

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL
District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
School ID 022401040003
Principal THOMAS SIMON
Telephone (716) 593-5510
Grades K-12

### This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

Get School Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

**2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **School Profile**

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	33	35	26
Grade 1	35	30	33
Grade 2	33	30	29
Grade 3	34	36	29
Grade 4	33	33	39
Grade 5	38	34	36
Grade 6	43	37	31
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	33	46	40
Grade 8	49	34	45
Grade 9	37	49	34
Grade 10	33	37	47
Grade 11	38	33	35
Grade 12	28	37	29
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	467	471	453

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	16	16
Grade 8			
English	22	16	23
Mathematics	23	16	23
Science	23	16	23
Social Studies	23	16	23
Grade 10			
English	31	13	23
Mathematics	18	17	23
Science	35	18	23
Social Studies	16	22	23

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **School Profile**

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	167	36%	152	32%	171	38%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	63	13%	90	19%	67	15%	
Student Stability*		96%		95%		97%	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	2	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	4	1%	6	1%	4	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	0%	2	0%	3	1%	
Asian or Native	1	0%	2	0%	2	0%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	459	98%	459	97%	443	98%	

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		93%		92%		92%
Student Suspensions	19	N/A	12	3%	25	5%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **School Profile**

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	139	127	177
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	2%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	1	1
Percentage of Total	0%	3%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	2%	3%	2%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	44	43	43
Total Other Professional Staff	6	7	7
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	1	1

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# **Staff Counts Information**

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml



#### English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Performance Index (PI)**

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to all New York State public schools)

#### School in Good Standing

A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### School in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### School in Corrective Action

A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### School Planning for Restructuring

A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### School Restructuring (Year 1)

A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

#### School Restructuring (Year 2)

A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

# Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
 Math	<b>♠</b> Good Standing	Math 🔥 Good Standing	
 Science	<b>↑</b> Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

#### **Title I Part A Funding**

#### Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

# On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_						
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••	• ••••••••	••••••	••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	•••••••	_		•••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	•••••		••••••	_	_ _	••••••		
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	~	•••••••	_		•••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1		

Restructuring (Year 2 & Above)

#### **Accountability Status Levels**

#### 

#### **AYP Status**

✓ Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives				
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> All Students (218:211)	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
	✓ ✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	151	114		2000 0/
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (3:3)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (211:204)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	151	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (21:20)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)						•••••••	•••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (129:123)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	141	113	•••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (215:208)	✓ ✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	153	78		2000 0/
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (3:3)	_	_	-	_	_	_		_
Hispania and atina (2.2)							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (208:201)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	154	78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (20:19)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								•••
Economically Disadvantaged (126:120)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	149	77		•••
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Partic		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup> All Students (82:77)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress	s Target
	✓ ✓	Qualified	<u> </u>	99%	<u>✓</u>	184	100	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)	••••••	_	_	-	_	_	-	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		_	_	_	_	_	_	• •• • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)						···· •			
White (78:73)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Qualified	<b>V</b>	99%	~	185	100	• •• • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (9:9)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					***************************************		•••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (45:42)	••••••	Qualified	<b>V</b>	100%	~	179	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 1 0	f 1							

#### **AYP Status**





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (27:61)	✓ ✓		-	✓ Criterion	174	142	2005 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)								•••	
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	
White (26:59)	<b>/</b>	_	-	<b>V</b>	173	141	••••••••	•••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (3:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)				•••••				•••	
Economically Disadvantaged (9:23)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2								

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- $^3$  For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target	
All Students (27:61)	✓ ✓	_	-	<u>/</u>	174	134		2000 07	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	
White (26:59)	<b>V</b>	_	- -	<b>V</b>	173	133	••••••••	••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (3:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							•••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (9:23)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2								

