

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District HORSEHEADS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 070901060000 Superintendent RALPH MARINO Telephone (607) 739-5601 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	258	309	280
Grade 1	284	266	332
Grade 2	296	274	284
Grade 3	304	294	292
Grade 4	292	300	308
Grade 5	356	294	308
Grade 6	336	360	306
Ungraded Elementary	26	31	4
Grade 7	337	347	393
Grade 8	379	321	353
Grade 9	392	404	348
Grade 10	388	381	398
Grade 11	370	374	363
Grade 12	350	368	366
Ungraded Secondary	10	16	1
Total K–12	4378	4339	4336

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	21	21	21
Grade 8			
English	21	21	24
Mathematics	21	21	23
Science	21	21	23
Social Studies	21	21	23
Grade 10			
English	23	25	24
Mathematics	23	24	24
Science	21	22	23
Social Studies	23	22	18

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	533	12%	665	15%	698	16%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	318	7%	304	7%	406	9%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	11	0%	12	0%	8	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	0%	4	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	96	2%	96	2%	104	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	42	1%	43	1%	49	1%	
Asian or Native	84	2%	94	2%	98	2%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	4150	95%	4102	95%	4083	94%	

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	125	N/A	89	2%	92	2%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	669	622	1091
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	2%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	7	5	5
Percentage of Total	2%	2%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	16%	18%	19%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	304	309	321
Total Other Professional Staff	36	33	37
Total Paraprofessionals*	65	63	60
Assistant Principals	4	4	5
Principals	7	7	7

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

New York State Status

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)					
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.					
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.					

2 District Accountability

District HORSEHEADS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level		
ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing	
Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Good Standing	
	 Math		Math 🔨 Good Standing Math	

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Lo	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	-			
Black or African American	✓	✓	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<	~		–	-			
White	✓	 ✓ 	••••	✓	V	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	 ✓ 	v		X	X			
Limited English Proficient	–	-	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	~	 ✓ 	••••	✓	/	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 6 of 6	🖌 1 of 1	X 3 of 4	X 3 of 4	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (1969:1938)	~	 	100%	 ✓ 	162	120		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (53:47)	~	 	100%	<	149	108		
Hispanic or Latino (21:21)	-	_	-	-	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (51:50)	<	<	100%	<	180	109		
White (1840:1816)	<	~	100%	~	162	119	••••••••••••••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (322:309)	~	~	99%	X	95	116	116	106
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (467:456)	~	~	100%	~	143	117		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹			Tested		Index		2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (1981:1922)	 	 ✓ 	100%	 	169	84			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (4:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (54:47)	~	 	100%	~	143	72			
Hispanic or Latino (22:21)	-	_	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (51:50)	~	<	100%	~	184	73			
White (1850:1800)	<	~	100%	~	169	83	•••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (328:307)	 	~	98%	~	112	80			
Limited English Proficient (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (480:455)	~	<	100%	~	151	81			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 6 of 6								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performa	Performance Objectives	
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (658:640)	~	Qualified	 	99%	~	190	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (17:15)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (17:16)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (616:601)		Qualified	<	99%	~	190	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (104:94)		Qualified	~	96%	~	166	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (159:151)		Qualified	~	99%	~	182	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	if 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Made AYP

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05

and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (387:367)	~	V	95%	V	177	148		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (7:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	–	-
White (371:352)	✓	~	95%	 	176	148	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (72:37)	X	X	86%	X	105	138	118	115
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (34:34)	~	-	-	~	162	137		
Final AYP Determination	X 3 of 4							

NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

1 Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

those two years. ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status		lested		Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (387:367)	V	 	95%	V	183	140		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (7:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (1:0)	_	_	_	_	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (371:352)	✓	~	95%	 	182	140	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (72:37)	X	X	83%	X	124	130	130	132
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (34:34)	~	-	-	~	171	129	••••	
Final AYP Determination	X 3 of 4							

