



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2005 – 06**

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**

District ID **022101040000**

Superintendent **DOUGLAS WYANT**

Telephone **(607) 356-3301**

Grades **K-12**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: [RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov](mailto:RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov)

## Use this report to:

### **1 Get District Profile information.**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2 Review District Accountability Status.**

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3 View School Accountability Status.**

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### **4 Review an Overview of District Performance.**

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	45	39	37
Grade 1	22	28	23
Grade 2	22	18	24
Grade 3	19	21	21
Grade 4	23	18	21
Grade 5	18	23	21
Grade 6	23	17	23
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	24	24	21
Grade 8	20	22	23
Grade 9	24	23	23
Grade 10	26	27	21
Grade 11	27	26	25
Grade 12	20	27	29
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	313	313	312

### Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Common Branch</b>	18	22	20
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	20	23	12
Mathematics	23	22	11
Science	21	22	11
Social Studies	20	23	12
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	27	27	
Mathematics	11	14	11
Science	26	29	11
Social Studies	13	14	13

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

## Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	92	29%	112	36%	109	35%
Reduced-Price Lunch	48	15%	39	12%	40	13%
Student Stability*	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	3	1%	2	1%	2	1%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	309	99%	311	99%	310	99%

\* Not available at the district level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate	96%		97%		96%	
Student Suspensions	7	N/A	13	4%	6	2%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers</b>			
Total Number of Core Classes	90	90	135
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
<b>Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate</b>			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
<b>Individuals Teaching Out of Certification</b>			
Number of Teachers	0	1	1
Percentage of Total	0%	4%	4%
<b>Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate</b>	4%	4%	4%

## Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	28	29	28
Total Other Professional Staff	2	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	6	6	6
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	2

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [(Count\ of\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Continuously\ Enrolled\ Tested\ Students]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times [(Count\ of\ Cohort\ Members\ Performing\ at\ Levels\ 2,\ 3,\ and\ 4 + the\ Count\ at\ Levels\ 3\ and\ 4) \div Count\ of\ All\ Cohort\ Members]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06\ PI + (200 - the\ 2005-06\ PI) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.



## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### ▲ Good Standing

##### Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

##### Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native						
Black or African American				–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	✓	✓		✓	✓	
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	–	–		–	–	
Limited English Proficient	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓		–	–	
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels

##### Federal

- Good Standing ▲
- Improvement (Year 1) ▲
- Improvement (Year 2) ▲
- Improvement (Year 3) ▲
- Improvement (Year 4) ▲
- Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲

##### State

- Good Standing ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■
- Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■



District WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

3 of 3

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (131:130)	✓	✓	100%	✓	155	113	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (0:0)							
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							
White (130:129)	✓	✓	100%	✓	154	113	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (21:21)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (77:77)	✓	✓	100%	✓	145	111	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 3 of 3						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

3 of 3

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06      2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (130:126)	✓	✓	100%	✓	160	77	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)							
Black or African American (0:0)							
Hispanic or Latino (3:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							
White (127:125)	✓	✓	100%	✓	161	77	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (22:21)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (76:73)	✓	✓	100%	✓	155	75	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 3 of 3						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP



Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status







## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (44:43)		Qualified		100%		186	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (43:43)		Qualified		100%		186	100	
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (7:7)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (26:25)		–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

## AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

2 of 2

Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (29:31)	✓	–	–	✓	194	137		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (28:30)	✓	–	–	✓	193	137		
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (4:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (5:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Secondary-Level Mathematics

### Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)



Good Standing

### Accountability Measures

2 of 2

Student groups making AYP in Mathematics



Made AYP

### Prospective Status

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005–06	2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (29:31)	✓	–	–	✓	197	129		
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (28:30)	✓	–	–	✓	197	129		
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (4:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (5:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	✓ 2 of 2							

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status



Made AYP



Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students  
to Determine AYP Status





## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion	Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (48)			98%	55%	
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		–	–	–	– –
Black or African American (0)					
Hispanic or Latino (0)					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)					
White (47)			98%	55%	
<b>Other Groups</b>					
Students with Disabilities (3)		–	–	–	– –
Limited English Proficient (0)					
Economically Disadvantaged (10)		–	–	–	– –
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1			

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3

# School Accountability Status

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## 2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.







Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
<div><div>▲</div><div>Good Standing</div></div>	
1 school identified 100% of total	
WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL	



District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance



Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.



