

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL
DISTRICT

District ID 121401040000 Superintendent JOHN RIEDL Telephone (845) 586-2647 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
0	0	0
38	35	34
38	41	34
29	38	41
45	34	36
42	44	32
39	43	38
58	43	43
0	0	1
48	55	43
52	47	47
53	47	47
36	45	39
35	43	42
33	29	37
0	0	2
546	544	516
	0 38 38 38 29 45 42 39 58 0 48 52 53 36 35 33	0 0 38 35 38 41 29 38 45 34 42 44 39 43 58 43 0 0 48 55 52 47 53 47 36 45 35 43 33 29 0 0

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	19	17
Grade 8			
English	21	18	15
Mathematics	18	20	12
Science	23	18	23
Social Studies	21	20	15
Grade 10			
English	14	14	18
Mathematics			6
Science	8		
Social Studies	14	22	12

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	178	33%	201	37%	201	39%
Reduced-Price Lunch	56	10%	61	11%	42	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	40	7%	37	7%	39	8%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black or African American	3	1%	7	1%	10	2%
Hispanic or Latino	74	14%	61	11%	73	14%
Asian or Native	8	1%	7	1%	12	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	461	84%	469	86%	420	81%

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	7	N/A	44	8%	29	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	137	137	208
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	8%	5%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	2%	2%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	3	3
Percentage of Total	4%	7%	7%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	17%	18%	15%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	46	45	43
Total Other Professional Staff	1	2	2
Total Paraprofessionals*	16	18	20
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall	Accountability
Status	(2006–07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♠ Good Standing			
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing			
Science	♦ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing			

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07		
YES	YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	V	V	✓	✓	V	✓	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_		
Black or African American	_	_	•••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	/	~	•••••••	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	_	_	••••••	_	_	•••••••	
White	/	V	•••••••	V	/	••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_	 -	•••••••••	••••••••	•••••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	V	•••••••••	- -	- -	•••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 5 of 5	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1	

AYP Status

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing Improvement (Year 1)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) 🔨 ■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Improvement (Year 4) ∧ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2006-07)		
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹ All Students (236:235)	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	formance ³ Performance Ob		nce Objectiv	es
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	134	115	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Black or African American (4:4)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (36:36)	/	-···-	_	V	117	106	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	- -	- -	_	_	- -	_	••••••••	
White (187:186)	/	V	99%	/	137	114		•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (44:43)	✓ SH	V	98%	✓ SH	60	107	20	74
Limited English Proficient (15:15)	_	_	_	_	_	_	••••••••	<u> </u>
Economically Disadvantaged (113:112)	V	V	99%	~	113	112	••••••••	
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
i	Status	-		-		-	2005-00	2000-07
All Students (234:231)			99%	<u> </u>	135	79 ————		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (4:4)	_	_	-	_	_	_	•••••••	_
Hispanic or Latino (35:35)	/	_	_	V	111	70	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:8)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	•••••••••	_
White (186:183)	V	V	99%	V	139	78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (44:41)	/	✓	95%	~	76	71		
Limited English Proficient (15:15)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (111:108)	V	/	98%	V	122	76	••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 5 of 5							

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and Pls. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index		Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07	
V	Qualified	<u> </u>	96%	<u>/</u>	173	100		
	_	-	-	-	_	<u> </u>	_	
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
	_	_	-	-	_	- -	- -	
• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	95%	~	181	100	······································	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	
••••••	_	_	_	~	183	100	•••••••••••	
		Status Qualification Qualified - Qualified - Qualified	Status Qualification Qualified Criterion Qualified Criterion Criterion	Status Qualification Criterion Tested Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified Qualified	Status Qualification Criterion Tested Criterion ✓ Qualified ✓ 96% ✓ — — — — — — — — Qualified ✓ 95% ✓ — — — — — — — —	Status Qualification Criterion Tested Criterion Index - - 96% 173	Status Qualification Criterion Tested Criterion Index Standard ✓ Qualified ✓ 96% ✓ 173 100	

AYP Status





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target			
All Students (38:40)	<u>✓</u>	-	-	<u> </u>	185	139	2003 00	2000 07		
Ethnicity						1		1		
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Black or African American (2:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	_	_	-	-	_	_		-		
White (30:33)	/	_	- -	V	182	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (2:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		••••••		•			••••••••	•••		
Economically Disadvantaged (10:9)	- -	_	_	_	_	_		<u> </u>		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2									