NOTES

AYP Status

1 Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[‡] This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	i	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (357)	~	~	82%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (3)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (4)		-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8)		-	-	-	_	-
White (342)		~	81%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (26)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (29)		_	_	-	-	_
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

ederal Title I Status	New York State Status					
Good Standing						
7 schools identified 100% of total						
BIG FLATS SCHOOL						
CENTER STREET SCHOOL						
GARDNER ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL						
HORSEHEADS INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL						
HORSEHEADS MIDDLE SCHOOL						
HORSEHEADS SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL						
RIDGE ROAD SCHOOL						

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		age of stu at or abov	dents that e Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%		50%	100%
Grade 3	70%			286
Grade 4	70% 🗖			303
Grade 5	76% 🗖			313
Grade 6	75% 🗖			309
Grade 7	63% 🗖			381
Grade 8	56%			348
Mathematics				
Grade 3	82%			291
Grade 4	86% 🗖			304
Grade 5	71% 🗖			313
Grade 6	69% 🗖			310
Grade 7	66% 🗖			384
Grade 8	65%			347
Science				
Grade 4	96%			301
Grade 8	84%			343
		age of stu at or abov	dents that	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%		50%	100%
English	79%			393
Mathematics	84%			393
	Percenta who gra	age of stu duated	2002 Cohort	
Graduation Rate	0%	-	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	78%		·	393

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ate Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	69% 7% 04-05 School Year al Percentage scoring at level(s): ted 2-4 3-4 4				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 673	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780						
	100%	90%				92%					
		90%	70%			9278	60%				
							0370				
				8	9%			7%			
Number of Students:		257	201	2	24	_					
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05					
Student Grou	n	Total	Percentage			Total					
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		286	90%	70%	8%						
Female	•••••	141	91%	77%	13%			••••••			
Male		145	88%	64%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	1									
Black or African American		8	88%	63%	13%						
Hispanic or Latino		6	_								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her	10	100%	90%	30%						
Pacific Islander			100%	90%	JU70						
White		261	90%	70%	8%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	86%	71%	0%						
General-Education Students		232	99%	81%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		54	52%	26%	2%						
English Proficient		284	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	–						
Economically Disadvantaged		75	79%	59%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		211	94%	74%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		286	90%	70%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year 2004–05 School Year					1		
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 678	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	97%				94%					
			82%			5470	81%				
				0	201			25%			
				2.	2%			2370			
						_					
Number of Students:		282	240	6	54						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
•		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		291	97%	82%	22%						
Female		144	99%	82%	24%						
Male		147	95%	83%	20%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	1	_	_	-						
Black or African American		8	88%	88%	13%						
Hispanic or Latino		7	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	10	100%	90%	40%						
Pacific Islander					4070				05		
White		265	97%	82%	22%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		8	100%	75%	13%						
General-Education Students		236	100%	92%	26%						
Students with Disabilities		55	84%	44%	5%						
English Proficient		289									
imited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		79	95%	73%	14%						
Not Disadvantaged		212	98%	86%	25%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		291	97%	82%	22%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
emale Iale merican Indian or Alaska N lack or African American ispanic or Latino sian or Native Hawaiian/Of acific Islander	Range:	612-775	650-7	775 7	16-775						
	100%	90%				91%					
		90%	70%			91%	69%				
				1	1%			9%			
Number of Students:		273	213		34						
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year	r		2004-05	School Year				
-		Total Percentage sc		e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Grot	Ar Ar	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		303	90%	70%	11%						
Female		167	93%	78%	16%						
Male		136	86%	61%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native	2		<u>-</u>							
Black or African American		10	90%	70%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		2					sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	Other	5	_	_	_		Idle-level Eng		age		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••			•••••			l mathematic tered in 200				
White	••••••	284	90%	70%	11%		ssessments c				
· · ·		9	100%	67%	22%		ed to results		-		
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	5	257	97%	77%	13%		tered assess	•	· · · · ,		
		46	52%	30%	2%						
••••••	••••••	303	90%	70%	11%						
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	d		85%	58%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged		219	92%	75%	15%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		303	90%	70%	11%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	6 School Year 2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public					
		Percentage sco	650-800 702-800			Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800						
	100%	96%	86%	23%	93%	78%	26%			
Number of Students:		292	260	71						

