

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District **SIDNEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT** District ID **121601060000** Superintendent **SANDRA COOPER** Telephone **(607) 563-2135** Grades **K-12** 

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



#### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

#### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	75	77	75
Grade 1	80	72	80
Grade 2	88	82	78
Grade 3	85	84	81
Grade 4	104	85	84
Grade 5	111	105	90
Grade 6	117	133	104
Ungraded Elementary	16	18	0
Grade 7	115	106	137
Grade 8	102	115	104
Grade 9	115	113	123
Grade 10	138	117	87
Grade 11	101	124	107
Grade 12	110	90	113
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1357	1321	1263

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	17	19
Grade 8			
English	14	15	14
Mathematics			16
Science	16	18	17
Social Studies	16	18	17
Grade 10			
English	16	17	17
Mathematics	16	15	21
Science		19	16
Social Studies	18	21	18

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	382	28%	357	27%	370	29%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	122	9%	168	13%	167	13%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	4	0%	4	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	1	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	28	2%	27	2%	25	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	35	3%	39	3%	42	3%	
Asian or Native	20	1%	20	2%	17	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1269	94%	1234	93%	1178	93%	

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		96%	
Student Suspensions	43	N/A	44	3%	53	4%	

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	316	346	421
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	3%	5%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	3	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	3%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	4	4	3
Percentage of Total	3%	3%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	24%	25%	27%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Total Teachers	122	118	115
Total Other Professional Staff	17	17	14
Total Paraprofessionals*	53	52	48
Assistant Principals	1	0	0
Principals	4	4	3

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

**District in Good Standing** A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1</b> ) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
•	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	-	<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
•	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
^	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
^	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

# 2 District Accountability

District SIDNEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	ι	
ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
Math	▲ Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing	
Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	
	ELA  Math	ELA A Good Standing	ELA     Good Standing     ELA       Math     Good Standing     Math	

Title I Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07				
	YES	YES	YES				
		120	. 20				

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	~		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_			
Black or African American	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	–	–	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
White	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>~</b>		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	–	–	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1		



### Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective		Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
<b>All Students</b> (592:576)	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	99%	×	159	117			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (12:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (20:19)	_	_	-	_	-	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (552:540)	<	✓	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	159	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (103:96)	<b>√</b> SH	~	96%	<b>✓</b> SH	89	112	77	100	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••						
Economically Disadvantaged (258:248)	<b>~</b>	~	98%	~	144	115			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (593:569)	Status	Interior	99%		141	81	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (10:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (21:19)	_	_	-	-	_	_		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (554:533)	<	<	99%	<b>~</b>	141	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (104:95)	~	~	97%	<b>~</b>	85	76		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (263:248)	~	~	99%	~	128	79		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
<b>All Students</b> (190:176)	~	Qualified	~	97%	<b>~</b>	185	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (3:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)		-	_	-	_	-	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	_		-
White (178:165)	•••••••	Qualified	~	97%	~	185	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (37:30)		-	_	-	~	160	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			•••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (88:82)		Qualified	~	98%	~	180	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	of 1							

#### NOTES

- **AYP Status** 
  - Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	5
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (116:117)	V	V	95%	<b>V</b>	169	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)	_	_	-	_	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (109:112)	<	✓	96%	<b>~</b>	170	144	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20:17)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (36:37)	<b>~</b>	-	-	~	173	138	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

#### **AYP Status**

1 Made AYP

**V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

those two years. <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Chathar	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (116:117)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	97%	<b>V</b>	171	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Hispanic or Latino (3:2)	_	_	-	-	_	-	-	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (109:112)	<	<b>~</b>	97%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	171	136	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (20:17)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged (36:37)	~	-	-	~	165	130	••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3							

#### NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
   <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

#### **AYP Status**

Made AYP

**V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (92)	~	<b>v</b>	74%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (3)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3)		_	-	-	-	-
White (85)		✓	73%	55%		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (13)		_	-	-	-	_
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (6)		-	-	-	-	_
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1				

#### NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# <sup>3</sup> School Accountability Status

District SIDNEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

.....

