New York State School Report Card Comprehensive Information Report

BEDS Code: 63-08-01-04-0001 Grade Range: 9-12

Name: Hadley-Luzerne High School

Principal: Beecher Baker Jr

Fall Enrollment

| Grade | 2002–03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 |
|-----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Pre-K | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| First | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Second | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Third | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fourth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fifth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sixth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ungraded Elementary | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Seventh | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Eighth | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ninth | 93 | 103 | 83 |
| Tenth | 94 | 90 | 90 |
| Eleventh | 87 | 87 | 81 |
| Twelfth | 84 | 76 | 85 |
| Ungraded Secondary | 9 | 11 | 17 |
| Total K-12 Enrollment | 367 | 367 | 356 |

Student Racial/Ethnic Origin

| | 200 | 2–03 | 2003 | 3–04 | 2004–05 | |
|--|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------|
| Race/Ethnicity | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students | % of Enroll. |
| American Indian, Alaskan, Asian, or Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.5% | 3 | 0.8% |
| Black (Not Hispanic) | 3 | 0.8% | 5 | 1.4% | 2 | 0.6% |
| Hispanic | 3 | 0.8% | 6 | 1.6% | 1 | 0.3% |
| White (Not Hispanic) | 361 | 98.4% | 354 | 96.5% | 350 | 98.3% |

Average Class Size

| Average Class Size | | | |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Grade Level | 2002-03 | 2003–04 | 2004–05 |
| Kindergarten | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Common Branch | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| English Grade 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mathematics Grade 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Science Grade 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Social Studies Grade 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| English Grade 10 | 16 | 15 | 12 |
| Mathematics Grade 10 | 0 | 18 | 0 |
| Science Grade 10 | 0 | 18 | 12 |
| Social Studies Grade 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

(Form - A)

District Need to Resource Capacity Category

| N/RC Category | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 5 | This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. |

Similar School Group and Description

| Similar School Group | Description |
|----------------------|--|
| 50 | All schools in this group are secondary level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for secondary level schools in these districts. |

All schools within the same N/RC category are divided into three similar school groups defined by the percentage of students in the school who are eligible for the free-lunch program and/or who are limited English proficient (also known as English language learners).

Student Demographics Used To Determine Similar Schools Group

| Statum 2 time 8 to protect to 2 titlimit 8 times 8 to p | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| | 2002–03 Count Percent | | 2003-04 | | 2004–05 | | | | |
| | | | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 0 | 0.0% | 0 | 0.0% | 2 | 0.6% | | | |
| Eligible for Free Lunch | 67 | 18.3% | 74 | 20.2% | 42 | 11.8% | | | |

Attendance and Suspension

| | 2001–02 | | 2002 | 2–03 | 2003-04 | |
|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------|
| | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of % of Students Enroll. | | No. of % of Students Enroll. | |
| Annual Attendance Rate | | 93.8% | | 93.8% | | 95.1% |
| Student Suspensions | 6 | 1.7% | 12 | 3.3% | 0 | 0.0% |

Student Socioeconomic and Stability Indicators

(Percent of Enrollment)

| (2 02 00 02 22 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 | | | | | |
| Reduced Lunch | 6.5% | 9.0% | 4.2% | | | | | |
| Public Assistance | 21-30% | 21-30% | 11-20% | | | | | |
| Student Stability | 100% | 96% | 96% | | | | | |

Staff Counts

| Staff | 2004–05 |
|--------------------------------|---------|
| Total Teachers | 28 |
| Total Other Professional Staff | 2 |
| Total Paraprofessionals | NA |
| Teaching Out of Certification* | 0 |

^{*}Teaching out of certification more than on an incidental basis.