Results by	2005-06 \$	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4				
All Students	304	96%	86%	23%					
Female	167	95%	85%	23%					
Male	137	97%	86%	23%					
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-					
Black or African American	9	89%	78%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino	2		-		New assessments for elementary-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	•••••	••••••	and middle-level English language				
Pacific Islander	5	-	_	_	arts and mathematics were				
White	286	96%	85%	23%	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	56%	these assessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students	257	99%	90%	26%	compared to results from previously				
Students with Disabilities	47	81%	60%	6%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	304	96%	86%	23%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••••	••••	••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	86	94%	73%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	218	97%	90%	28%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	304	96%	86%	23%					
NOTES									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	_	-	2	-	_	-

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		42% 42% t level(s): 4 61% 60% 60% 66% 90% 66% 37% 61%
		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-1	00 E	5-100				
■ 2005-06	100%	61%		97% 95%	^{86%} 80%		[%] 42%		
2004-05							_		
Number of Students:		301 295	289	282 1	54 180				
Results by						2004-05 \$	School Yea	ır	
_		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage sco				le scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		301	100%	96%	51%	297	99%	95%	61%
Female		166	100%	95%	49%	141	100%	96%	56%
Male		135	100%	97%	54%	156	99%	94%	65%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	2							
Black or African American			100%	89%	33%	10	100%	90%	60%
			-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		5	_	-	-	9	-	-	-
White		283		96%	52%	277	99%	95%	60%
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	9	100%	100%	56%	10	100%	100%	90%
General-Education Students		256	100%	98%	54%	243	100%	98%	66%
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45	100%	82%	33%	54	96%	81%	37%
English Proficient		301	100%	96%	51%	297	99%	95%	61%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		86	100%	94%	42%	76	99%	91%	45%
Net Disaduranta and	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		1000/	070/		221	1000/		

Migrant E196

Not Disadvantaged

Not Migrant

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

215

301

100%

100%

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2	_		
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	—	_	2	-	-	_

97%

96%

55%

51%

221

296

1

100%

96%

_

66%

_

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct		NY State P	ublic					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 666	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	98%				94%					
			76%			3470	670/				
							67%				
				1:	1%			12%			
Number of Students:		306	237	3	3						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		313	98%	76%	11%						
Female		153	98%	78%	10%						
Male		160	98%	74%	11%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		9									
Hispanic or Latino		1									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		10	100%	80%	30%						
White		293	98%	76%	10%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	•••••	10	100%	70%	0%						
General-Education Students		254	100%	87%	13%						
Students with Disabilities	•••••	59	90%	25%	2%						
English Proficient		313	98%	76%	11%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		78	97%	60%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		235	98%	81%	13%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		313	98%	76%	11%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷			_		was not giv	200	,4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 664	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780					
	100%	92%				0.0%				
		92 %	71%			90%	600/			
			1170				68%			
				1	5%			19%		
Number of Students:		288	222		6					
		200	222	4	0		-			
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		313	92 %	71%	15%					
Female		151	91%	69%	14%					
Male		162	93%	73%	15%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		9		_	_					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	10	90%	90%	50%					
Pacific Islander				9070	JU /0					
White		293	92%	71%	14%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		10	80%	60%	10%					
General-Education Students		255	98%	82%	18%					
Students with Disabilities		58	64%	21%	2%					
English Proficient		313	92%	71%	15%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		81	88%	56%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged		232	94%	76%	18%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		313	92%	71%	15%					

