

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District NORTHERN ADIRONDACK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 090901040000 Superintendent WILLIAM SCOTT Telephone (518) 594-7060 Grades K-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
0	0	0
74	59	69
76	75	54
81	73	76
66	78	70
82	67	79
83	87	65
103	87	87
0	0	0
98	105	101
117	92	89
82	109	96
101	90	101
79	102	83
86	81	89
0	0	0
1128	1105	1059
	0 74 76 81 66 82 83 103 0 98 117 82 101 79 86 0	0 0 74 59 76 75 81 73 66 78 82 67 83 87 103 87 0 0 98 105 117 92 82 109 101 90 79 102 86 81 0 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	18	19
Grade 8			
English	25	23	20
Mathematics	23	18	15
Science	25	21	21
Social Studies	26	22	20
Grade 10			
English	23	21	23
Mathematics	19	21	18
Science	25	18	21
Social Studies	24	20	27

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	363	32%	395	36%	344	32%
Reduced-Price Lunch	156	14%	174	16%	126	12%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	2	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	10	1%	7	1%	7	1%
Hispanic or Latino	3	0%	10	1%	45	4%
Asian or Native	5	0%	3	0%	9	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1107	98%	1083	98%	997	94%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	47	N/A	26	2%	31	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	257	231	338
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	27%	27%	28%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	91	91	93
Total Other Professional Staff	7	7	5
Total Paraprofessionals*	24	19	21
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	2	2	2

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

^	District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en i	dentified as a District in Need of Improvement
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	-	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District NORTHERN ADIRONDACK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		▲ Good Standing Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level						
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing				
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Good Standing				
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding					

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004–05 2005–06		2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A start of the start of	v	V	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_		_	_		
Black or African American	–	–	••••	–	–	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••	
White	 	~	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	V		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	V	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 3 of 4	✔ 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	🖌 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
	Status		lesteu		Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (509:492)	V	V	99%	V	147	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3·3)	_	_	-	-	_	_		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-		_
White (498:481)	<	~	99%	 ✓ 	146	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (131:122)	X	~	99%	X	85	113	90	97
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	–	-	-	-	-	-		–
Economically Disadvantaged (268:255)	~	<	100%	~	134	115		••••
Final AYP Determination	X 3 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (504:486)			99%		149	81	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (492:475)	<	~	99%	~	148	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (128:119)	~	~	98%	~	88	76		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	–	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (262:251)	~	~	100%	~	139	79		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

			Participati	on ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (171:164)	v	Qualified	 Image: A set of the set of the	98%	v	184	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		_	-	_	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (2:2)		_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)			••••••	••••••••••••••••••			•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (166:159)		Qualified	<	98%	~	184	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (46:40)		Qualified	~	93%	~	160	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (89:86)		Qualified	~	100%	~	178	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	3
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (92:91)	V	V	97%	V	164	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-
White (92:91)	<	✓	97%	~	164	144	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:10)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (27:28)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Council of the second students in the 12th and a second student student student student.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatus	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	-
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (92:91)	V	V	99%	V	176	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (92:91)	✓	✓	99%	 	176	136	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (10:10)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (27:28)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (78)	~	~	82%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	-	-		
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino (0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		-	-	-	-	-		
White (75)		~	81%	55%	•••••••••	•••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (4)		-	-	-	-	_		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (18)		-	-	-	-	-		
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1						

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

³ School Accountability Status

District NORTHERN ADIRONDACK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

▲ Good Standing

2 schools identified 100% of total NORTHERN ADIRONDACK ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

NORTHERN ADIRONDACK JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		of students that above Level 3	Total Tested			
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%			
Grade 3	78%		69			
Grade 4	75%		79			
Grade 5	76%		67			
Grade 6	48%		88			
Grade 7	37%		108			
Grade 8	33%		95			
Mathematics						
Grade 3	94%		70			
Grade 4	88%		77			
Grade 5	81%		67			
Grade 6	42%		88			
Grade 7	35%		106			
Grade 8	46%		93			
Science						
Grade 4	93%		76			
Grade 8	75%		92			
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort			
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%			
English	67%		105			
Mathematics	70%		105			
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort			
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%			
2002 Cohort	68%		105			

