

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District MAINE-ENDWELL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 031101060000 Superintendent JOSEPH STONER Telephone (607) 754-1400 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	245	258	234
Grade 1	134	181	177
Grade 2	179	133	185
Grade 3	201	184	148
Grade 4	195	200	189
Grade 5	220	193	211
Grade 6	212	224	199
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	234	202	227
Grade 8	200	227	203
Grade 9	218	215	231
Grade 10	216	203	202
Grade 11	207	204	194
Grade 12	214	212	203
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2675	2636	2603

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	20	20
Grade 8			
English	20	21	20
Mathematics	20	22	19
Science	20	22	20
Social Studies	20	22	20
Grade 10			
English	20	22	26
Mathematics	21	22	19
Science	20	21	18
Social Studies	22	23	22

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	293	11%	308	12%	242	9%
Reduced-Price Lunch	154	6%	169	6%	126	5%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	8	0%	6	0%	11	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	2	0%	3	0%
Black or African American	43	2%	53	2%	74	3%
Hispanic or Latino	17	1%	12	0%	15	1%
Asian or Native	33	1%	36	1%	38	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2579	96%	2533	96%	2473	95%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	41	N/A	65	2%	80	3%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	558	591	795
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	3%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	3	2
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	7	5
Percentage of Total	1%	3%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	7%	8%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	213	220	230
Total Other Professional Staff	17	13	16
Total Paraprofessionals*	14	17	17
Assistant Principals	4	4	4
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

New York State Status

	plies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)		(Applies to New York State districts)				
^	District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en io	dentified as a District in Need of Improvement				
^	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.				
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.				
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.				

2 District Accountability

District MAINE-ENDWELL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	Good Standing							
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve					
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math	Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing				
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

fille i Part A Funding	fears the District Receiv	Tears the District Received Title Part A Funding				
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 ✓ 	v	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_						
Black or African American	 ✓ 	<		–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-		-	-	•••••		
White	 	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	✓	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 	•••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 5 of 5	🗸 5 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
							2005-06	2006-07
All Students (1191:1160)	<u> </u>		99%	<u> </u>	169	119		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (35:33)	<	-	-	~	133	105		••••
Hispanic or Latino (9:7)	_	_	_	–	-	-	••••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1130:1104)	<	✓	99%	 ✓ 	170	119		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (238:231)	✓ SH	~	98%	✓ SH	113	115	110	122
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								•••••
Economically Disadvantaged (241:220)	<	~	98%	~	139	115		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (1194:1161)			100%		169	83	2005-00	2000-07
 Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (34:32)	~	-	-	~	131	69		
Hispanic or Latino (8:6)	_	_	-	–	-	–	••• •••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1135:1106)	<	~	100%	 	170	83	••• •••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (238:232)	~	~	100%	~	116	79		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (245:221)	~	~	100%	~	141	79		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 5 of 5							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (397:385)	V	Qualified	v	99%	v	193	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (11:10)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (379:368)		Qualified	<	99%	~	193	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (77:75)		Qualified	~	97%	~	175	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (93:84)		Qualified	~	98%	~	189	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment

Made AYP

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	-		
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status		lested		index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (228:210)	V	V	96%	V	182	146				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (8:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (2:3)	_	_	-	-	-	–	–	–		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
White (213:194)	<	<	96%	 ✓ 	183	146	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (33:28)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)										
Economically Disadvantaged (28:27)	–	-	-	-	-	–	–	-		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2									

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (228:210)			96%		181	138	2005-00	2000-07	
 Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (8:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino (2:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (213:194)	✓	✓	97%	~	183	138	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (33:28)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (28:27)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (223)	~	v	88%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1)		-	-	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4)		_	-	-	_	-		
White (218)		<	89%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (12)		_	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)				••••				
Economically Disadvantaged (20)		_	_	_	-	_		
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1						