#### **Federal Title I Status**

**New York State Status** 

▲ Good Standing

SIDNEY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL SIDNEY HIGH SCHOOL SIDNEY MIDDLE SCHOOL

3 schools identified 100% of total

### Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	79%	I	76
Grade 4	77%		79
Grade 5	66%		87
Grade 6	60%		103
Grade 7	53%		133
Grade 8	62%		105
Mathematics			
Grade 3	91%		77
Grade 4	85%		80
Grade 5	72%		85
Grade 6	26%		104
Grade 7	32%		134
Grade 8	36%		106
Science			
Grade 4	95%		77
Grade 8	78%		105
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	68%		139
Mathematics	73%		139
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	74%	Г.	139

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State I	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 677	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 7	30-780				
	100%	100%				92%			
			79%			92%	69%		
							69%		
				5	%			7%	
Number of Students:		76	60	4	1				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grou	ір	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		76	100%	79%	5%				
Female		36	100%	81%	6%				
Male		40	100%	78%	5%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native	1							
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino		2	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	other	1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander					••••••	This too	st was not giv	on in 2001	05
White		71	100%	79%	6%		si was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	0%				
General-Education Students	5	69	100%	86%	6%				
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	14%	0%				
English Proficient		76	100%	79%	5%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantage	d	30	100%	80%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged		46	100%	78%	7%				
Migrant					••••••				
Not Migrant		76	100%	79%	5%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test v	on in 200	04.05		
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent						was not yn		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 677	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	100%	91%			94%					
			91%				81%				
				10	5%			25%			
Number of Students:		77	70	1	.2						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
_		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		77	100%	91%	16%						
Female		36	100%	92%	11%						
Male		41	100%	90%	20%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive	1									
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		2	_	_	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	1	-	-	-						
White		72	100%	90%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	5	100%	100%	0%						
General-Education Students		70	100%	96%	17%						
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7	100%	43%	0%						
English Proficient		77	100%	91%	16%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		30	100%	87%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged		47	100%	94%	21%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		77	100%	91%	16%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 673	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	95%				91%					
			77%			9178	69%				
							0570				
				1	1%			9%			
Number of Students:		75 61 9									
					-						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Group	<b>`</b>	Total	Percentage	scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•	,	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		79	95%	77%	11%						
Female		33	100%	85%	12%		••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Male		46	91%	72%	11%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive				•••••						
Black or African American	•••••			<u>-</u>							
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	2		<u>-</u>			sessments for		•		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er	3	-	-	-		ldle-level Eng I mathematic		age		
White	•••••	 71		79%			tered in 2000		rom		
Small Group Totals	•••••		88%	63%	0%		ssessments c				
General-Education Students		68	97%	87%	13%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	•••••			18%		administered assessments.					
English Proficient		79	95%	77%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged		39	92%	72%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	40	98%	83%	18%		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	79	95%	77%	11%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 2-4 3-4 4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 2 1 (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: 0 0 Grade 4

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 681	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	98%				93%					
			85%				78%				
				2	4%			26%			
				24	+ 70			20%			
Number of Chudonts		70	60								
Number of Students:		78	68	1	.9						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> a	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at le				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		80	98%	85%	24%						
Female		32	100%	88%	28%						
Male		48	96%	83%	21%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		2					sessments fo		•		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	3	_	_	_		ddle-level Eng	5	age		
Pacific Islander				•••••			d mathematic				
White		73	97%	86%	23%		tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		7	100%	71%	29%		ssessments c ed to results				
General-Education Students			97%		25%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.					
Students with Disabilities		11	100%	64%	18%						
English Proficient		80	98%	85%	24%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		40	95%	80%	25%						
Not Disadvantaged		40	100%	90%	23%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		80	98%	85%	24%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	_	2	-	_	-

