

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District BROCTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 062301040000 Superintendent JACK SKAHILL Telephone (716) 792-2173 Grades PK-12, UE

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	26	20	23
Kindergarten	48	45	46
Grade 1	43	51	44
Grade 2	46	41	48
Grade 3	61	50	41
Grade 4	51	58	51
Grade 5	61	52	57
Grade 6	61	60	57
Ungraded Elementary	8	9	9
Grade 7	61	67	62
Grade 8	64	65	57
Grade 9	70	66	68
Grade 10	46	62	58
Grade 11	43	40	53
Grade 12	58	52	39
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	721	718	690

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	17	16
Grade 8			
English	16	14	14
Mathematics	14	20	19
Science	21	20	19
Social Studies	21	20	15
Grade 10			
English	13	14	19
Mathematics	15	14	14
Science	19		22
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004–05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	225	31%	221	31%	250	36%
Reduced-Price Lunch	119	17%	119	17%	120	17%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	3	0%	3	0%	6	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	4	1%	3	0%
Black or African American	10	1%	8	1%	6	1%
Hispanic or Latino	13	2%	26	4%	21	3%
Asian or Native	1	0%	0	0%	3	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	694	96%	680	95%	657	95%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	30	N/A	29	4%	24	3%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	215	212	300
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	5%	3%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	3%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	1	0
Percentage of Total	3%	1%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	21%	21%	18%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	68	70	71
Total Other Professional Staff	9	10	8
Total Paraprofessionals*	19	17	20
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	-	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
^	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
^	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District BROCTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		Good Standing Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level							
	ELA	Good Standing	ELA	A Good Standing					
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing					
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing					
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding						

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	~	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_		_	_		
Black or African American	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••		••••••••••••••••••		
White	 	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	v		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 3 of 3	🖌 3 of 3	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 Image: A start of the start of	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (343:328)	~	 	99%	v	153	116			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (19:17)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (315:302)	<	<	99%	 	155	116	••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (90:63)	✓ SH	~	96%	SH	78	110	20	90	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)		•••••							
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (339:322)	~	 ✓ 	99%	v	156	80		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (19:18)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (311:295)	<	<	99%	 ✓ 	156	80	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (88:59)	~	~	95%	~	92	73		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performa	nce Obje	ctives
Student Group	Ctatus	Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State	Progress Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Qualification	Criterion				Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (109:105)		Qualified		100%	V	192	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1:1)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (100:97)		Qualified	<	100%	~	194	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (16:15)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	•••••	••••		••••	••••••		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	if 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Made AYP

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures 2 of 2		Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (40:41)	v	 	95%	v	151	139		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (39:41)	<	_	-	 	151	139	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (20:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
 - Made AYP
 - ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
 - X Did Not Make AYP
 - Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 Count of the count

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (40:41)	~	v	95%	×	163	131		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (1:0)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (39:41)	✓	_	-	 	163	131	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (5:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (20:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives			
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (51)	~	v	88%	55%			
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	-	-	
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (2)		-	-	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)							
White (47)		~	87%	55%			
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (7)		-	-	-	-	_	
Limited English Proficient (0)							
Economically Disadvantaged (21)		_	_	_	-	_	
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
▲ Good Standing	
2 schools identified 100% of total	
BROCTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
BROCTON MIDDLE HIGH SCHOOL	

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		ge of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	79%		42
Grade 4	74%		50
Grade 5	66%		62
Grade 6	40%		58
Grade 7	62%		63
Grade 8	45%		62
Mathematics			
Grade 3	70%		43
Grade 4	84%		49
Grade 5	66%		59
Grade 6	59%		58
Grade 7	38%		63
Grade 8	81%		62
Science			
Grade 4	92%		48
Grade 8	92%		61
		ge of students that or above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	67%		45
Mathematics	71%		45
	Percentag	ge of students uated	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	80%		45

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 73	30-780				
	100%	95%				92%			
			79%			5270	69%		
							0370		
				0'	0/			7%	
Number of Students:		40	33	(
					,		_		
Results by		2005–06 S				2004-05 \$	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		42	95%	79 %	0%				
Female		21	90%	81%	0%				
Male		21	100%	76%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		37	97%	81%	0%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		5	80%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students		36	100%	83%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		6	67%	50%	0%				
English Proficient		42	95%	79%	0%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged		42	95%	79%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	42	95%	79%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
NOTES									