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

▲ Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total HOMER BRINK SCHOOL MAINE MEMORIAL SCHOOL MAINE-ENDWELL MIDDLE SCHOOL MAINE-ENDWELL SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		tage of stu at or abov		Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	6	50%	100%
Grade 3	83%			146
Grade 4	85%			186
Grade 5	77%			214
Grade 6	69%			200
Grade 7	69%			226
Grade 8	61%			204
Mathematics				
Grade 3	87%			150
Grade 4	90%			185
Grade 5	76%			214
Grade 6	65%			204
Grade 7	69%			228
Grade 8	65%			204
Science				
Grade 4	99%			186
Grade 8	90%			203
		itage of stu		2002
	scored	at or abov	e Level 3	<u>Cohort</u>
Secondary Level	0%	6	50%	100%
English	80%			238
Mathematics	82%			238
		itage of stu raduated	dents	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	6	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	76%		•	238

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):	Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780						
100%	98%	83%			92%	69%				
			1	2%			7%			
	143	121	1	18						
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	146	98%	83%	12%						
	64	100%	84%	16%						
	82	96%	82%	10%						
ve										
	6									
	3	-	-	-						
•••••	137	99%	85%	13%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
•••••	9	78%	44%	0%						
	136	99%	86%	13%						
•••••	10	90%	40%	0%						
	146	98%	83%	12%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •					
	28	93%	50%	0%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	118	99%	91%	15%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••			
	146	98%	83%	12%						
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 98% 143 2005-06 Se Total Tested 146 64 82 Ve 6 3 137 9 136 10 146 28 118	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-7 100% 98% 83% 98% 83% 83% 143 121 2005-06 St-Vear Total Percentage Tested 2-4 146 98% 64 100% 82 96% ve 6 3 - 137 99% 9 78% 136 99% 10 90% 146 98% 28 93% 118 99%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 7 100% 98% 83% 1 100% 98% 83% 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 143 121 1 1 146 98% 83% 1 137 96% 82% 1 9 78% 44% 1 136 99% 86% 1 10 90% 40% 1 146 98% 83% 1 128 93% 50% 1 28 93% <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 98% 83% 12% 100% 98% 83% 12% 143 121 18 zoo5-o6 Scbool Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 146 98% 83% 12% 64 100% 84% 16% 82 96% 82% 10% ve 6 - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 137 99% 85% 13% <</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 98% 83% 12% 92% 143 121 18 92% 92% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2004-05 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 146 98% 83% 12% 101 Total Tested 146 98% 83% 12% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13% 10% 13% 10% 118 99% 93% 10% 10% 118 118 99% 91% 15% 15% 118 118 118 118 118 12% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level(\$): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 98% 83% 12% 92% 69% 143 121 18 12% 69% 69% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(\$): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(\$): Total Percentage 146 98% 83% 12% 10% 64 100% 84% 16% 2-4 3 - - - - 3 - - - - 3 - - - - - 3 - - - - - - 137 99% 85% 13% - - - 136 99% 86% 13% - - - 10<</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 69% 69% 100% 98% 83% 12% 69% 69% 7% 7% 143 121 18 92% 69% 7% 7% 7% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 7% 7% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Percentage scoring</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 100% 98% 83% 12% 100% 98% 83% 12% 143 121 18 zoo5-o6 Scbool Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 146 98% 83% 12% 64 100% 84% 16% 82 96% 82% 10% ve 6 - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 3 - - - 137 99% 85% 13% <	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 98% 83% 12% 92% 143 121 18 92% 92% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2004-05 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 146 98% 83% 12% 101 Total Tested 146 98% 83% 12% 10% 10% 10% 10% 10% 13% 10% 13% 10% 118 99% 93% 10% 10% 118 118 99% 91% 15% 15% 118 118 118 118 118 12% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15% 15%	Percentage scoring at level(\$): Percentage scoring at level(\$): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 100% 98% 83% 12% 92% 69% 143 121 18 12% 69% 69% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(\$): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(\$): Total Percentage 146 98% 83% 12% 10% 64 100% 84% 16% 2-4 3 - - - - 3 - - - - 3 - - - - - 3 - - - - - - 137 99% 85% 13% - - - 136 99% 86% 13% - - - 10<	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 730-780 92% 69% 69% 100% 98% 83% 12% 69% 69% 7% 7% 143 121 18 92% 69% 7% 7% 7% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 7% 7% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Percentage scoring		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 684	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	99%	070/			94%					
			87%				81%				
				2	7%			25%			
Number of Students:		149	131		41						
							Seheel Vee				
Results by		2005-06 Se	Percentage		t lovol (c):		School Year	scoring at le	vol(c):		
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	vet(s): 4		
All Students		150	99%	87%	27%	Testeu	2-4	5-4	4		
Female		65	100%	86%	23%						
Male	••••	85	99%	88%	31%		•••••	•••••	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		6	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		4	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		÷				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			05		
White		140	100%	89%	27%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		10	90%	60%	30%						
General-Education Students		140	99%	89%	29%						
Students with Disabilities		10	100%	60%	10%						
English Proficient		150	99%	87%	27%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		31	100%	65%	16%						
Not Disadvantaged		119	99%	93%	30%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		150	99%	87%	27%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	est was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District	t		NY State	Public	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 685	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
	100%	96%	85%	17%	91%	69%	9%
Number of Students:		179	158	31			