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-1	8 00	5-100				
	100%	100%100%	95% 9		<sup>5%</sup> 49%	97% 95%	86% 80%		<sup>‰</sup> 42%
2005-06 2004-05									4270
Number of Students:		77 84	73	79 4	42 41				
<b>Results by</b>		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		77	100%	95%	55%	84	100%	94%	<b>49</b> %
Female		32	100%	97%	66%	36	100%	94%	33%
Male		45	100%	93%	47%	48	100%	94%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		2				1			
Hispanic or Latino		2	-			4	-		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	۱r	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White		70	100%	94%	56%	77	100%	94%	51%
Small Group Totals		7	100%	100%	43%	7	100%	100%	29%
General-Education Students		68	100%	94%	54%	78	100%	96%	49%
Students with Disabilities		9	100%	100%	56%	6	100%	67%	50%
English Proficient Limited English Proficient		77	100%	95%	55%	84	100%	94%	49%
Economically Disadvantaged		41	100%	93%	46%	33	100%	91%	36%
Not Disadvantaged		36	100%	97%	64%	51	100%	3-4       4         86%       80%         49%         601 Year         ercentage scoring at l         2-4       3-4         00%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       96%         100%       96%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%         100%       94%	57%

Migrant

Not Migrant

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

100%

77

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	2	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	T		_	_	2		_	

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

95%

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

55%

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .

84

94%

49%

100%

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 657	Range:	608-795	650-79	95 7	11-795						
	100%	93%				94%					
			66%				67%				
			00%				0170				
								1.001			
				9	%			12%			
Number of Students:		81	57	8	3						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year:			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		87	93%	66%	<b>9</b> %						
Female		37	92%	59%	11%						
Male		50	94%	70%	8%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		4									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		2	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		·····			••••••	This too					
White		80	94%	68%	9%	inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		7	86%	43%	14%						
General-Education Students		75	99%	69%	9%						
Students with Disabilities		12	58%	42%	8%						
English Proficient		87	93%	66%	9%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		36	89%	56%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		51	96%	73%	12%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		87	93%	66%	9%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	This test	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	±							·+-0 <i>5</i> .	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 665	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780				
	100%	93%				90%			
			72%			90%	68%		
							0070		
				12	2%			19%	
Number of Students:		79	61	1	.0				
De sudde has		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Voor			2004 05 8	School Year		
Results by			Percentage		level(s).			scoring at le	vel(s).
<b>Student Group</b>		Total Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
 All Students		85	93%	 72%	 12%	Testeu	2 4	5 4	
Female		36	94%	67%	8%				
Male	•••••	49	92%	76%	14%		••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American	•••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino		5	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	2	_		_				
Pacific Islander					••••				<u>-</u>
White		78	94%	73%	12%	This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		7	86%	57%	14%				
General-Education Students		73	97%	77%	12%				
Students with Disabilities		12	67%	42%	8%				
English Proficient		85	93%	72%	12%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		36	92%	69%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged		49	94%	73%	14%				
Migrant									

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total	Number sco	oring at level	Total	Number scoring at leve			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	<b>1-</b> 05.

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Distric	ct		NY State Public					
	Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
100%	010/				93%				
	9170				3370				
		60%				60%			
			10	)%			12%		
	94	62	1	.0					
					2004 05	School Voor			
				lovol(s):				avol(s).	
p								4	
					Testeu	2-4	5-4	4	
•••••			52%		•••••	••••	••••••	•••••	
ative									
•••••	1		-		•••••				
•••••	2		_		•••••				
ner	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••				
	100	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
	103	91%	60%	10%					
	86	99%	70%	12%					
	17	53%	12%	0%					
	103	91%	60%	10%					
	••••••			•••••					
	50	88%	50%	6%					
	53	94%	70%	13%					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	p	Percentage si 2-4 Range: 598-785 100% 91% 94 94 2005-06 So Total Tested 103 51 52 ative 1 100 103 86 17 103 86	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \hline 2-4 & 3-4 \\ \hline Range: 598-785 & 650-7 \\ \hline 100\% & 91\% & 60\% & \\ \hline 94 & 62 & \\ \hline 94 & 51 & 96\% & \\ \hline 7 total & Percentage & \\ \hline Total & 7 & \\ \hline Total & 7 & \\ \hline 7 total & 91\% & \\ \hline 94 & 62 &$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ $4$ Range: 598-785 $650-785$ $7$ $100%$ $91%$ $60%$ $91%$ $60%$ $91%$ $60%$ $94$ $62$ $10$ $94$ $62$ $10$ $94$ $62$ $1$ $100$ $94$ $62$ $1$ $103$ $91%$ $60%$ $51$ $96%$ $69%$ $51$ $96%$ $69%$ $52$ $87%$ $52%$ $7$ $103$ $91%$ $60%$ $1$ $  2$ $  103$ $91%$ $60%$ $86$ $99%$ $70%$ $17$ $53%$ $12%$ $103$ $91%$ $60%$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 91% 60% 10% 94 62 10 94 62 10 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 103 91% 60% 10% 51 96% 69% 12% 52 87% 52% 8% attive 1 $1$ 2 $$ ner 100 $$ 103 91% 60% 10% 86 99% 70% 12% 17 53% 12% 0% 103 91% 60% 10%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ $4$ $2-4$ Range:         598-785         650-785         705-785 $100\%$ 91%         93%         93%           91%         10%         93%         93%           91%         10%         93%         93%           91%         10%         93%         93%           94         62         10         93%           Total Percentage scoring at level(s):         2004-05           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Total           Total Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total Tested         2004-05         Total Tested         Total         Tested         2-4         3-4         4         Total Tested         Total Tested         Total Tested         This tested         103         91%         60%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%         10%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%         100%       91%       60%       60%       60%       60%         91%       10%       93%       60%       60%       60%         94       62       10       60%       60%       60%         94       62       10       704       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Pisetick </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       12%</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       60%       12%	

