

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 040204040001 Principal BONNIE SMITH Telephone (716) 942-3293 Grades PK-12, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

2 Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	28	29	31
Kindergarten	24	23	30
Grade 1	32	24	21
Grade 2	28	28	23
Grade 3	44	27	27
Grade 4	34	40	26
Grade 5	24	33	40
Grade 6	52	24	30
Ungraded Elementary	0	6	8
Grade 7	36	49	19
Grade 8	39	35	47
Grade 9	41	45	35
Grade 10	26	27	38
Grade 11	38	24	23
Grade 12	46	32	24
Ungraded Secondary	0	4	9
Total K-12	464	421	400

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	17	15
Grade 8			
English	39	17	16
Mathematics	31	13	24
Science	20	32	
Social Studies	20	18	17
Grade 10			
English	14	13	19
Mathematics	10	11	12
Science	17	15	21
Social Studies	15	13	19

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	104	22%	96	23%	69	17%
Reduced-Price Lunch	57	12%	54	13%	55	14%
Student Stability*		100%		97%		92%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	1	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	14	3%	10	2%	13	3%
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian or Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	446	96%	409	97%	386	97%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	14	N/A	12	3%	6	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	115	119	167
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	7%	4%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	2	1
Percentage of Total	4%	5%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	7%	7%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	45	51	46
Total Other Professional Staff	3	9	6
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools)
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academi	n identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, c Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not
make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not
on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified
is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was
is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the	identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	(Year 5 and above) for the following year.
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following	

2 School Accountability

School WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District WEST VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 Go	ood Standing		
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	ગ
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	the School Received Ti	itle I Part A Funding	

Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	•••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	 	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	<	••••	–	–	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Objectiv	es	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Chathar	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
							2005-06	2000-07	
All Students (199:199)	•	•	100%	V	162	114			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (193:193)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	162	114	••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (21:21)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (58:58)	<	~	100%	~	145	109			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								
		NOTES							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06,

data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more

continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30

- **AYP Status** ~ Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not gualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	 Image: A second s	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (202:199)			100%		172	78	2005-06	2006-07
Ethnicity	•	•	10070	•	112			
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (195:193)	<	✓	100%	 	172	78	••••	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (23:21)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (60:58)	<	 	100%	~	160	73		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							
		NOTES						

NOTES

- 1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06,

data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more

continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30

~ Made AYP

AYP Status

✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not gualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress 2005-06	-
All Students (76:75)		Qualified		100%		192	100	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (3:2)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)				•••		••••	••••••		•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (72:72)	• •••••	Qualified	~	100%	 	193	100		••••
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (5:5)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (22:22)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participa		on ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (24:52)	~	_	-	 ✓ 	198	141			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								···· •····	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (23:50)	✓	–	-	~	198	141	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (6:13)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatura	Met	Percentage	e Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective	Safe Harbo	-
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (24:52)	V	_	-	V	198	133		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (1:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (23:50)	<	_	-	~	198	133	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (6:13)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
- 1
- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	;	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (31)	~	v	87%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						
Pacific Islander (0) White (30)	• • • • • • • • • •	~~~~			•	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (1)		_	-	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (9)		-	-	-	_	-
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	80%		30
Grade 4	63%		27
Grade 5	70%		40
Grade 6	61%		33
Grade 7	67%		21
Grade 8	69%		48
Mathematics			
Grade 3	83%		30
Grade 4	89%		2 7
Grade 5	78%		40
Grade 6	68%		34
Grade 7	65%		23
Grade 8	81%		48
Science			
Grade 4	L00%		28
Grade 8	88%		48
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	77%		30
Mathematics	80%		30
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	73%		30

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 685	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780					
	100%	87%				94%				
	100%	01%	80%				75%			
				1	7%			8%		
Number of Students:		26	24		5					
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year	1		2004-05	School Year	1		
		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		30	87%	80%	17%					
Female		17	94%	88%	24%					
Male		13	77%	69%	8%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-									
Pacific Islander						······				
White		29				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		30	87%	80%	17%					
General-Education Students		26	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities		4	-	_	-					
English Proficient		30	87%	80%	17%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		11	73%	64%	9%					
Not Disadvantaged		19	95%	89%	21%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		30	87%	80%	17%					

NO

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Accoccmonts	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	This test was not given in 200			
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					was not yn	/en in 200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