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	V	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	² Test Performance ³			Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo			
(12th Graders: 2002 Conort)		Criterion	iested	Criterion	index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (38:40)	V	_	-	V	185	131				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Black or African American (2:1)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_		
Hispanic or Latino (4:4)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		
	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_		
White (30:33)	/	_		V	182	129	••••••••	•••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (2:4)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••••			
Economically Disadvantaged (10:9)	- -	_	_	_	-	_	_	_		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2									

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
Student Group	Met		Graduation	State	Progress Target			
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (63)	~	~	89%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	-	-		
Black or African American (0)								
Hispanic or Latino (8)		_	-	-	-	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)				•				
White (54)		~	89%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (8)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (0)								
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

1 school identified 100% of total

MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or a	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	63%		35
Grade 4	52%		31
Grade 5	49%		37
Grade 6	45%		42
Grade 7	45%		42
Grade 8	41%		46
Mathematics			
Grade 3	71%		34
Grade 4	65%		31
Grade 5	45%		38
Grade 6	40%		43
Grade 7	70%		40
Grade 8	41%		46
Science			
Grade 4	90%		31
Grade 8	67%		45
	Percentage of scored at or a	f students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	88%		41
Mathematics	90%		41
	Percentage of who graduate		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	80%	ı	41

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	School Year	chool Year				
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	35	89%	63%	9%						
Female	18	100%	83%	11%						
Male	17	76%	41%	6%			••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	_						
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••					
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	40%	0%	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	_	- -	_ _						
Pacific Islander White	 25	88%	64%	 12%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	5	100%	8 .7 /%	12 /% 0%		_				
General-Education Students	32	-	-	-	_					
Students with Disabilities	3				•••••					
English Proficient	33	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	-		- -	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	11	73%	55%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged	24	96%	67%	8%	•••••••	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	35	89%	63%	9%	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0					was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	34	88%	71%	6%						
Female	18	100%	89%	11%						
Male	16	75%	50%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	4									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4									
Pacific Islander	4 		_ 	_ 						
White	25	88%	76%	8%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	9	89%	56%	0%						
General-Education Students	31	-	_	-						
Students with Disabilities	3	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_							
English Proficient	32	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_							
Economically Disadvantaged	10	70%	60%	10%						
Not Disadvantaged	24	96%	75%	4%			•••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	34	88%	71%	6%	••••••		••••••			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r		2					
Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	T	otal	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Т	ested	2-4	3-4	4	
31	90%	52%	3%						
11	91%	45%	0%						
20	90%	55%	5%		••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
1	_		_	• • • • • • •					
2	_		_	• • • • • • •	New asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-	
	••••	•••••••		• • • • • • • •	and midd	le-level Eng	glish langu	age	
1			_		arts and	mathematic	s were		
27	_	_	-						
31	90%	52%	3%	• • • • • • •				•	
27	-	_	_		•		•	iously	
4	_		_	• • • • • • •	administe	ered assessi	nents.		
31	90%	52%	3%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••••	•••••	• • • • • • •					
18	94%	50%	6%						
13	85%	54%	0%	· · · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
31	90%	52%	3%	· · · · · · · ·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	Total Tested 31 11 20 1 27 31 27 4 31 27 4 31	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 31 90% 11 91% 20 90% 1 - 2 - 1 - 27 - 31 90% 27 - 31 90% 27 - 31 90% 28 90%	Tested 2-4 3-4 31 90% 52% 11 91% 45% 20 90% 55% 1 2 1 27 31 90% 52% 27 4 31 90% 52% 18 94% 50% 13 85% 54%	Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 31 90% 52% 3% 11 91% 45% 0% 20 90% 55% 5% 1 2 1 27 31 90% 52% 3% 27 4 31 90% 52% 3% 18 94% 50% 6% 13 85% 54% 0%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 T 31 90% 52% 3% 11 91% 45% 0% 20 90% 55% 5% 1 2 1 27 31 90% 52% 3% 27 31 90% 52% 3% 27 31 90% 52% 3% 18 94% 50% 6% 13 85% 54% 0%	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 31 90% 52% 3% 11 91% 45% 0% 20 90% 55% 5% 1 - - - 2 - - - New asserting and middle arts	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 31 90% 52% 3% 11 91% 45% 0% 20 90% 55% 5% 1 New assessments for and middle-level Engarts and mathematic administered in 2006 these assessments or compared to results administered assess 1 90% 52% 3% these assessments or compared to results administered assess 1 90% 52% 3% administered asses 1 90% 50% 6% administered asses 1 90% 60% administer	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	ı		2004-05 Se	chool Year	ool Year Number scoring at level(s):				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0						
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0						