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 2007	1 05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent		_	_	-		was not yiv		+-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 634	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 69	96-780						
	100%										
		77%				87%					
							60%				
			260/								
			26%	2	24			13%			
				3	<u>%</u>						
Number of Students:		80	27	3	3						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	1		2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		104	77%	26%	3%						
Female		52	83%	21%	2%						
Male		52	71%	31%	4%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	-	••••••••									
Pacific Islander											
White		101				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		104	77%	26%	3%						
General-Education Students		87	83%	31%	3%						
Students with Disabilities		17	47%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		104	77%	26%	3%						
Limited English Proficient		••••••									
Economically Disadvantaged		53	77%	17%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	51	76%	35%	4%		••••	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	104	77%	26%	3%		••••	••••••			
NOTES											

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

 Other
 2005-06 School Year
 2004-05 School Year

 Total
 Number scoring at level(s):
 Total
 Number scoring at level(s):

Accoccmonte	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scoring at i		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment		_	_	_	This test y	was not giv	en in 2004	1-05
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	-				inis test i	nus not giv	en in 200-	+ 05.

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public						
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 648	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7:	12-790							
	100%	92%				92%						
		5270				5270						
			53%				56%					
				3'	%			8%				
Number of Students:		122	71									
Number of Students.		122	11									
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		133	<b>92</b> %	53%	3%							
Female		63	94%	51%	3%							
Male		70	90%	56%	3%							
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve											
Black or African American		5	_									
Hispanic or Latino		6	100%	67%	0%							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_							
Pacific Islander		т т				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
White		121	92%	52%	3%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.			
Small Group Totals		6	83%	67%	0%							
General-Education Students		107	99%	64%	4%							
Students with Disabilities		26	62%	12%	0%							
English Proficient		133	92%	53%	3%							
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged		55	82%	38%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		78	99%	64%	5%		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant												
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	133	92%	53%	3%		••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
NOTES												