(Form - B)

High School Graduates and Noncompleters

| High School | l Graduates and Completers | 2002–03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 |
|----------------------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|
| | Total Graduates* | 66 | 74 | 58 |
| Comonal | Regents Diplomas | 41 | 37 | 48 |
| General- Education | % Regents Diplomas | 62% | 50% | 83% |
| Students | Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation** | | | 28 |
| Students | % Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation | | | 48% |
| | IEP Diplomas or Local Certificates | | | |
| | Total Graduates* | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Ct. Janta | Regents Diplomas | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Students with Disabilities | % Regents Diplomas | 20% | 0% | 67% |
| | Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation** | | | 0 |
| Disabilities | % Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation | | | 0% |
| | IEP Diplomas or Local Certificates | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| | Total Graduates* | 71 | 75 | 61 |
| | Regents Diplomas | 42 | 37 | 50 |
| All Students | % Regents Diplomas | 59% | 49% | 82% |
| | Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation** | | | 28 |
| | % Regents Diplomas with Advanced Designation | | | 46% |
| | IEP Diplomas or Local Certificates | 4 | 4 | 5 |

^{*} Only students awarded local diplomas or Regents endorsed diplomas are included in the count of high school graduates in this table. Data include graduates in August, January and June of the school year being reported.

Post-Secondary Plans of 2004-05 Graduates

| | | 4-year College | 2-year College | Other Post- Secondary | Military | Employment | Adult Services | Plan Unknown | Other Known Plan |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| General- Education | Number | 24 | 27 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Students | Percent | 41% | 47% | 2% | 3% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 2% |
| Students with | Number | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Disabilities | Percent | 0% | 33% | 0% | 33% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 33% |
| All | Number | 24 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Students | Percent | 39% | 46% | 2% | 5% | 5% | 0% | 0% | 3% |

High School Noncompletion Rates

| | • | 2002–03 | | 2003–04 | | 2004 | I –05 |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students | % of Enroll. | No. of Students | % of Enroll. |
| General- | Dropped Out | 7 | | 2 | 0.6% | 5 | 1.6% |
| Education | Entered GED Program* | 2 | | 12 | 3.9% | 10 | 3.3% |
| Students | Total Noncompleters | 9 | | 14 | 4.5% | 15 | 4.9% |
| Students with | Dropped Out | 2 | | 5 | 8.1% | 1 | 2.1% |
| Disabilities | Entered GED Program* | 1 | | 4 | 6.5% | 2 | 4.3% |
| Disabilities | Total Noncompleters | 3 | | 9 | 14.5% | 3 | 6.4% |
| All Students | Dropped Out | 9 | 2.5% | 7 | 1.9% | 6 | 1.7% |
| | Entered GED Program* | 3 | 0.8% | 16 | 4.3% | 12 | 3.4% |
| Students | Total Noncompleters | 12 | 3.3% | 23 | 6.2% | 18 | 5.1% |

^{*}The number and percentage of students who left a program leading to a high school diploma and entered a program leading to a high school equivalency diploma.

(Form - C)

^{**}Beginning with students who first entered grade 9 in 2001–02, students may earn a Regents diploma by passing (scoring 65–100) Regents exams in five areas and meeting course requirements. To earn a Regents diploma with advanced designation, the student must pass eight Regents exams. For more information, see http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/parents/gradreqtsfs.shtml.

Career Development and Occupational Studies (CDOS)

Percentage of Students Documenting Self- and

Career-Awareness Information and Career Exploration Activities, K-3

| Grades | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| K-1 | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| 2–3 | 0% | 0% | 0% |

Students Developing a Career Plan, 4-12

| Grades | | 2002–03 | 2003–04 | 2004–05 |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|
| | Number of General-Education Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.5 | Number of Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4–5 | Number of All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Percent of Enrollment | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Number of General-Education Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| <i>(</i> 0 | Number of Students with Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6–8 | Number of All Students | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | Percent of Enrollment | 0% | 0 0 0 0% 0 0 | 0% |
| | Number of General-Education Students | 300 | 0 | 0 |
| 0.12 | Number of Students with Disabilities | 58 | 0 | 11 |
| 9–12 | Number of All Students | 358 | 0 | 11 |
| | Percent of Enrollment | 98% | 0% | 3% |

(Form - D)

Second Language Proficiency Examinations

General-Education Students

| Test | 200 | 2–03 | 2003 | 3–04 | 2004–05 | | |
|---------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| Test | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | |
| French | 34 | 88% | 22 | 95% | 0 | 0% | |
| German | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Italian | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Latin | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Spanish | 37 | 86% | 17 | 53% | 13 | 100% | |