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s)	level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4	4			
All Students	31	87%	65%	6%					
Female	11	91%	64%	9%					
Male	20	85%	65%	5%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	atino 2 – – New assessments for elemen								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were	5 5 5							
White	27		–	- -	administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	31	87%	65%	6%	these assessments cannot be direct	•			
General-Education Students	27	_	_	-	compared to results from previously	/			
Students with Disabilities	4			- -	administered assessments.				
English Proficient	31	87%	65%	6%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	67%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	13	77%	62%	15%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	31	87%	65%	6%					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):				
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	4–05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	31	100%	90%	52%	41	85%	61%	34%		
Female	11	100%	100%	36%	23	83%	61%	26%		
Male	20	100%	85%	60%	18	89%	61%	44%		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1		-		2	-				
Hispanic or Latino	2		·····		8	-		······		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_		•••••••	••••••	••••••		
White	27		·····		31	94%	68%	39%		
Small Group Totals	31	100%	90%	52%	10	60%	40%	20%		
General-Education Students	27	-	_	-	34	94%	74%	41%		
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_		7	43%	0%	0%		
English Proficient	31	100%	90%	52%	35	91%	69%	40%		
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••••	6	50%	17%	0%		
Economically Disadvantaged	18	100%	100%	56%	20	70%	25%	5%		
Not Disadvantaged	13	100%	77%	46%	21	100%	95%	62%		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	31	100%	90%	52%	41	85%	61%	34%		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent									

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05					
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	37	78%	49%	5%						
Female	21	71%	43%	10%						
Male	16	88%	56%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	2	_	_	-						
Hispanic or Latino	8	_	_	-	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	••••••	•••••	•••••					
Pacific Islander										
White	27	81%	56%	7%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	10	70%	30%	0%						
General-Education Students	30	90%	60%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	7	29%	0%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	33	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	4	-	_		•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	17	53%	12%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	20	100%	80%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	37	78%	49%	5%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0			· ·		2-4 3-4 4 at was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	38	66%	45%	5%				
Female	21	67%	52%	5%				
Male	17	65%	35%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	8	_	_	-	••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••			
Pacific Islander								
White	28	68%	50%	7%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	10	60%	30%	0%				
General-Education Students	30	80%	57%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	8	13%	0%	0%	••••			
English Proficient	34	_	_	-				
Limited English Proficient	4		·····		•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	18	39%	22%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	20	90%	65%	10%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	38	66%	45%	5%	••••••	•••••	••••••	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scorin						ing at level(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05						
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	42	83%	45%	2%							
Female	23	83%	65%	4%							
Male	19	84%	21%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	•••••	••••	••••••		••••						
Hispanic or Latino	3			_	•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	••••••								
Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_							
White	38	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals	42	83%	45%	2%							
General-Education Students	32	97%	59%	3%							
Students with Disabilities	10	40%	0%	0%	••••						
English Proficient	40	_	_	_							
Limited English Proficient	2	_		_							
Economically Disadvantaged	19	68%	32%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	23	96%	57%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	42	83%	45%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	 04-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	43	74%	40%	2%				
Female	23	83%	43%	4%				
Male	20	65%	35%	0%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							i
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	_	••••			İ
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_				İ
Pacific Islander								
White	38	76%	42%	3%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	5	60%	20%	0%				
General-Education Students	33	88%	48%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	10	30%	10%	0%				İ
English Proficient	40	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	_	_	_				j
Economically Disadvantaged	20	65%	20%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	23	83%	57%	4%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	43	74%	40%	2%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scor						l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı	
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	42	86%	45%	5%				
Female	19	84%	58%	5%				
Male	23	87%	35%	4%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	1	_	_	-				
Hispanic or Latino	7	_	_	-	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	••••			
Pacific Islander			 . .					
White	33	85%	45%	6%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	9	89%	44%	0%				
General-Education Students	32	91%	53%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	10	70%	20%	0%				
English Proficient	39	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	_			••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	29	83%	41%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	13	92%	54%	15%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	86%	45%	5%	••••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0	2 4		-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	40	88%	70%	13%					
Female	19	84%	63%	16%					
Male	21	90%	76%	10%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_		_					
Hispanic or Latino	7	_		_	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	<u> </u>					
White	31	84%	71%	13%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	67%	11%	•••••				
General-Education Students	31	94%	74%	13%					
Students with Disabilities	9	67%	56%	11%	•••••				
English Proficient	37	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	3	_		<u> </u>	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	27	81%	67%	11%					
Not Disadvantaged	13	100%	77%	15%	••••••	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	40	88%	70%	13%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4						
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.	