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Total Number scoring a		oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
100%	94%				02%				
	5470	75%			9378				
						60%			
			18	3%			12%		
	202	222							
	292	232	5	5					
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	309	94%	75%	18%					
	148	99%	80%	20%					
	161	91%	71%	16%					
tive									
	8	75%	50%	0%					
	4	-	-	-					
er	Q	_	_	_					
					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	289	95%	75%	18%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	12	75%	58%	0%					
	265	99%	84%	20%					
	44	68%	20%	2%					
	309	94%	75%	18%					
	68	87%	63%	7%					
	241	97%	78%	21%					
	309	94%	75%	18%					
	-	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 598-785 100% 94% 292 2005-06 Se Total Tested 309 148 161 tive 8 4 er 8 4 er 8 289 12 265 44 309	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 Range: $598-785$ $650-785$ 7 100% 94% 75% 18 292 232 5 2005-06 School Year Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 309 94% 75% Total Percentage scoring at Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $3-4$ 309 94% 75% 148 99% 80% 161 91% 71% tive 8 75% 50% 4 - - - er 8 75% 50% 12 75% 58% - 265 99% 84% - 44 68% 20% - 68 87% 63% - 68 87% 63% - 68 87% 63% -	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 94% 75% 18% 200% 292 232 55 Zoo5-o6 Schulter Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 309 94% 75% Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 309 94% 75% 18% Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 309 94% 75% 18% Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 309 94% 75% 18% 148 99% 80% 20% 2 75% 50% 0% 148 99% 80% 2 <th colsp<="" td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $598-785$ $650-785$ $705-785$ 100% 94% 75% 93% 292 232 55 93% 292 232 55 93% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 Strotal Total Tested Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 148 99% 80% 20% 161 91% 71% 16% 161 91% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 265 99% 84% 20% 12 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 12 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 44 68% 20% 75% 68 87% 75% 18% 68</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: 598-785 $650-785$ $705-785$ 93% 100% 94% 75% 93% 60% 292 232 55 50 60% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 148 99% 80% 20% 161 151 91% 71% 16% 75% 18% 161 91% 75% 18% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 75% 18% 75% 289 95% 75% 18% 75% 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 75% 75%</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 93% 60% 12% 100% 94% 75% 18% 93% 60% 12% 292 232 55 500 93% 60% 12% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 12% 148 99% 80% 20% 204 3-4 4 161 91% 71% 16% 16% 16% 16% 12 75% 50% 0% 16% 16% 16% 12% 1004 289 95% 75% 18% 12%</td></th>	<td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $598-785$ $650-785$ $705-785$ 100% 94% 75% 93% 292 232 55 93% 292 232 55 93% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 Strotal Total Tested Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 148 99% 80% 20% 161 91% 71% 16% 161 91% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 265 99% 84% 20% 12 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 12 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 44 68% 20% 75% 68 87% 75% 18% 68</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: 598-785 $650-785$ $705-785$ 93% 100% 94% 75% 93% 60% 292 232 55 50 60% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 148 99% 80% 20% 161 151 91% 71% 16% 75% 18% 161 91% 75% 18% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 75% 18% 75% 289 95% 75% 18% 75% 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 75% 75%</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 93% 60% 12% 100% 94% 75% 18% 93% 60% 12% 292 232 55 500 93% 60% 12% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 12% 148 99% 80% 20% 204 3-4 4 161 91% 71% 16% 16% 16% 16% 12 75% 50% 0% 16% 16% 16% 12% 1004 289 95% 75% 18% 12%</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $598-785$ $650-785$ $705-785$ 100% 94% 75% 93% 292 232 55 93% 292 232 55 93% Zoo5-o6 School Year Zoo4-o5 Strotal Total Tested Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 148 99% 80% 20% 161 91% 71% 16% 161 91% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 265 99% 84% 20% 12 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 12 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 44 68% 20% 75% 68 87% 75% 18% 68	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ $3-4$ Range: 598-785 $650-785$ $705-785$ 93% 100% 94% 75% 93% 60% 292 232 55 50 60% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 148 99% 80% 20% 161 151 91% 71% 16% 75% 18% 161 91% 75% 18% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 75% 18% 12 75% 58% 0% 75% 18% 75% 289 95% 75% 18% 75% 75% 18% 75% 265 99% 84% 20% 75% 75%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 93% 60% 12% 100% 94% 75% 18% 93% 60% 12% 292 232 55 500 93% 60% 12% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 12% 148 99% 80% 20% 204 3-4 4 161 91% 71% 16% 16% 16% 16% 12 75% 50% 0% 16% 16% 16% 12% 1004 289 95% 75% 18% 12%

