



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **060800010000**
Superintendent **GARY CERNE**
Telephone **(716) 366-9300**
Grades **K-12**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	139	134	159
Grade 1	151	147	133
Grade 2	147	137	139
Grade 3	152	147	146
Grade 4	162	137	142
Grade 5	160	162	140
Grade 6	175	153	184
Ungraded Elementary	0	13	0
Grade 7	194	183	156
Grade 8	175	176	181
Grade 9	195	213	222
Grade 10	166	190	205
Grade 11	153	142	148
Grade 12	132	127	148
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	2101	2061	2103

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	18	17
Grade 8			
English	17	17	17
Mathematics	16	18	16
Science	18	18	17
Social Studies	17	18	17
Grade 10			
English	19	17	22
Mathematics	15	17	18
Science	21	24	16
Social Studies	20	16	21

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1055	50%	968	47%	1184	56%
Reduced-Price Lunch	233	11%	230	11%	166	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	279	13%	262	13%	277	13%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	14	1%	12	1%	12	1%
Black or African American	181	9%	183	9%	199	9%
Hispanic or Latino	702	33%	705	34%	754	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	0%	10	0%	10	0%
White	1195	57%	1151	56%	1128	54%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		94%		94%
Student Suspensions	252	N/A	213	10%	166	8%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	607	636	875
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	3%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	3	5	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	2%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	5	7	2
Percentage of Total	2%	3%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate			
	21%	23%	22%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	216	218	214
Total Other Professional Staff	31	37	44
Total Paraprofessionals*	71	74	67
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	4	6	6

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005-06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005-06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.


District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Improvement (Year 3)

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA  Improvement (Year 3)

Math  Good Standing

Science  Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA  Improvement (Year 3)

Math  Good Standing

Graduation Rate  Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

YES





























2005–06

YES




2006–07

YES













On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students						
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	 SH	 SH	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	 SH		–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–
White			–			–
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities			–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient		 SH	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	 SH		–			–
Student groups making AYP in each subject	 6 of 7	 6 of 7	 1 of 1	 2 of 3	 3 of 3	 1 of 1

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status


Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|--|--|
| Good Standing  | Good Standing  |
| Improvement (Year 1)  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)  |
| Improvement (Year 2)  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)  |
| Improvement (Year 3)  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)  |
| Improvement (Year 4)  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)  |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above)  | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)  |

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts























Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Improvement (Year 3)

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2007-08. [208]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (981:935)			99%		124	118	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (96:95)			100%		96	112	65 106
Hispanic or Latino (361:333)			98%		111	116	103 120
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (512:496)			99%		137	117	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (157:146)			97%		54	113	70 [‡] 69
Limited English Proficient (92:78)			98%		114	111	
Economically Disadvantaged (642:612)			100%		111	118	108 120
Final AYP Determination	 6 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.




² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics























Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (973:916)			99%		112	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Black or African American (96:93)			99%		75	76	43 88	
Hispanic or Latino (359:323)			98%		90	80		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
White (507:490)			99%		132	81		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (159:145)			96%		48	77	58† 63	
Limited English Proficient (90:74)			96%		45	75	42 61	
Economically Disadvantaged (628:594)			99%		96	82		
Final AYP Determination		6 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.




² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

† This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**













Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP		Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (333:307)		Qualified		99%		148	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Black or African American (25:24)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino (127:113)		Qualified		98%		132	100		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
White (176:165)		Qualified		99%		163	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (49:46)		Did not qualify		98%		91	100	95 92	
Limited English Proficient (31:26)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (224:207)		Qualified		99%		138	100		
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level English Language Arts











Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Improvement (Year 3)

Accountability Measures 2 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this district must make AYP in this measure at the elementary/middle or secondary level for two consecutive years. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be In Need of Improvement (Year 4) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 3) in 2007-08. [208]




How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (139:136)			97%		160	145		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Black or African American (16:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Hispanic or Latino (30:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
White (90:95)			100%		178	144		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged (56:56)			96%		138	141	110 [†] 144	
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 3							

NOTES


- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- † This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**











Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]




How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (139:136)			98%		183	137	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (16:12)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (30:27)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (90:95)			100%		194	136	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (10:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (56:56)			98%		173	133	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 3						