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State	NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 669	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780							
	100%	99%				92%						
			78%			9270	69%					
							0978					
				4	%			7%				
Number of Students:		68	54									
		00	54	· · · · ·	5		_					
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year					
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		69	99%	78%	4%							
Female		34	100%	85%	6%							
Male		35	97%	71%	3%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve											
Black or African American				•••••	•••••							
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander		±				······			05			
White		68		<u>-</u>			st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		69	99%	78%	4%							
General-Education Students		57	100%	82%	5%							
Students with Disabilities		12	92%	58%	0%							
English Proficient		69	99%	78%	4%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		32	100%	72%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		37	97%	84%	8%							
Migrant		2	-	_	-							
Not Migrant		67	_		_							

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total		oring at level		Total	Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test y	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent										
New York State English as a Second										
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Grade 3										

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	99%	94%			94%					
							81%				
				1	7%			25%			
					1%						
Number of Students:		69	66	1	12	_					
Results by		2005–06 S o				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		70	99%	94%	17%						
Female		34	100%	94%	21%	••••••	•••••	•••••••			
Male	1-+	36	97%	94%	14%						
American Indian or Alaska N	vative		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••					
Black or African American		1	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	·····-	·······	•••••					
Hispanic or Latino		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	iner	1	-	-	-						
White				·····-		This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals					17%	•••••	-				
General-Education Students		58	100%	97%	19%	_					
Students with Disabilities			92%			•••••					
English Proficient		70	99%	94%	17%						
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••									
Economically Disadvantaged		32	97%	91%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		38	100%	97%	29%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant		2	-	-	-						
Not Migrant		68	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••••••		•••••	•••••••	•••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total		Number scoring at level(s):				
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 668	Range:	612-775	650-7	775 73	16-775						
	100%	95%				91%					
		5570	75%			91%	69%				
							09%				
				3	%			9%			
Number of Students:		75	59								
		15	33		-						
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Yea	r	2004-05	School Year					
Student Gro		Total Percentage scoring			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Gro	սբ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		79	95%	75%	3%						
Female		45	100%	84%	4%						
Male		34	88%	62%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska	a Native	1	-	_	_						
Black or African American	۱										
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo		•		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	'Other	2	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander							mathematic tered in 200				
White		76	.	<u>-</u>			sessments c				
Small Group Totals		79 62	95%	75%	<u>3%</u> 3%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Studen	its		100%	85%			inistered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities		17	76%	35%	0%						
English Proficient		79	95%	75%	3%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantag	ea	46	91%	63%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		33	100%	91%	6%						
Migrant		2									
Not Migrant		77	—	-	-						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				1	-	-	N/A	