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	8	7	6	6	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			was not giv	200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Pu	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 666	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	100%	94%									
		5170	69%			87%					
			09%				60%				
				1	5%			13%			
Number of Students:		291	214		18						
Number of Students.		231	214		+0						
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05 S	chool Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		310	94%	69 %	15%						
Female		147	96%	71%	11%						
Male		163	92%	67%	20%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		8	75%	13%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		8									
Pacific Islander		0									
White		290	94%	70%	15%	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		12	75%	33%	0%						
General-Education Students		266	97%	77%	17%						
Students with Disabilities	•••••	44	75%	18%	5%						
English Proficient		310	94%	69%	15%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		68	91%	54%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	242	95%	73%	19%		•••••••••••••••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	310	94%	69%	15%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				
NOTES											

0123

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 Sc	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	8	7	6	6	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

District NY State Public		NY State Public			ict			
entage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at lev	t level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):		el(s):	scoring at leve	Percentage s		
3-4 4 2-4 3-4	-4 4	2-4 3-4	4	4	3-4	2-4		
-790 650-790 712-790			712-790	790 7	650-7	600-790	Range:	Mean Score: 658
92%		92%				94%	100%	
		5270						
63% 56%	%	56%			63%			
7%	8%		7%	-				
240 28			28		240	359		Number of Students:
-06 School Year 2004-05 School Ye	Year	2004–05 School Year		r	chool Year	2005-06 S		Results by
	entage scoring at level(s):		at level(s):			Total		-
		-		-	_	Tested	р	Student Grou
81 94% 63% 7%			7%	63%	94%	381		All Students
88 97% 63% 9%			9%	63%	97%	188		Female
93 91% 63% 6%			6%	63%	91%	193		Male
1			-	-	-	1	lative	American Indian or Alaska N
10 100% 50% 0%		• • • • • 1	0%	50%	100%	10		Black or African American
3		••••		-	-	3		Hispanic or Latino
6 – – –		• • • • • •	_		_	6	ther	Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot
		·····	-					Pacific Islander
61 94% 64% 7% This test was not g	iot given in 2004-05.	This test was not given	7%	64%	94%	361		Nhite
10 90% 50% 10%			10%	50%	90%	10		Small Group Totals
36 99% 70% 8%			8%	70%	99%	336		General-Education Students
45 62% 11% 0%			0%	11%	62%	45		Students with Disabilities
81 94% 63% 7%			7%	63%	94%	381		English Proficient
								imited English Proficient
84 88% 45% 2%			2%	45%	88%	84		Economically Disadvantaged
97 96% 68% 9%			9%	68%	96%	297		Not Disadvantaged
								Migrant
81 94% 63% 7%			7%	63%	94%	381		Not Migrant
81 94% 63% 7%			7%	63%	94%	381		Not Migrant

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	5	4	4	This tost y	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent			4	4		was not yiv		4-05.		
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 7										