NOTES


- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**







Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives	
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target
				2005–06	2006–07
All Students (136)			68%	55%	
Ethnicity					
American Indian or Alaska Native (2)	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (10)	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (36)			47%	55%	55% 48%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)					
White (88)			82%	55%	
Other Groups					
Students with Disabilities (18)	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (3)	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (57)			44%	55%	55% 45%
Final AYP Determination		1 of 1			

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 67% of total

SCHOOL 3

SCHOOL 4

SCHOOL 5

SCHOOL 7

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

1 school identified 17% of total

DUNKIRK SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Corrective Action















1 school identified 17% of total



DUNKIRK MIDDLE SCHOOL


District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	64%			131
Grade 4	50%			129
Grade 5	42%			130
Grade 6	31%			176
Grade 7	36%			149
Grade 8	18%			181
Mathematics				
Grade 3	66%			142
Grade 4	45%			140
Grade 5	32%			141
Grade 6	32%			181
Grade 7	26%			161
Grade 8	26%			186
Science				
Grade 4	76%			139
Grade 8	44%			189

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	59%			162
Mathematics	75%			162

	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Graduation Rate				
2002 Cohort	65%			162

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 659	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
	94%	64%	1%	92%	69%	7%
Number of Students:	123	84	1			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	131	94%	64%	1%				
Female	64	97%	72%	0%				
Male	67	91%	57%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	18	83%	33%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	31	97%	65%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	82	95%	71%	1%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	115	97%	70%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	16	69%	19%	0%				
English Proficient	130	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	76	92%	55%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	55	96%	76%	2%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	131	94%	64%	1%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	11	7	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	142	91%	66%	13%				
Female	69	91%	65%	16%				
Male	73	90%	67%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	17	88%	35%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	43	84%	56%	12%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	82	95%	78%	16%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	125	94%	71%	14%				
Students with Disabilities	17	71%	29%	0%				
English Proficient	129	93%	71%	13%				
Limited English Proficient	13	69%	15%	8%				
Economically Disadvantaged	85	89%	55%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	57	93%	82%	21%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	142	91%	66%	13%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 645	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	105	64	1	91%	69%	9%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	129	81%	50%	1%				
Female	64	83%	53%	2%				
Male	65	80%	46%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	80%	30%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	43	77%	44%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	76	84%	55%	1%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	120	85%	53%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	9	33%	11%	0%				
English Proficient	123	85%	52%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	6	17%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	91	78%	43%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	38	89%	66%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	129	81%	50%	1%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	17	15	11	N/A	14	10	5	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 645	622-800	650-800	702-800			
Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
	76%	45%	7%	93%	78%	26%
Number of Students:	106	63	10			