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
		The state of the s

### Prospective Status

This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (35)	~	<b>/</b>	94%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)				·····				
Black or African								
American (0)	. <b>.</b>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<b>.</b>		
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-	_	-		
Asian or Native	• • • • • • • •	••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Hawaiian/Other								
Pacific Islander (0)								
White (34)		<b>/</b>	94%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (14)		_		<del>-</del>	_	<del>-</del>		
Final AYP Determination	<b>V</b> 1	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Summary of 2005-06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ntage of s d at or abo	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0	%	50%	100%
Grade 3	47%			30
Grade 4	62%			37
Grade 5	86%			36
Grade 6	38%			29
Grade 7	53%			40
Grade 8	38%			45
Mathematics				
Grade 3	80%			30
Grade 4	95%			37
Grade 5	73%			37
Grade 6	41%			29
Grade 7	29%			38
Grade 8	34%			44
Science				
Grade 4	L00%			37
Grade 8	73%			44
		-	tudents that ove Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0	%	50%	100%
English	77%			31
Mathematics	68%			31
		ntage of s graduated	tudents	2002 Cohort
<b>Graduation Rate</b>	0	%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	84%		·	31

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

**Level 4**: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

#### What are Similar Schools?


Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

# This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



<b>Results by</b>	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	30	87%	47%	7%						
Female	15	93%	53%	13%						
Male	15	80%	40%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	••••••		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander										
White	30	87%	47%	7%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
General-Education Students	26	-	_	_						
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	-						
English Proficient	30	87%	47%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	20	80%	40%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	60%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	30	87%	47%	7%	••••••		••••••			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	J		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 0	2-4	3-4	4	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4 )4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent  New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-	2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percent	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	30	100%	80%	23%						
Female	15	100%	80%	20%						
Male	15	100%	80%	27%			••••••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	•••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••	•						
Hispanic or Latino				•••••	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander										
White	30	100%	80%	23%	This	test was not	given in 200	4-05.		
Small Group Totals	•••••									
General-Education Students	26	_	_	-						
Students with Disabilities	4		_	_	•••••					
English Proficient	30	100%	80%	23%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	20	100%	80%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	80%	50%	•••••••		•••••••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	30	100%	80%	23%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year						
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Tota	ıl	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Test	ed	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	37	97%	62%	3%									
Female	16 21	100%	75%	0%									
Male		21	21	21	21	21	95%	52%	5%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Black or African American	2	_	_	_									
Hispanic or Latino		••••	••••••		١١	lew asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••		а	nd midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age				
Pacific Islander					a	arts and mathematics were							
White	35	-	_	-	-		red in 2000						
Small Group Totals	37	97%	62%	3%			essments c		•				
General-Education Students	32	100%	63%	3%		•	d to results red assessr	•	ously				
Students with Disabilities	5	80%	60%	0%	a	ammste	reu assessi	nents.					
English Proficient	37	97%	62%	3%									
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••		•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	56%	0%									
Not Disadvantaged	19	95%	68%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Migrant													
Not Migrant	37	97%	62%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	20	2004-05 School Year						
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tes	ted	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	37	100%	95%	14%						
Female	16	100%	94%	13%						
Male	21	100%	95%	14%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	-	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••		New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ıry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••	••••	•••••	•••••••		and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age	
Pacific Islander						arts and mathematics were				
White	35	-	-	_			red in 200			
Small Group Totals	37	100%	95%	14%			essments c		-	
General-Education Students	32	100%	97%	9%		•	d to results red assessr	•	iously	
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	80%	40%		aummste	reu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	37	100%	95%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	94%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	19	100%	95%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	37	100%	95%	14%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	ır		2004–05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	37	100%	100%	46%	33	100%	94%	64%	
Female	16	100%	100%	50%	12	100%	92%	58%	
Male	21	100%	100%	43%	21	100%	95%	67%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	_	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino			•	•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	•••••••		••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander		<b>.</b>	. <b></b>						
White	35		_	_	33	100%	94%	64%	
Small Group Totals	37	100%	100%	46%					
General-Education Students	32	100%	100%	44%	32	-	_	-	
Students with Disabilities	5	100%	100%	60%	1	_	_	_	
English Proficient	37	100%	100%	46%	33	100%	94%	64%	
Limited English Proficient							•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	100%	37%	15	100%	87%	60%	
Not Disadvantaged	18	100%	100%	56%	18	100%	100%	67%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	37	100%	100%	46%	33	100%	94%	64%	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