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ $4$			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0		<u> </u>			was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This Distric	:t			NY State P	NY State Public					
	Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):				
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	611-800	650-80	00 69	93-800							
100%											
	79%				87%						
						56%					
		32%									
			0	)/			12%				
	106	/3		_							
	100	45	,	)							
	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year					
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
	134	<b>79%</b>	32%	0%							
	62	79%	24%	0%							
	72	79%	39%	0%							
/e											
	5										
	6	83%	50%	0%							
	1	_	_	_							
	±				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	0.5			
	122	80%	32%	0%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
	6	67%	17%	0%							
	106	90%	39%	0%							
	28	39%	7%	0%							
	134	79%	32%	0%							
	56	66%	25%	0%							
	78	88%	37%	0%							
	134	79%	32%	0%							
	100%	Percentage so 2-4 Range: 611-800 100% 79% 79% 106 2005-06 Sc Total Tested 134 62 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 7	2-4       3-4         Range:       611-800       650-80         100%       79%       32%         100%       79%       32%         106       43         2005-06 Scbool Year       70%         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         134       79%         62       79%         62       79%         72       79%         72       79%         6       83%         1       -         122       80%         6       67%         106       90%         28       39%         134       79%         28       39%         134       79%         56       66%         78       88%	Percentage scorrug at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       69         100%       79%       32%       09         100%       79%       32%       09         106       43       09       09         106       43       09       09         106       43       09       09         106       43       09       09         106       43       09       09         106       43       09       09         106       2-4       3-4       09         106       2-4       3-4       09         62       79%       24%       09         72       79%       39%       106         /e       5       -       -         1       -       -       106         122       80%       32%       39%         122       80%       32%       39%         122       80%       32%       106         134       79%       32%       134         134       79%       32%       134         5	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800         100%       79%       32%       0%         100%       106       43       0         Total Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         Total 79% 32% 0%         62       79% 24% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         72       79% 39% 0%       0%         74       74       74       74         74       74       74       0%         74       74       74       0%         74       74       0%       74       74         75       -       -       -       -       -         74	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4           Range:         611-800         650-800         693-800         87%           100%         79%         0%         87%         106         10%         106         43         0         106         43         0         106         11         106         106         106         106         106         106         11         106         11         106         11         11         11         11         11         11         11         11	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800       87%         100%       79%       0%       87%       56%         100%       0%       0%       106       43       0         2005-06 Scbool Year       2004-05 Scbool Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         72       79%       32%       0%       1         72       79%       39%       0%       1         72       79%       39%       0%       1         72       79%       39%       0%       1         106       90%       32%       0%       1         122       80%       32%       0%       1         106       90%       39%       0%       1         106       90%       32%       0%       1	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       611-800       650-800       693-800       87%       100%       100%       100%       100%       100%       100%       12%			

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	4.	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.	

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This Distric	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790						
100%	93%				91%					
					5170					
		62%				49%				
			10	0%			5%			
	98	65	1	.1						
	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s)			Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	105	93%	62%	10%						
	51	92%	63%	12%						
	54	94%	61%	9%						
ve										
	1	-	-	-						
	4	-	-	-	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
r	•••••••••		•••••	••••••	and mid	dle-level En	glish langu	age		
	100	94%	62%	11%						
	5	80%	60%	0%				-		
	83	99%	75%	13%			•	ously		
	22	73%	14%	0%	auminist		nents.			
	105	93%	62%	10%						
	••••••••••		•••••							
	42	93%	48%	5%						
	63	94%	71%	14%						
	· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••		•••••	•••••		
		Percentage s 2-4  Range: 602-790  100% 93% 93% 98  2005-06 So 70tal Tested 105 51 54 ve 1 4 r 100 5 83 22 105	2-4       3-4         Range:       602-790       650-7         100%       93%       62%         93%       62%       62%         98       65         2005-06 School Year         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         105       93%         51       92%         54       94%         ve       1         1       -         4       -         100       94%         5       80%         83       99%         22       73%         105       93%         42       93%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       602-790       650-790       7         100%       93%       62%       10         93%       62%       10       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         98       65       1       10         105       93%       62%       10         100       94%       61%       10         ve       1       -       -         100       94%       62%       10         5       80%       60%       10         22       73%       14%       105         93%       62%       142       93%       48%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       602-790       650-790       715-790         100%       93%       62%       10%         93%       62%       10%       10%         98       65       11       98       65       11         Zoo5-o6 Schoer Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Tested       2-4       3-4       4         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         05       93%       62%       10%       90%         ve       1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -       -         100       94%       61%       9%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%       0%       10%       10%       10% </td <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         <math>2-4</math> <math>3-4</math>       4       <math>2-4</math>         Range:       <math>602-790</math> <math>650-790</math> <math>715-790</math> <math>100\%</math> <math>93\%</math> <math>91\%</math> <math>91\%</math> <math>93\%</math> <math>62\%</math> <math>91\%</math> <math>91\%</math> <math>93\%</math> <math>655</math> <math>11</math> <math>91\%</math> <math>98</math> <math>65</math> <math>11</math> <math>2005-06</math> School Year       <math>2004-05</math> S         <math>Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Total         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Total         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested       <math>2-4</math> <math>3-4</math> <math>4</math> <math>7</math> <math>7</math> <math>4</math> <math>   7</math> <math>7</math> </math></td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       602-790       650-790       715-790       91%       49%         100%       93%       10%       91%       49%       49%         98       65       11       49%       49%       49%         2005-06       School Year       2004-05       School Year       70tal       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage       2-4       2-4       3-4       4</td> <td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       <math>602-790</math> <math>650-790</math> <math>715-790</math>       93%       93%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       5%       5%       91%       91%       5%       91%       5%       91%       5%       90%       5%       5%       5%       5%       5%       91%       5%       5%       5%       5%       5%       91%       5</td>	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ $100\%$ $93\%$ $91\%$ $91\%$ $93\%$ $62\%$ $91\%$ $91\%$ $93\%$ $655$ $11$ $91\%$ $98$ $65$ $11$ $2005-06$ School Year $2004-05$ S $Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Total         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Total         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested       2-4 3-4 4 7 7 4    7 7 $	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       602-790       650-790       715-790       91%       49%         100%       93%       10%       91%       49%       49%         98       65       11       49%       49%       49%         2005-06       School Year       2004-05       School Year       70tal       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage       2-4       2-4       3-4       4	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range: $602-790$ $650-790$ $715-790$ 93%       93%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       91%       5%       5%       91%       91%       5%       91%       5%       91%       5%       90%       5%       5%       5%       5%       5%       91%       5%       5%       5%       5%       5%       91%       5		