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ren in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 70)3-770				
	100%	95%				94%			
			70%				81%		
								25%	
				59	6			2370	
Number of Students:		41	30	2					
		71	50	2					
Results by		2005–06 S				2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		43	95%	70%	5%				
Female		21	100%	71%	5%				
Male		22	91%	68%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r								
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		38	95%	71%	5%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students		37	95%	73%	5%				
Students with Disabilities		6	100%	50%	0%				
English Proficient		43	95%	70%	5%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged		43	95%	70%	5%		••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant		43	95%	70%	5%		••••		
NOTES									

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District NY State Public								
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 656	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 73	L6-775					
	100%					91%				
		84%	74%			91%	600/			
							69%			
								9%		
				00						
Number of Students:		42	37	()					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		50	84%	74%	0%					
Female		20	100%	90%	0%					
Male		30	73%	63%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		1		<u>-</u>	_					
Hispanic or Latino		2					essments fo			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level Eng	5	ige	
Pacific Islander							mathematic			
White		47		<u>-</u>	-		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		50	84%	74%	0%		sessments c		-	
General-Education Students		41	100%	90%	0%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities		9	11%	0%	0%			nemes.		
English Proficient		50	84%	74%	0%					
imited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged		50	84%	74%	0%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		50	84%	74%	0%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				1	-	-	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 670	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	000/				93%					
		88%	84%				78%				
				2	00/			26%			
				2	0%						
Number of Students:		43	41		LO						
			Ť±								
Results by		2005–06 Sc				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		49	88%	84%	20%						
Female		20	95%	90%	15%						
Male		29	83%	79%	24%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		2					sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							ddle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander							d mathematic				
White		46	_				tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		49	88%	84%	20%		ssessments c				
General-Education Students		41	98%	95%	24%		ed to results tered assess	•	Justy		
Students with Disabilities		8	38%	25%	0%		10100 033033	nemo.			
English Proficient		49	88%	84%	20%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		49	88%	84%	20%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		49	88%	84%	20%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	ichool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	45-100	65-10	3 00	5-100				
100%	100% 98%	92% 9		0% 52%	97% 95%	86% 80	% 49'	[%] 42%
	48 59	44	57 2	24 31				
	2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	48	100%	92%	50%	60	98%	95%	52%
	19	100%	95%	37%	27	100%	96%	41%
	29	100%	90%	59%	33	97%	94%	61%
ve								
	1	-	-	-				
	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
r								
•••••	45	-		-	59	-	-	-
	48	100%	92%	50%	60	98%	95%	52%
	40	100%	98%	55%	53	100%	98%	53%
	8	100%	63%	25%	7	86%	71%	43%
	48	100%	92%	50%	59 1	- -		
					31	100%	94%	52%
•••••		100%	92%		29	97%	97%	52%
	-				3	_	_	_
•••••		100%	92%	50%	57	_	_	
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 45–100 100% 98% 48 59 2005–06 S Total Tested 48 19 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 20 xe 1 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 29 ve 1 20 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48 48	2-4 3-4 Range: 45-100 65-11 100% 100% 98% 92% 9 48 59 44 2005-06 School Yea 700% Total Percentag Tested 2-4 48 100% 19 100% 29 100% Ve 1 45 - 45 - 45 - 45 - 48 100% 40 10% 8 100% 48 100% 48 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: 45-100 $65-100$ 8 100% 100% 98% 92% 95% 5 48 59 44 57 5 48 59 44 57 5 48 59 44 57 2 48 59 44 57 2 Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 19 100% 95% 29 100% 90% 29 100% 90% 29 100% 92% 45 - <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 100% 98% 92% 95% 50% 52% 48 59 44 57 24 31 Zoo5-06 Schout Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 48 100% 92% 50% 50% 19 100% 95% 37% 29 100% 90% 59% ve 1 -</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97 1 97% 95%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 80% 90% 92% 50% 52% 97% 95% 80% 90% 90% 95% 97% 95% 80% 90% 90% 90% 95% 97% 95% 80% 90% <th< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 4 49 100% 98% 92% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 497 49 48 59 44 57 24 31 97% 95% 86% 80% 497 2005-06 Vear Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s):</td></th<></td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 100% 98% 92% 95% 50% 52% 48 59 44 57 24 31 Zoo5-06 Schout Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 48 100% 92% 50% 50% 19 100% 95% 37% 29 100% 90% 59% ve 1 -	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97 1 97% 95%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 80% 90% 92% 50% 52% 97% 95% 80% 90% 90% 95% 97% 95% 80% 90% 90% 90% 95% 97% 95% 80% 90% <th< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 4 49 100% 98% 92% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 497 49 48 59 44 57 24 31 97% 95% 86% 80% 497 2005-06 Vear Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s):</td></th<>	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 45-100 65-100 85-100 97% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 4 49 100% 98% 92% 95% 97% 95% 97% 95% 497 49 48 59 44 57 24 31 97% 95% 86% 80% 497 2005-06 Vear Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s):

