

# The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District WALTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 121901040000 Superintendent JONATHAN BUHNER Telephone (607) 865-4116 Grades K-12, US

# **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

#### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



#### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

#### Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

#### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	79	92	99
Grade 1	83	72	79
Grade 2	65	71	66
Grade 3	80	67	76
Grade 4	89	85	71
Grade 5	82	89	87
Grade 6	88	89	92
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	100	94	86
Grade 8	103	103	101
Grade 9	84	90	95
Grade 10	84	98	88
Grade 11	101	85	86
Grade 12	110	98	91
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	14
Total K-12	1148	1133	1131

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	19	21
Grade 8			
English	20	19	19
Mathematics	19	19	19
Science	20	20	20
Social Studies	18	20	20
Grade 10			
English	20	27	16
Mathematics			16
Science	14		17
Social Studies	15	30	16

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004–05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	278	24%	293	26%	294	26%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	136	12%	148	13%	124	11%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%	
Black or African American	15	1%	23	2%	16	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	14	1%	19	2%	20	2%	
Asian or Native	4	0%	6	1%	7	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1114	97%	1085	96%	1088	96%	

\* Not available at the district level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	36	N/A	29	3%	42	4%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	320	261	331
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	6%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	2	3	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	3%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	3	4	3
Percentage of Total	3%	4%	3%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	8%	9%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	104	100	94
Total Other Professional Staff	9	9	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	38	36	34
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	3	3	3

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

#### **Federal Title | Status**

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

the following year.

the following year.

^	<b>District in Good Standing</b> A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	en io	dentified as a District in Need of Improvement
~	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.		<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)</b> A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
~	<b>District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)</b> A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	-	<b>District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)</b> A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified

make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
 A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for

is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

# 2 District Accountability

District WALTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Status (2006–07)	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l	
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing	
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	
Title I Part A Funding		the District Received T		Cood standing	

fitte i Part A Funding	rears the District Received Title Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006–07				
	YES	YES	YES				

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	–	–	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	
White	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	<b>v</b>		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••	–		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1	



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (506:496)	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	149	117		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (10:10)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (9.9)			-		_	-	••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:3)	-	-	-	-	-	-		–
White (483:474)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	149	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (103:98)	X	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	98%	X	82	112	100	94
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								••••
Economically Disadvantaged (183:181)	<	<	99%	~	132	114		••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	4						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (505:493)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	149	81		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (10:10)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (9:9)	_	_	_	_	_	_		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	••• ••••••	-
White (482:470)	<	<	99%	<b>~</b>	151	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (101:97)	~	~	99%	~	100	76		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (183:180)	~	<	99%	~	134	78	••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (161:158)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	183	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (4:4)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	_		-
White (154:151)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	100%	<	183	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (34:31)		-	_	-	~	161	100		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	••••••		••••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (50:49)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	100%	~	182	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 c	of 1							

#### NOTES

- Made AYP

**AYP Status** 

- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count. 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation)

- the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

## **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07		
All Students (93:86)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	177	143				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (0:0)										
Hispanic or Latino (4.4)	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (89:82)	✓	✓	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	178	143	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (11:12)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (26:24)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2									

#### NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

#### **AYP Status**

1 Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

those two years. <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07		
All Students (93:86)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	135				
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (0:0)										
								-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)										
White (89:82)	✓	<b>~</b>	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	171	135	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (11:12)	_	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)										
Economically Disadvantaged (26:24)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2									

#### NOTES

These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance). 2

Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

#### **AYP Status**

1 Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students

group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05

<sup>‡</sup> This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	5	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (104)	~	~	81%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (0) Hispanic or						
Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other						
Pacific Islander (0)	• • • • • • • • • •					
White (104)		~	81%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (22)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (28)		-	_	_	_	_
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1				

#### NOTES

Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

#### **Federal Title I Status**

**New York State Status** 

▲ Good Standing

TOWNSEND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL WALTON HIGH SCHOOL WALTON MIDDLE SCHOOL

3 schools identified 100% of total

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	59%		76
Grade 4	59%		68
Grade 5	72%		86
Grade 6	57%		91
Grade 7	53%		86
Grade 8	46%		91
Mathematics			
Grade 3	82%		76
Grade 4	82%		68
Grade 5	75%		85
Grade 6	34%		92
Grade 7	28%		85
Grade 8	55%		91
Science			
Grade 4	90%		68
Grade 8	77%		91
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	79%		90
Mathematics	76%		90
	Percentage who gradua		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	88%	1	90

