## **New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report**

BEDS Code: 28-02-03-03-0011 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: East Meadow High School

Principal: Mark Scher

### **Fall Enrollment**

Grade	2002-03	2003–04	2004–05
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
First	0	0	0
Second	0	0	0
Third	0	0	0
Fourth	0	0	0
Fifth	0	0	0
Sixth	0	0	0
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Seventh	0	0	0
Eighth	0	0	0
Ninth	422	403	443
Tenth	426	423	391
Eleventh	408	436	415
Twelfth	355	395	421
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12 Enrollment	1611	1657	1670

**Student Racial/Ethnic Origin** 

	2002	2–03	2003	3–04	2004–05	
Race/Ethnicity	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander	179	11.1%	174	10.5%	184	11.0%
Black (Not Hispanic)	50	3.1%	53	3.2%	44	2.6%
Hispanic	126	7.8%	147	8.9%	162	9.7%
White (Not Hispanic)	1256	78.0%	1283	77.4%	1280	76.6%

Average Class Size

Average Class Size			
Grade Level	2002–03	2003-04	2004–05
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Common Branch	0	0	0
English Grade 8	28	0	0
Mathematics Grade 8	0	0	0
Science Grade 8	23	0	0
Social Studies Grade 8	0	0	0
English Grade 10	23	25	21
Mathematics Grade 10	19	25	17
Science Grade 10	21	3	0
Social Studies Grade 10	25	22	24

(Form - A)

**District Need to Resource Capacity Category** 

N/RC Category	Description
5	This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

**Similar School Group and Description** 

Similar School Group	Description		
49	All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts.		

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

	2002–03 Count Percent		2003-04		2004-05	
			Count	Percent	Count	Percent
Limited English Proficient	46	2.9%	49	3.0%	44	2.6%
Eligible for Free Lunch	49	3.0%	47	2.8%	66	4.0%

**Attendance and Suspension** 

	2001–02  No. of % of Students Enroll.		2002	2–03	2003–04	
			No. of % of Students Enroll.		No. of % of Students Enroll.	
Annual Attendance Rate		92.3%		95.4%		96.2%
Student Suspensions	75	4.7%	72	4.5%	91	5.5%

## **Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators**

(Percent of Enrollment)

(1 er cent of 2m omment)								
	2002-03	2003-04	2004–05					
Reduced Lunch	2.9%	2.8%	4.0%					
Public Assistance	1-10%	1-10%	1-10%					
Student Stability	98%	100%	99%					

#### **Staff Counts**

Staff	2004-05
Total Teachers	132
Total Other Professional Staff	25
Total Paraprofessionals	NA
Teaching Out of Certification*	0

<sup>\*</sup>Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

## **High School Graduates and Noncompleters**

High School	Graduates and Completers	2002–03	2003-04	2004–05
	Total Graduates*	311	364	364
Comonal	Total Graduates*   311   36	269	322	
	% Regents Diplomas	67%	74%	88%
	Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation**			220
Students	% Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation			60%
	IEP Diplomas or Local Certificates			
	Total Graduates*	0	13	25
C4d-o4	Regents Diplomas	0	1	8
Students with	% Regents Diplomas	0%	8%	32%
	Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation**			2
Disabilities	% Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation			8%
	IEP Diplomas or Local Certificates	1	0	1
	Total Graduates*	311	377	389
	Regents Diplomas	208	270	330
All Students	% Regents Diplomas	Certificates	85%	
An Students	Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation**		222	
	% Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation			57%
		1	0	1

<sup>\*</sup> Only students awarded local diplomas or Regents endorsed diplomas are included in the count of high school graduates in this table. Data include graduates in August, January and June of the school year being reported.

Post-Secondary Plans of 2004-05 Graduates

1 obt becomenly 1 min of 2001 of Gradutes									
		4-year College	2-year College	Other Post- Secondary	Military	Employment	Adult Services	Plan Unknown	Other Known Plan
General- Education	Number	230	125	4	0	1	0	0	4
Students	Percent	63%	34%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%
Students with	Number	8	15	2	0	0	0	0	0
Disabilities <b>Disabilities</b>	Percent	32%	60%	8%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
All	Number	238	140	6	0	1	0	0	4
Students	Percent	61%	36%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%

