematics Levels —					
Knowledge	Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards				
Level 4	These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	These students meet the standards and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.				
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				

# Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Elementary Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2003-04	#	#	#	#	1

Science\*



Performance at This District	Level 1 0–44	Level 2 45–64	Level 3 65–84	Level 4 85–100	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2004	3	14	106	88	211	81


Elementa	Elementary-Level Science Levels —			
Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards				
Level 4 These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.			
Level 2	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.			
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.			

# Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Elementary Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2003–04	#	#	#	#	1

<sup>\*</sup>Only one year of data is shown because a new assessment in elementary-level science was administered for the first time in 2003–04.

English Language Arts



Performance at This District	Level 1 527–659	Level 2 660–698	Level 3 699-737	Level 4 738-830	Total Tested	Mean Score
March 2002	11	113	74	14	212	695
	Level 1 527–657	Level 2 658–696	Level 3 697–736	Level 4 737–830	Total Tested	
January 2003	21	106	58	10	195	687
January 2004	13	100	63	10	186	694

Middle-Level English Language Arts Levels — Listening, Reading, and Writing Standards			
Level 4 These students exceed the standards and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.			
Level 3	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.		
Level 2	These students need extra help to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.		
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.		


Performance of Limited English Proficient Students Taking the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) as the Measure of English Language Arts Achievement

Grade 8	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested
2004	0	0	0	0	0

# Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in English

Middle Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2003-04	#	#	#	#	1

#### Mathematics




	Counts of Students					
Performance at This District	Level 1 517–680	Level 2 681–715	Level 3 716–759	Level 4 760–882	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2002	42	105	64	2	213	701
May 2003	20	86	83	6	195	706
May 2004	16	61	103	6	186	716

Middle-L	Middle-Level Mathematics Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards				
Level 4	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examination.				
Level 3	These students <b>meet the standards</b> and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examination.				
Level 2	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards and pass the Regents examination.				
Level 1	These students have serious academic deficiencies.				

# Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Mathematics

Middle Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2003–04	#	#	#	#	1

Science



Perform	Performance at This District		Counts of Students					
I CHOIN			Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
June 2002	Middle-Level Science	1	9	93	83	186	82	
June 2002	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0	
January/	Middle-Level Science	0	22	95	53	170	78	
June 2003	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0	
January/	Middle-Level Science	5	33	99	50	187	74	
June 2004	Regents Science	0	0	0	0	0	0	

Middle-L	Middle-Level Science Levels — Knowledge, Reasoning, and Problem-Solving Standards*				
Level 4	These students <b>exceed the standards</b> on the middle-level science test and are moving toward high performance on the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 85–100 on a Regents science examination.				
Level 3	These students <b>meet the standards</b> on the middle-level science test and, with continued steady growth, should pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 65–84 on a Regents science examination.				
Level 2	These students <b>need extra help</b> to meet the standards for middle-level science and to pass the Regents examinations <u>or</u> score 55–64 on a Regents science examination.				
Level 1	These students have <b>serious academic deficiencies</b> as evidenced in the middle-level science test <u>or</u> score 0–54 on a Regents science examination.				


<sup>\*</sup>Students may demonstrate proficiency in middle-level science by scoring at Level 3 or above on the middle-level science test or by scoring 65 or above on a Regents examination in science.

## Performance of Students with Severe Disabilities on the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in Science

Middle Level	AA-Level 1	AA-Level 2	AA-Level 3	AA-Level 4	Total Tested
2003-04	#	#	#	#	1

#### High School English Achievement after Four Years of Instruction

The graphs and tables below present performance of the 1998, 1999, and 2000 cohort members, four years after entering grade 9, in meeting the graduation assessment requirement in English. In the graph, students passing approved alternatives to this examination are counted as scoring in the 65 to 84 range. RCT results are not included in the graph. The data in these tables and charts show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. Data for the 1999 and 2000 cohorts include all students in cohorts in the district's schools, students continuously enrolled in the district who transferred between schools within the district, and students placed outside the district but who are the reporting responsibility of the district. Data for the 1998 cohort include all students in the cohort in the district's schools.