Students with Disabilities

| Test | 200 | 2–03 | 200 | 3–04 | 2004–05 | | |
|---------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| Test | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | |
| French | 2 | # | 1 | # | 0 | 0% | |
| German | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Italian | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Latin | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Spanish | 2 | # | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |

Regents Competency Tests

General-Education Students

| General-Education | on Students | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| Test | 2002-03 | | 2003 | 3–04 | 2004–05 | | |
| rest | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Science | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Reading | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| Writing | 0 | 0% | 1 | # | 0 | 0% | |
| Global Studies | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | |
| U.S. Hist & Gov't | 0 | 0% | 1 | # | 0 | 0% | |

Students with Disabilities

| Test | 2002–03 | | 2003 | 3–04 | 2004–05 | | |
|-------------------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| Test | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | No. Tested | % Passing | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0% | 7 | 0% | 2 | # | |
| Science | 4 | # | 1 | # | 1 | # | |
| Reading | 4 | # | 6 | 0% | 3 | # | |
| Writing | 0 | 0% | 5 | 0% | 3 | # | |
| Global Studies | 0 | 0% | 2 | # | 9 | 11% | |
| U.S. Hist & Gov't | 0 | 0% | 1 | # | 2 | # | |

 $\overline{\text{(Form - E)}}$

Regents Examinations

| | Negents | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|---------------|----------|
| | | All Students | | | nts with Disa | bilities |
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 |
| | Compi | rehensive Eng | glish | | | |
| Number Tested | 72 | 92 | 86 | 6 | 17 | 6 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 65 | 79 | 83 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 56 | 70 | 67 | 2 | 5 | 3 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 31 | 19 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 90% | 86% | 97% | 67% | 41% | 83% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 78% | 76% | 78% | 33% | 29% | 50% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 43% | 21% | 30% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | M | athematics A | | | | • |
| Number Tested | 61 | 144 | 93 | 3 | 20 | 8 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 57 | 139 | 89 | # | 15 | 7 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 50 | 126 | 81 | # | 14 | 5 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 27 | 27 | 20 | # | 2 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 93% | 97% | 96% | # | 75% | 88% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 82% | 88% | 87% | # | 70% | 62% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 44% | 19% | 22% | # | 10% | 0% |
| | | athematics B | 1 | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 29 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 28 | 30 | 0 | 0 | # |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 26 | 18 | 0 | 0 | # |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 97% | 70% | 0% | 0% | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 90% | 42% | 0% | 0% | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 17% | 12% | 0% | 0% | # |
| | | story and Geo | | | | l |
| Number Tested | 92 | 94 | 103 | 21 | 8 | 12 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 80 | 77 | 83 | 12 | 6 | 4 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 70 | 52 | 64 | 10 | 4 | 3 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 24 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 87% | 82% | 81% | 57% | 75% | 33% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 76% | 55% | 62% | 48% | 50% | 25% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 26% | 10% | 9% | 19% | 0% | 0% |
| | | ory and Gover | | | | |
| Number Tested | 79 | 73 | 77 | 6 | 9 | 5 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 78 | 71 | 71 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 74 | 64 | 65 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 44 | 38 | 36 | 2 | 5 | 1 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 99% | 97% | 92% | 100% | 89% | 80% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 94% | 88% | 84% | 100% | 67% | 80% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 56% | 52% | 47% | 33% | 56% | 20% |