					Similar Schools					
	•	-			-	-	s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
100%	90%	83%			97%	87%				
		0570								
			1	7%			25%			
	27	25		5						
	2005-06 S o	chool Year	1		2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	30	90%	83%	17%						
	17	94%	88%	24%						
	13	85%	77%	8%						
/e										
	1	-	-	-						
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	29				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	30	90%	83%	17%						
	26	-	-	-						
	4	_	_	_						
	30	90%	83%	17%						
	11	82%	64%	27%						
	19	95%	95%	11%		•••••				
	100%	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 624-770 100% 90% 27 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 30 17 13 /e 1 29 30 26 4 30 26 4 30	2-4 3-4 Range: 624-770 650-7 100% 90% 83% 27 25 27 25 2005-06 Scbool Year 7 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 30 90% 13 85% //e 1 29 - 30 90% 26 - 30 90% 26 - 30 90% 26 - 4 - 30 90% 26 - 11 82%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 624-770 650-770 7 100% 90% 83% 1 100% 90% 83% 1 27 25 2005-06 School Year 1 27 25 2005-06 School Year 1 7otal Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 30 90% 83% 13 85% 77% //e 1 - - - - - - 29 -	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 100% 90% 83% 17% 27 25 5 2005-06 School Year 70tal	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 97% 100% 90% 83% 17% 97% 100% 27 25 5 97% 2005-06 Scbool Year 2004-05 Total Total Tested 17 94% 83% 17% 70a 10 90% 83% 17% 70a 11 7 7 8% 77% 8% 12 29 - - - - 13 85% 77% 8% 7% 7% 13 85% 77% 8% 7% 7% 13 90% 83% 17% 7% 7% 7% 13 90% 83% 17% 7% 7% 7% 7% 11 7 7 7% 7% 7% 7% 7% 7%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 97% 87% 100% 90% 83% 17% 97% 87% 27 25 5 97% 87% 27 25 5 97% 87% 27 25 5 97% 87% 27 25 5 97% 87% 2005-06 Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 10 2-4 3-4 4 104 2-4 30 90% 83% 17% 104 104 104 11 7 - - - - - - 11 82% 64% 27% This test was not given and the set was not given	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 624-770 650-770 703-770 97% 87% 25% 100% 90% 83% 17% 97% 87% 25% 2004-05 School Year 25% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 701		

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo					Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s	-				_					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 662	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775							
	100%	93%				94%						
	100%		63%				75%					
			03%									
				4	%			9%				
Number of Students:		25	17		1							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		27	93%	63%	4%							
Female		11	91%	73%	9%							
Male		16	94%	56%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e											
Black or African American		1	-	-	–							
Hispanic or Latino						New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						and mi	ddle-level En	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander							d mathematic					
White		26	-		_		stered in 200					
Small Group Totals		27	93%	63%	4%		issessments o		-			
General-Education Students		25	-	-	-		compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities		2	-	_	-			inento.				
English Proficient		27	93%	63%	4%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		10	80%	40%	10%							
Not Disadvantaged		17	100%	76%	0%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		27	93%	63%	4%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sc	Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 683	Range:	622-800	650-80	00 7	02-800							
	100%	93%	89%			96%	85%					
	100%						8378					
				3	3%			27%				
Number of Students:		25	24		9							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total Percentage scoring at le			t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at leve					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		27	93%	89%	33%							
Female		11	91%	91%	45%							
Male		16	94%	88%	25%							
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive											
Black or African American		1										
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo		•			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er						dle-level En		age			
Pacific Islander							d mathematic					
White		26					tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		27	93%	89%	33%		ssessments o					
General-Education Students		25	-		-		compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities		2	-	-	-			incinco.				
English Proficient		27	93%	89%	33%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		10	80%	80%	10%							
Not Disadvantaged		17	100%	94%	47%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		27	93%	89%	33%							
NOTES												

