

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District KINDERHOOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 101401040000 Superintendent JAMES DEXTER Telephone (518) 758-7575 Grades K-12, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	148	151	150
Grade 1	168	135	157
Grade 2	153	168	131
Grade 3	155	153	168
Grade 4	184	163	149
Grade 5	196	185	161
Grade 6	181	201	189
Ungraded Elementary	9	8	0
Grade 7	195	188	197
Grade 8	180	191	180
Grade 9	198	197	202
Grade 10	196	190	176
Grade 11	160	192	174
Grade 12	162	154	179
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	1
Total K–12	2285	2276	2214

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	22	21
Grade 8			
English	18	20	19
Mathematics	17	20	17
Science	17	18	18
Social Studies	18	20	18
Grade 10			
English	22	21	21
Mathematics	18	16	14
Science	18	16	15
Social Studies	21	21	21

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	281	12%	315	14%	296	13%
Reduced-Price Lunch	189	8%	186	8%	180	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	22	1%	28	1%	38	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	2	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	42	2%	29	1%	32	1%
Hispanic or Latino	38	2%	44	2%	53	2%
Asian or Native	21	1%	13	1%	8	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2181	95%	2188	96%	2120	96%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		95%
Student Suspensions	112	N/A	54	2%	75	3%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	554	536	710
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	0	0
Percentage of Total	1%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	14%	16%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	172	178	173
Total Other Professional Staff	17	18	13
Total Paraprofessionals*	46	43	36
Assistant Principals	1	1	0
Principals	4	5	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title | Status

New York State Status

Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to New York State districts)
 District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress. 	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District KINDERHOOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

▲ Good Standing	ELA
	5
h 🔥 Good Standing	Math 🔥 Good Standing
nce 🔺 Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing
e	th Good Standing ence Good Standing ars the District Received

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
2004-05	2005-06	2006-07				
YES	YES	YES				
	2004-05	2004-05 2005-06				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 Image: A set of the set of the	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	••••	–	_	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	_	•••••		
White	<	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	v		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	–		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 Image: A start of the start of	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		Test Perfo	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (1066:1038)			99%		166	119	2005-00	2000-07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Hispanic or Latino (28:26)	_	-	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
		-	-	-	-	-		–
White (1015:993)	<	 ✓ 	99%	~	167	119	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (171:167)	✓ SH	 	99%	√ SH	107	114	92	116
Limited English Proficient (19:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Economically Disadvantaged (224:216)	<	<	98%	~	149	114		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	1						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (1070:1033)	v	 ✓ 	99%	 ✓ 	166	83		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (19:16)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (29:24)	_	_	-	-	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (1018:989)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	168	83	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (170:164)	~	 Image: A start of the start of	98%	~	107	78		
Limited English Proficient (19:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (223:213)	<	<	98%	~	150	78		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	ΑΥΡ		Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (337:299)	v	Qualified	~	91%	v	188	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (8:6)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (4:3)		-	_	-	-	-	-		–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (322:287)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	91%	~	189	100			
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (48:46)		Qualified	~	98%	~	161	100			
Limited English Proficient (3:1)	•••••	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Economically Disadvantaged (70:65)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	96%	~	177	100			
Final AYP Determination	/ 1 o	of 1								

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment

Made AYP

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	 	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		on ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	r Target 2006–07
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹ All Students (185:180)			95%		179	146	2005-06	2000-07
Ethnicity	•	•	9370	•	119			
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (3:4)	_	_	-	-	-	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (176:170)	<	~	95%	 ✓ 	181	146	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:23)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (13:13)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

those two years.
 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
			96%		177	138	2005-00	2006-07
All Students (341:180)	•	•	96%		1//	138		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (3:4)	_	_	-	_	-	-	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (325:170)	<	✓	96%	~	179	138	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (21:23)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (13:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- **AYP Status**
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	5	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (168)	~	~	85%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (3)		-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2)		-	-	-	-	-
White (162)	•••••	<	85%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (17)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (2)		-	_	_	-	_
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status					
▲ Good Standing						
5 schools identified 100% of total						
ICHABOD CRANE MIDDLE SCHOOL						
ICHABOD CRANE PRIMARY SCHOOL						
ICHABOD CRANE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL						
MARTIN H. GLYNN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL						
MARTIN VAN BUREN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL						