	English Graduation Requirement Achievement after Four Years of High School*											
	Cohort Members Highest Score Highest Score Highest Score Between 0 and 54 Between 55 and 64 Between 65 and 84 Between 85 and 100 Alternative Credit											
1998 Cohort	200	4	13	110	56	0						
1999 Cohort	212	1	15	106	77	0						
2000 Cohort	182	2	11	67	75	0						


<sup>\*</sup>Assessments used to determine counts in this table include the Regents examination in comprehensive English, the component retest in English, and approved alternatives.

Performance of Students Who Took the Regents Competency Tests in Reading and Writing to Meet the Graduation Requirement									
	Passed the RCTs	Failed RCT in Reading and/or Writing							
1998 Cohort	1	1							
1999 Cohort	1	0							
2000 Cohort	1	0							

<sup>\*</sup>Includes only students eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or higher on the Regents examination or an approved alternative. Some students in the "Passed the RCTs" counts are also included in the 0–54 counts in the graph above.

#### High School Mathematics Achievement after Four Years of Instruction

The graphs and tables below present performance of the 1998, 1999, and 2000 cohort members, four years after entering grade 9, in meeting the graduation assessment requirement in mathematics. In the graph, students passing approved alternatives to these examinations are counted as scoring in the 65 to 84 range. RCT results are not included in the graph. The data in these tables and charts show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. Data for the 1999 and 2000 cohorts include all students in cohorts in the district's schools, students continuously enrolled in the district who transferred between schools within the district, and students placed outside the district but who are the reporting responsibility of the district. Data for the 1998 cohort include all students in the cohort in the district's schools.



	Mathematics Graduation Requirement Achievement after Four Years of High School*											
	Cohort Members All Students	Highest Score Between 0 and 54	Highest Score Between 55 and 64	Highest Score Between 65 and 84	Approved Alternative Credit							
1998 Cohort	200	21	18	84	67	0						
1999 Cohort	212	9	22	103	69	0						
2000 Cohort	182	33	17	81	29	0						


<sup>\*</sup>Assessments used to determine counts in this table include a Regents examination in mathematics, the component retest in mathematics, and approved alternatives.

Performance of Students Who Took the Regents Competency Test in Mathematics to Meet the Graduation Requirement*									
	Passed the RCT	Failed at Least One RCT							
1998 Cohort	10	0							
1999 Cohort	5	0							
2000 Cohort	7	2							

<sup>\*</sup>Includes only students eligible for the safety net who did not score 55 or higher on the Regents examination or an approved alternative. Some students in the "Passed the RCTs" counts are also included in the 0–54 counts in the graph above.

#### **Cohort Graduation Rates**

Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31<sup>st</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the 1998 and 1999 school accountability cohort for English and mathematics.



	Cohort Graduation Rates										
	Cohort Members* (a)	Transfers to GED (b)	Graduation Rate Cohort Members (a+b)	Number Graduated							
1998 Cohort	203	1	204	178							
1999 Cohort	211	11	222	188							

<sup>\*</sup>Count as of August 31st of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

#### **Analysis of Student Subgroup Performance**

Historically, on State assessments the average performance of Black, Hispanic, and Native American students has been lower than that of White and Asian students. Similarly, students from low-income families have not performed as well as those from higher income families. A high priority of the Board of Regents is to eliminate these gaps in student performance. In addition, Title I of the federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act includes explicit requirements "to ensure that students served by Title I are given the same opportunity to achieve to high standards and are held to the same high expectations as all students in each State."

This section of the district report card provides performance data for two years by racial/ethnic group, disability status, gender, English proficiency status, income level, and migrant status. The purpose of the student subgroup analyses is to determine if students who perform below the standards in any school tend to fall into particular groups, such as minority students, limited English proficient students, or economically disadvantaged students. If these analyses provide evidence that students in one of the groups achieve at a lower level than other students, the district should examine the reasons for this lower performance and make necessary changes in curriculum, instruction, and student support services to remedy these performance gaps. If your district did not report data for the 2003–04 school year for a subject and grade, a table showing data for subgroups in that subject and grade will not be included in the *Analysis*.