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at le	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4			
Results by Student Group Il Students emale ale merican Indian or Alaska Native lack or African American ispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Other acific Islander /hite mall Group Totals eneral-Education Students	Range:	45-100	65-1	.00	85-100						
	100%	100%100%	100%		75% 56%	99% 99%	95% 92		[%] 55%		
Number of Students:		28 41	28	40	21 23						
Results bv		2005-06 S	chool Yea	ar		2004-05	School Yea	r			
		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	tlevel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		28	100%	100%	75%	41	100%	98%	56%		
emale		12	100%	100%	75%	20	100%	100%	50%		
1ale		16	100%	100%	75%	21	100%	95%	62%		
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		2				1					
lispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er										
Pacific Islander											
Vhite		26				40					
mall Group Totals		28	100%	100%	75%	41	100%	98%	56%		
General-Education Students		26	-	-	-	41	100%	98%	56%		
itudents with Disabilities		2	-	_	-						
nglish Proficient		28	100%	100%	75%	41	100%	98%	56%		
imited English Proficient											
conomically Disadvantaged		10	100%	100%	70%	14	100%	93%	50%		
lot Disadvantaged		18	100%	100%	78%	27	100%	100%	59%		
ligrant											
lot Migrant		28	100%	100%	75%	41	100%	98%	56%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	-			U U	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
		98%				95%					
	100%		70%				70%				
				8	%			11%			
Number of Students:		39	28	3	3						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		40	98%	70%	8%						
Female		21	100%	71%	14%						
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19	95%	68%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	• • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		1	-	–	–						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er										
Pacific Islander					•••••	······· · .			<u> </u>		
White							st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		40	98%	70%	8%						
General-Education Students		40	98%	70%							
Students with Disabilities											
English Proficient		40	98%	70%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		12	92%	75%	8%						
Not Disadvantaged		28	100%	68%	7%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		40	98%	70%	8%						

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Schools					
		Percentage se		el(s):			coring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Iumber of Students: Results by Student Group All Students emale fale merican Indian or Alaska Native elack or African American lispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Other facific Islander White	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 69	99-780					
		98%				91%				
	100%		78%			5170	69%			
				0'	26			14%		
Number of Students		39	31	(
			31		,					
Results by		2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
_		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		40	98%	78%	0%					
Female		21	100%	71%	0%					
Male		19	95%	84%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r									
Pacific Islander										
White		39				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals		40	98%	78%	0%					
General-Education Students		40	98%	78%	0%					
Students with Disabilities										
English Proficient		40	98%	78%	0%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		12	100%	75%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		28	96%	79%	0%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	40	98%	78%	0%		•••••	••••••		

NO

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	ng at level(s): Total Number scorir			oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		<u>This Schoo</u>				Similar Schools					
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students male le merican Indian or Alaska Nat ack or African American panic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Othe cific Islander mite all Group Totals neral-Education Students idents with Disabilities glish Proficient mited English Proficient		Percentage se	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 658	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 7	05-785						
	100%	94%				95%					
	100%		64.07				68%				
			61%								
				6	%			13%			
Number of Students:		31	20		2						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
•	_	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		33	94%	61%	6 %						
Female		15	93%	80%	13%						
Male		18	94%	44%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er				•						
Pacific Islander					•••••	······ -· ·					
White		32	-	_	_	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		33	94%	61%	6%						
General-Education Students		24	100%	79%	8%						
Students with Disabilities		9	78%	11%	0%						
English Proficient		33	94%	61%	6%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		6	100%	50%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		27	93%	63%	7%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		33	94%	61%	6%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	st was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent					This test was not given in 2004			4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 665	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
	100%	88%				91%				
	10070		68%				66%			
				2	1%					
								13%		
Number of Students:		30	23		7					
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year	1		2004-05	School Year			
_		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		34	88%	68 %	21%					
Female		16	88%	69%	19%					
Male		18	89%	67%	22%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	••••••••								
Pacific Islander										
White		33	-			This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals		34	88%	68%	21%					
General-Education Students		25	100%	88%	24%					
Students with Disabilities		9	56%	11%	11%					
English Proficient		34	88%	68%	21%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		6	100%	83%	17%					
Not Disadvantaged		28	86%	64%	21%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		34	88%	68%	21%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total Number scoring at lev			l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage se					_			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 656	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790					
	1000/	95%				96%				
	100%		67%				66%			
							0070			
				5	%			9%		
Number of Students:		20	14							
Pocults by		2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
		21	95%	67%	5%					
Female		9	100%	78%	0%					
Male		12	92%	58%	8%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••					
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander										
White		21	95%	67%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals										
General-Education Students		18	-	-	-					
Students with Disabilities		3	_							
English Proficient		21	95%	67%	5%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		7	100%	43%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		14	93%	79%	7%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		21	95%	67%	5%			••••••		