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	oring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 682	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800							
	100%	96%				93%						
			88%			55%	78%					
				29	9%			26%				
Number of Students:		74	68		22							
Number of Students.		14	00	2	.2		-					
Results by		2005-06 S a	hool Year			2004-05 \$	ichool Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		77	96%	88%	29 %							
Female		44	100%	95%	25%							
Male		33	91%	79%	33%							
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1										
Black or African American												
Hispanic or Latino							essments fo		-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/(Other	2	_	_	_		dle-level Eng		age			
Pacific Islander				•••••			mathematic					
White		74					ered in 200 sessments c					
Small Group Totals		77	96%	88%	29%							
General-Education Student	S	61	100%	93%	33%		compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities		16	81%	69%	13%							
English Proficient			96%	88%	29%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantage	ed	44	93%	80%	20%							
Not Disadvantaged		33	100%	100%	39%							
Migrant		2		<u>-</u>								
Not Migrant		75	-	-	-							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distric	:t			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage so	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 85	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
■ 2005-06	100%	99% 97%	93% ₈		46%	97% 95%	86% 80	% 49	[%] 42%
2004-05									
Number of Students:		75 66	71	60 4	17 31				
Results by		2005-06 Sc				2004-05 S			
Student Group		Total	-	e scoring at		Total	-	e scoring at	
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		76	99%	93%	62%	68	97%	88%	46%
Female		43	100%	98%	65%	25	100%	88%	40%
Male		33	97%	88%	58%	43	95%	88%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	1	. .	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>				
Black or African American			• •••••						
Hispanic or Latino			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			2			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		2	-	-	-				
White		73	-	-	-	66	-	-	-
Small Group Totals		76	99%	93%	62%	68	97%	88%	46%
General-Education Students		60	100%	98%	68%	50	100%	98%	54%
Students with Disabilities		16	94%	75%	38%	18	89%	61%	22%
English Proficient		76	99%	93%	62%	67	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	1	-	-	_
Economically Disadvantaged		43	98%	88%	47%	35	94%	80%	29%
Not Disadvantaged		33	100%	100%	82%	33	100%	97%	64%
Migrant		2	_	_	-				
Not Migrant	•••••	74	_	-	_	68	97%		46%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	oring at level(s): 3–4 4 67% 12%			
		2-4	3-4	Z	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 674	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
	100%	96%				94%					
			76%				670/				
							67%				
				1	6%			12%			
											
Number of Students:		64	51		11						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year	•			
_		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		67	96%	76%	16 %						
Female		29	97%	79%	14%						
Male		38	95%	74%	18%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		2									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		 				This to					
White		64	-			inis tes	st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		67	96%	76%	16%						
General-Education Students		53	100%	91%	21%						
Students with Disabilities		14	79%	21%	0%						
English Proficient		66									
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		37	95%	65%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged		30	97%	90%	23%						
Migrant		4	_		_						
Not Migrant		63	-	_	-						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part smallest group(c) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4 05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent						was not giv		+-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	coring at level(s): 3-4 68% 19%				
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 e	99-780						
	100%	97%				000/					
			81%			90%	600/				
							68%				
				2	20/						
				2	2%			19%			
Number of Students:		65	54		15						
		00	54		15		_				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Gro	սբ	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		67	97%	81%	22%						
Female		28	100%	82%	25%						
Male		39	95%	79%	21%						
American Indian or Alaska	Native										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		2	-								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/	Other	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander				•••••			ct was not aiv	on in 2004	05		
White		64					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		67 53	97%	81%	22%						
General-Education Studen	ts		100%	92%	26%						
Students with Disabilities		14	86%	36%	7%						
English Proficient		66	.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>						
Limited English Proficient		1	-		-						
Economically Disadvantag	ed	36	97%	72%	19%						
Not Disadvantaged		31	97%	90%	26%						
Migrant		4	.	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>						
Not Migrant		63	-	-	-						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2 7	<u> </u>			st was not giv	• •	

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 642	Range:	598-785	650-78	35 7	05-785				
	100%	000/				93%			
		88%				5576			
			48%				60%		
				8	%			12%	
Number of Students:		77	42		7				
Results by		2005–06 S o					School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le	
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		88	88%	48%	8%				
Female	•••••	45	93%	60%	11%				
Male		43	81%	35%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e				•••••				
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander					•••••			an in 2004	05
White		88	88%	48%	8%		t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		64	97%	61%	11%				
Students with Disabilities		24	63%	13%	0%				
English Proficient		88	88%	48%	8%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		51	86%	39%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	37	89%	59%	11%				
Migrant		1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	87	-	-	-		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
NOTES									