<b>Results by</b>	2005-06	School Yea	ır	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	je scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	36	97%	86%	8%					
Female	14	93%	86%	7%					
Male	22	100%	86%	9%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		•••••	******				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		•••••	•••••				
Pacific Islander									
White	36	97%	86%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		•••••					
General-Education Students	34	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities	2	_	_						
English Proficient	36	97%	86%	8%					
Limited English Proficient	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	76%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	15	100%	100%	7%	•••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	36	97%	86%	8%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test	was not giv	on in 200	14-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent									
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004–05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
37	95%	73%	14%						
14	93%	71%	14%						
23	96%	74%	13%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • •					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•		
37	95%	73%	14%	This te	est was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•						
35	-	-	-						
2	_	_	_	• • • • • • •					
37	95%	73%	14%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			·		
21	90%	71%	10%						
16	100%	75%	19%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
37	95%	73%	14%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested  37  14  23  37  37  35  2  37  21  16	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  37 95%  14 93% 23 96%  37 95%  37 95%  35 - 2 - 37 95%  21 90% 16 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4  37 95% 73%  14 93% 71%  23 96% 74%  37 95% 73%  37 95% 73%  35 2 37 95% 73%  21 90% 71%  16 100% 75%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  37 95% 73% 14%  14 93% 71% 14%  23 96% 74% 13%  35 2 37 95% 73% 14%  21 90% 71% 10%  16 100% 75% 19%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  37 95% 73% 14%  14 93% 71% 14%  23 96% 74% 13%  This tested 35	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  37 95% 73% 14%  14 93% 71% 14%  23 96% 74% 13%  This test was not give the second seco	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  37 95% 73% 14%  14 93% 71% 14%  23 96% 74% 13%  This test was not given in 2004  35 2 37 95% 73% 14%  21 90% 71% 10%  16 100% 75% 19%		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	29	97%	38%	0%						
Female	17	100%	53%	0%						
Male	12	92%	17%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••							
Pacific Islander										
White	28	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	29	97%	38%	0%						
General-Education Students	28	_	-	_						
Students with Disabilities	1		_	_						
English Proficient	29	97%	38%	0%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	37%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	10	100%	40%	0%	••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	29	97%	38%	0%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 6	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested				Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	 04-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year						
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
29	90%	41%	0%						
17	94%	41%	0%						
12	83%	42%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
1		<del></del>	_				ľ		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		•••••					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••••		•••••			·		
28	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
29	90%	41%	0%				,		
28	-	-	-						
1	_	_	_				,		
29	90%	41%	0%						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	•••••••					İ		
19	95%	42%	0%						
10	80%	40%	0%	•••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
29	90%	41%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested  29 17 12  1  28 29 28 11 29 19 10	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  29 90%  17 94%  12 83%  1 -  28 -  29 90%  28 -  29 90%  28 -  1 -  29 90%  19 95%  10 80%	Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4  29 90% 41%  17 94% 41%  12 83% 42%  1  29 90% 41%  28  29 90% 41%  28  29 90% 41%  1  29 90% 41%  1 95% 42%  10 80% 40%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  29 90% 41% 0%  17 94% 41% 0%  12 83% 42% 0%  1  28  29 90% 41% 0%  28  29 90% 41% 0%  28  1  29 90% 41% 0%  19 95% 42% 0%  10 80% 40% 0%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  29 90% 41% 0%  17 94% 41% 0%  12 83% 42% 0%  1  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  21  29 90% 41% 0%  28  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  10 80% 40% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  29 90% 41% 0%  17 94% 41% 0%  12 83% 42% 0%  1  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  1  1  29 90% 41% 0%  19 95% 42% 0%  10 80% 40% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4  29 90% 41% 0%  17 94% 41% 0%  12 83% 42% 0%  1  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  29 90% 41% 0%  1  1  29 90% 41% 0%  10 80% 40% 0%		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	. Total	3-4	4					
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	40	95%	53%	10%					
Female	27	96%	52%	15%					
Male	13	92%	54%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	1	<del>-</del>		<del></del>	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••					
Pacific Islander									
White	38	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	40	95%	53%	10%					
General-Education Students	35	100%	60%	11%					
Students with Disabilities	5	60%	0%	0%					
English Proficient	40	95%	53%	10%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	24	96%	42%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	16	94%	69%	25%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	40	95%	53%	10%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total		oring at leve		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent									
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	38	82%	29%	3%					
Female	26	73%	31%	4%					
Male	12	100%	25%	0%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••						
Hispanic or Latino	1			-	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander									
White	36	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	38	82%	29%	3%					
General-Education Students	34	_	-	-					
Students with Disabilities	4		_	_	••••				
English Proficient	38	82%	29%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	22	77%	18%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	16	88%	44%	0%		••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	38	82%	29%	3%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	lumber scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	S Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	t	2-4	3-4	4	
45	98%	38%	0%						
14	100%	29%	0%						
31	97%	42%	0%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
2	_	-	-	Ne	w asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-	
•••••	••••	•••••••		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
43	_	_	-						
45	98%	38%	0%					•	
41	-	-	-		•		•	ously	
4		-	_	aui	mmste	reu assessi	nents.		
45	98%	38%	0%						
••••••	••••	•••••••		•••••					
27	96%	30%	0%						
18	100%	50%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
45	98%	38%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested  45  14  31  2  43  45  41  45  27  18	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  45 98%  14 100%  31 97%  2 -  43 -  45 98%  41 -  4 -  45 98%  27 96%  18 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4  45 98% 38%  14 100% 29%  31 97% 42%  2  43  45 98% 38%  41  45 98% 38%  41  45 98% 38%  27 96% 30%  18 100% 50%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  45 98% 38% 0%  14 100% 29% 0%  31 97% 42% 0%  2  43  45 98% 38% 0%  41  45 98% 38% 0%  41  45 98% 38% 0%  27 96% 30% 0%  18 100% 50% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 45 98% 38% 0% 14 100% 29% 0% 31 97% 42% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested  45 98% 38% 0%  14 100% 29% 0%  31 97% 42% 0%  2 New asse and midd arts and midd a	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  45 98% 38% 0%  14 100% 29% 0%  31 97% 42% 0%  2 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 these assessments of compared to results administered assessments of administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of a distribution of the compared to results administered assessments of the compared to results and the compared to results administered assessments of the compared to results and th	Total   Percentage scoring at level(s):   Total   Tested   2-4   3-4	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	ı		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	44	95%	34%	0%					
Female	15	100%	47%	0%					
Male	29	93%	28%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••						
Hispanic or Latino	2 – – New assessments for ele								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••		and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were				
White	42	-	_	-	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	44	95%	34%	0%	these assessments cannot be directl	•			
General-Education Students	40	-	-	-	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities	4		_	-	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	44	95%	34%	0%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	26	96%	31%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	18	94%	39%	0%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	44	95%	34%	0%					