English Language Arts

			1 Langua <u>(</u> 1 <b>2–03</b>	9 - 1 1 - 1		200	3–04	
Student Subgroup	Total Tested		ntages of T s Scoring a		Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
	Testeu	2–4	3–4	4	resteu	2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	0%	0%	1	S	S	S
Black	0	0%	0%	0%	3	S	S	S
Hispanic	0	0%	0%	0%	1	S	s	s
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0%	0%	1	S	s	S
White	182	90%	57%	14%	205	94%	52%	6%
Total	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0%	0%	0%	6	100%	83%	33%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	158	96%	64%	16%	185	98%	59%	8%
Students with disabilities	24	50%	13%	0%	26	69%	8%	0%
Total	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Results by Gender								
Female	82	95%	60%	16%	115	98%	57%	10%
Male	100	86%	55%	13%	96	90%	48%	4%
Total	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	84	90%	50%	7%	107	91%	38%	2%
Not disadvantaged	98	90%	63%	20%	104	98%	68%	13%
Total	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%
Total	182	90%	57%	14%	211	94%	53%	7%

#### Mathematics

		200	2-03			2003–04				
Student Subgroup	Total Tested		ntages of 3 s Scoring a		Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels				
	resteu	2–4	3–4	4	resteu	2–4	3–4	4		
Results by Race/Ethnicity										
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	0%	0%	1	S	S	S		
Black	0	0%	0%	0%	3	S	s	S		
Hispanic	0	0%	0%	0%	1	S	s	S		
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	0%	0%	0%	1	S	S	S		
White	182	95%	79%	27%	205	98%	84%	24%		
Total	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Small Group Totals (s)	0	0%	0%	0%	6	100%	100%	17%		
Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	156	99%	87%	30%	186	99%	88%	27%		
Students with disabilities	26	69%	35%	8%	25	88%	56%	0%		
Total	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Results by Gender										
Female	84	94%	81%	23%	117	99%	88%	26%		
Male	98	95%	78%	31%	94	96%	80%	22%		
Total	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Results by English Proficiency	Status									
English proficient	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%		
Total	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Results by Income Level										
Economically disadvantaged	85	94%	72%	21%	103	95%	78%	16%		
Not disadvantaged	97	95%	86%	32%	108	100%	91%	32%		
Total	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Results by Migrant Status										
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%		
Not migrant family	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		
Total	182	95%	79%	27%	211	98%	84%	24%		

Science\*

		200	3–04	
Student Subgroup	Total Tested		ntages of 3 s Scoring a	
	restea	2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity				
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	S	S
Black	3	s	s	S
Hispanic	1	S	S	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	S	S	S
White	205	99%	92%	41%
Total	211	99%	92%	42%
Small Group Totals (s)	6	100%	100%	67%
Results by Disability Status				
General-education students	186	99%	95%	45%
Students with disabilities	25	92%	72%	16%
Total	211	99%	92%	42%
Results by Gender		•	•	•
Female	117	100%	92%	40%
Male	94	97%	91%	44%
Total	211	99%	92%	42%
Results by English Proficiency S	Status	•		•
English proficient	211	99%	92%	42%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	211	99%	92%	42%
Results by Income Level				
Economically disadvantaged	102	97%	85%	29%
Not disadvantaged	109	100%	98%	53%
Total	211	99%	92%	42%
Results by Migrant Status				
Migrant family	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	211	99%	92%	42%
Total	211	99%	92%	42%

<sup>\*</sup>Only one year of data is shown because a new assessment in elementary-level science was administered for the first time in 2003–04.