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assossments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment				This tost y	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent						was not giv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 638	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780				
	100%								
		77%				87%			
							60%		
			42%						
				7	%			13%	
Number of Students:		68	37		6				
Number of Students.		00	51		0				_
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		88	77%	42%	7%				
Female			84%	52%	7%				
Male		44	70%	32%	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American				•••••					
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								
Pacific Islander				•••••		This too	st was not giv	on in 2001	05
White			77%	42%	7%		st was not yn	2004	-05.
Small Group Totals			0 5 0 /	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =					
General-Education Students		64	95%	53%	9%				
Students with Disabilities		24	29%	13%	0%				
English Proficient		88	77%	42%	7%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		51	73%	37%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged		37	84%	49%	11%				
Migrant		1	_						
Not Migrant		87	-	-	-				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at level	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 633	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 71	L2-790						
	100%	87%				92%					
			37%	_29	%		56%	8%			
Number of Students:		94	40	2	2						
Results by		2005-06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year	1			
•		Total	Percentage		level(s):	Total		scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		108	87 %	37%	2%						
Female		44	80%	32%	0%						
Male		64	92%	41%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ive										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	_							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander											
White		106				This tes	st was not giv	ren in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		108	87%	37%	2%						
General-Education Students		76	99%	47%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		32	59%	13%	0%						
English Proficient		108	87%	37%	2%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		55	82%	27%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		53	92%	47%	4%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		108	87%	37%	2%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	5	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This District				NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage s	coring at level(s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	611-800	650-80	00 69	3-800						
100%										
	77%				87%					
						56%				
		35%								
			49	6			12%			
	02	27								
	02	51	4							
	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	evel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	106	77%	35%	4%						
	44	70%	25%	2%						
	62	82%	42%	5%						
ve										
	1									
	1	_	_	-						
-										
					·····					
	104				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	106	77%	35%	4%						
	74	92%	46%	5%						
	32	44%	9%	0%						
	106	77%	35%	4%						
	53	74%	25%	2%						
	53	81%	45%	6%		••••				
	100%	Percentage su 2-4 Range: 611-800 100% 77% 82 2005-06 So Total Tested 106 44 62 ve 1 104 106 74 32 106	Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-80 100% 77% 35% 100% 82 37 82 37 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 106 77% 44 70% 62 82% ve 1 104 - 106 77% 32 44% 106 77% 32 44% 106 77% 32 44% 106 77% 32 44% 106 77%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 69 100% 77% 35% 49 82 37 4 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 82 37 49 106 77% 35% 44 70% 25% 62 82% 42% ve 1 - - 104 - - - 106 77% 35% - 106 77% 35% - 32 44% 9% - 106 77% 35% - 53 74% 25%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 100% 77% 4% 35% 77% 4% 4% 82 37 4 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 106 77% 35% 4% 44 70% 25% 2% 62 82% 42% 5% 90 62 82% 42% 5% 106 77% 35% 4% 10 - - - 106 77% 35% 4% 32 44% 9% 0% 32 44% 9% 0% 32 44% 9% 0% 32 44% 9% 0% 32 44% 9% 0% 33 74% 25% 2%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 100% 77% 35% 87% 77% 4% 87% 87% 77% 4% 87% 87% 82 37 4 87% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 3 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 106 77% 35% 4% 44 70% 25% 2% 62 82% 42% 5% ve 1 - - 1.06 77% 35% 4% 74 92% 46% 5% 32 44% 9% 0% 32 44% 9% 0% 32 44% 9% 0% 53 74% 25% 2%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 56% 100% 77% 35% 4% 56% 56% 32 37 4 70% 56% 56% 32 37 4 70% 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 2-4 106 77% 35% 4% 4 2-4 2-4 106 77% 35% 4% 4 2-4 2-4 106 77% 35% 4% 4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 611-800 650-800 693-800 87% 12% 100% 77% 4% 87% 56% 12% 82 37 4 12% 12% 12% 82 37 4 12% 12% 12% 77% 4% 12% 12% 12% 12% 82 37 4 12% 12% 12% 82 37 4 12% 12% 12% 82 37 4 12% 12% 12% 106 77% 35% 4% 10% <t< td=""></t<>		