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	er scoring at level(s	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This School's Results in Grade 8 Science



2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
44	100%	73%	16%	31	100%	84%	32%
15	100%	73%	7%	10	100%	80%	10%
29	100%	72%	21%	21	100%	86%	43%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••	••••••	1			<del></del>
			<u> </u>	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••
42	-	_	-	30	-	_	_
44	100%	73%	16%	31	100%	84%	32%
40	-	_	-	27	-	_	-
4	_	_	_	4		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>
44	100%	73%	16%	31	100%	84%	32%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••
26	100%	65%	15%	14	100%	71%	36%
18	100%	83%	17%	17	100%	94%	29%
44	100%	73%	16%	31	100%	84%	32%
	Total Tested  44  15  29  42  44  40  4  44  48  26  18	Total Percentag Tested 2-4  44 100% 15 100% 29 100%  2 -  42 -  44 100% 40 -  4 -  44 100% 26 100% 18 100%	Tested 2-4 3-4  44 100% 73%  15 100% 73%  29 100% 72%  2  42  44 100% 73%  40  44 100% 73%  40 73%  41 100% 73%  42 100% 73%  43 100% 83%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4  44 100% 73% 16%  15 100% 73% 7%  29 100% 72% 21%  2  42  44 100% 73% 16%  40  4 100% 73% 16%  40 73% 16%  26 100% 65% 15%  18 100% 83% 17%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested  44 100% 73% 16% 31  15 100% 73% 7% 10  29 100% 72% 21% 21  2  42  44 100% 73% 16% 31  40 27  4 4  44 100% 73% 16% 31  26 100% 65% 15% 14  18 100% 83% 17% 17	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4  44 100% 73% 16% 31 100%  15 100% 73% 7% 10 100%  29 100% 72% 21% 21 100%  1	Total Tested         Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested         2-4         3-4           44         100%         73%         16%         31         100%         84%           15         100%         73%         7%         10         100%         80%           29         100%         72%         21%         21         100%         86%           20         -         -         -         -         -         -         -           2         - </td


