

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School PIONEER MIDDLE SCHOOL District YORKSHIRE-PIONEER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 043501060004 Principal RAVO ROOT Telephone (716) 492-9375 Grades 5-8, UE, US

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	180	231	192
Grade 6	192	213	206
Ungraded Elementary	39	2	1
Grade 7	230	241	200
Grade 8	273	239	202
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	11	9	5
Total K-12	925	935	806

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	22	22
Grade 8			
English	14	19	17
Mathematics	14	20	18
Science	16	21	21
Social Studies	20	21	18
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	253	27%	221	24%	179	22%
Reduced-Price Lunch	151	16%	151	16%	143	18%
Student Stability*		97%		96%		95%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	8	1%	11	1%	10	1%
Black or African American	6	1%	5	1%	5	1%
Hispanic or Latino	7	1%	8	1%	4	0%
Asian or Native	3	0%	6	1%	5	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	901	97%	905	97%	782	97%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	66	N/A	70	8%	50	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	258	224	228
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	7%	7%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	77	71	67
Total Other Professional Staff	4	5	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State schools receiving Tit	le I funds) (Applies to all New York State public schools)
• •	if it has not been identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, juiring Academic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same a measure for two consecutive years while receir Title I funds is considered a School in Need of (Year 1) for the following year.	iving measure for two consecutive years is considered a School
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Need of Im (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to Title I funds.	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedapprovementis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for
School in Corrective Action A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that make AYP on the accountability measure for w identified is considered a School in Corrective following year, if it continues to receive Title I	vhich it wasmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedAction for theis considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for
School Planning for Restructuring A School in Corrective Action that does not may on the accountability measure for which it was is considered a School Planning for Restructur the following year, if it continues to receive Tit	s identifiedmake AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identifiedring foris considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for
School Restructuring (Year 1) A School Planning for Restructuring that does AYP on the accountability measure for which is is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) f following year, if it continues to receive Title I	t was identified does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was or the identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not r the accountability measure for which it was id considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for	entified is

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

2 School Accountability

School PIONEER MIDDLE SCHOOL District YORKSHIRE-PIONEER CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔺 G	▲ Good Standing					
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received T	tle I Part A Funding				

little i Part A Funding	fears the School Received little i Part A Funding					
	2004-05 2005-06 2006-03		2006-07	-07		
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	v				
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	–	–	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	–	_	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	 ✓ 	✓	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	V					
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1				



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (791:776)	V	V	100%	V	164	118			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (5:5)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	_	–	-	-	•••••••••••	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (767:752)	<	✓	100%	 ✓ 	164	118	••• •••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (130:123)	~	~	98%	X	102	113	111	112	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	••••••••		••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (340:328)	~	<	99%	~	156	116			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	AYP Participation ²		Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (787:770)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	100%	 ✓ 	164	82			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (5:5)	–	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	-	–	-	-		–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (5:5)		_	-	-	-	-		_	
White (763:746)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	164	82		••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (126:121)	~	~	100%	~	111	77			
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (339:325)	~	~	100%	~	154	80		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

X Did Not Make AYP

Made AYP

AYP Status

~

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor Qualification	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status		Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (189:182)	v	Qualified	~	100%	×	195	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (183:176)		Qualified	~	100%	~	195	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (16:16)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (74:68)		Qualified	~	100%	~	191	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	f students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	-	·	2
Grade 5	76%		195
Grade 6	59%		203
Grade 7	66%		197
Grade 8	65%		185
Mathematics			
Grade 3	-		2
Grade 4	-		1
Grade 5	74%		196
Grade 6	60%		202
Grade 7	63%		196
Grade 8	79%		184
Science			
Grade 4	-		1
Grade 8	94%		166

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 28

All schools in this group are middle level schools in rural school districts with high student needs in relation to district resources. The schools in this group are in the lower range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Scho Percentage sco):	
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: –	Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
	100%						
Number of Students:		_	_				

2005-06	School Year		2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	3-4 4		2-4	3-4	4	
2	-	-	-					
2	-	-	-					
			•	• • • • • •				
•••••••••••••••••••••••			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••				
••••••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • •				
2	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
2	-	-	-	• • • • • • •				
2	-	-	-	• • • • • • •				
2	-	_	_					
•••••••••••••••••••••••			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••				
1	-	_	_					
1	-	-	-	•••••	•••••			
2				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
	Total Tested 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1	Total Tested Percentage 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	Tested 2-4 3-4 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 2 - - 1 - - 1 - -	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2 - 3-4 4 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 2 - - - - 1 - - - - 1 - - - -	Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): $2 - 4$ Total Tested2222222222211	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage 2-4 2 - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 Total 2-4 Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 This test This This test This te	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

2

		This School Percentage scor	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: -	Range:	624-770	650-770	703-770					
	100%								
Number of Students:		_	_						
Results by		2005–06 Sch o	ool Year		2004-05 S o	chool Year			
		Total P	ercentage scor	ing at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	