NOT

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Distric	:t		NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage se	coring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	602-790	650-7	90 71	L5-790					
100%					010/				
	85%				91%				
						49%			
		33%							
			10	Va			5%		
		21							
	01	21	1						
	2005-06 Sc	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
1	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
	95	85%	33%	1%					
	49	90%	33%	2%					
	46	80%	33%	0%					
ive									
	2								
r								age	
	93								
	95	85%	33%	1%					
	64	98%	48%	2%		•	ously		
	31	58%	0%	0%		nemu.			
	95	85%	33%	1%					
	46	78%	20%	0%					
	49	92%	45%	2%					
	2	_	_	_					
i		Percentage so 2-4 Range: 602-790 100% 85% 81 81 2005-06 So Total Tested 95 49 46 ive 2 er 93 95 64 31 95 46	2-4 3-4 Range: 602-790 650-7 100% 85% 33% 81 31 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage Total 2-4 95 85% 49 90% 46 80% ive 2 - 93 - 93 - 93 - 93 - 93 - 95 85% 64 98% 31 58% 95 85% 46 78% 46 78% 49 92%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 602-790 650-790 71 100% 85% 33% 19 81 31 1 1 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 95 85% 33% 46 80% 33% 46 93 - - 95 85% 33% 64 98% 48% 331 58% 0% 46 78% 20% 46 78% 20%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 602-790 650-790 715-790 100% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 85% 33% 1% 81 31 1 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 95 85% 33% 1% 46 80% 33% 0% ive 2 $ -$ 93 $ -$ 93 $ -$ 93 $ -$ 93 $ -$ 93 $ -$ 93 $ -$ <th< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 100% 85% 1% 91% 85% 1% 91% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 81 31 1 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 95 85% 33% 1% ive 2 2 93 93 93 93 93 93 95</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 90 100% 85% 91% 49% 33% 1% 91% 49% 33% 1% 91% 49% 33% 1% 1% 49% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 95 85% 33% 1% 49 90% 33% 2% 46 80% 33% 0% 46 2-4 3-4 4<</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 602-790 650-790 715-790 91% 49% 100% 85% 1% 49% 5% 5% 100% 85% 1% 5% 5% 5% 81 31 1 1 5% 5% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 5% 5% 10% 5% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 10% 49% 2-4 3-4 4 706 706 706 706 706</td></th<>	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 100% 85% 1% 91% 85% 1% 91% 85% 1% 1% 85% 1% 1% 81 31 1 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 95 85% 33% 1% ive 2 $ 2$ $ 93$ $ 93$ $ 93$ $ 93$ $ 93$ $ 93$ $ 95$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 90 100% 85% 91% 49% 33% 1% 91% 49% 33% 1% 91% 49% 33% 1% 1% 49% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 95 85% 33% 1% 49 90% 33% 2% 46 80% 33% 0% 46 2-4 3-4 4<	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 602-790 650-790 715-790 91% 49% 100% 85% 1% 49% 5% 5% 100% 85% 1% 5% 5% 5% 81 31 1 1 5% 5% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 5% 5% 10% 5% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 10% 49% 2-4 3-4 4 706 706 706 706 706	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Mean Score: 643 Range: 616-775 650-775 701-775 100% 86% 46% 85% 85% Mumber of Students: 80 43 3 Results by Student Group 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Stol Total Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 93 86% 46% 3% Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 93 86% 46% 3% Female 50 82% 34% 2% Male 43 91% 60% 5% American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American 2 - - - Hispanic or Latino New ass and mid arts and ards and mid arts and mod mid arts and ards and mid arts and administi these as compared 5% 5% 5%	NY State Public				
Mean Score: 643 Range: 616-775 650-775 701-775 100% 86% 3% 85% 85% Number of Students: 80 43 3 Results by 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Stol Student Group Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Female 50 82% 34% 2% Male 43 91% 60% 5% American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American 2 - - Black or African American 2 - - - - Mite 91 - - - - - Small Group Totals 93 86% 46% 3% - - Students with Disabilities 28 64% 3% - - -	coring at level(s):				
Number of Students: 86% 3% 85% Results by Student Group 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Stol Total Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 93 86% 3% Female 50 82% 34% 2% Male 43 91% 60% 5% American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American 2 - - Hispanic or Latino 2 - - - Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other 93 86% 46% 3% Small Group Totals 93 86% 46% 3% Students with Disabilities 28 64% 18% 0%	3-4 4				
86%3%Number of Students:80433Results by Student Group2005-06 School Year Total Tested2004-05 Stol Total TestedAll Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American2Black or African American Pacific Islander2Small Group Totals Semant Group Totals9386%46%3%New ass and mid arts and administ these as compare administNew ass and mid arts and administ these as compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%New ass administ					
Number of Students: 80 43 3% Results by Student Group 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Stol Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 All Students 93 86% 46% 3% Female 50 82% 34% 2% Male 43 91% 60% 5% American Indian or Alaska Native 1 1 rested 2 Black or African American 2 - - - Hispanic or Latino					