2005-06 \$	School Yea	r	2004–05 School Year				
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4			
186	96 %	85%	17%				
89	97%	88%	20%				
97	96%	82%	13%				
1	-	-	-				
8	100%	63%	0%				
2	-	-	-	New assessments for elementary-			
2	-	-	-	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were			
173	96%	86%	16%	administered in 2006. Results from			
5	100%	80%	60%	these assessments cannot be directly			
152	100%	89%	18%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.			
34	79%	65%	12%	auministereu assessments.			
186	96%	85%	17%				
	••••						
46	91%	74%	4%				
140	98%	89%	21%				
186	96%	85%	17%				
	Total Tested 186 89 97 1 1 8 2 2 2 173 5 152 34 186 46 140	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 186 96% 89 97% 97 96% 1 - 8 100% 2 - 2 - 173 96% 152 100% 34 79% 186 96% 46 91% 140 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 186 96% 85% 89 97% 88% 97 96% 82% 1 - - 8 100% 63% 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 173 96% 86% 5 100% 80% 152 100% 89% 34 79% 65% 186 96% 85% 46 91% 74% 140 98% 89%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 186 96% 85% 17% 89 97% 88% 20% 97 96% 82% 13% 1 - - - 8 100% 63% 0% 2 - - - 2 - - - 173 96% 86% 16% 5 100% 80% 60% 152 100% 89% 18% 34 79% 65% 12% 46 91% 74% 4% 140 98% 89% 21%			

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 2 0 (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 1 N/A Grade 4

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District	:		NY State	Public	
		Percentage sco	650-800 702-800			scoring at level(s)	:
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 684	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
	100%	98%	90%	22%	93%	78%	26%
Number of Students:		182	166	41			

Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004–05 School Year							
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	185	98 %	90 %	22%							
Female	89	100%	90%	17%							
Male	96	97%	90%	27%			•••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-							
Black or African American	7	86%	71%	0%							
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	New ass	 New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	2	_	_	_	and mid	and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander	۷۲				arts and	mathematio	cs were				
White	173	99%	91%	22%		administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	5	100%	80%	60%		sessments o					
General-Education Students	151	99%	91%	25%		ed to results ered assess	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	34	94%	82%	9%	auminist	ereu assess	ments.				
English Proficient	185	98%	90%	22%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	45	100%	89%	13%							
Not Disadvantaged	140	98%	90%	25%		•••••••••••••••••	••••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	185	98%	90%	22%		•••••••••••••••	•••••				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005–06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
2	-	-	-	0			
		Tested 2–4	Total Number scoring at level Tested 2–4 3–4	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):Tested2-43-4	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalTested2-43-44	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalNumber scoreTested2-43-44Tested2-4	TotalNumber scoring at level(s):TotalNumber scoring at levelTested2-43-44Tested2-43-4