**High School Noncompletion Rates** 

	•	2002	2–03	2003–04		2004	1–05
		No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.	No. of Students	% of Enroll.
General-	Dropped Out	15		4	0.3%	4	0.3%
Education	Entered GED Program*	10		5	0.3%	19	1.2%
Students	Total Noncompleters	25		9	0.6%	23	1.5%
Students with	Dropped Out	0		0	0.0%	1	0.7%
Disabilities	Entered GED Program*	0		2	1.5%	5	3.3%
Disabilities	Total Noncompleters	0		2	1.5%	6	4.0%
All Students	Dropped Out	15	0.9%	4	0.2%	5	0.3%
	Entered GED Program*	10	0.6%	7	0.4%	24	1.4%
Students	Total Noncompleters	25	1.6%	11	0.7%	29	1.7%

<sup>\*</sup>The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Beginning with students who first entered grade 9 in 2001–02, students may earn a Regents diploma by passing (scoring 65–100) Regents exams in five areas and meeting course requirements. To earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation, the student must pass eight Regents exams. For more information, see <a href="http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/parents/gradreqtsfs.shtml">http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/parents/gradreqtsfs.shtml</a>.

# **Second Language Proficiency Examinations**

## **General-Education Students**

Tost	2002	2–03	2003	3–04	2004–05		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	43	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	63	100%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	181	98%	0	0%	0	0%	

## **Students with Disabilities**

Tost	200	2–03	2003	3–04	2004–05		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	% Passing	
French	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
German	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Italian	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Latin	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Spanish	3	#	0	0%	0	0%	

# **Regents Competency Tests**

### **General-Education Students**

Test	2002–03		2003	3–04	2004–05		
rest	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested		% Passing		
Mathematics	1	#	1	#	1	#	
Science	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Reading	0	0%	1	#	1	#	
Writing	0	0%	1	#	2	#	
Global Studies	0	0%	2	#	1	#	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	1	#	1	#	1	#	

### **Students with Disabilities**

Test	2002–03		2003	3–04	2004–05		
Test	No. Tested	% Passing	No. Tested	ested         % Passing         No. Tested           3         54%         11           0         40%         7           4         57%         16           5         60%         21	% Passing		
Mathematics	0	0%	13	54%	11	45%	
Science	2	#	20	40%	7	43%	
Reading	0	0%	14	57%	16	88%	
Writing	0	0%	5	60%	21	76%	
Global Studies	0	0%	18	44%	12	17%	
U.S. Hist & Gov't	0	0%	14	79%	13	46%	

 $\overline{(Form - E)}$ 

**Regents Examinations** 

	Negents					
		All Students	S	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
	Compi	rehensive Eng	glish			
Number Tested	393	435	399	18	41	32
Number Scoring 55–100	375	406	385	12	23	21
Number Scoring 65–100	365	385	366	9	17	17
Number Scoring 85–100	166	184	190	0	2	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	95%	93%	96%	67%	56%	66%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	93%	89%	92%	50%	41%	53%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	42%	42%	48%	0%	5%	9%
	M	athematics A				•
Number Tested	461	466	410	38	37	43
Number Scoring 55–100	394	447	401	23	29	37
Number Scoring 65–100	352	428	368	17	24	26
Number Scoring 85–100	183	171	150	2	2	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	85%	96%	98%	61%	78%	86%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	76%	92%	90%	45%	65%	60%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	40%	37%	37%	5%	5%	5%
referrings of rested scoring of 100		athematics B	3770	270	270	570
Number Tested	173	254	272	1	3	4
Number Scoring 55–100	167	248	259	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	155	240	231	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	52	102	52	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	97%	98%	95%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	90%	94%	85%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	30%	40%	19%	#	#	#
Telegrape of Tested Scoting 65 100		story and Geo				
Number Tested	438	441	399	38	35	35
Number Scoring 55–100	395	410	370	19	26	26
Number Scoring 65–100	371	376	332	16	17	15
Number Scoring 85–100	144	182	128	1	3	3
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	90%	93%	93%	50%	74%	74%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	85%	85%	83%	42%	49%	43%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	33%	41%	32%	3%	9%	9%
Tereentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		ory and Gover		370	7/0	770
Number Tested	402	417	412	20	34	32
Number Scoring 55–100	386	393	382	13	23	20
Number Scoring 55–100	368	375	361	11	20	14
Number Scoring 85–100	198	209	209	1	5	5
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	94%	93%	65%	68%	62%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	92%	94%	88%	55%	59%	44%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	49%	50%	51%	5%	15%	16%
reflectinge of Tested Scotting 83–100	49%	JU%	J1%	J%	13%	10%

(Form - F)