Number of Students:80433Results by Student Group2005-06 School Year Total Tested2004-05 Stol Total Tested2004-05 Stol Total 					
Number of Students:80433Results by Student Group2005-06 School Year2004-05 StolTotal Tested2-43-44Total TestedAll Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native81Black or African American2Hispanic or Latino2Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%compare administSmall Group Totals2864%18%0%Students with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%	54%				
Number of Students:80433Results by Student Group2005-06 School Year Total Tested2004-05 Stotal Total TestedAll Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American2Hispanic or Latino Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%New ass and mid arts and administ these as compare administ Students with Disabilities2864%18%0%Students with Disabilities2864%18%0%administ administ					
2005–06 School Year2004–05 StolTotal TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): TestedTotal TestedTotal TestedAll Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native89160%5%Black or African American2––Maise or Latino91–––Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander9386%46%3%White91–––Small Group Totals9386%46%3%General-Education Students6595%58%5%Students with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%	10%				
Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4Total TestedAll Students9386%46%3%All Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native860%5%Black or African American2Hispanic or Latino2Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other91administPacific Islander91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%					
Total TestedPercentage scoring at level(s): 2-4Total TestedAll Students9386%46%3%All Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native860%5%Black or African American2Hispanic or Latino2Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other91administPacific Islander91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%	School Year				
Student GroupTested2-43-44TestedAll Students9386%46%3%Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native2Black or African American2Hispanic or LatinoNew assand mid arts and administMain siteAsian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%these ass compare administcompare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%administ	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Female5082%34%2%Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native91%60%5%Black or African American2Hispanic or Latino2Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other91and mid arts and administPacific Islander91administSmall Group Totals9386%46%3%compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%administEnglish Proficient9386%46%3%administ	2-4 3-4 4				
Male4391%60%5%American Indian or Alaska Native </td <td></td>					
American Indian or Alaska NativeBlack or African American2Hispanic or Latino2New ass and mid arts and administAsian or Native Hawaiian/Other91administ these as compare administWhite91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%these as compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%					
Black or African American2Hispanic or LatinoAsian or Native Hawaiian/OtherNew ass and mid arts and administPacific Islander91White91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%these as compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%					
Hispanic or LatinoNew ass and mid arts and administAsian or Native Hawaiian/OtherPacific Islanderand mid arts and administWhite91Small Group Totals9386%46%3%General-Education Students6595%58%5%Students with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otherand mid arts and arts and administ these as compare administWhite91administ administ these as compare administSmall Group Totals9386%46%3%these as compare administGeneral-Education Students6595%58%5%administ these as compare administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%administEnglish Proficient9386%46%3%administ					
Pacific Islanderarts and administWhite91administ administSmall Group Totals9386%46%3%General-Education Students6595%58%5%Students with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%	sessments for elementary-				
White91administSmall Group Totals9386%46%3%these asGeneral-Education Students6595%58%5%administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%administEnglish Proficient9386%46%3%administ	Idle-level English language				
Since	I mathematics were tered in 2006. Results from				
Sinall Group rotats9586%46%3%compareGeneral-Education Students6595%58%5%administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%	ssessments cannot be directly				
General-Education Students0595%56%5%administStudents with Disabilities2864%18%0%English Proficient9386%46%3%	ed to results from previously				
English Proficient 93 86% 46% 3%	tered assessments.				
•••••					
Limited English Proficient					
Economically Disadvantaged 46 87% 37% 0%					
Not Disadvantaged 47 85% 55% 6%					
Migrant 2 – – –					
Not Migrant 91 – – –					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5		Total		oring at leve		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				2	-	-	-	