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distrie	This District				NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Results by Student Grou All Students emale hale merican Indian or Alaska lack or African American ispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/O acific Islander /hite mall Group Totals eneral-Education Student tudents with Disabilities	Range:	45-100	65-10	3 OO	5-100						
■ 2005-06	100%	99% 98%	99% g		68%	97% 95%	86% 80		[%] 42%		
2004-05											
Number of Students:		185 199	184 1	187 1	46 139						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r			
	n	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):		
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		186	99%	99%	78%	203	98%	92%	68%		
Female		90	100%	100%	77%	95	99%	93%	66%		
Male		96	99%	98%	80%	108	97%	92%	70%		
American Indian or Alaska N	lative	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American		7	100%	86%	86%	10	80%	50%	10%		
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	her	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
White		174	99%	99%	78%	188	99%	94%	71%		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	80%	5	100%	100%	80%		
General-Education Students		152	100%	99%	82%	156	99%	97%	79%		
Students with Disabilities		34	97%	97%	65%	47	94%	77%	34%		
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		186	99%	99%	78%	202 1	_ _				
Economically Disadvantaged		46	100%	100%	63%	42	90%	79%	33%		
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	140	99%			161	100%	96%	78%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	186	99%		78%	203	98%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 672	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	95%				94%					
			77%				C 70/				
							67%				
				18	3%			12%			
Number of Students:		203	165	3	8						
Pocults by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Results by		Total	Percentage		level(s):	Total		scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		214	95%	77%	18%						
Female		101	95%	81%	21%						
Male		113	95%	73%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		9	89%	33%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander											
White		200	95%	79%	18%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	40%						
General-Education Students		161	100%	89%	24%						
Students with Disabilities		53	79%	40%	0%						
English Proficient		214	95%	77%	18%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		43	86%	53%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		171	97%	83%	21%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		214	95%	77%	18%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This test y	est was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	±						200	4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) [†] :	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 672	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 6	99-780						
	100%	91%				90%					
		9170	76%			90%	68%				
							00%				
					4.07						
				2	1%			19%			
Number of Students:		195	162		45						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
		Total	Percentage		Total		scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		214	91%	76%	21%						
Female		100	93%	80%	19%						
Male		114	89%	72%	23%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive										
Black or African American		9									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander				•••••		·····			05		
White		201	92%	78%	21%	This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		13	77%	46%	23%						
General-Education Students		161	96%	88%	25%						
Students with Disabilities		53	75%	40%	8%						
English Proficient		214	91%	76%	21%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		43	79%	53%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged		171	94%	81%	24%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		214	91%	76%	21%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
NOTES											

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	This tes	st was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric	et			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 664	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	96%				93%					
			69%			5570					
			05%				60%				
				1	5%			12%			
Number of Students:		192	138	3	80						
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Grou	p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		200	96%	69 %	15%						
Female		106	95%	75%	18%						
Male		94	97%	62%	12%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative	1	_	-							
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ier										
Pacific Islander	•••••					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		194	97%	71%	15%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	50%	17%	17%						
General-Education Students		159	100%	81%	19%						
Students with Disabilities		41	80%	22%	0%						
English Proficient		200	96%	69%	15%						
imited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		40	88%	40%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		160	98%	76%	18%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		200	96%	69%	15%						
NOTES											

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			-		200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 87% 60%				
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 658	Range:	616-780	650-7	'80 E	96-780						
	100%										
		87%				87%					
			65%				60%				
				1	4%			13%			
Number of Students:		177	133	4	29						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	1			
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		204	87%	65%	14%						
Female		108	88%	67%	16%						
Male		96	85%	64%	13%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1									
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		4	-		-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander									05		
White		198	88%	66%	14%	Inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	50%	33%	17%						
General-Education Students		160	97%	76%	18%						
Students with Disabilities		44	50%	25%	0%						
English Proficient		204	87%	65%	14%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		42	71%	33%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		162	91%	73%	18%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		204	87%	65%	14%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):						
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	nis test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 662	Range:	600-790	650-7	790 7	12-790						
	100%	93%				92%					
		3370	69%			5270					
			0570				56%				
				g	%			8%			
Number of Students:		211	155	2	21						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		226	93%	69%	9 %						
Female		110	95%	71%	12%						
Male		116	91%	66%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1	_								
Black or African American		8	100%	50%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		1	_		_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				This too					
White		213	93%	69%	10%	Inis tes	t was not giv	'en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	0%						
General-Education Students		176	98%	82%	12%						
Students with Disabilities		50	76%	22%	0%						
English Proficient		226	93%	69%	9%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		35	80%	40%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		191	96%	74%	10%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		226	93%	69%	9%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 660	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800					
	100%	95%								
			69%			87%				
							56%			
				1	0%			12%		
Number of Students:		216	157	2	23					
Deculte hy		2005-06 S	chool Vea	r		2004-05 S	chool Vear	1		
Results by		Total		e scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
 All Students		228	95%	69%	10%					
Female		112	94%	65%	10%					
Male	•••••	116	96%	72%	10%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American		8	100%	38%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander		ر 							<u>-</u>	
White		215	94%	69%	10%	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	20%					
General-Education Students		177	99%	82%	13%					
Students with Disabilities		51	78%	22%	0%					
English Proficient		228	95%	69%	10%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		37	84%	30%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		191	97%	76%	12%					
Migrant										
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			69%						