#### NOTES


Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
-	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring		oring at level	g at level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									
Regents Science	0				0				


School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.








Test Date	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	19	12	0	33	689
Jan 2004	6	27	12	3	48	689
Jan 2003	2	24	10	1	37	689


School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 0 13 20 690 May 2005 0 31 2 34 May 2004 1 658 May 2003 0 4 21 11 36 668



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	4	21	8	0	33	705	
May 2004	7	25	15	0	47	705	
May 2003	2	23	11	0	36	707	

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohoi	·t*		2001 Cohor	t*				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	31	81%	77%	32%	39	85%	67%	28%	
Female	10	80%	80%	30%	17	88%	82%	29%	
Male	21	81%	76%	33%	22	82%	55%	27%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino					1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	_	_			•	•••••	
White	30	_		<del></del>	38	_		<del></del>	
Small Group Totals	31	81%	77%	32%	39	85%	67%	28%	
General-Education Students	27	-	_	_	34	85%	71%	29%	
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	_	5	80%	40%	20%	
English Proficient	31	81%	77%	32%	39	85%	67%	28%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	67%	58%	17%					
Not Disadvantaged	19	89%	89%	42%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	31	81%	77%	32%	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohor	2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	31	77%	68%	19%	39	85%	79%	26%	
Female	10	80%	70%	20%	17	88%	76%	24%	
Male	21	76%	67%	19%	22	82%	82%	27%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	1	_	<del></del>	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_			•••••	••••••	
White	30	_	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	38	_	<del></del>	······	
Small Group Totals	31	77%	68%	19%	39	85%	79%	26%	
General-Education Students	27	-	_	-	34	88%	82%	26%	
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	_	5	60%	60%	20%	
English Proficient	31	77%	68%	19%	39	85%	79%	26%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	58%	50%	17%					
Not Disadvantaged	19	89%	79%	21%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	31	77%	68%	19%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number of Students	Number sco	oring at level 3–4	l(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0			·	0			· ·	

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002	Cohort
2001	Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	31	84%	3%	6%	0%	6%
	2001	39	85%	3%	3%	8%	3%
Female	2002	10	80%	0%	10%	0%	10%
	2001	17	88%	6%	0%	6%	0%
Male	2002	21	86%	5%	5%	0%	5%
	2001	22	82%	0%	5%	9%	5%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r						
White	2002	30	_	_	_	_	
	2001	38	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	31	84%	3%	6%	0%	6%
·	2001	39	85%	3%	3%	8%	3%
General-Education Students	2002	27	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	34	88%	0%	3%	6%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	5	60%	20%	0%	20%	0%
English Proficient	2002	31	84%	3%	6%	0%	6%
	2001	39	85%	3%	3%	8%	3%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	12	67%	8%	17%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	19	95%	0%	0%	0%	5%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	31	84%	3%	6%	0%	6%

#### **NOTES**

School SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL District SCIO CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



SchoolSimilar Schools

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	40	90%	3%	3%	0%	5%
Female	17	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Male	23	87%	0%	4%	0%	9%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	39	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	40	90%	3%	3%	0%	5%
General-Education Students	36	_	_	_	_	_
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	_	_	_
English Proficient	40	90%	3%	3%	0%	5%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	6%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	24	88%	0%	4%	0%	8%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	40	90%	3%	3%	0%	5%

#### NOTES