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
	Percentage s	coring at lev	/el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
	2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Range:	44-100	65-1	s 00	35-100				
100%	100%100%	75% 75%		7% 23%	91% 91%	64% 68		25%
	92 83	69	74	16 19				
	2005–06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	92	100%	75%	17%	83	100%	89%	23%
	50	100%	70%	12%	50	100%	90%	18%
	42	100%	81%	24%	33	100%	88%	30%
ve								
	2							
•••••	90	-		-	83	100%	89%	23%
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	92	100%	75%	17%		••••	••••••	••••
	65	100%	85%	25%	63	100%	97%	30%
•••••	27	100%	52%	0%	20	100%	65%	0%
	92	100%	75%	17%	83	100%	89%	23%
	46	100%	67%	13%	39	100%	85%	18%
•••••	46	100%	83%	22%	44	100%	93%	27%
	2	-	-	-				
•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			83	1000/		23%
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 44-100 100% 100% 100% 92 83 2005-06 S Total Tested 92 50 42 ve 2 r 90 92 65 27 92 46 46 46 46 2	2-4 3-4 Range: 44-100 65-1 100% 100%100% 75% 92 83 69 92 83 69 ZOO5-OG School Yea Total Percentag Total 2-4 92 100% 42 100% 42 100% ve 2 90 - 90 - 90 - 92 100% 65 100% 27 100% 92 100% 46 100%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 44-100 65-100 8 100% 100%100% 75% 89% 75% 89% 75% 89% 75% 69 74 1 92 83 69 74 1 92 83 69 74 1 92 100% 75% 75% 750 100% 75% 50 100% 75% 50 100% 75% 750 100% 75% 75 100% 81% 75% 75 100% 85% 27 100% 52% 92 100% 75% 65 100% 85% 27 100% 52% 92 100% 75% 65 100% 85% 27 100% 52% 92 100% 75% 65 100% 83% 2 2	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 44-100 65-100 85-100 100%100% 75% 89% 75% 92 83 69 74 16 19 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 92 100% 75% 17% 50 100% 70% 12% 42 100% 81% 24% Ve 2 2 90 $$ 92 100% 75% 17% 65 100% 85% 25% 27 100% 52% 0% 92 100% 75% 17% 65 100% 85% 25% 27 100% 52% 0% 92 100% 75% 17% 65 100% 83% 22% 2 $$	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage s 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 44-100 65-100 85-100 91% 91	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 3-4 2-4 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): 2	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 44-100 65-100 85-100 91%<


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				1	-	-	-

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	nts scoring at each performance level:el 2Level 3Level 4Total Tested24288663441488				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	6	24	28	8	66	646	
Feb 2004	9	34	41	4		641	
Feb 2003	6	44	30	11	91	646	