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 657	Range:	611-800	650-8	300 6	93-800					
	100%	95%								
						87%				
			66%				56%			
				1	0%			12%		
Number of Students		200	255		20					
Number of Students:		366	255		38					
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		384	95%	66%	10%					
Female		192	95%	65%	11%					
Male		192	96%	68%	8%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1								
Black or African American		12	83%	33%	8%					
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	·	6	100%	67%	33%					
Pacific Islander			100%	0170	JJ 70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
White		361	96%	68%	10%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004/	-05.	
Small Group Totals		5	100%	40%	0%					
General-Education Students		336	99%	75%	11%					
Students with Disabilities		48	73%	8%	0%					
English Proficient		383	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged		87	91%	47%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	297	97%	72%	11%		•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	384	95%	66%	10%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	5	5	3	3	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 656	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790						
	100%	97%				91%					
						51%					
			56%				49%				
				5	%			5%			
Number of Students:		338	195	1	.6	_					
Results by		2005–06 S			/		School Year		14.3		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		348	97%	56%	5%	_					
Female	•••••	172	98%	60%	2%		••••	••••••			
Male		176	96%	52%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve				••••••						
Black or African American		8		.	<u>-</u>						
Hispanic or Latino		4					sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		12	100%	83%	25%		Idle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander		<u></u>					l mathematic				
White		324	97%	56%	4%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		12	92%	33%	8%		ssessments c		•		
General-Education Students		303	100%	63%	5%		ed to results tered assessr	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	•••••	45	80%	9%	0%	auminis	tereu assessi	nems.			
English Proficient		348	97%	56%	5%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		73	96%	42%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	275	97%	60%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	348	97%	56%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 2-4 3-4 4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 7 7 7 7 7 7 6 6 (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent New York State English as a Second 0 Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 Grade 8

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage se	coring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 663	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	96%								
			650/			85%				
			65%				54%			
				1	3%			10%		
Number of Students:		334	226		44					
		554	220		+4					
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total Percenta		scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		347	96%	65%	13%					
Female		172	98%	65%	12%					
Male		175	94%	65%	14%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American		8		<u>-</u>						
Hispanic or Latino		4					sessments fo		•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	12	92%	83%	50%		ldle-level En	5 5	age	
Pacific Islander							l mathematic			
White		323	96%	65%	11%		tered in 200 ssessments c			
Small Group Totals		12	100%	42%	8%		ed to results		-	
General-Education Students		300	99%	71%	14%		tered assess	•	susty	
Students with Disabilities		47	79%	26%	2%					
English Proficient		347	96%	65%	13%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		74	96%	45%	1%					
Not Disadvantaged		273	96%	71%	16%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		347	96%	65%	13%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S a	2004-05 School Year						
_	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Number sco	oring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4			Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	6

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
■ 2005-06	100%	99% 100%	84% 9	2%	36%	91% 91%	64% 68	3% <u>18</u> '	25%
2004–05									
Number of Students:		339 320	288 2	294 9	95 114				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ır	
Student Gro	un	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Gro	սբ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		343	99%	84%	28%	321	100%	92%	36%
Female		169	99%	83%	25%	140	99%	91%	36%
Male		174	99%	85%	30%	181	100%	92%	35%
American Indian or Alaska	a Native								
Black or African American	1	8				8	100%	38%	13%
Hispanic or Latino		4	-		-	5	100%	100%	20%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	'Other	12	100%	92%	58%	5	100%	100%	60%
White		319	99%	84%	27%	303	100%	93%	36%
Small Group Totals		12	100%	83%	25%		••••	••••••	•••••
General-Education Studen	ts	297	100%	90%	31%	288	100%	97%	39%
Students with Disabilities		46	93%	43%	4%	33	97%	48%	3%
English Proficient		343	99%	84%	28%	321	100%	92%	36%
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••		••••	••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantag	ed	71	97%	69%	6%	59	98%	80%	14%
Not Disadvantaged		272	99%	88%	33%	262	100%	94%	40%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		343	99%	84%	28%	321	100%	92%	36%
NOTES									


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	7	4	7	7	7	4
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent		1		4	·····	'	, 	4
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	10	42	169	82	303	676
Feb 2004	8	55	171	64	298	669
Feb 2003	16	105	152	87	360	663




Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	2	125	171	29	327	704	
Jan 2004	8	139	184	47	378	708	
Jan 2003	16	155	159	40	370	702	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	4	27	146	123	300	673	
May 2004	2	30	161	104	297	669	
May 2003	12	45	194	110	361	664	