English Language Arts	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
Grade 3	62%			21
Grade 4	70%			20
Grade 5	76%			21
Grade 6	67%			24
Grade 7	60%			20
Grade 8	35%			23


### Mathematics

Grade 3	77%		22
Grade 4	75%		20
Grade 5	65%		20
Grade 6	52%		23
Grade 7	68%		19
Grade 8	44%		25

### Science

Grade 4	100%		20
Grade 8	75%		24

Secondary Level	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
English	90%			31
Mathematics	94%			31

Graduation Rate	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
2002 Cohort	90%			31

## About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


## This District's N/RC Category:

### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>10%</b>				
Female	11	100%	82%	9%				
Male	10	60%	40%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	21	81%	62%	10%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	16	100%	81%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	5	20%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	21	81%	62%	10%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	16	75%	63%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	5	100%	60%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	21	81%	62%	10%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	1	–	–	N/A				
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>77%</b>	<b>5%</b>				
Female	12	92%	83%	8%				
Male	10	100%	70%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	21	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	22	95%	77%	5%				
General-Education Students	17	94%	82%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	60%	0%				
English Proficient	21	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	75%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	6	83%	83%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	22	95%	77%	5%				

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>70%</b>	<b>10%</b>				
Female	10	90%	60%	10%				
Male	10	100%	80%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	20	95%	70%	10%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	17	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	3	—	—	—				
English Proficient	20	95%	70%	10%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	11	91%	64%	18%				
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	78%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	20	95%	70%	10%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): <sup>†</sup> Grade 4	0				0			

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>25%</b>				
Female	10	100%	80%	30%				
Male	10	100%	70%	20%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	20	100%	75%	25%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	17	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	3	—	—	—				
English Proficient	20	100%	75%	25%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	64%	18%				
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	89%	33%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	20	100%	75%	25%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>33%</b>
Female	10	100%	100%	60%	10	100%	100%	40%
Male	10	100%	100%	90%	8	100%	100%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	20	100%	100%	75%	18	100%	100%	33%
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	17	—	—	—	17	—	—	—
Students with Disabilities	3	—	—	—	1	—	—	—
English Proficient	20	100%	100%	75%	18	100%	100%	33%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	100%	64%	11	100%	100%	45%
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	100%	89%	7	100%	100%	14%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	20	100%	100%	75%	18	100%	100%	33%

### NOTES


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



### Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>76%</b>	<b>10%</b>				
Female	12	100%	58%	17%				
Male	9	100%	100%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	21	100%	76%	10%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	18	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	3	—	—	—				
English Proficient	21	100%	76%	10%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	69%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	88%	13%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	21	100%	76%	10%				

#### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): <sup>†</sup> Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.



District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>65%</b>	<b>15%</b>				
Female	11	100%	64%	18%				
Male	9	100%	67%	11%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	19	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	20	100%	65%	15%				
General-Education Students	16	-	-	-				
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-				
English Proficient	20	100%	65%	15%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	67%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	8	100%	63%	13%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	20	100%	65%	15%				

This test was not given in 2004-05.

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0							

This test was not given in 2004-05.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>8%</b>				
Female	10	100%	70%	0%				
Male	14	93%	64%	14%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	24	96%	67%	8%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	22	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	2	—	—	—				
English Proficient	24	96%	67%	8%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	50%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	12	92%	83%	17%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	24	96%	67%	8%				


### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): <sup>†</sup> Grade 6	0							
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT****This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics****Results by Student Group**

	<b>2005-06 School Year</b>				<b>2004-05 School Year</b>			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>96%</b>	<b>52%</b>	<b>4%</b>				
Female	10	100%	40%	0%				
Male	13	92%	62%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	23	96%	52%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	21	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	2	—	—	—				
English Proficient	23	96%	52%	4%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	11	100%	36%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	12	92%	67%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	96%	52%	4%				

**NOTES**


The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

**Other Assessments**

	<b>2005-06 School Year</b>				<b>2004-05 School Year</b>			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>60%</b>	<b>5%</b>				
Female	12	92%	58%	8%				
Male	8	100%	63%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	20	95%	60%	5%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	17	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	3	—	—	—				
English Proficient	20	95%	60%	5%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	50%	10%				
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	70%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	20	95%	60%	5%				

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	—	—	—	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	0							
					N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>26%</b>				
Female	11	100%	82%	36%				
Male	8	100%	50%	13%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	19	100%	68%	26%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	16	–	–	–				
Students with Disabilities	3	–	–	–				
English Proficient	19	100%	68%	26%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	56%	22%				
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	80%	30%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	19	100%	68%	26%				