Female	2			—	 		
Male							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							
Pacific Islander							
White	2	-	-	-	This test v	was not given in 2004-05.	
Small Group Totals	2	-	-	-			
General-Education Students							
Students with Disabilities	2	-	-	-			
English Proficient	2	-	-	-			
Limited English Proficient	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-			
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	-	 		
Migrant							
Not Migrant	2	-	–	–	 		

NOTES

All Students

Other	2005-06 S	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2 4	<u> </u>			t was not giv	<u> </u>		

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo			Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775					
	100%								
Number of Students:	L								
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage scor	ing at level(s):	Total	Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3	6-4 4	Tested	2-4 3	-4 4		
All Students									
Female									
Male									
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve 🛛								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments for ele	ementary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					and mic	dle-level English	language		
Pacific Islander						d mathematics we			
White						tered in 2006. Re			
Small Group Totals						ssessments canno	-		
General-Education Students						ed to results fror tered assessment			
Students with Disabilities					auminis	tereu assessmen	15.		
English Proficient									
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
conomically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••			
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
NOTES									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol		Similar Sc	Similar Schools					
		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: –	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800							
	100%				86%						
						57%					
						51%					
							0%				
Number of Students:		-	-	-							
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	el(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3–4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		1	-								
Female		1									
Male											
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino						sessments for	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er					ddle-level Eng		ge			
Pacific Islander						d mathematic					
White		1				stered in 2006 ssessments ca					
Small Group Totals		1	-			ed to results					
General-Education Students						stered assessr	•	usty			
Students with Disabilities		1	-								
English Proficient		1	_								
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		1	_								
Not Disadvantaged											
Migrant											
Not Migrant		1	-								
NOTES											

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	T		_	_	0			

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Scho				Similar Schools					
		Percentage	scoring at level(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: –	Range:	45-100	65-100	85	-100						
	1000/					100%					
	100%						83%				
								50%			
2005-06											
2004-05											
Number of Students:		-	-	-							
Results by		2005-06 \$	School Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage s	coring at l	evel(s):	Total		scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		1	-	-	-						
Female		1	_		_						
Male											
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her										
Pacific Islander											
White		1									
Small Group Totals		1	-	-	-						
General-Education Students											
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	-						
English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		1	-	-	-						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	1	_	_	_						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	T		_	_	0			

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		-1/c).	% 13% % 16% % 9% - - - - - - - - - - - - % 13% % 0% % 15% % 0% % 13%				
		Percentage s	-		1	_	_		
		2-4	3-4		ł	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 667	Range:	608-795	650-7	'95 7	11-795				
	1000	97%				96%			
	100%		76%				68%		
				1	3%			9%	
Number of Students:		190	148		25				
Deculte by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Vear		
Results by					t level(s).				vel(s).
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3–4					4
		195	97%	76%					
Female		100	100%	82%	16%				
Male		95	95%	69%	9%		••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	4	-	_	-				
Black or African American		2	-		-				
Hispanic or Latino		2							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander				••••••		This too	t was not aiv	on in 2004	05
White		186	98%	76%	13%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		9	89%	67%					
General-Education Students		162	100%						
Students with Disabilities		33	85%	30%	0%				
English Proficient		195	97%	76%	13%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		84	96%	68%	11%				
Not Disadvantaged		111	98%	82%	14%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		195	97%	76%	13%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1			_	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			_		was not giv	200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

Results by Student Grou I Students male ale nerican Indian or Alaska N ack or African American spanic or Latino		This Schoo		-1/c).		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s	_			_					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 671	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 E	99-780						
	100%	96%				94%					
	100%		74%				70%				
				2	0%			14%			
								14%			
Number of Students:		188	146	4	40						
Results hv		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Grou	ир	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		196	96%	74%	20%						
Female		101	99%	77%	20%						
Male		95	93%	72%	21%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native	4	-								
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		2									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	1	_	_	_						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		4.07				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05		
		187	96%	74%	21%		t mas not giv	2001	00.		
•		9 163	100% 98%	89% 85%	<u>11%</u> 24%						
	5			•••••		• •••••					
		33 196	85% 96%	21% 74%	3%	-					
•••••		тэо	9070	1470	2070						
	d	85	94%	66%	14%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		111	97%	81%	25%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			
		***	5170	01/0	2370						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	196	96%	 74%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••			
-		100	5070	1 - 7 / 0	2070						