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State F	Public		
	Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	608-795	650-79	95 71	1-795				
100%	94%				94%			
		6694				670/		
		66%				01 78		
			59	6			12%	
	58	41	3					
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
			scoring at	level(s):				evel(s):
р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	62	94%	66%	5%				
	26	100%	58%	8%				
	36	89%	72%	3%				
ative	1	-	_	-				
	2	-	-	-				
ner	2	_	_	_				
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	57	93%	68%	5%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
	5	100%	40%	0%				
	52	100%	75%	6%				
	10	60%	20%	0%				
	62	94%	66%	5%				
•••••	62	94%	66%	5%			••••••	
•••••	62	94%	66%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
	p ative	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 608-795 100% 94% 58 2005-06 So Total Tested 62 26 36 ative 1 2 10 57 5 52 10 62 62	2-4 3-4 Range: 608-795 650-70 100% 94% 66% 100% 94% 66% 58 41 2005-06 School Year 700 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 62 94% 26 100% 36 89% ative 1 - 2 - 57 93% 5 100% 52 100% 52 100% 62 94%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ 71 100% 94% 66% 66% 66% 55 41 30 2005-06 School Year 58 41 30 2005-06 School Year 58 41 30 2005-06 School Year 58% 5 41 30 200% 58% 36 89% 72% 40% 52 100% 58% 5 100% 40% 52 100 60% 20% 62 94% 66%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $711-795$ 100% 94% 66% 58 41 3 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 62 94% 66% 5% 26 100% 58% 8% 36 89% 72% 3% ative 1	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $711-795$ 94% 94% 66% 5% 94% 94% 94% 5% 5% 94% 94% Solution of the second sec	Percentage scoring at level(5): Percentage scoring at level(2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 608-795 650-795 711-795 94% 67% 100% 94% 5% 94% 67% 67% 58 41 3 5% 67% 67% 58 41 3 704-05 5chool Year 704-05 704	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 608-795 650-795 711-795 94% 94% 100% 94% 66% 5% 94% 67% 12% 58 41 3 2004-05 School Year 12% p Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 100% 26 100% 58% 8% 2004-05 School Year p Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 1 - - - - - - 26 100% 58% 8% - - 2 - - - - - - 10 60% 5% 5% - - - 2 - - - - - - 10 60% 5% - - - - - - <

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 652	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 69	99-780						
	100%	000/				90%					
		88%	6694			90%	68%				
			66%				08%				
				79	%			19%			
New Association (Charles to			20		—						
Number of Students:		52	39	2	ł						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05 S	ichool Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		59	88%	66%	7%						
Female		25	92%	60%	8%						
Male		34	85%	71%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	1	-		_						
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		2									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ے 				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0.5		
White		54	87%	67%	7%	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	60%	0%						
General-Education Students		50	98%	74%	8%						
Students with Disabilities		9	33%	22%	0%						
English Proficient		59	88%	66%	7%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		59	88%	66%	7%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		59	88%	66%	7%						

Other	2005-06 S	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 640	Range:	598-785	650-78	35 70)5-785					
	100%	95%				93%				
			100/				60%			
			40%							
				39	6			12%		
umber of Students: Results by Student Group Il Students emale ale merican Indian or Alaska Native lack or African American ispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Other acific Islander thite mall Group Totals eneral-Education Students udents with Disabilities mglish Proficient mited English Proficient conomically Disadvantaged		55	23	2						
Pocults by		2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at leve				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		58	95%	40%	3%					
Female		25	100%	44%	4%					
Male	•••••	33	91%	36%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive									
Black or African American		1	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		5	-		-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er									
Pacific Islander									~-	
White		52	96%	40%	4%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		6	83%	33%	0%					
General-Education Students		45	100%	51%	4%					
Students with Disabilities		13	77%	0%	0%					
English Proficient		58	95%	40%	3%					
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	58	95%	40%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	58	95%	40%	3%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test v	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 647	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 69	96-780						
	100%	90%									
		90%				87%					
			59%				60%				
				29	6			13%			
Number of Students:		52	34	1							
Results by		2005–06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					School Year	cooring at la	vel/e).		
Student Group		Total Tostad	-	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
All Students		Tested 58	2-4 90%	3-4 59%	4 2%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
Female		24	100%	63%	0%						
Male	•••••		82%	56%	3%	•••••	••••	•••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e		01/0		• / •						
Black or African American			-		-	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	6	_			•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••	•••••			•••••					
Pacific Islander											
White		51	92%	61%	2%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	•••••	7	71%	43%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students		44	100%	70%	2%						
Students with Disabilities		14	57%	21%	0%						
English Proficient		58	90%	59%	2%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		58	90%	59%	2%						
Migrant											
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			90%	59%							