English Language Arts

			12-03	<u> </u>		200	3–04	
Student Subgroup	Total Tested	Perce Student	ntages of 1 s Scoring a	Tested at Levels	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
	resteu	2–4	3–4	4	resteu	2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2	S	S	S	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	0	0%	0%	0%	1	s	S	s
Hispanic	0	0%	0%	0%	4	S	S	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	S	S	S	1	S	S	S
White	192	S	S	S	180	93%	38%	6%
Total	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%
Small Group Totals (s)	195	89%	35%	5%	6	83%	67%	0%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	181	95%	38%	6%	155	96%	46%	6%
Students with disabilities	14	14%	0%	0%	31	77%	6%	0%
Total	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%
Results by Gender				•				
Female	89	88%	38%	8%	95	92%	32%	4%
Male	106	91%	32%	3%	91	95%	47%	7%
Total	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%
Results by English Proficiency	Status			•				
English proficient	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	77	84%	21%	1%	76	92%	25%	0%
Not disadvantaged	118	92%	44%	8%	110	94%	49%	9%
Total	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	2	S	s	S	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	193	S	s	s	186	93%	39%	5%
Total	195	89%	35%	5%	186	93%	39%	5%

#### Mathematics

		200	2-03			200	3–04	
Student Subgroup	Total Tested		ntages of T s Scoring a		Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels		
	resteu	2–4	3–4	4	resteu	2–4	3–4	4
Results by Race/Ethnicity								
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2	S	s	s	0	0%	0%	0%
Black	2	S	S	s	2	s	s	s
Hispanic	1	S	S	s	3	S	S	S
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	S	S	s	1	S	S	S
White	189	90%	46%	3%	180	91%	58%	3%
Total	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%
Small Group Totals (s)	6	67%	50%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%
Results by Disability Status								
General-education students	180	96%	49%	3%	158	95%	65%	4%
Students with disabilities	15	13%	0%	0%	28	71%	25%	0%
Total	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%
Results by Gender								
Female	90	88%	49%	4%	97	92%	53%	3%
Male	105	91%	43%	2%	89	91%	65%	3%
Total	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							
English proficient	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%
Total	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%
Results by Income Level								
Economically disadvantaged	79	85%	38%	1%	74	86%	49%	0%
Not disadvantaged	116	93%	51%	4%	112	95%	65%	5%
Total	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%
Results by Migrant Status								
Migrant family	1	S	S	S	0	0%	0%	0%
Not migrant family	194	S	S	S	186	91%	59%	3%
Total	195	90%	46%	3%	186	91%	59%	3%

#### Science

			cience						
		200	2–03			200	2003–04		
Student Subgroup	Total Tested	Perce Student	ntages of 1 s Scoring a	Tested at Levels	Total Tested	Percentages of Tested Students Scoring at Levels			
	resteu	2–4	3–4	4	resteu	2–4	3–4	4	
Results by Race/Ethnicity									
American Indian/Alaskan Native	2	S	S	S	0	0%	0%	0%	
Black	0	0%	0%	0%	2	S	S	S	
Hispanic	0	0%	0%	0%	3	S	S	S	
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	S	S	S	1	s	s	s	
White	167	S	S	S	181	97%	80%	27%	
Total	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	
Small Group Totals (s)	170	100%	87%	31%	6	100%	83%	33%	
Results by Disability Status		•		•			•	•	
General-education students	168	S	S	S	154	100%	88%	31%	
Students with disabilities	2	S	S	S	33	85%	42%	6%	
Total	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	
Results by Gender		I.		l .			l .	l .	
Female	77	100%	86%	29%	97	97%	77%	16%	
Male	93	100%	88%	33%	90	98%	82%	38%	
Total	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	
Results by English Proficiency State	us	I.		l .			l .	l .	
English proficient	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0%	0%	0	0%	0%	0%	
Total	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	
Results by Income Level			•		•		•		
Economically disadvantaged	62	100%	85%	26%	77	95%	71%	14%	
Not disadvantaged	108	100%	88%	34%	110	99%	85%	35%	
Total	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	
Results by Migrant Status	-	•	•	•	•	-	•	•	
Migrant family	1	S	S	S	0	0%	0%	0%	
Not migrant family	169	S	S	S	187	97%	80%	27%	
Total	170	100%	87%	31%	187	97%	80%	27%	

#### 1999 and 2000 High School Cohorts

General-education students who first entered ninth grade in 1999 or 2000 must score 55 or higher on Regents English and mathematics examinations to graduate. During the phase-in of the Regents examination graduation requirements, all students (with district board of education approval) may qualify for a local diploma by earning a score of 55–64 on the required Regents examinations; a score of 65 or higher is required for a Regents diploma. Students with disabilities and certain students with a Section 504 Accomodation Plan may qualify for a local diploma by passing Regents competency tests. The data in these tables show the performance of the cohorts as of June 30<sup>th</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9.