NOTES

Other Assessments	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	This tes	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools				
		Percentage se	-				scoring at level			
		2-4	3-4	2		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 655	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 7	05-785					
		94%				95%				
	100%									
			59%				60%			
					7%			10%		
Number of Students:		191	120		14					
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Yeai	r		2004-05	School Year	1		
•		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		203	94%	59%	7%					
Female		101	97%	58%	8%					
Male		102	91%	60%	6%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
White		198	94%	59%	7%	This tes	st was not giv	ren in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	0%					
General-Education Students		161	100%	71%	9%					
Students with Disabilities		42	71%	14%	0%					
English Proficient		203	94%	59%	7%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		98	93%	57%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged		105	95%	61%	10%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		203	94%	59%	7%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	±	_	-	-		was not yn		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage so	-			-	scoring at level		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Aumber of Students: Results by Student Group All Students emale Mal	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780				
	100%	92%				90%			
	100%								
			60%				57%		
				8	8%			8%	
Number of Students:		185	121	1	6				
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Yeai	r		2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		202	92%	60%	8%				
Female		100	94%	56%	8%				
Male		102	89%	64%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	2							
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ے 				This to			05
White		197	91%	60%	8%		st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students		160	96%	66%	10%				
Students with Disabilities		42	74%	38%	0%				
English Proficient		202	92%	60%	8%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		97	89%	54%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged		105	94%	66%	10%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		202	92%	60%	8%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Scl			
		Percentage se				_	coring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	12-790				
	1000/	96%				94%			
	100%		66%						
			0070				55%		
				1	1%			6%	
Number of Students:		189	131	2	21				
Results by		2005-06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		197	96%	66%	11%				
Female		102	96%	69%	13%				
Male		95	96%	64%	8%		••••	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		±				This too			05
White		193	. .			inis tes	t was not giv	'en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		197	96%	66%	11%				
General-Education Students		165	100%	76%	13%				
Students with Disabilities		32	75%	19%	0%				
English Proficient		197	96%	66%	11%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		80	93%	59%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged		117	98%	72%	15%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		197	96%	66%	11%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_	-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

			This School Percentage scoring at level(s):				hools		
		Percentage so	3-4	el(s): 4		2-4	scoring at level 3–4		
	D					2-4	5-4	4	
Mean Score: 655	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800		_		
	100%	94%				91%			
	10070		63%						
							56%		
				5	%			7%	
Number of Students:		184	124	1	0				
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Year:			2004-05	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		196	94%	63%	5%				
Female		104	93%	59%	6%				
Male		92	95%	68%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e	2	_						
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			••••••				
White		192				I his te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		196	94%	63%	5%				
General-Education Students		166	99%	71%	6%				
Students with Disabilities		30	67%	20%	0%				
English Proficient		196	94%	63%	5%				
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		81	90%	51%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged		115	97%	72%	7%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		196	94%	63%	5%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage sco	This School Percentage scorius at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 602-790 650-790 715-790			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
1ean Score: 660	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790						
	100%	98%	65%	4%	94%	51%	4%			
Number of Students:		182	121	7						

Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4
All Students	185	98%	65%	4%	
Female	97	100%	67%	5%	
Male	88	97%	64%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	New assessments for elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	and middle-level English language
Pacific Islander				_	arts and mathematics were
White	179	98%	65%	4%	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	172	99%	69%	4%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.
Students with Disabilities	13	85%	15%	0%	auministered assessments.
English Proficient	185	98%	65%	4%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	70	97%	54%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	115	99%	72%	6%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	185	98%	65%	4%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested				Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo Percentages	4 3-4 4 6-775 650-775 701-775 % 79% 8%		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4 4	
Mean Score: 667	Range:	616-775	650-775	701-775			
Number of Students:	100%	98%			93%	59% 7%	
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05	School Year	
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage scor 2–4 3	ing at level(s): -4 4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4	

	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	lested	2	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	184	98%	79%	8%					
Female	98	98%	76%	11%					
Male	86	99%	83%	5%			•••••	••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-					
Black or African American	2	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	Ne	w assess	ments for	r elementary	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	an	d middle	-level Eng	glish languag	je
Pacific Islander	T				art	s and ma	athematic	s were	
White	178	98%	79%	8%				6. Results fro	
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	0%		these assessments cannot be direct			
General-Education Students	172	99%	80%	9%		•	o results d assessr	from previou	isly
Students with Disabilities	12	92%	58%	0%	au	ministere	eu assessi	nems.	
English Proficient	184	98%	79%	8%					
Limited English Proficient				•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	70	96%	74%	3%					
Not Disadvantaged	114	100%	82%	11%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	184	98%	79%	8%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This School Percentage scori	ing at level(s):		_ Similar Sch Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 80	Range:	44-100	65-100	85-100			
 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	99% 100%	94% 95%	38%	98% 98%	83% 84%	25% 32%
Number of Students:		165 185	156 175	63 86			


Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	166	99 %	94%	38%	185	100%	95%	46%
Female	86	100%	92%	27%	93	100%	96%	46%
Male	80	99%	96%	50%	92	100%	93%	47%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	160	99%	94%	39%	179	100%	94%	47%
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	6	100%	100%	17%
General-Education Students	154	99%	94%	40%	168	100%	96%	50%
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	92%	17%	17	100%	76%	12%
English Proficient	166	99%	94%	38%	185	100%	95%	46%
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••		••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	69	99%	91%	33%	54	100%	93%	37%
Not Disadvantaged	97	100%	96%	41%	131	100%	95%	50%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	166	99%	94%	38%	185	100%	95%	46%

NOTES

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Number scoring at level(s):		l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	4	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	۷	_		-	4	_	_	
Regents Science	19	19	19	19	0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:				l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	2	74	108	14	198	704	
Jan 2004	8	109	117	26	260	704	
Jan 2003	2	123	97	9	231	696	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	8	65	114	13	200	722	
May 2004	8	56	170	26	260	728	
May 2003	12	76	120	19	227	722	