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 657	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	12-790							
	100%	94%				92%						
						5270						
			62%				56%					
				1	6%			8%				
Number of Students:		59	39	1	10							
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	vel(s):					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		63	94%	62%	16 %							
Female		28	89%	54%	7%							
Male		35	97%	69%	23%							
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive											
Black or African American		1	-		_							
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			~-			
White		61	-		_	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		63	94%	62%	16%							
General-Education Students		48	100%	77%	21%							
Students with Disabilities		15	73%	13%	0%							
English Proficient		63	94%	62%	16%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged												
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	63	94%	62%	16%		•••••					
Migrant												
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	63	94%	62%	16%		•••••					
NOTES												

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 634	Range:	611-800	650-80	00 69	3-800						
	100%										
		81%				87%					
							56%				
			38%								
								12%			
				09							
Number of Students:		51	24	C)						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05	School Year					
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		63	81%	38%	0%						
Female		28	79%	32%	0%						
Male		35	83%	43%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander											
White		61				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		63	81%	38%	0%						
General-Education Students		49	90%	47%	0%						
Students with Disabilities		14	50%	7%	0%						
English Proficient		63	81%	38%	0%	_					
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		63	81%	38%	0%						
Migrant											
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		63	81%	38%	0%						

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	: was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 651	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790							
	100%	94%				91%						
						51%						
			45%				49%					
				5	%			5%				
Number of Students:		58	28		3							
Results by		2005-06 S o				School Year						
Student Group		Total	Percentage sc			Total	-	scoring at le				
All Students		Tested 62	2-4 94%	3-4 45%	4 5%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
Female		36	94%	43%	3%							
Male		26	96%	50%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	20	-		_							
Black or African American		·····	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••							
Hispanic or Latino		4				New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••	and mid	dle-level En	glish langua	age			
Pacific Islander							mathematic					
White		56	95%	46%	5%		tered in 200					
Small Group Totals		6	83%	33%	0%		sessments c					
General-Education Students		52	98%	52%	6%		ed to results	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	10%	0%	aummis	administered assessments.					
English Proficient		62	94%	45%	5%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged												
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	62	94%	45%	5%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	62	94%	45%	5%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 70	01-775						
	100%	98%									
			81%			85%					
							54%				
				6	%			10%			
Number of Students:		61	50	2	1						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
—		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		62	98%	81 %	6 %						
Female		36	100%	83%	11%						
Male		26	96%	77%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	2									
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		4	_			New as	sessments fo	r elementar	у-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r						Idle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander					•••••		I mathematic				
White			98%	82%	7%		tered in 200 ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		6	100%	67%	0%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		53	98%	83%			tered assessi	•	Justy		
Students with Disabilities		9	100%	67%	0%						
English Proficient		62	98%	81%	6%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		62	98%	81%	6%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		62	98%	81%	6%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 77	Range:	44-100	65-10	30 00	5-100							
	100%	97% 97%	92% 7	'9%	0% 31%	91% 91%	64% 68	%				
2005-06 2004-05					070 3170			18	25%			
Number of Students:		59 59	56	48	18 19							
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r				
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		61	97%	92%	30%	61	97%	79 %	31%			
Female		35	100%	94%	31%	27	100%	85%	22%			
Male		26	92%	88%	27%	34	94%	74%	38%			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	2	_		_	1	-	_				
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino		4	_		_	1	-	_	_			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r											
White		55	100%	95%	31%	59	-	-	-			
Small Group Totals		6	67%	67%	17%	61	97%	79%	31%			
General-Education Students		53	96%	92%	34%	48	96%	92%	40%			
Students with Disabilities		8	100%	88%	0%	13	100%	31%	0%			
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		61	97%	92%	30%	61	97%	79%	31%			
Economically Disadvantaged						25	96%	76%	36%			
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	61	97%	92%	30%	36	97%	81%	28%			
Migrant						2	-	-	-			
Not Migrant	•••••	61	97%			59	-	-	-			