Performance on the English Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

	1999 Cohort					2000 Cohort				
Student Subgroup		Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting	Students	Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting
	Students in Cohort	55- 64	65– 100	Pass- ed RCTs	Gradu- ation Require- ment	in Cohort	55– 64	65- 100	Pass- ed RCTs	Gradua- tion Require- ment
Results by Race/Ethnicity				ı					I	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	S	S	S	s	1	S	S	S	s
Black	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Hispanic	1	S	S	S	S	0	0	0	0	0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	s	S	S	s	0	0	0	0	0%
White	209	s	s	S	S	181	S	s	S	S
Total	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Small Group Totals (s)	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	201	15	180	0	97%	156	7	132	0	89%
Students with disabilities	11	0	3	1	36%	26	4	10	1	58%
Total	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Results by Gender										
Female	111	6	98	0	94%	92	2	76	1	86%
Male	101	9	85	1	94%	90	9	66	0	83%
Total	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Results by English Proficiency	/ Status									
English proficient	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Limited English proficient	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Results by Income Level	<del></del>					<del></del>				
Economically disadvantaged	47	3	40	0	91%	46	2	34	0	78%
Not disadvantaged	165	12	143	1	95%	136	9	108	1	87%
Total	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Results by Migrant Status	<del></del>									
Migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not migrant family	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%
Total	212	15	183	1	94%	182	11	142	1	85%

# Performance on the Mathematics Assessment Requirement for Graduation after Four Years of High School

						2000 Cabart				
	1999 Cohort					2000 Cohort  Count of Students Percent				
Student Subgroup		Count of Students by Score			Percent Meeting Gradu-	Students	by Score		Percent Meeting	
	Students	Doganto		Doganto				Gradua-		
	in Cohort	55– 64	65– 100	Pass- ed RCTs	ation Require- ment	in Cohort	55– 64	65– 100	Pass- ed RCTs	tion Require- ment
Results by Race/Ethnicity										
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	s	s	s	S	1	s	s	S	s
Black	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Hispanic	1	s	s	S	S	0	0	0	0	0%
Asian or Pacific Islander	1	s	s	S	S	0	0	0	0	0%
White	209	S	S	S	S	181	S	S	S	S
Total	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Small Group Totals (s)	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Results by Disability Status										
General-education students	201	21	169	4	97%	156	17	103	0	77%
Students with disabilities	11	1	3	1	45%	26	0	7	7	54%
Total	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Results by Gender										
Female	111	12	90	3	95%	92	5	61	3	75%
Male	101	10	82	2	93%	90	12	49	4	72%
Total	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Results by English Proficiency	Status							I	ı	
English proficient	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Limited English proficient	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Results by Income Level		l.			•			•		
Economically disadvantaged	47	8	35	1	94%	46	4	26	2	70%
Not disadvantaged	165	14	137	4	94%	136	13	84	5	75%
Total	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Results by Migrant Status										
Migrant family	0	0	0	0	0%	0	0	0	0	0%
Not migrant family	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%
Total	212	22	172	5	94%	182	17	110	7	74%

#### **Cohort Graduation Rates**

Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local diploma with or without a Regents endorsement by August 31<sup>st</sup> of the fourth year after first entering grade 9. The graduation-rate cohort includes students who transferred to general education development (GED) programs. These students were not counted in the district accountability cohort for English and mathematics.