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Total Tested 46 26 20	Percentage 2-4 89% 81% 100%	3-4 41% 42% 40%	evel(s): 4 2% 0% 5%	Tot Tes	al ited	Percentage 2–4	scoring at le 3–4	vel(s): 4		
46 26 20	89% 81%	41% 42%	2% 0%	Tes	ted	2-4	3-4	4		
26 20	81%	42%	0%							
20	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••••				
	100%	40%	5%							
9		•••••								
9		•••••••		•••••						
9										
	_		-	•••••	New asses	ssments for	elementa	ry-		
	••••••••	••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and middle-level English language						
1	_				arts and n	nathematics	s were			
36	92%	47%	3%							
10	80%	20%	0%					,		
37	95%	51%	3%		•		•	ously		
9	67%	0%	0%		aummistei	reu assessii	nents.			
43	-	_	_							
3	_		- -	•••••						
16	81%	31%	0%							
30	93%	47%	3%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••			
46	89%	41%	2%	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••			
	1 36 10 37 9 43 3 16 30	1 - 36 92% 10 80% 37 95% 9 67% 43 - 3 - 16 81% 30 93%	1 36 92% 47% 10 80% 20% 37 95% 51% 9 67% 0% 43 3 16 81% 31% 30 93% 47%	1 - - - 36 92% 47% 3% 10 80% 20% 0% 37 95% 51% 3% 9 67% 0% 0% 43 - - - 3 - - - 16 81% 31% 0% 30 93% 47% 3%	1 - - - 36 92% 47% 3% 10 80% 20% 0% 37 95% 51% 3% 9 67% 0% 0% 43 - - - 3 - - - 16 81% 31% 0% 30 93% 47% 3%	1 - - - and middle arts and maddle administer. 10 80% 20% 0% these assess compared administer. 9 67% 0% 0% 0% 43 - - - 3 - - - 16 81% 31% 0% 30 93% 47% 3%	1 - - - and middle-level Engarts and mathematics and mathematics administered in 2006 these assessments or compared to results administered assessments or compared to results administered assessments administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administered administere	1 - - and middle-level English langua arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results fit these assessments cannot be discompared to results from previous administered assessments. 9 67% 0% 0% 43 - - - 3 - - - 16 81% 31% 0% 30 93% 47% 3%		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Number scoring at level(s): Total Number					er scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	1	-	-	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	46	85%	41%	0%						
Female	25	80%	40%	0%						
Male	21	90%	43%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••							
Hispanic or Latino	10	_	·····	_	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••	••••••		and middle-level English language					
Pacific Islander	Т	_	_ 	_	arts an	d mathematio	s were			
White	35	86%	49%	0%		stered in 200				
Small Group Totals	11	82%	18%	0%		issessments o		•		
General-Education Students	38	84%	45%	0%		red to results stered assess	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	8	88%	25%	0%	adminis	stereu assess	nents.			
English Proficient	42	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	4	_		_						
Economically Disadvantaged	16	94%	44%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	30	80%	40%	0%	••••••	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	46	85%	41%	0%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total Tested	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group		2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	45	93%	67%	22%	35	97%	94%	37%
Female	25	92%	56%	8%	20	100%	100%	35%
Male	20	95%	80%	40%	15	93%	87%	40%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American				••••••		•	••••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	_	-	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	34	97%	74%	26%	30	97%	93%	37%
Small Group Totals	11	82%	45%	9%	5	100%	100%	40%
General-Education Students	36	94%	72%	28%	29	100%	97%	41%
Students with Disabilities	9	89%	44%	0%	6	83%	83%	17%
English Proficient	41	-	_	-	34	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	4	_	-	-	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	16	88%	75%	13%	13	100%	100%	46%
Not Disadvantaged	29	97%	62%	28%	22	95%	91%	32%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	45	93%	67%	22%	35	97%	94%	37%