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		tage of stu at or abov	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	6	50%	100%
Grade 3	76%			168
Grade 4	68%			150
Grade 5	75%			158
Grade 6	77%			184
Grade 7	64%			193
Grade 8	62%			183
Mathematics				
Grade 3	88%			172
Grade 4	77%			153
Grade 5	67%			162
Grade 6	62%			188
Grade 7	61%			194
Grade 8	79%			183
Science				
Grade 4	93%			151
Grade 8	83%			157
	Percer	ntage of stu	idents that	2002
	scored	at or abov	ve Level 3	Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	6	50%	100%
English	85%			188
Mathematics	84%			188
		ntage of stu raduated	ıdents	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate		6	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	86%		L	188

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 675	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780						
	100%	96%				92%					
			76%			92%	69%				
							09%				
				ç	9%			7%			
		162	1.07								
Number of Students:		162	127		15						
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year	•		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		168	96%	76 %	9 %						
Female		77	95%	81%	13%						
Male		91	98%	71%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		2	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander									<u> </u>		
White		162	98%	76%	9%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	67%	67%	0%						
General-Education Students		147	97%	80%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		21	90%	43%	0%						
English Proficient		166	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		44	93%	68%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged		124	98%	78%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		168	96%	76%	9%						
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			This tost y	was not giv	on in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	۷	_				was not yn	/en in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 679	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	99%	88%			94%	81%				
							8178				
				18	3%			25%			
		170	4.5.2								
Number of Students:		170	152	3	81						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
	•	Total Percentage scoring at			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	<u> </u>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		172	99%	88%	18%						
Female		78	97%	87%	15%						
Male		94	100%	89%	20%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino		6	-		-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er										
Pacific Islander				••••••					<u>-</u>		
White		163	100%	90%	18%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		9	78%	56%	11%						
General-Education Students		151	99%	87%	19%						
Students with Disabilities		21	100%	95%	14%						
English Proficient		167	100%	90%	19%						
Limited English Proficient		5	60%	20%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		46	100%	85%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged		126	98%	90%	20%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		172	99%	88%	18%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	est was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 664	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	93%				91%					
			68%			5170	69%				
				8	%			9%			
Number of Students:		139	102 12								
					_						
Results by		2005–06 S o				2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		150	93%	68%	8%						
Female	•••••	69	96%	77%	9%						
Male		81	90%	60%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve				•••••						
Black or African American		1	.		<u>-</u>						
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		_		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othei							dle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander					•••••		mathematic				
White		148					tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		150	93%	68%	8%		ssessments c ed to results				
General-Education Students		129	99%	78%	9%			•	Justy		
Students with Disabilities		21	52%	10%	0%		····· administered assessments.				
English Proficient		150	93%	68%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		39	92%	49%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		111	93%	75%	11%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	150	93%	68%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	5	4	3	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 673	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	94%				93%					
			77%			5570	78%				
								26%			
				2.	4%			20%			
Number of Students:		144	118		37						
Results by		2005-06 S o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		153	94%	77%	24%						
Female		70	96%	76%	24%						
Male		83	93%	78%	24%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		3	_	_		New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level En	5 5	age		
Pacific Islander				•••••			d mathematic				
White		149	-		_		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		153	94%	77%	24%		ssessments c ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		132	98%	84%	28%		tered assess	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		21	71%	33%	0%		10100 0330331	nemu.			
English Proficient		151	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		2	_								
Economically Disadvantaged		41	90%	61%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		112	96%	83%	31%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		153	94%	77%	24%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
■ 2005-06	100%	99% 99%	93% 9		2% 30%	97% 95%	86% 80		[%] 42%
2004–05							_		
Number of Students:		150 159	141 1	L46	79 49				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
Student Gro	un	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oro	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		151	99%	93%	52%	161	99%	91%	30 %
Female		70	100%	93%	53%	82	99%	94%	32%
Male		81	99%	94%	52%	79	99%	87%	29%
American Indian or Alaska	a Native								
Black or African Americar	n	1				1			
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	8	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian, Pacific Islander	/Other								
White		147	-		-	152	100%	93%	32%
Small Group Totals		151	99%	93%		9	78%	44%	0%
General-Education Studer	nts	130	100%	95%	58%	142	99%	90%	33%
Students with Disabilities		21	95%			19	95%		11%
English Proficient		149	_	_	_	155	100%	94%	32%
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••	2	_	-	_	6	67%	17%	0%
Economically Disadvantag		41	100%	83%	24%	26	92%	69%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	<	110	99%	97%	63%	135	100%	95%	35%
Migrant		-							
Not Migrant		151				161	99%		
NOTES		101	00,0	55,5	52,0	101	33,0	51,5	5570