 $\overline{(Form - F)}$

Regents Examinations

| | | All Students | 5 | Students with Disabilities | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 |
| | Livin | g Environme | ent | | | |
| Number Tested | 90 | 79 | 69 | 23 | 5 | 7 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 83 | 78 | 67 | 17 | 5 | 5 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 79 | 72 | 61 | 14 | 4 | 3 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 32 | 15 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 92% | 99% | 97% | 74% | 100% | 71% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 88% | 91% | 88% | 61% | 80% | 43% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 36% | 19% | 26% | 9% | 0% | 0% |
| | Physical S | etting/Earth | Science | | | |
| Number Tested | 56 | 59 | 79 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 53 | 52 | 72 | # | # | 4 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 46 | 41 | 60 | # | # | 2 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 11 | 12 | 8 | # | # | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 95% | 88% | 91% | # | # | 80% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 82% | 69% | 76% | # | # | 40% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 20% | 20% | 10% | # | # | 0% |
| | Physical | Setting/Chen | nistry | | | |
| Number Tested | 38 | 44 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 36 | 44 | 33 | 0 | # | # |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 33 | 43 | 33 | 0 | # | # |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 0 | # | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 95% | 100% | 97% | 0% | # | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 87% | 98% | 97% | 0% | # | # |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 16% | 20% | 21% | 0% | # | # |
| | Physica | al Setting/Phy | sics | | | |
| Number Tested | | 21 | 16 | | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | | 18 | 16 | | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | | 16 | 15 | | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | | 1 | 7 | | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | | 86% | 100% | | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | | 76% | 94% | | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | | 5% | 44% | | 0% | 0% |

^{*} Physical Setting/Physics results for 2002–03 are not included in the report card because the Department issued new conversion charts for assessments administered in that year.

(Form - G)

Regents Examinations

| | Regents | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------|----------------|---------|----------|---------------|---------|
| | | All Students | | | nts with Disa | |
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 |
| | | rehensive Fre | | T | T | ı |
| Number Tested | 42 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 42 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 42 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 30 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 71% | 0% | 96% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Comp | rehensive Ital | ian | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Compr | ehensive Ger | man | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Compr | ehensive Heb | rew | | | |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | Compr | ehensive Spa | nish | | | |
| Number Tested | 32 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 32 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 32 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 20 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 100% | 0% | 100% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 100% | 0% | 93% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 62% | 0% | 64% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| | | rehensive La | | | I. | ı |
| Number Tested | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 55–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 65–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 55–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 65–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Percentage of Tested Scoring 85–100 | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

(Form – H)

New York State Alternate Assessments (NYSAA) 2004–05

| | | Count of Students | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|
| Test | Tested | Not Tested | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Level 4 | | | | |
| Elementary Level | | | | | | | | | | |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | Middle Level | | | | | | | | | |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| | | Secondary 1 | Level | | | | | | | |
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Science | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

2001 Cohort Performance on Regents Examinations after Four Years

| -001 0011010 | | | 011 110 | 2001 CONOTO I CITOTIMANCO ON TROSCINO LIMINIMATORIO MICCI I CONT | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | General- | Education | Students | Studen | ts with Disa | abilities | All Students | | | | | | | | | |
| | Global History & Geo. | U.S. History & Gov't | Science | Global History & Geo. | U.S. History & Gov't | Science | Global History & Geo. | U.S. History & Gov't | Science | | | | | | | |
| Cohort Enrollment | 69 | 69 | 69 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 77 | 77 | 77 | | | | | | | |
| Number Scoring 55–64 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Number Scoring 65–84 | 35 | 24 | 28 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 39 | 24 | 33 | | | | | | | |
| Number Scoring 85–100 | 23 | 38 | 39 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 25 | 42 | 40 | | | | | | | |
| Approved Alternatives | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | | | |

 $\overline{(Form - J)}$

New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Tests (NYSESLAT)*

| | | All Students | | Students with Disabilities | | | |
|---------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|--|
| | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 | 2002-03 | 2003-04 | 2004–05 | |
| | Listen | ing and Speak | ing (Grade 7–8 | 3) | ı | l | |
| Number Tested | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Beginning | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Intermediate | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Advanced | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Proficient | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | Read | ing and Writii | ng (Grade 7–8) |) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Beginning | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Intermediate | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Advanced | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Proficient | | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | Listeni | ng and Speaki | ng (Grade 9–1 | 2) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 2 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Beginning | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Intermediate | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Advanced | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Proficient | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| | Readi | ng and Writin | g (Grade 9–12 |) | | | |
| Number Tested | | 2 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Beginning | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Intermediate | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Advanced | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |
| Proficient | | # | 0 | | 0 | 0 | |

^{*}The NYSESLAT was first administered in the 2002–03 school year, but complete data for all school districts were not available until 2003–04.

(Form - L)