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 638	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%									
		85%				85%				
							54%			
			36%							
				2	%			10%		
Number of Students:		90	38		2					
						2004 05 9	School Voor			
Results by		2005-06 Se	Percentage				School Year	scoring at le	vol(s).	
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	ever(s):	
All Students		106	85%	36%	 2%	resteu	2 7	5 4	4	
Female		50	84%	30%	0%					
Male	•••••	56	86%	41%	4%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American	•••••	1	-	-	–					
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	New ass	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							ldle-level En		age	
Pacific Islander					•••••		l mathematio			
White		101	85%	36%	2%		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		5	80%	40%	0%		ssessments o		-	
General-Education Students		84	92%	39%	2%		ed to results tered assess	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities		22	59%	23%	0%	auminis		inelits.		
English Proficient		106	85%	36%	2%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		43	74%	19%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	63	92%	48%	3%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		106	85%	36%	2%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0				

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 72	Range:	44-100	65-10	00 8	5-100						
2005-06	100%	97% 98%	78% 8		29%	91% 91%	64% 68		., 25%		
2003-08				2.				18	<i>~</i>		
Number of Students:		102 113	82	92 2	23 33						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r			
Student Gro		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):		
Student Gro	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		105	97%	<b>78</b> %	22%	115	98%	80%	<b>29</b> %		
Female		49	96%	73%	14%	55	100%	78%	31%		
Male		56	98%	82%	29%	60	97%	82%	27%		
American Indian or Alaska	a Native										
Black or African American	I	1				3					
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	Other					2	-	-	-		
White		100	98%	79%	22%	104	98%	79%	29%		
Small Group Totals		5	80%	60%	20%	5	100%	100%	60%		
General-Education Studen	ts	83	100%	84%	28%	89	100%	88%	34%		
Students with Disabilities		22	86%	55%	0%	26	92%	54%	12%		
English Proficient		105	97%	78%	22%	115	98%	80%	29%		
imited English Proficient			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••	••••••••	•••••		
Economically Disadvantag	ed	43	98%	67%	9%	44	98%	77%	18%		
Not Disadvantaged		62	97%	85%	31%	71	99%	82%	35%		
Migrant											
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	97%	78%	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••		


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Accoccmonte	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	±	_						
Regents Science	0				0			

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	1	14	49	21	85	676	
Feb 2004	10	37	53	10	110	649	
Feb 2003	2	26	53	22	103	664	•••••


	This School			NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830				
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	97% 94% 96%	<sup>53%</sup> 46% 50%	14% 12% 4%	93% 93% 91%	48% 47% 45%	9% 11% 8%	

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	3	52	47	16	118	706	
Jan 2004	6	50	35	12	103	700	
Jan 2003	4	51	50	4	109	695	