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 665	Range:	608-795	650-7	' 95 7	11-795						
	100%	97%				94%					
			75%			5470	670/				
							67%				
				1	0%			12%			
Number of Students		150	119		6						
Number of Students:		153	119		16						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		158	97%	75%	10%						
Female		82	99%	80%	11%						
Male		76	95%	70%	9%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		4									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	<u>-</u>		
White		152	97%	76%	11%	I his tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	100%	50%	0%						
General-Education Students		132	100%	80%	12%						
Students with Disabilities		26	81%	50%	0%						
English Proficient		156	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		26	88%	62%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		132	98%	78%	12%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	158	97%	75%	10%		••••	••••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Accoccmonts	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	٤l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost was not given in 2004-05				
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent		_		-		This test was not given in 2004-05			
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	2	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 662	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
	100%	95%				00%					
			670/			90%	68%				
			67%				0878				
				14	4%			19%			
Number of Students		154	109		22						
Number of Students:		104	109	2	22						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		162	95 %	67%	14%						
Female		82	98%	71%	13%						
Male		80	93%	64%	14%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		7									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander									<u> </u>		
White		153	95%	69%	14%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		9	89%	44%	0%						
General-Education Students		136	99%	74%	15%						
Students with Disabilities		26	73%	35%	4%						
English Proficient		158	-	-	-						
Limited English Proficient		4	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		28	82%	54%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		134	98%	70%	16%			•••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		162	95%	67%	14%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004–05 School Year					
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
	Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	598-785	650-78	85 7	05-785						
100%	97%				02%					
		77%			9370					
						60%				
			1	5%			12%			
	178	141	2	27						
	2005-06 S r	hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
			scoring at	: level(s):				vel(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
	184	97%	77%	15%						
	84	98%	83%	15%						
•••••	100	96%	71%	14%		••••	•••••••			
/e										
	3	_		-						
	1	-		-						
					·······			05		
	180				inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	184	97%	77%	15%						
	152	100%	86%	18%						
	32	81%	34%	0%						
	184	97%	77%	15%						
	42	98%	62%	0%						
	142	96%	81%	19%						
	184	97%	77%	15%						
	100%	Percentage so 2-4 Range: 598-785 100% 97% 100% 97% 178 2005-06 So Total Tested 184 84 100 /e 3 1 180 184 152 32 184	2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-78 100% 97% 77% 100% 178 141 2005-06 Scbool Year 141 Total Percentage Tested 2-4 184 97% 84 98% 100 96% //e 3 180 - 180 - 180 - 180 - 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 97% 184 96%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 7 100% 97% 77% 11 178 141 2 Zoo5-o6 School Year Total Percentage scoring at Tested 2-4 3-4 184 97% 77% 84 98% 83% 100 96% 71% //e 3 - - - 1 - - 184 97% 77% 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 97% 77% 15% 100% 97% 15% 15% 178 141 27 Zoo5-o6 Schoe Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 184 97% 77% 15% 100 96% 71% 14% /e 3 - - - 180 - - - - 180 - - - - 180 - - - - 180 - - - - 180 - - - - 180 - - - - 180 - - - - 184 97% 77% 15% - 184 97% 77% 15%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 97% 77% 93% 100% 97% 15% 93% 178 141 27 93% Zoo5-o6 School Year 2004-05 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 184 97% 77% 15% Total 100 96% 71% 14% 74% 180 - - - - - 180 - - - - - 184 97% 77% 15% - - 180 - - - - - 184 97% 77% 15% - - 184 97% <	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 93% 100% 97% 77% 93% 60% 100% 97% 15% 93% 60% 100% 97% 15% 15% 60% 178 141 27 60% 60% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 70% Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Score scor	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 93% 93% 100% 97% 100% 97% 15% 93% 12% <th12%< th=""> 12% <th12%< td="" th<=""></th12%<></th12%<>		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assossmonts	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_			This tost y	was not qiv	4.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	±	-		-		was not yiv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 657	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	100%	000/									
		89%				87%					
			62%				60%				
				1	3%			13%			
Number of Students:		167	116	2	25						
Results by		2005-06 S a	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		188	89 %	62 %	13%						
Female		86	87%	59%	12%						
Male		102	90%	64%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		4									
Hispanic or Latino		3									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						······			05		
White		181	90%	64%	14%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	57%	14%	0%						
General-Education Students		154	97%	70%	16%						
Students with Disabilities		34	53%	24%	0%						
English Proficient		185	_								
Limited English Proficient		3	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		45	84%	49%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged		143	90%	66%	17%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		188	89%	62%	13%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year						
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 657	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7:	12-790						
	100%	94%				92%					
						9278					
			64%				56%				
				9	%			8%			
Number of Students:		182	123	1	8						
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		193	94%	64%	9 %						
Female		87	93%	68%	11%						
Male		106	95%	60%	8%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		2	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		т т				·····					
White		187	95%	64%	10%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	83%	50%	0%						
General-Education Students		157	99%	73%	11%						
Students with Disabilities		36	72%	22%	0%						
English Proficient		193	94%	64%	9%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		28	89%	43%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		165	95%	67%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		193	94%	64%	9%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	hool Year	nool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent						was not giv	200		
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