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	140	76%	45%	7%				
Female	67	75%	49%	4%				
Male	73	77%	41%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	10	60%	20%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	55	69%	40%	4%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	75	83%	52%	11%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	129	81%	49%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	11	18%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	120	80%	53%	8%				
Limited English Proficient	20	50%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	100	71%	37%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	40	88%	65%	20%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	140	76%	45%	7%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	0			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	139	95%	76%	27%	134	87%	57%	19%
Female	66	98%	74%	23%	69	91%	58%	12%
Male	73	92%	77%	32%	65	82%	55%	28%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	9	100%	67%	11%	12	67%	50%	25%
Hispanic or Latino	54	89%	67%	11%	48	85%	46%	15%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	76	99%	83%	41%	74	91%	65%	22%
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	129	96%	78%	29%	120	91%	61%	22%
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	50%	0%	14	50%	21%	0%
English Proficient	119	97%	81%	32%	118	87%	61%	21%
Limited English Proficient	20	80%	45%	0%	16	81%	25%	6%
Economically Disadvantaged	97	93%	73%	16%	85	81%	44%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	42	100%	81%	52%	49	96%	80%	33%
Migrant					11	73%	36%	0%
Not Migrant	139	95%	76%	27%	123	88%	59%	21%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	0			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 638	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Number of Students:	111	55	3	94%	67%	12%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	130	85%	42%	2%				
Female	72	86%	42%	3%				
Male	58	84%	43%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	12	83%	25%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	42	86%	31%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	76	86%	51%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	113	91%	48%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	17	47%	6%	0%				
English Proficient	122	85%	43%	2%				
Limited English Proficient	8	88%	25%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	85	80%	27%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	45	96%	71%	7%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	130	85%	42%	2%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	11	7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 630	619-780	650-780	699-780			
Range:						
	65%	32%	3%	90%	68%	19%
Number of Students:	91	45	4			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	141	65%	32%	3%				
Female	75	68%	28%	4%				
Male	66	61%	36%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	12	58%	42%	8%				
Hispanic or Latino	53	51%	15%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	76	75%	42%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	121	72%	36%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	20	20%	5%	0%				
English Proficient	122	69%	36%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	19	37%	5%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	95	54%	20%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	46	87%	57%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	141	65%	32%	3%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 632	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Number of Students:	149	54	2	93%	60%	12%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	176	85%	31%	1%				
Female	66	85%	36%	3%				
Male	110	85%	27%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	56	77%	25%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	98	89%	38%	2%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	22	86%	14%	0%				
General-Education Students	152	89%	35%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	24	54%	4%	0%				
English Proficient	169	85%	31%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	7	71%	14%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	109	82%	24%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	67	90%	42%	1%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	176	85%	31%	1%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	9	7	6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 629	616-780	650-780	696-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	696-780			
Number of Students:	123	58	3	87%	60%	13%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	181	68%	32%	2%				
Female	67	72%	33%	3%				
Male	114	66%	32%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	63	56%	16%	2%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	96	81%	45%	2%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	22	45%	23%	0%				
General-Education Students	154	74%	38%	2%				
Students with Disabilities	27	33%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	166	73%	35%	2%				
Limited English Proficient	15	13%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	114	62%	26%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	67	78%	42%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	181	68%	32%	2%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 631	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Range:	600-790	650-790	712-790			
Number of Students:	121	53	5	92%	56%	8%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	149	81%	36%	3%				
Female	70	83%	39%	3%				
Male	79	80%	33%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	23	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	51	71%	22%	2%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	73	89%	53%	5%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	25	80%	12%	0%				
General-Education Students	121	91%	44%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	28	39%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	149	81%	36%	3%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	96	79%	24%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	53	85%	57%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	149	81%	36%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments


	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	10	6	4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 620	611-800	650-800	693-800			
Range:						
	109	42	0	87%	56%	12%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	161	68%	26%	0%				
Female	73	66%	25%	0%				
Male	88	69%	27%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	23	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino	62	61%	15%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	74	82%	42%	0%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	25	40%	8%	0%				
General-Education Students	129	76%	29%	0%				
Students with Disabilities	32	34%	13%	0%				
English Proficient	151	71%	28%	0%				
Limited English Proficient	10	20%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	102	61%	22%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	59	80%	34%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	161	68%	26%	0%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 624	602-790	650-790	715-790			
Number of Students:	144	33	1			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	181	80%	18%	1%				
Female	94	79%	17%	0%				
Male	87	80%	20%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-				
Black or African American	15	60%	0%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	62	74%	3%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	98	87%	31%	1%				
Small Group Totals	6	67%	17%	0%				
General-Education Students	147	90%	22%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	34	35%	3%	0%				
English Proficient	178	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	118	72%	9%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	63	94%	35%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	181	80%	18%	1%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	7	7	6	N/A	5	5	4	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 629	616-775	650-775	701-775			
Range:						
	73%	26%	1%	85%	54%	10%
Number of Students:	136	49	1			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	186	73%	26%	1%				
Female	93	74%	20%	0%				
Male	93	72%	32%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-				
Black or African American	15	67%	7%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	69	62%	10%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	97	82%	40%	1%				
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	0%				
General-Education Students	150	84%	32%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	36	28%	3%	0%				
English Proficient	177	76%	28%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	9	22%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	122	64%	17%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	64	91%	44%	2%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	186	73%	26%	1%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	189	85%	44%	7%	175	93%	62%	13%
Female	95	85%	37%	5%	84	90%	58%	13%
Male	94	85%	51%	9%	91	96%	65%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	88%	19%	0%	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	69	78%	28%	0%	57	88%	40%	2%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	99	90%	60%	12%	101	96%	78%	21%
Small Group Totals	5	80%	40%	20%	17	94%	35%	0%
General-Education Students	153	91%	52%	8%	153	96%	67%	14%
Students with Disabilities	36	61%	11%	0%	22	73%	23%	0%
English Proficient	179	88%	46%	7%	165	95%	65%	13%
Limited English Proficient	10	30%	0%	0%	10	70%	0%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	123	80%	33%	2%	104	90%	50%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	66	95%	65%	15%	71	97%	79%	20%
Migrant					2	-	-	-
Not Migrant	189	85%	44%	7%	173	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 603-800 645-800 692-800