	1998 Col	nort as of	1999 Cohort as of			
	August	31, 2002	August	31, 2003		
Student Subgroup	Graduation Rate Cohort	Graduation Rate	Graduation Rate Cohort	Graduation Rate		
Results by Race/Ethnicity						
American Indian/Alaskan Native	0	0%	2	S		
Black	3	S	0	0%		
Hispanic	3	S	1	S		
Asian or Pacific Islander	3	S	1	S		
White	195	88%	218	S		
Total	204	87%	222	85%		
Small Group Totals (s)	9	78%	222	85%		
Results by Disability Status						
General-education students	190	93%	209	89%		
Students with disabilities	14	7%	13	23%		
Total	204	87%	222	85%		
Results by Gender						
Female	109	93%	117	88%		
Male	95	81%	105	81%		
Total	204	87%	222	85%		
Results by English Proficiency St	atus					
English proficient	204	87%	222	85%		
Limited English proficient	0	0%	0	0%		
Total	204	87%	222	85%		
Results by Income Level						
Economically disadvantaged	52	81%	44	86%		
Not disadvantaged	152	89%	178	84%		
Total	204	87%	222	85%		
Results by Migrant Status						
Migrant family	0	0%	0	0%		
Not migrant family	204	87%	222	85%		
Total	204	87%	222	85%		

#### Glossary

Accountability Cohort: An accountability cohort is all students, regardless of grade status, who were enrolled in school on BEDS day two years after the year in which they first entered grade 9, or, in the case of ungraded students with disabilities, the year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. (For example, the 1999 accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered grade 9 in the fall of 1999 who were enrolled on October 3, 2001). Certain students are not included in the school accountability cohort. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (8) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Component Retests: Component retests were offered in Regents English and Mathematics A to certain students who were at risk of not meeting the State learning standards. Component retesting is the process by which a student who has failed a Regents examination in English or Mathematics A twice is retested only on the areas of the learning standards in which the student has been proven deficient. Component retesting eliminates the need for the student to retake the full Regents examination multiple times. Students who earn credit through component retesting are counted as if they scored in the 55–64 range or in the 65–84 range on the Regents examination, as determined by the component retest results.

Counts of Students Tested: "Counts of Students Tested" includes only students who completed sufficient test questions to receive a score.

**Graduation-Rate Cohort:** Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a general education development (GED) program.

Limited English Proficient (LEP) Students: Schools provide special English instruction to students for whom English is a second language so they can participate effectively in the academic program. In 2002–03 and in previous years, students were considered LEP if, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry, they spoke a language other than English and (1) either understood and spoke little or no English or (2) scored at or below the 40<sup>th</sup> percentile on an English language assessment instrument. Beginning in 2003–04, students are considered LEP if, by reason of foreign birth or ancestry, they speak a language other than English and (1) either understand and speak little or no English or (2) score below a state-designated level of proficiency on the Language Assessment Battery-Revised (LAB-R) or the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT). The United States Department of Education has approved the use of the NYSESLAT as the required measure of language arts proficiency for LEP students in grades 4 and 8 who have attended school in the United States (not including Puerto Rico) for fewer than three consecutive years and for LEP students who have attended for four or five years and have received an exemption from the general assessment requirement.

**New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA):** The district Committee on Special Education designates students with severe disabilities who meet criteria established in Commissioner's Regulations to take the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA).

**Student Confidentiality/Suppressed Data (# and s):** To ensure student confidentiality, the Department does <u>not</u> publish data for groups with fewer than five students or data that would allow readers to easily determine the performance of a group with fewer than five students. In the *Overview*, the pound character (#) appears when fewer than five students in a group were tested. In the *Analysis*, when fewer than five students in a group (e.g., Hispanic) were tested, percentages of tested students scoring at various levels are suppressed for that group and the next smallest group. Suppressed data are indicated with an **(s)**. However, the performance of tested students in these groups is aggregated and shown in the Small Group Total row.

Validity and Reliability of Small Group Data: It is important that programmatic decisions are based on valid and reliable data. Data for fewer than 30 students in a group may be neither valid nor reliable. If a school does not have 30 students in a grade or a subgroup in a given year, the school should evaluate results for students in this group over a period of years to make programmatic decisions.