s Distrio	~ ~			NY State Public					
centage s	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
1	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
L-800	650-80	00 6	93-800						
,)									
				87%					
	61%				56%				
		13	3%			12%			
)	118	2	25						
5-06 S a	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
ed	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
194	92 %	61%	13%						
86	93%	58%	10%						
108	92%	63%	15%						
2									
5	-	-	-						
1	_	_	_						
ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ									
186	93%	61%	13%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
8	75%	63%	0%						
159	97%	69%	16%						
35	71%	26%	0%						
192	-	-	-						
2	-	-	-						
29	86%	45%	3%						
165	93%	64%	15%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
194	92%	61%	13%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0		This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.		

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 659	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790						
	100%	97%				91%					
						9178					
			62%				49%				
				5	%			5%			
Number of Students:		177	113	1	.0						
		111	113		.0						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	ge scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		183	97%	62%	5%						
Female		106	99%	65%	8%						
Male		77	94%	57%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e										
Black or African American		6									
Hispanic or Latino		1				New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_		Idle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander							l mathematic				
White		173	98%	62%	6%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		10	80%	50%	0%		ssessments c				
General-Education Students		155	100%	70%	6%		compared to results from previously administered assessments.				
Students with Disabilities		28	79%	14%	0%	auminis	נכובט מסשבסטו	nento.			
English Proficient		183	97%	62%	5%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		29	93%	41%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		154	97%	66%	6%				•••••		
Migrant											
Not Migrant		183	97%	62%	5%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 669	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	94%								
			79%			85%				
							54%			
				1	4%			10%		
Number of Students:		172	145		26					
		172	145		20					
Results by		2005–06 Sc	hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		183	94%	79 %	14%					
Female		104	95%	83%	15%					
Male		79	92%	75%	13%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American		7								
Hispanic or Latino		1					sessments fo			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	3	_	_	_		dle-level Eng	5	age	
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				d mathematic tered in 2000			
White		172	95%	81%	15%		ssessments c			
Small Group Totals		11	73%	55%	0%		ed to results		-	
General-Education Students		157	99%	90%	17%		tered assessr	•	ousty	
Students with Disabilities		26	65%	15%	0%					
English Proficient		182	_							
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-					
Economically Disadvantaged		29	86%	59%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		154	95%	83%	17%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		183	94%	79%	14%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Distric	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 77	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
 ■ 2005–06 2004–05 	100%	98% 95%	_{83%} 8		39%	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18'	25%
Number of Students:		154 158	130 1		52 64				
Results by		2005-06 Sc			52 04	2004-05	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		157	98%	83%	33%	166	95%	87%	39 %
Female		91	99%	84%	30%	79	96%	90%	39%
Male		66	97%	82%	38%	87	94%	84%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive					1			
Black or African American		7				2			
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		-	2	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	3	-	-	-				
White		146	99%	84%	35%	161	95%	88%	39%
Small Group Totals		11	82%	64%	9%	5	100%	60%	40%
General-Education Students		131	99%	90%	38%	136	99%	94%	45%
Students with Disabilities		26	92%	46%	8%	30	77%	53%	10%
English Proficient		156	_	-	-	166	95%	87%	39%
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-		••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		26	96%	65%	15%	21	95%	81%	29%
Not Disadvantaged		131	98%	86%	37%	145	95%	88%	40%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••	157	98%	83%	33%	166	95%	87%	39%

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S o	hool Year	ol Year 2004-0			4–05 School Year			
Accoccmonts	sessments Total		Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0				
Regents Science	0				18	18	18	15	