UIE3

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total					Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	/en in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 662	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790						
	100%	96%				91%					
						9170					
			61%				49%				
				7	%			5%			
Number of Students:		196	125	1	.5						
		2005-06 S o				2004 05 9	School Year				
Results by							scoring at lo	vol(c):			
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage	-		Total Tested	-	scoring at le			
All Students		204	2-4 96%	3-4 61%	4 7%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
Female		109	98%	66%	10%						
Male			94%	56%	4%	• •••••	••••	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ivo	95	9470	JU70	4 70						
Black or African American			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·······	······	• • • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	 Now ass	sessments fo	r olomonta	rv-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		dle-level Eng		-		
Pacific Islander	1	2	-	-	-		mathematic		uge		
White	•••••	199	96%	61%		adminis	tered in 200	5. Results f	rom		
Small Group Totals	•••••	5	100%	60%	0%	these as	ssessments c	annot be d	irectly		
General-Education Students		165	100%	73%	8%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	•••••		79%	13%		adminis	tered assessi	nents.			
English Proficient		204	96%	61%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged		44	89%	41%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	160	98%	67%	9%		••••		•••••		
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	204	96%	61%	7%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	•••••		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total		oring at level		Total	Number sco		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				0			
Grade 8								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic	NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage so	oring at level	(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 664	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	701-775							
	100%	95%										
			650/			85%						
			65%				54%					
				1	6%			10%				
Number of Students:		193	133		32							
		192	133	•	52							
Results by		2005–06 School Year				2004-05 S	ichool Year					
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	scoring at le	vel(s):					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		204	95%	65%	16%							
Female		109	97%	70%	18%							
Male		95	92%	60%	13%							
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive											
Black or African American		3										
Hispanic or Latino							essments fo		-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	2	_	_	_		dle-level En	5 5	age			
Pacific Islander		·····					mathematic					
White	•••••	199	94%	64%	16%		ered in 200 sessments o					
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	0%		ed to results		-			
General-Education Students		166	99%	75%	19%		ered assess	•	ousty			
Students with Disabilities		38	74%	21%	0%							
English Proficient		204	95%	65%	16%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		45	87%	47%	2%							
Not Disadvantaged		159	97%	70%	19%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		204	95%	65%	16%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	_	_	3	-	_	-

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 79	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
2005-06	100%	99% 99%	90% 9		50%	91% 91%	64% 68	%	× 25%
2004-05								10	
Number of Students:		201 218	183 2	202 (58 110				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Grou	In	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Grou		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		203	99%	90%	33%	221	99%	91 %	50%
Female		109	99%	92%	30%	114	100%	94%	45%
Male		94	99%	88%	37%	107	97%	89%	55%
American Indian or Alaska N	Native								
Black or African American		4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino						1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	ther	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White		197	99%	90%	34%	215	99%	92%	50%
Small Group Totals		6	100%	100%	33%	6	100%	67%	33%
General-Education Students		166	100%	96%	39%	188	100%	98%	57%
Students with Disabilities		37	95%	62%	8%	33	91%	55%	6%
English Proficient		203	99%	90%	33%	220	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	t	45	98%	82%	18%	31	97%	81%	16%
Not Disadvantaged		158	99%	92%	38%	190	99%	93%	55%
Migrant									
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	203	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	90%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••	•••••	•••••


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	_		-	з	-	_	-
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	12	33	102	55	202	674	
Feb 2004	2	48	105	39	194	666	
Feb 2003	8	48	111	52	219	668	