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>91%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>4%</b>				
Female	10	90%	30%	0%				
Male	13	92%	38%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	23	91%	35%	4%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	19	—	—	—				
Students with Disabilities	4	—	—	—				
English Proficient	23	91%	35%	4%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	36%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	33%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	23	91%	35%	4%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>8%</b>				
Female	11	82%	55%	9%				
Male	14	93%	36%	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	24	-	-	-				
Small Group Totals	25	88%	44%	8%				
General-Education Students	21	-	-	-				
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-				
English Proficient	25	88%	44%	8%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	16	88%	44%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	9	89%	44%	11%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	25	88%	44%	8%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			



District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>27%</b>
Female	10	100%	60%	20%	11	91%	82%	27%
Male	14	100%	86%	29%	11	100%	91%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American					1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	23	-	-	-	21	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	24	100%	75%	25%	22	95%	86%	27%
General-Education Students	20	-	-	-	19	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	24	100%	75%	25%	22	95%	86%	27%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	73%	27%	7	86%	57%	29%
Not Disadvantaged	9	100%	78%	22%	15	100%	100%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	24	100%	75%	25%	22	95%	86%	27%

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

Other Assessments	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**


## Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	0	6	9	3	18	664
Feb 2004	0	9	11	3	23	659
Feb 2003	2	6	11	1	20	647



### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	11	9	2	24	701
Jan 2004	1	5	10	4	20	710
Jan 2003	0	13	9	3	25	699

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for Mathematics


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

### Grade 4

#### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4      3-4      4  
Range: 602-810      637-810      678-810



#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:


Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	0	2	11	5	18	667
May 2004	0	1	18	3	22	665
May 2003	1	3	12	4	20	653

### Grade 8

#### This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4      3-4      4  
Range: 681-882      716-882      760-882



#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4      3-4      4




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	1	4	16	2	23	729
May 2004	1	5	11	3	20	733
May 2003	5	9	10	1	25	709

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>26%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>29%</b>
Female	17	100%	94%	29%	13	92%	92%	38%
Male	14	93%	86%	21%	18	89%	83%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	30	—	—	—	31	90%	87%	29%
Small Group Totals	31	97%	90%	26%				
General-Education Students	25	100%	96%	28%	29	—	—	—
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	67%	17%	2	—	—	—
English Proficient	31	97%	90%	26%	31	90%	87%	29%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	31	97%	90%	26%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	31	97%	90%	26%				

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	—	—	—	0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



## Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>19%</b>
Female	17	100%	100%	29%	13	92%	85%	23%
Male	14	93%	86%	29%	18	94%	89%	17%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	—	—	—				
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander								
White	30	—	—	—	31	94%	87%	19%
Small Group Totals	31	97%	94%	29%				
General-Education Students	25	100%	100%	36%	29	—	—	—
Students with Disabilities	6	83%	67%	0%	2	—	—	—
English Proficient	31	97%	94%	29%	31	94%	87%	19%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged								
Not Disadvantaged	31	97%	94%	29%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	31	97%	94%	29%				

### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	—	—	—	0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

## Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
<b>All Students</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>3%</b>
	<b>2001</b>	<b>31</b>	<b>90%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	2002	17	88%	0%	0%	6%	6%
	2001	13	92%	0%	8%	0%	0%
Male	2002	14	93%	0%	0%	7%	0%
	2001	18	89%	0%	0%	6%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	2002	1	—	—	—	—	—
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	2002	30	—	—	—	—	—
	2001	31	90%	0%	3%	3%	3%
Small Group Totals	2002	31	90%	0%	0%	6%	3%
General-Education Students	2002	25	96%	0%	0%	0%	4%
	2001	29	—	—	—	—	—
Students with Disabilities	2002	6	67%	0%	0%	33%	0%
	2001	2	—	—	—	—	—
English Proficient	2002	31	90%	0%	0%	6%	3%
	2001	31	90%	0%	3%	3%	3%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Disadvantaged	2002	31	90%	0%	0%	6%	3%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	31	90%	0%	0%	6%	3%


### NOTES

The — symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **WHITESVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
<b>All Students</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>3%</b>
Female	13	92%	0%	8%	0%	0%
Male	17	94%	0%	0%	0%	6%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	30	93%	0%	3%	0%	3%
Small Group Totals						
General-Education Students	29	–	–	–	–	–
Students with Disabilities	1	–	–	–	–	–
English Proficient	30	93%	0%	3%	0%	3%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	25	92%	0%	4%	0%	4%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	30	93%	0%	3%	0%	3%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.