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	et:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	1	30	99	27	157	670	
Feb 2004	5	60	95	24	184	658	
Feb 2003	14	62	94	26	196	653	


	This School			NY State Pul	olic	
	Percentage so	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage so):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	93% 96% 97%	49% 53% 53%	17% 5% 6%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 459	6 9% 11% 8%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	13	84	83	10	190	695	
Jan 2004	8	76	64	31	179	706	
Jan 2003	6	76	83	10	175	699	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	17	90	53	161	669	
May 2004	2	21	103	57	183	667	
May 2003	4	36	117	38	195	656	

	This School			NY State Pu	blic		
	Percentage so	oring at level(s):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	92% 90% 92%	64% 72% 609	6 4% 7%	87% 86% 839	6 55% ^{58%} 51	% 9% 13% 9%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	15	52	113	7	187	721	
May 2004	18	32	96	33	179	730	
May 2003	14	58	95	12	179	720	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	blic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2002 Cohort	100%	90% 86%	85% 79%	49% 56%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	
2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 Coho i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	188	90%	85%	49 %	177	86%	79 %	56%
Female	87	95%	92%	55%	74	89%	85%	66%
Male	101	85%	79%	45%	103	83%	75%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	178	90%	86%	49%	169	86%	79%	57%
Small Group Totals	10	90%	70%	60%	8	88%	88%	38%
General-Education Students	161	94%	92%	56%	157	90%	86%	63%
Students with Disabilities	27	67%	44%	11%	20	55%	25%	5%
English Proficient	187	-	-	-	176	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	–	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	71%	29%				
Not Disadvantaged	174	90%	86%	51%			•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	188	90%	85%	49%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

0

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) ar	re suppressed to protect	the privacy of	individual stud	ents.				
Other	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Number Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	_				_			

(NYSAA): High School Equivalent
 A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

0

4

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		Percentage scori	ng at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	89% 86%	84% 80%	30% 24%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho	ʻt*			2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	188	89 %	84%	30%	177	86%	80%	24%
Female	87	94%	90%	28%	74	91%	88%	24%
Male	101	84%	78%	32%	103	83%	75%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	_	_	_	3	_	-	_
Pacific Islander	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
White	178	89%	84%	30%	169	86%	80%	24%
Small Group Totals	10	80%	70%	20%	8	88%	88%	13%
General-Education Students	161	93%	91%	35%	157	89%	85%	27%
Students with Disabilities	27	63%	37%	0%	20	65%	40%	0%
English Proficient	187	-	_	-	176	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	14	86%	71%	21%				
Not Disadvantaged	174	89%	84%	30%			•••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	188	89%	84%	30%		••••••	••••••	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	't*			2001 Cohor			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



10% 7%

5%

1.%

7%

3%

2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	188	86%	1%	1%	10%	3%
	2001	177	80%	0%	5%	7%	7%
Female	2002	87	93%	0%	1%	6%	0%
	2001	74	84%	0%	3%	7%	7%
Male	2002	101	79%	2%	0%	13%	6%
	2001	103	78%	0%	7%	8%	8%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	3	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
•	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	3	_		_		_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	178	86%	1%	1%	9%	3%
	2001	169	80%	0%	5%	8%	8%
Small Group Totals	2002	10	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%
	2001	8	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	161	90%	0%	1%	8%	1%
	2001	157	83%	0%	4%	7%	5%
Students with Disabilities	2002	27	59%	7%	0%	19%	15%
	2001	20	55%	0%	10%	10%	25%
English Proficient	2002	187	-	-	_	-	-
0	2001	176	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
5	2001	1	_	_	_	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	14	71%	7%	0%	14%	7%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	174	87%	1%	1%	9%	3%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	188	86%	1%	1%	10%	3%

NOTES

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

 $\begin{array}{c} 100\% \\ 83\% \\ 72\% \\ 0\% \\ 2\% \\ 6\% \\ 1\% \\ 2\% \\ 5\% \\ 10\%$

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
 All Students	178	83%	0%	6%	2%	10%
Female	74	86%	0%	4%	0%	9%
Male	104	80%	0%	8%	3%	10%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	2	_	-	_	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	-	-	_	-
Asian or Native	3	_	-	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	170	82%	0%	6%	2%	10%
Small Group Totals	8	88%	0%	13%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	159	86%	0%	6%	2%	7%
Students with Disabilities	19	58%	0%	11%	0%	32%
English Proficient	177	-	_	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	1			-		
Economically Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	176			-		
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	178	83%	0%	6%	2%	10%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.