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This Distric	ct			NY State P	NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):							
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780							
100%	86%				92%						
		59%				69%					
			5	%			7%				
	65	45		4							
	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year					
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
	76	86%	59%	5%							
	35	80%	63%	6%							
	41	90%	56%	5%							
ve											
	1										
	4										
	1	-	_	-							
	70	84%	57%	6%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
	6	100%	83%	0%							
	66	95%	67%	5%							
	10	20%	10%	10%							
	76	86%	59%	5%							
	••••••••••••••••••			•••••							
	30	70%	47%	0%							
	46	96%	67%	9%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
•		Percentage su 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 86% 65 2005-06 So Total Tested 76 35 41 ve 1 70 6 66 66 10 76 30	2-4       3-4         Range:       616-780       650-7         100%       86%       59%         65       45         65       45         Zoo5-o6 Schol Year         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         76       86%         35       80%         41       90%         ve       1         1       -         70       84%         6       100%         66       95%         10       20%         76       86%         30       70%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       616-780       650-780       7         100%       86%       59%       5         65       45       5         65       45       5         65       45       5         65       45       5         76       86%       59%         35       80%       63%         41       90%       56%         ve       1       -       -         1       -       -       -         70       84%       57%       6         100%       83%       66       95%       67%         10       20%       10%       76       86%         30       70%       47%       76       76	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730-780         100%       86%       59%       5%         65       45       4         Zoo5-o6 Schort Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         76       86%       59%       5%         35       80%       63%       6%         76       86%       59%       5%         35       80%       63%       6%         41       90%       56%       5%         ve       1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -         10       20%       10%       6%       6%         10       20%       10%       10%       76       86%       59%       5%         30       70%       47%       0%       6%       5%       5%       6%       6%       5%       6%       5%       6%       5%       6%       5%       6%       6%       6%       5%       <	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730-780       92%         100%       86%       59%       92%       92%         100%       65       45       4       92%         65       45       4       92%       92%         65       45       4       92%       92%         65       45       4       92%       92%         10       2005-06       Year       2004-05       35         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Total       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       Total       Tested       Total         Yee       35       80%       63%       6%       9%       Yee       1       -       -       -         1       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       616-780       650-780       730-780       92%       69%         100%       86%       59%       5%       65       45       4       92%       69%         100%       65       45       4       92%       69%       69%       69%         65       45       4       704       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage       2004-05 School Year       704       70%       5%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70%       70% <td< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         616-780         650-780         730-780         92%         69%         92%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         7%         7%         7%         7%         69%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         &lt;</td></td<>	Percentage scoring at level(s):           2-4         3-4         4         2-4         3-4         4           Range:         616-780         650-780         730-780         92%         69%         92%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         69%         7%         7%         7%         7%         7%         69%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         7%         6%         7%         <			

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 3

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 668	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770					
	100%	93%				94%				
		5570	82%			5170	81%			
								25%		
				11	L%			2370		
Number of Students:		71	62		3	_				
Number of Students.		1 -	02		5					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		76	93%	82%	11%					
Female		35	91%	77%	11%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Male		41	95%	85%	10%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		1								
Hispanic or Latino		4	-	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
White		70	94%	83%	10%	This test	: was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		6	83%	67%	17%					
General-Education Students		66	98%	88%	12%					
Students with Disabilities		10	60%	40%	0%					
English Proficient		76	93%	82%	11%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		31	90%	68%	6%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45	96%	91%	13%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••	•••••	
Migrant										
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••	93%		•••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••	

IOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 652	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775					
	100%					91%				
		87%				9178	69%			
			59%							
				6	%			9%		
Number of Students:		59	40		4					
Number of Students.		55	40		+					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		68	<b>87</b> %	59%	6%					
Female		39	87%	67%	10%					
Male		29	86%	48%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve				••••••					
Black or African American		2	-							
Hispanic or Latino		1					sessments fo		•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							Idle-level Eng	5	age	
Pacific Islander					•••••		l mathematic			
White		65					tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		68	87%	59%	6%		ssessments c ed to results			
General-Education Students		50	98%	76%	8%			•	ousty	
Students with Disabilities		18	56%	11%	0%	administered assessments.				
English Proficient		68	87%	59%	6%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		24	67%	46%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		44	98%	66%	9%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		68	87%	59%	6%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0	2 7		-	1	-		-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	Percentage scoring at level(s):       2-4     3-4       522-800     650-800			Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 672	Range:	622-800	650-8	800 7	02-800						
	100%	94%				93%					
			82%			5570	78%				
					201			26%			
				2	2%			2070			
Number of Chudente		6.4	50								
Number of Students:		64	56		15						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year				
-	n	Total Percentage scoring at l			tlevel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		68	94%	82%	22%						
Female		39	95%	82%	26%						
Male		29	93%	83%	17%						
American Indian or Alaska N	ative										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		1					sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Otl	her						dle-level En	5 5	age		
Pacific Islander				••••••			d mathematic tered in 200		rom		
White							ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		68	94%	82%	22%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students	•••••		98%	94%	28%		tered assess	•	0 401		
Students with Disabilities		18	83%	50%	6%						
English Proficient		68	94%	82%	22%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		23	83%	70%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged		45	100%	89%	29%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		68	94%	82%	22%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
ASSESSILIEIILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 80	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
<ul><li>■ 2005-06</li><li>■ 2004-05</li></ul>	100%	100% 94%	90% g		<sup>4%</sup> 33%	97% 95%	86% 80		<sup>%</sup> 42%	
Number of Students:		68 81	61	76 3	30 28					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05 S	School Yea	r		
Student Grou	in	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Grot	<u>. 4</u>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		68	100%	90%	44%	86	94%	88%	33%	
Female		39	100%	92%	49%	46	96%	89%	22%	
Male		29	100%	86%	38%	40	93%	88%	45%	
American Indian or Alaska	Native									
Black or African American		2	_			1	-			
Hispanic or Latino		1				2				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C Pacific Islander	Other									
White		65	-	-	-	83	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	68	100%	90%	44%	86	94%	88%	33%	
General-Education Students	S	50	100%	98%	56%	68	100%	96%	37%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	18	100%	67%	11%	18	72%	61%	17%	
English Proficient		68	100%	90%	44%	86	94%	88%	33%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantage	d	23	100%	83%	22%	41	90%	80%	12%	
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45	100%	93%	56%	45	98%	96%	51%	
Migrant										
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••			••••••				•••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5	.(s):	Total		oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
	This District         Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         e:       608-795       650-795       711-795         95%       72%       7%       7%         82       62       6         Soo5-o6 Schwarz         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4				Percentage s	coring at level(	s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	608-795	650-79	95 7:	L1-795					
100%	95%				94%				
		72%				670/			
						67%			
			79	%			12%		
	82	62	6	<b></b>					
	2005-06 5	chool Vear			2004-05	School Vear			
			scoring at	evel(s).			scoring at le	vel(s).	
								4	
					resteu	2 7	5 4		
	47	98%	72%						
		92%	72%	8%	•••••	••••	••••••		
ve									
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3	-		-	•••••				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
	83				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
	86	95%	72%	7%					
	66	100%	80%	9%					
	20	80%	45%	0%					
	86	95%	72%	7%					
	39	95%	67%	5%					
	47	96%	77%	9%					
	86	95%	72%	7%					
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 608-795 100% 95% 82 82 2005-06 So Total Tested 86 47 39 Ve 83 83 86 66 20 86 39 47	Percentage scoring at level         2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-7         100%       95%       72%         100%       95%       72%         82       62         82       62         2005-06 School Year       62         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         86       95%         39       92%         Ve       33         33       -         83       -         86       95%         66       100%         20       80%         39       95%         66       100%         39       95%         66       100%         39       95%         47       96%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4         Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $71$ $100\%$ $95\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $82$ $62$ $62$ $75\%$ $82$ $62$ $62$ $75\%$ $82$ $62$ $62$ $75\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $75\%$ $72\%$ $82$ $62$ $62$ $62$ $75\%$ $72\%$ $75\%$ $72\%$ $82$ $62$ $72\%$ $75\%$ $75\%$ $72\%$ $75\%$ $72\%$ $86$ $95\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $39$ $92\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $86$ $95\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $86$ $95\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $86$ $95\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $86$ $95\%$ $72\%$ $72\%$ $39$ $95\%$ $67\%$ $72\%$ $39$ $95\%$ $67\%$ $72\%$ $39$	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795         100%       95%       72%       7%         100%       95%       72%       7%         82       62       6       6         Zoo5-o6 Schoer Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         86       95%       72%       6%         39       92%       72%       8%         //e       33       -       -         86       95%       72%       7%         83       -       -       -         83       -       -       -         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%         86       95%	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $711-795$ 100%       95%       72%       94%         95%       72%       7%       94%         82       62       6       94%         Zoo5-o6 School Year       