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
Regents Science	0				5	5	5	5	


District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 8 12 15 646 0 19 2 39 Feb 2004 18 650 Feb 2003 7 19 12 2 40 634




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score		
Jan 2005	0	14	16	9	39	713		
Jan 2004	2	18	20	3	43	700		
Jan 2003	4	32	13	0	49	686		


District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 9 6 15 11 May 2005 2 7 23 10 42 May 2004 656 May 2003 3 9 25 5 42 648



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance level	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score		
May 2005	2	16	20	2	40	719		
May 2004	9	20	16	1	46	703		
May 2003	4	22	20	1	47	710		

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	41	88%	88%	41%	39	82%	82%	59%
Female	21	95%	95%	62%	14	100%	100%	86%
Male	20	80%	80%	20%	25	72%	72%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	1	_	_	_				
Hispanic or Latino	4			-	5	_	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	34	85%	85%	38%	32	88%	88%	63%
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	57%	7	57%	57%	43%
General-Education Students	37	-	_	_	34	91%	91%	68%
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	_	5	20%	20%	0%
English Proficient	41	88%	88%	41%	39	82%	82%	59%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	100%	38%				
Not Disadvantaged	33	85%	85%	42%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	41	88%	88%	41%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assossments	Number Number scoring at level(s):				Number Number scoring a			at level(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*		2001 Cohort*				
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	41	90%	90%	27%	39	74%	69%	31%
Female	21	95%	95%	43%	14	86%	71%	43%
Male	20	85%	85%	10%	25	68%	68%	24%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	_	-
Black or African American	1	_	_	_			•••••	•••••
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	-	5	_	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	34	88%	88%	18%	32	78%	72%	34%
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	71%	7	57%	57%	14%
General-Education Students	37	-	_	_	34	82%	76%	35%
Students with Disabilities	4	_	_	-	5	20%	20%	0%
English Proficient	41	90%	90%	27%	39	74%	69%	31%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	8	100%	100%	38%				
Not Disadvantaged	33	88%	88%	24%	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	41	90%	90%	27%	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at leve	l(s):	
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	41	80%	5%	0%	10%	5%
	2001	39	72%	3%	0%	13%	13%
Female	2002	21	95%	5%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	14	79%	0%	0%	21%	0%
Male	2002	20	65%	5%	0%	20%	10%
	2001	25	68%	4%	0%	8%	20%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
·	2001	5	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	34	76%	6%	0%	12%	6%
	2001	32	75%	0%	0%	16%	9%
Small Group Totals	2002	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	7	57%	14%	0%	0%	29%
General-Education Students	2002	37	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	34	79%	0%	0%	12%	9%
Students with Disabilities	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	5	20%	20%	0%	20%	40%
English Proficient	2002	41	80%	5%	0%	10%	5%
	2001	39	72%	3%	0%	13%	13%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	8	88%	0%	0%	13%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	33	79%	6%	0%	9%	6%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	41	80%	5%	0%	10%	5%

NOTES

District MARGARETVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	36	81%	3%	0%	3%	14%
Female	12	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	24	71%	4%	0%	4%	21%
American Indian	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	5	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	29	86%	0%	0%	3%	10%
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	0%	0%	29%
General-Education Students	31	90%	0%	0%	0%	10%
Students with Disabilities	5	20%	20%	0%	20%	40%
English Proficient	36	81%	3%	0%	3%	14%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	4	_	_	_	_	_
Not Disadvantaged	32	_	_	_		_
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	36	81%	3%	0%	3%	14%

NOTES