	This School			NY State Pu	blic	
Grade 8	Percentage so	oring at level(s	5):	Percentage s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	98% 98% 92%	75% 67% 55%	^{20%} 13% 10%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 459	% 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	5	52	124	45	226	716
Jan 2004	5	63	110	27	205	711
Jan 2003	16	80	95	22	213	700

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	7	17	107	75	206	669
May 2004	1	11	106	74	192	673
May 2003	7	14	98	98	217	675

	This School			NY State Pub	olic	
Grade 8	Percentage se	coring at level(s)	:	Percentage scoring at l		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	96% 95% 90%	73% 77% 64%	13% ^{19%} 14%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% ^{13%} 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	8	53	135	29	225	732	
May 2004	10	37	118	38	203	734	
May 2003	22	55	107	29	213	723	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	84% 89%	80% 88%	63% 50%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	
2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 Coho i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	238	84%	80%	50%	229	89%	88%	63%
Female	104	88%	86%	57%	119	92%	91%	71%
Male	134	80%	76%	44%	110	85%	85%	55%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	67%	67%	22%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	-	4	-	–	–
White	221	84%	80%	50%	224	89%	88%	63%
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	63%	5	80%	80%	80%
General-Education Students	202	91%	88%	57%	215	94%	93%	67%
Students with Disabilities	36	42%	36%	6%	14	7%	0%	0%
English Proficient	238	84%	80%	50%	229	89%	88%	63%
Limited English Proficient	••••••			•••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	31	77%	71%	29%				
Not Disadvantaged	207	85%	82%	53%			•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	238	84%	80%	50%		•••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	't*			2001 Cohort*			
Accoccmonte	Number	Number sco	oring at level(s):		Number	Number sco	l(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_		_	1	_		
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4 4		2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	84% 90%	82% 88%	32% 40%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho i	·t*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	238	84%	82%	32%	229	90%	88%	40 %
Female	104	90%	88%	30%	119	92%	91%	39%
Male	134	78%	77%	34%	110	87%	85%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	67%	67%	11%	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-			•••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	-	-	–	4	–	–	-
White	221	84%	82%	33%	224	90%	88%	40%
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	50%	5	80%	80%	60%
General-Education Students	202	91%	89%	37%	215	93%	92%	43%
Students with Disabilities	36	44%	42%	6%	14	36%	29%	0%
English Proficient	238	84%	82%	32%	229	90%	88%	40%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	31	74%	74%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	207	85%	83%	35%		• • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	238	84%	82%	32%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Coho r	rt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students 2-4 3-4			4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			1	_		_
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	Z	-	-	-	1	-	-	-


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	238	76%	3%	7%	10%	3%
	2001	229	85%	0%	4%	7%	3%
Female	2002	104	86%	2%	4%	5%	4%
	2001	119	87%	0%	4%	6%	3%
Male	2002	134	69%	4%	9%	14%	3%
	2001	110	82%	1%	5%	9%	4%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	9	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	3	-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native	2002						-
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	221	76%	3%	7%	10%	4%
	2001	224	85%	0%	4%	7%	3%
Small Group Totals	2002		88%	0%	0%	13%	0%
	2001	5	60%	0%	0%	40%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	202	84%	0%	6%	8%	2%
	2001	215	89%	0%	2%	6%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	36	36%	22%	11%	22%	8%
	2001	14	14%	7%	36%	29%	14%
English Proficient	2002	238	76%	3%	7%	10%	3%
-	2001	229	85%	0%	4%	7%	3%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	31	68%	6%	10%	16%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	207	78%	3%	6%	9%	4%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	238	76%	3%	7%	10%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 91% 72% 0% 2% 4% 1% 1% 5% 4%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	228	91%	0%	4%	1%	4%
Female	118	92%	0%	4%	1%	3%
Male	110	89%	1%	5%	1%	5%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	4	_	-	-		
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	224	_	-	_	_	
Small Group Totals	228	91%	0%	4%	1%	4%
General-Education Students	216	94%	0%	2%	0%	3%
Students with Disabilities	12	25%	8%	42%	8%	17%
English Proficient	228	91%	0%	4%	1%	4%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	0%	0%	5%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	207	90%	0%	5%	0%	4%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	228	91%	0%	4%	1%	4%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.