NOTES


Other	2005-06 S o		2004–05 School Year					
Accoccmonto	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	4	9	38	10	61	658	
Feb 2004	3	18	28	4	53	653	
Feb 2003	0	19	32	8	59	659	




Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	5	32	22	3	62	692	
Jan 2004	0	27	31	1	59	701	
Jan 2003	11	37	19	0	67	681	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	2	3	37	20	62	664	
May 2004	1	6	34	13	54	661	
May 2003	1	5	35	17	58	664	

	This School		NY State P	Public		
Grade 8	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3–4 4	2-4	3–4 4		
	Range: 681–882	716-882 760-882	2			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100% 92% 97% 84%	88% 54% 50% 5% 19%	2%	55% ^{58%} 51%	3% 9%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	5	24	31	3	63	718	
May 2004	2	5	41	11	59	740	
May 2003	10	21	30	1	62	710	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	78% 81%	67%	31% 37%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	

Results by	2002 Coho i	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
_	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	45	78 %	67%	31%	57	81%	77%	37%
Female	17	94%	76%	47%	32	84%	81%	47%
Male	28	68%	61%	21%	25	76%	72%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	45	78%	67%	31%	52	81%	77%	40%
Small Group Totals					5	80%	80%	0%
General-Education Students	38	87%	74%	34%	47	91%	89%	45%
Students with Disabilities	7	29%	29%	14%	10	30%	20%	0%
English Proficient	45	78%	67%	31%	57	81%	77%	37%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••			•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-				
Not Disadvantaged	43	-	-	–		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	45	78%	67%	31%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level((s):
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	82% 81%	71% 75%	36% 19%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohor	ť*			2001 Coho	rt*			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	45	82 %	71%	36%	57	81 %	75%	19 %	
Female	17	88%	82%	35%	32	91%	84%	19%	
Male	28	79%	64%	36%	25	68%	64%	20%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	•••••••			•••••	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino				•••••	3	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••			•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander									
White	45	82%	71%	36%	52	81%	77%	19%	
Small Group Totals					5	80%	60%	20%	
General-Education Students	38	84%	79%	42%	47	96%	89%	23%	
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	29%	0%	10	10%	10%	0%	
English Proficient	45	82%	71%	36%	57	81%	75%	19%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			•••••			••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	_	-					
Not Disadvantaged	43	-	-	–			••••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	45	82%	71%	36%		• •••••		••••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the pext smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ťť		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:




	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	45	80%	4%	4%	4%	7%
	2001	57	77%	2%	2%	7%	12%
Female	2002	17	88%	0%	0%	0%	12%
	2001	32	88%	0%	0%	3%	9%
Male	2002	28	75%	7%	7%	7%	4%
	2001	25	64%	4%	4%	12%	16%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	-	_	_	_
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	2001	1	-	-	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	3	,	,	,	· -	,
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r						
White	2002	45	80%	4%	4%	4%	7%
	2001	52	79%	2%	2%	6%	12%
Small Group Totals							
	2001	5	60%	0%	0%	20%	20%
General-Education Students	2002	38	84%	0%	5%	3%	8%
	2001	47	87%	0%	2%	6%	4%
Students with Disabilities	2002	7	57%	29%	0%	14%	0%
	2001	10	30%	10%	0%	10%	50%
English Proficient	2002	45	80%	4%	4%	4%	7%
5	2001	57	77%	2%	2%	7%	12%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	2	-	-	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	2002	43	-	-		-	-
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	45	80%		4%	4%	7%

NOTES

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	56	84%	2%	4%	0%	11%
Female	31	94%	0%	0%	0%	6%
Male	25	72%	4%	8%	0%	16%
American Indian	1	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	-		_		_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	2			_		
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	52	-	-	_		
Small Group Totals	56	84%	2%	4%	0%	11%
General-Education Students	46	96%	0%	2%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	10	30%	10%	10%	0%	50%
English Proficient	56	84%	2%	4%	0%	11%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	24	83%	0%	0%	0%	17%
Not Disadvantaged	32	84%	3%	6%	0%	6%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	56	84%	2%	4%	0%	11%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.