**Regents Examinations** 

		All Students	5	Stude	nts with Disa	bilities
	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05
	Livin	g Environme	ent	_		
Number Tested	447	313	366	39	52	43
Number Scoring 55–100	437	293	357	33	42	41
Number Scoring 65–100	419	259	326	26	28	24
Number Scoring 85–100	101	67	80	3	0	2
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	98%	94%	98%	85%	81%	95%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	94%	83%	89%	67%	54%	56%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	23%	21%	22%	8%	0%	5%
	Physical S	etting/Earth	Science			
Number Tested	296	444	467	10	30	51
Number Scoring 55–100	277	407	421	9	23	35
Number Scoring 65–100	254	354	372	5	18	21
Number Scoring 85–100	122	113	130	0	5	0
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	94%	92%	90%	90%	77%	69%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	86%	80%	80%	50%	60%	41%
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	41%	25%	28%	0%	17%	0%
	Physical	Setting/Cher	nistry			
Number Tested	310	283	263	1	2	4
Number Scoring 55–100	299	275	260	#	#	#
Number Scoring 65–100	234	219	222	#	#	#
Number Scoring 85–100	69	38	43	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	96%	97%	99%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	75%	77%	84%	#	#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	22%	13%	16%	#	#	#
	Physica	al Setting/Phy	ysics			
Number Tested		73	117		1	2
Number Scoring 55–100		68	102		#	#
Number Scoring 65–100		65	87		#	#
Number Scoring 85–100		23	39		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100		93%	87%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100		89%	74%		#	#
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100		32%	33%		#	#

<sup>\*</sup> Physical Setting/Physics results for 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in that year.

(Form - G)

**Regents Examinations** 

	Regents	e Exami	nauons	)				
		All Students			Students with Disabilities			
	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05	2002-03	2003-04	2004-05		
	Comp	rehensive Fre	nch					
Number Tested	0	37	31	0	1	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	37	29	0	#	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	37	29	0	#	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	13	21	0	#	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	94%	0%	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	94%	0%	#	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	35%	68%	0%	#	0%		
	Comp	rehensive Ital	lian					
Number Tested	0	75	68	0	0	2		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	75	67	0	0	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	75	66	0	0	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	50	26	0	0	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	100%	99%	0%	0%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	100%	97%	0%	0%	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	67%	38%	0%	0%	#		
	Compr	ehensive Ger	man					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
	Compr	ehensive Heb	rew					
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
	Compr	ehensive Spa						
Number Tested	5	181	160	0	1	2		
Number Scoring 55–100	5	181	160	0	#	#		
Number Scoring 65–100	4	181	159	0	#	#		
Number Scoring 85–100	3	128	90	0	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	100%	100%	100%	0%	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	80%	100%	99%	0%	#	#		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	60%	71%	56%	0%	#	#		
	_	rehensive La			1			
Number Tested	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 55–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 65–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Number Scoring 85–100	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		
Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%		

(Form – H)

# New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2004–05

		Count of Students										
Test	Tested	Not Tested	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4						
Elementary Level												
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Middle Level												
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
		Secondary I	Level									
English Language Arts	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Social Studies	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Mathematics	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Science	0	0	0	0	0	0						

2001 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

2001 Conort I children on his Engine Engineering witch I can be a second of the second												
	General-	Education	Students	Studen	Students with Disabilities			All Students				
	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science	Global History & Geo.	U.S. History & Gov't	Science			
Cohort Enrollment	370	370	370	28	28	28	398	398	398			
Number Scoring 55–64	18	11	8	4	3	2	22	14	10			
Number Scoring 65–84	198	151	198	13	13	21	211	164	219			
Number Scoring 85–100	147	204	163	1	3	1	148	207	164			
Approved Alternatives	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

(Form - J)

# New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)\*

		All Students		Stude	ents with Disab	oilities						
	2002-03	2003-04	2004–05	2002-03	2003-04	2004–05						
Listening and Speaking (Grade 7–8)												
Number Tested		0	0		0	0						
Beginning		0	0		0	0						
Intermediate		0	0		0	0						
Advanced		0	0		0	0						
Proficient		0	0		0	0						
	Read	ing and Writir	ng (Grade 7–8)	ı								
Number Tested		0	0		0	0						
Beginning		0	0		0	0						
Intermediate		0	0		0	0						
Advanced		0	0		0	0						
Proficient		0	0		0	0						
	Listeni	ng and Speaki	ng (Grade 9–1	2)								
Number Tested		40	37		0	0						
Beginning		2	6		0	0						
Intermediate		10	14		0	0						
Advanced		16	13		0	0						
Proficient		12	4		0	0						
	Readi	ing and Writin	g (Grade 9–12	)								
Number Tested		40	37		0	0						
Beginning		5	3		0	0						
Intermediate		11	14		0	0						
Advanced		22	12		0	0						
Proficient Control of the Proficient	1: 4 20	2	8	. 1 . C 11	0	0						

<sup>\*</sup>The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)