NO

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		•	coring at leve			Ū.					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	1000/	91%				94%					
	100%		65%				67%				
			0378								
				1	3%			15%			
Number of Students:		21	15		3						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		23	91 %	65%	13%						
Female		10	100%	70%	20%						
Male		13	85%	62%	8%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander											
White				<u>-</u>		This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		23	91%	65%	13%						
General-Education Students			100%	83%	17%						
Students with Disabilities		5	60%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		23	91%	65%	13%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		9	89%	44%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged		14	93%	79%	14%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		23	91%	65%	13%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>			t was not giv		

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	-				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		-	coring at leve			_						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 664	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790							
	100%	94%				95%						
	100,0		69%				500/					
							59%					
				e	9%			6%				
Number of Students:		45	33		3							
		2005-06 S	chool Voar			2004-05	School Year					
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring at		Total		scoring at le	vel(s).			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		48	94%	69%		Testeu	2 7	5 4	4			
Female		19	100%	74%	16%							
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	29	90%	66%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	е											
Black or African American		1	–	-	–							
Hispanic or Latino						New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_		dle-level En		age			
Pacific Islander		±					mathematic					
White		46			_		tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		48	94%	69%	6%		ssessments c ed to results		-			
General-Education Students		45	-	-			tered assessi	•	ousty			
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-			nonto.				
English Proficient		48	94%	69%	6%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		12	83%	67%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		36	97%	69%	8%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		48	94%	69%	6%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Schools				
			coring at level	l(s):			coring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 681	Range:	616-775	650-77	75 7	01-775				
	1000	96%				94%			
	100%		81%				67%		
				2	9%				
								11%	
Number of Students:		46	39	-	L4	_			
		2005-06 S	chool Vear			2004-05 9	School Veau		
Results by		Total	Percentage	scoring a	2004–05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at lev				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		48	96%	81%	29 %				
Female		19	100%	95%	32%				
Male		29	93%	72%	28%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino						New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	1	_	_	_		dle-level En	5 5	age
Pacific Islander	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						mathematic		
White		46					tered in 200		
Small Group Totals		48	96%	81%	29%		sessments o ed to results		-
General-Education Students		45	-				tered assess	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		3	-	_	-				
English Proficient		48	96%	81%	29%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		12	92%	67%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged		36	97%	86%	36%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		48	96%	81%	29%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


Range:	Percentage s 2-4 44-100	coring at lev 3–4 65–10	vel(s): 4		Percentage so 2–4	coring at leve 3−4		
5	44-100		4		2-4	3-4	4	
5		65-10				5 4	4	
LOO%		00 10	8 00	5-100				
	100%100%	88% 8		5% 34%	98% 98%	85% 88		40%
	48 35	42	31 1	.7 12				
	2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	48	100%	88%	35%	35	100%	89 %	34%
	19	100%	95%	26%	20	100%	95%	40%
	29	100%	83%	41%	15	100%	80%	27%
	1				1			
	1	-	-	-				
	46	-	-	-	34	-	-	-
	48	100%	88%	35%	35	100%	89%	34%
	45	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
	3		_		4			
	48	100%	88%	35%	35	100%	89%	34%
	12	100%	75%	25%	12	100%	83%	25%
	36	100%	92%	39%	23	100%	91%	39%
	48	100%	88%	35%	35	100%	89%	34%
		2005-06 Se Total Tested 48 19 29 1 1 46 48 45 3 48 12 36	2005-06 School Yea Total Percentag Tested 2-4 48 100% 19 100% 29 100% 1 - 46 - 48 100% 1 - 46 - 48 100% 45 - 3 - 48 100% 12 100%	48 35 42 31 1 2005-06 Scbool Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 10 2-4 3-4 3-4 3-4 48 100% 88% 36 36 10% 88% 10 10% 95% 29 100% 83% 11 - - - - 11 - - - - 11 - - - - 12 100% 88% - - 12 100% 88% - - 12 100% 75% 36 100% 92%	2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 48 100% 88% 35% 19 100% 95% 26% 29 100% 83% 41% 1 - - - 1 - - - 46 - - - 48 100% 88% 35% 46 - - - 46 - - - 48 100% 88% 35% 45 - - - 3 - - - 48 100% 88% 35% 12 100% 75% 25% 36 100% 92% 39%	48 35 42 31 17 12 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Total Tested 48 100% $88%$ $35%$ 35 19 $100%$ $95%$ $26%$ 20 29 $100%$ $83%$ $41%$ 15 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 1 1 - - - 34 48 $100%$ $88%$ $35%$ 35 3 - - - 31 3 - - - 4 48 $100%$ $88%$ $35%$ 35 45 - - - 4 48 $100%$ $88%$ $35%$ 35 12 $100%$ $75%$ $25%$ 12 100	48 35 42 31 17 12 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 48 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 19 100% 95% 26% 20 100% 29 100% 83% 41% 15 100% 1 - - - 1 - 46 - - - 34 - 48 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 448 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 448 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 48 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 48 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 12 100% 75% 25% 12 100% 36 100% 39%	48 35 42 31 17 12 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 48 100% 88% 35% 35 100% 89% 19 100% 95% 26% 20 100% 95% 29 100% 83% 41% 15 100% 80% 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 34 - - - 46 - - - 31 - - - 3 - - - 31 - - - 3 - - - 31 - -