	This School			NY State Pub	olic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s)	:	Percentage sc):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	96% 97% 95%	73% 71%	10% 17% 8%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	6 9% ^{13%} 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	12	77	210	32	331	731	
May 2004	13	98	202	66	379	732	
May 2003	17	140	179	31	367	722	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Coho r	't*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	393	86%	79 %	41 %	381	85%	81%	46 %	
Female	195	91%	85%	54%	201	89%	85%	48%	
Male	198	81%	73%	28%	180	82%	76%	43%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	8	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					4	–	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	100%	100%	100%	9	100%	100%	67%	
White	376	86%	78%	40%	365	85%	81%	45%	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	22%	7	86%	71%	43%	
General-Education Students	350	91%	87%	46%	347	89%	86%	50%	
Students with Disabilities	43	44%	16%	0%	34	50%	24%	0%	
English Proficient	393	86%	79%	41%	380	-	_	-	
imited English Proficient	••••••				1	-	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	76%	63%	29%					
Not Disadvantaged	352	87%	81%	42%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	393	86%	79%	41%			•••••		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2002 Coho r		2001 Cohort*					
Accoccmonts	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	of Students 2–4 3–4 4 of Studen					2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	6	2	_	_	
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0	6	0	U	2		_	_

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	87% 87%	84% 82%	41% 31%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho r	·t*			2001 Coho i	rt*			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	393	87 %	84%	41 %	381	87%	82%	31%	
Female	195	90%	88%	45%	201	89%	82%	29%	
Male	198	83%	80%	37%	180	84%	81%	32%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	8	88%	88%	13%	3	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino					4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	8	-	-	-	9	100%	100%	78%	
White	376	86%	84%	41%	365	87%	81%	29%	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	67%	7	86%	71%	43%	
General-Education Students	350	92%	90%	46%	347	90%	86%	33%	
Students with Disabilities	43	44%	33%	0%	34	53%	35%	3%	
English Proficient	393	87%	84%	41%	380	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••	1	–	-	-	
Economically Disadvantaged	41	73%	68%	22%					
Not Disadvantaged	352	88%	86%	43%				•••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	393	87%	84%	41%			•••••	••••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ʻt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	F	F	2	1			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	5	5	Э	3	T	-	-	-


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	393	78%	0%	3%	15%	3%
	2001	381	79%	0%	6%	10%	6%
Female	2002	195	85%	1%	3%	10%	2%
	2001	201	78%	0%	3%	11%	7%
Male	2002	198	72%	0%	4%	19%	5%
	2001	180	80%	0%	9%	8%	3%
American Indian	2002	1	-	-	_	_	-
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	8	75%	0%	0%	13%	13%
African American	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	2001	4	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	8	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
White	2002	376	78%	0%	3%	15%	3%
	2001	365	78%	0%	6%	10%	5%
Small Group Totals	2002	9	78%	0%	0%	11%	11%
	2001	7	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%
General-Education Students	2002	350	85%	0%	3%	9%	3%
	2001	347	81%	0%	5%	8%	5%
Students with Disabilities	2002	43	23%	2%	5%	63%	7%
	2001	34	53%	0%	18%	24%	6%
English Proficient	2002	393	78%	0%	3%	15%	3%
-	2001	380	—				—
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	1	_	-	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	41	68%	2%	7%	15%	7%
						· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Not Disadvantaged	2002	352	80%	0%	3%	15%	3%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	393	78%	0%	3%	15%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 85% 72% 0% 2% 5% 1% 1% 5% 8% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	372	85%	. 0%	5%	1%	8%
Female	199	85%	1%	5%	1%	9%
Male	173	86%	0%	6%	1%	6%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	3	_	-	_		
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	_	_	
Asian or Native	9	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	356	85%	0%	6%	1%	8%
Small Group Totals	7	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%
General-Education Students	345	87%	0%	5%	1%	8%
Students with Disabilities	27	67%	4%	15%	4%	11%
English Proficient	371	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1		-			
Economically Disadvantaged	31	87%	0%	6%	0%	6%
Not Disadvantaged	341	85%	0%	5%	1%	8%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	372	85%	0%	5%	1%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.