	This School			NY State Pul	blic		
	Percentage se	coring at level(s)	:	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830				
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	93% 95% 93%	37% 45% 24%	6 <u>2%</u> 1% ^{8%}	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 459	% 9% 11% 8%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	6	51	32	2	91	689	
Jan 2004	5	74	24	1	104	686	
Jan 2003	5	35	27	6	73	694	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	Derformance level:Level 4Total TestedMean Score126865910886491190645			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	3	6	47	12	68		
May 2004	3	21	54	10	88		
May 2003	4	30	45	11	90	645	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic			
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s):	ring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882					
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 87% 92% 91%	49% 53%	<u>4%</u> 1% 3%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% 13% 9%		

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	11	32	39	3	85	712	
May 2004	9	55	42	1	107	711	
May 2003	8	35	45	3	91	718	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Coho i	ťť			2001 Cohort*				
-	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	105	75%	67%	20 %	86	83%	71%	27%	
Female	52	88%	75%	25%	37	86%	78%	38%	
Male	53	62%	58%	15%	49	80%	65%	18%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American			•••••		1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					Τ				
White	105	75%	67%	20%	83	-		_	
Small Group Totals					86	83%	71%	27%	
General-Education Students	88	88%	80%	24%	79	89%	77%	29%	
Students with Disabilities	17	12%	0%	0%	7	14%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	105	75%	67%	20%	86	83%	71%	27%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	31	58%	48%	6%					
Not Disadvantaged	74	82%	74%	26%				•••••	
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	104	-	-	–				••••••	


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) a Other	re suppressed to protect 2002 Cohor		individual stu	dents.	2001 Coho r	t*		
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohoi	ťť			2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	105	78 %	70%	18%	86	84%	74%	7%	
Female	52	90%	83%	21%	37	84%	78%	5%	
Male	53	66%	57%	15%	49	84%	71%	8%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1	-	–	-	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					±				
White	105	78%	70%	18%	83				
Small Group Totals					86	84%	74%	7%	
General-Education Students	88	92%	83%	22%	79	91%	81%	8%	
Students with Disabilities	17	6%	0%	0%	7	0%	0%	0%	
English Proficient	105	78%	70%	18%	86	84%	74%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantaged	31	61%	55%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	74	85%	76%	22%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant	1	-	-	-					
Not Migrant	104	_	-	–					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	105	68%	9%	0%	11%	12 %
	2001	86	76%	1%	0%	8%	15%
Female	2002	52	83%	6%	0%	4%	8%
	2001	37	84%	0%	0%	3%	14%
Male	2002	53	53%	11%	0%	19%	17%
	2001	49	69%	2%	0%	12%	16%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	—	—	—		—
White	2002	105	68%	9%	0%	11%	12%
	2001	83					···· •
Small Group Totals							
	2001	86	76%	1%	0%	8%	15%
General-Education Students	2002	88	81%	0%	0%	11%	8%
	2001	79	82%	0%	0%	9%	9%
Students with Disabilities	2002	17	0%	53%	0%	12%	35%
	2001	7	0%	14%	0%	0%	86%
English Proficient	2002	105	68%	9%	0%	11%	12%
	2001	86	76%	1%	0%	8%	15%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	31	45%	19%	0%	23%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	74	77%	4%	0%	7%	12%
Migrant	2002	1	-	-	-	-	-
Not Migrant	2002	104	-		_	-	_

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 83% 72% 16% 19% 16% 19% 16% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out	
All Students	86	83%	1%	0%	0%	16%	
Female	37	86%	0%	0%	0%	14%	
Male	49	80%	2%	0%	0%	18%	
American Indian	1	-	-	-	-	-	
or Alaska Native							
Black or	1	_	-	_	_	_	
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian or Native	1	_	-	_	_	_	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	83	_	-	_	_	_	
Small Group Totals	86	83%	1%	0%	0%	16%	
General-Education Students	79	90%	0%	0%	0%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	7	0%	14%	0%	0%	86%	
English Proficient	86	83%	1%	0%	0%	16%	
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Economically Disadvantaged	22	68%	5%	0%	0%	27%	
Not Disadvantaged	64	88%	0%	0%	0%	13%	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Not Migrant	86	83%	1%	0%	0%	16%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.