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S o	2004-05 School Year						
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	et:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	0	9	29	4	42	664
Feb 2004	0	9	19	4	32	660
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •


	This School			Similar Scho	ols	
	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100%100%1009	66% 59% 59%	6% ^{15%} 10%	96% 95% 95%	54% 51% 519	6 10% 12% 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	0	12	21	2	35	705	
Jan 2004	0	14	15	5	34	706	
Jan 2003	0	17	20	4	41	699	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	2	21	18	41	674	
May 2004	1	3	18	10	32	666	

	This School			Similar Scho	ols	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):	Percentage so):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	97% 95% 93%	71% 77% 539	% 11% 13% 3%	93% 92% 92%	63% 67% 619	% 10% ^{14%} 10%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	9	21	4	35	728	
May 2004	2	7	25	5	39	735	
May 2003	3	16	20	1	40	716	

This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	2-4 3-4		2-4		4
■ 2002 Cohort	100%	88%	77% 85%	76% 63%	86% 86%	81% 82%	36% 42%
2001 Cohort							

	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
30	77%	77%	63%	33	88%	85%	76%	
16	88%	88%	69%	13	92%	92%	92%	
14	64%	64%	57%	20	85%	80%	65%	
1	-	–	-	1	-	-	-	
		•••••				•••••	••••••	
	••••••				•••••	•••••	••••••	
29	-		-	32	-		-	
30	77%	77%	63%	33	88%	85%	76%	
27	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
30	77%	77%	63%	33	88%	85%	76%	
						•••••	•••••	
8	75%	75%	50%					
22	77%	77%	68%					
30	77%	77%	63%					
	of Students 30 16 14 1 29 30 27 3 30 27 3 30 8 22	of Students 2-4 30 77% 16 88% 14 64% 1 - 29 - 30 77% 27 - 30 77% 27 - 30 77% 27 - 30 77% 22 77%	of Students 2-4 3-4 30 77% 77% 16 88% 88% 14 64% 64% 1 - - 29 - - 30 77% 77% 29 - - 30 77% 77% 27 - - 3 - - 30 77% 77% 27 - - 30 77% 77% 27 - - 30 77% 77% 22 77% 77%	of Students $2-4$ $3-4$ 43077%77%63%1688%88%69%1464%64%57%11293077%77%63%2733077%77%63%2277%75%50%2277%77%68%	of Students $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 of Students 30 77% 77% 63% 33 16 88% 88% 69% 13 14 64% 64% 57% 20 1 - - - 1 29 - - - 32 30 77% 77% 63% 33 27 - - 31 3 - - 2 30 77% 77% 63% 33 27 - - 2 30 77% 77% 63% 33 22 77% 75% 50% 33 8 75% 75% 50% 33 22 77% 77% 68% 33	of Students 2-4 3-4 4 of Students 2-4 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 16 88% 88% 69% 13 92% 14 64% 64% 57% 20 85% 1 - - 1 - 29 - - 77% 63% 33 88% 29 - - - 32 - 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 277 - - - 31 - 3 - - - 21 - 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 27 - - 2 - 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 22 77% 75% 50% - - 8 75% 75% 50%	of Students 2-4 3-4 4 of Students 2-4 3-4 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 85% 16 88% 88% 69% 13 92% 92% 14 64% 64% 57% 20 85% 80% 1 - - - 1 - - 29 - - - 32 - - 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 85% 29 - - - - - - - 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 85% 27 - - - 31 - - - 30 77% 77% 63% 33 88% 85% 8 75% 75% 50% - - - 8 75% 75%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ʻt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	80% 88%	80% ^{85%}	30% 33%	88% 86%	84% 81%	29% 26%	
2001 Cohort								