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	14	57	46	5	122	638
Feb 2004	14	70	57	1	142	636
Feb 2003	9	62	47	15	133	645

Grade 8

This School

Percentage scoring at level(s):


2-4 3-4 4

Range: 658-830 697-830 737-830

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	21	114	40	6	181	684
Jan 2004	17	118	32	1	168	681
Jan 2003	29	86	32	1	148	679

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
 Range: 602-810 637-810 678-810

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	15	51	54	16	136	638
May 2004	17	64	74	11	166	636
May 2003	14	50	74	21	159	641

Grade 8

This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
 Range: 681-882 716-882 760-882

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	41	93	46	2	182	698
May 2004	54	64	62	0	180	694
May 2003	44	56	57	3	160	693

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	162	74%	59%	12%	149	66%	58%	21%
Female	84	80%	64%	17%	73	73%	62%	22%
Male	78	68%	53%	8%	76	61%	55%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	39	51%	31%	0%	42	40%	26%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	104	87%	75%	16%	90	83%	80%	36%
Small Group Totals	19	53%	26%	16%	17	41%	24%	0%
General-Education Students	143	83%	65%	14%	125	78%	70%	26%
Students with Disabilities	19	11%	11%	0%	24	8%	0%	0%
English Proficient	158	-	-	-	146	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	76	58%	41%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	86	88%	74%	15%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	162	74%	59%	12%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	1

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	162	81%	75%	27%	149	77%	71%	24%
Female	84	83%	79%	30%	73	79%	74%	25%
Male	78	78%	71%	23%	76	74%	68%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	16	-	-	-	14	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	39	59%	49%	13%	42	55%	43%	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	104	91%	87%	34%	90	90%	88%	36%
Small Group Totals	19	68%	63%	16%	17	59%	53%	6%
General-Education Students	143	90%	83%	29%	125	86%	81%	29%
Students with Disabilities	19	16%	11%	5%	24	29%	21%	0%
English Proficient	158	-	-	-	146	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	76	70%	64%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	86	91%	84%	35%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	162	81%	75%	27%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	2	1

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	162	65%	2%	4%	12%	16%
	2001	149	65%	3%	2%	17%	13%
Female	2002	84	70%	1%	4%	8%	17%
	2001	73	68%	3%	1%	15%	12%
Male	2002	78	60%	4%	5%	15%	15%
	2001	76	62%	3%	3%	18%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	2	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	3	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	2002	16	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	14	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	2002	39	41%	5%	10%	18%	26%
	2001	42	40%	2%	0%	29%	29%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	1	–	–	–	–	–
White	2002	104	77%	1%	3%	9%	11%
	2001	90	83%	2%	3%	8%	3%
Small Group Totals	2002	19	53%	5%	0%	16%	26%
	2001	17	29%	6%	0%	35%	29%
General-Education Students	2002	143	73%	0%	3%	11%	12%
	2001	125	74%	0%	2%	12%	12%
Students with Disabilities	2002	19	5%	21%	11%	16%	47%
	2001	24	21%	17%	0%	42%	21%
English Proficient	2002	158	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	146	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2002	4	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	3	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	76	47%	5%	5%	16%	26%
	2001	76	47%	5%	5%	16%	26%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	86	81%	0%	3%	8%	7%
	2001	86	81%	0%	3%	8%	7%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	162	65%	2%	4%	12%	16%
	2001	149	65%	3%	2%	17%	13%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **DUNKIRK CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	150	71%	4%	4%	3%	17%
Female	73	74%	4%	4%	3%	15%
Male	77	69%	4%	4%	4%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	14	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	45	44%	9%	7%	4%	36%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	89	89%	1%	3%	1%	6%
Small Group Totals	16	50%	6%	0%	13%	31%
General-Education Students	128	79%	0%	5%	2%	14%
Students with Disabilities	22	27%	27%	0%	9%	36%
English Proficient	147	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	63	54%	6%	5%	3%	32%
Not Disadvantaged	87	84%	2%	3%	3%	7%
Migrant	1	–	–	–	–	–
Not Migrant	149	–	–	–	–	–

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.