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	2	6	51	28	87	668	
May 2004	1	28	65	14	108	654	
May 2003	2	11	62	32	107	664	

	This School			NY State Pu	ublic			
Grade 8	Percentage se	coring at level(s	):	Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882					
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	100% 91% 87% 96%	57% 599	% <u>1%</u> 6% 5%	87% 86% 83	<sup>%</sup> 55% <sup>58%</sup>	51% 9% <sup>13%</sup> 9%		

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	10	55	50	1	116	712	
May 2004	14	31	54	6	105	716	
May 2003	4	41	60	5	110	719	

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	139	74%	<b>68</b> %	28%	94	77%	73%	<b>40</b> %		
Female	69	81%	74%	26%	34	85%	79%	50%		
Male	70	67%	63%	30%	60	72%	70%	35%		
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-		
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-		
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	–	-				••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	–		
White	133	74%	68%	27%	87	75%	71%	40%		
Small Group Totals	6	67%	67%	50%	7	100%	100%	43%		
General-Education Students	114	84%	79%	34%	77	88%	87%	49%		
Students with Disabilities	25	28%	20%	0%	17	24%	12%	0%		
English Proficient	138	-	-	-	93	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient	1	-	–	–	1	–	-	–		
Economically Disadvantaged	40	83%	75%	25%						
Not Disadvantaged	99	71%	66%	29%				•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	139	74%	68%	28%		•••••	•••••			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*						
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s):			Number of Students		oring at level	(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment	of students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	78%	73%	29% 26%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	2001 Cohort*						
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	2-4 3-4 4 of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	139	<b>78</b> %	73%	<b>29</b> %	94	85%	82%	<b>26</b> %
Female	69	84%	75%	25%	34	85%	82%	24%
Male	70	71%	70%	33%	60	85%	82%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-				••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	–
White	133	78%	73%	28%	87	84%	80%	
Small Group Totals	6	67%	67%	50%	7	100%	100%	43%
General-Education Students	114	88%	82%	35%	77	94%	92%	31%
Students with Disabilities	25	32%	28%	0%	17	47%	35%	0%
English Proficient	138	-	_	-	93	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	–	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged	40	83%	73%	25%				
Not Disadvantaged	99	76%	73%	30%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	139	78%	73%	29%				•••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				1	_	-	_


\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	139	74%	0%	3%	9%	14%
	2001	94	72%	4%	5%	<b>12</b> %	6%
Female	2002	69	77%	0%	0%	10%	13%
	2001	34	79%	9%	3%	6%	3%
Male	2002	70	71%	0%	6%	9%	14%
	2001	60	68%	2%	7%	15%	8%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	2	_		_		_
African American	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	2	-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native	2002	2					
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	133	74%	0%	3%	9%	14%
	2001	87	71%	5%	6%	11%	7%
Small Group Totals	2002	6	67%	0%	0%	17%	17%
	2001	7	86%	0%	0%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	114	83%	0%	2%	5%	10%
	2001	77	86%	0%	5%	6%	3%
Students with Disabilities	2002	25	32%	0%	8%	28%	32%
	2001	17	12%	24%	6%	35%	24%
English Proficient	2002	138	_	-	-	-	-
-	2001	93	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	-	_	_	_	_
	2001	1	_	—	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	40	80%	0%	3%	15%	3%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	99	72%	0%	3%	7%	18%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	139	74%	0%	3%	9%	14%

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:

3% 2%

5% 1%

13% \_\_\_\_\_

3% 5%



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out	
All Students	98	76%	3%	5%	3%	13%	
Female	36	81%	6%	3%	3%	8%	
Male	62	73%	2%	6%	3%	16%	
American Indian	1	-	-	-	-	-	
or Alaska Native							
Black or	3	_	-	-	_		
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Asian or Native	3	_	-	-	_	_	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	91	74%	3%	5%	3%	14%	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
General-Education Students	82	83%	1%	5%	1%	10%	
Students with Disabilities	16	38%	13%	6%	13%	31%	
English Proficient	98	76%	3%	5%	3%	13%	
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%	0%	40%	20%	0%	
Not Disadvantaged	93	77%	3%	3%	2%	14%	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Not Migrant	98	76%	3%	5%	3%	13%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.