2002 Coho r	2001 Cohort*						
Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
30	80 %	80%	30%	33	88%	85%	33%
16	94%	94%	31%	13	92%	92%	46%
14	64%	64%	29%	20	85%	80%	25%
1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				•••••	••••••
••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
29	-	-	-	32	-	-	-
30	80%	80%	30%	33	88%	85%	33%
27	-	-	-	31	-	-	-
3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
30	80%	80%	30%	33	88%	85%	33%
•••••						•••••	•••••
8	75%	75%	13%				
22	82%	82%	36%				
30	80%	80%	30%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Number of Students 30 16 14 1 1 29 30 27 30 27 3 30 27 8 22	of Students 2-4 30 80% 16 94% 14 64% 1 - 29 - 30 80% 27 - 30 80% 27 - 30 80% 22 82%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 3-4 30 80% 80% 16 94% 94% 14 64% 64% 1 - - 29 - - 30 80% 80% 29 - - 30 80% 80% 27 - - 30 80% 80% 27 - - 30 80% 80% 27 - - 30 80% 80% 22 82% 82%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 30 80% 80% 30% 16 94% 94% 31% 14 64% 64% 29% 1 - - - 29 - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 29 - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 21 - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 27 - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 27 - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 22 82% 80% 36%	Number of StudentsPercentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ Number of Students3080%80%30%331694%94%31%131464%64%29%201129323080%80%30%332731323080%80%30%332723080%80%30%33232723080%80%30%3322282%82%36%	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Number of Students Percentage 2-4 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 16 94% 94% 31% 13 92% 14 64% 64% 29% 20 85% 1 - - - 1 - 29 - - - 32 - 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 27 - - - 31 - 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 27 - - - 2 - 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 27 - - - 2 - 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 22 82% 82% 36% - -	Number of Students Percentage scoring at level(s): Number of Students Percentage scoring at 2-4 Percentage scoring at 3-4 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 85% 16 94% 94% 31% 13 92% 92% 14 64% 64% 29% 20 85% 80% 1 - - - 1 - - 29 - - - 31 88% 85% 27 - - 31 - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 85% 27 - - - - - - - 30 80% 80% 30% 33 88% 85% 22 82% 80% 36% - - - 30 80% 82% 36% - - - 8 75%<

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the pext smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	rt*	2001 Cohort*					
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	30	73%	0%	23%	3%	0%
	2001	33	79%	0%	12%	9%	0%
Female	2002	16	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%
	2001	13	92%	0%	8%	0%	0%
Male	2002	14	57%	0%	36%	7%	0%
	2001	20	70%	0%	15%	15%	0%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	1	_	_	_		
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r					· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
White	2002	29	-	-	—	-	-
	2001	32					<u>-</u>
Small Group Totals	2002	30	73%	0%	23%	3%	0%
	2001	33	79%	0%	12%	9%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	27	-	-	—	-	-
	2001	31					
Students with Disabilities	2002	3	-	-	-	-	-
	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
English Proficient	2002	30	73%	0%	23%	3%	0%
	2001	33	79%	0%	12%	9%	0%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	8	63%	0%	25%	13%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	22	77%	0%	23%	0%	0%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	30	73%	0%	23%	3%	0%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 85% 85% 0% 2% 12% 5% 0% 1% 3% 7%

SchoolSimilar Schools

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	33	85%	0%	12%	0%	3%
Female	13	92%	0%	8%	0%	0%
Male	20	80%	0%	15%	0%	5%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	-	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	32	_	-	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	33	85%	0%	12%	0%	3%
General-Education Students	31	-	_	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	2	_	-	_	_	_
English Proficient	33	85%	0%	12%	0%	3%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	0%	11%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	24	83%	0%	13%	0%	4%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	33	85%	0%	12%	0%	3%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.