2004-05         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         86       95%       72%       7%         47       98%       72%       6%       39         92%       72%       8%       9%       72%         83       -       -       -       -         39       92%       72%       8%       9%         72       8%       9%       72%       7%         83       -       -       -       -         86       95%       72%       7%       7%         86       95%       72%       7%       -         86       95%       72%       7%       -	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       67%         100%       95%       72%       7%       67%       67%       67%         100%       82       62       6       67%       67%       67%       67%         82       62       6       7%       7%       7%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       67%       7%       67%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $711-795$ 94%       67%         100%       95%       72%       94%       67%       12%         2005-06       School Year       2004-05       School Year       12%         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       7%       2004-05       School Year       12%         86       95%       72%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7%       7	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assossments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	≥l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	۷						200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99-780						
	100%	92%				0.0%					
		92 %	75%			90%	600/				
							68%				
				12	2%			19%			
Number of Students:		78	64	1	.0						
		10	04		.0						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05	School Year				
<b>Student Group</b>		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Oroup		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		85	92%	75%	12%						
Female		46	93%	74%	9%						
Male		39	90%	77%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American					•••••						
Hispanic or Latino		3									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander					•••••	This too					
White		81		<u>-</u>		inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		85	92%	75%	12%						
General-Education Students		65	100%	88%	15%						
Students with Disabilities		20	65%	35%	0%						
English Proficient		85	92%	75%	12%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		39	87%	62%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		46	96%	87%	17%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		85	92%	75%	12%		••••	••••••			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Accorrents	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	age scoring at level(s): 3–4 4 60% 12% •o5 School Year Percentage scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 654	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	91%				93%					
		5170									
			57%				60%				
				1:	1%			12%			
Number of Students:		83	52	1	.0						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
_		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		91	<b>91</b> %	57%	11%						
Female		35	94%	69%	17%						
Male		56	89%	50%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r										
Pacific Islander						······			05		
White		88				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		91	91%	57%	11%						
General-Education Students		72	99%	68%	14%						
Students with Disabilities		19	63%	16%	0%						
English Proficient		91	91%	57%	11%						
Limited English Proficient		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
Economically Disadvantaged		33	82%	42%	3%						
Not Disadvantaged		58	97%	66%	16%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		91	91%	57%	11%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent								·+-0 <i>5</i> .	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 636	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
	100%									
		84%				87%				
							60%			
			34%							
				3	%			13%		
Number of Students:		77	31		<b></b>					
						2004.05				
Results by		2005-06 School Year           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):			lovol(s).		School Year	scoring at le	vol(s).	
<b>Student Group</b>		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	ver(s). 4	
 All Students		92	84%	34%	<u> </u>	Testeu	2 4	5 4	-	
Female		36	89%	31%	3%					
Male	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	56	80%	36%	4%		•••••	••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		3	_	–	_					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-									
Pacific Islander					•••••	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
White		89				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		92	84%	34%	3%					
General-Education Students		73	93%	37%	4%					
Students with Disabilities		19	47%	21%	0%					
English Proficient		92	84%	34%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged		33	79%	21%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		59	86%	41%	5%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	92	84%	34%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Total Number scoring		oring at leve	l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	School Year				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 647	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7:	12-790						
	100%	90%				92%					
		90%				5270					
			53%				56%				
				39	%			8%			
Number of Students:		77	46		3						
Results by		2005–06 <b>Sc</b>			/ .						
Student Group		Total	Percentage			Total					
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		86	90%	53%	3%						
Female		45	98%	64%	7%						
Male		41	80%	41%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	tive										
Black or African American		2		<del>.</del>							
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		<u>+</u>				· ······ -· ·		·			
White		83	-			This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		86	90%	53%	3%						
General-Education Students		70	96%	66%	4%						
Students with Disabilities		16	63%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		86	90%	53%	3%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Economically Disadvantaged		29	86%	45%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	57	91%	58%	5%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	86	90%	53%	3%						
NOTES											

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	age scoring at level(s): 3–4 4 56% 12% -o5 School Year Percentage scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 636	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 69	93-800						
	100%	88%				87%					
			28%				56%	12%			
				1	<u>%</u>						
Number of Students:		75	24		L						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(				
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		85	88%	28%	1%						
Female		45	89%	31%	0%						
Male		40	88%	25%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		82	_			This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		85	88%	28%	1%						
General-Education Students		70	93%	34%	1%						
Students with Disabilities		15	67%	0%	0%						
English Proficient		85	88%	28%	1%						
Limited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		29	83%	14%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		56	91%	36%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant		85	88%	28%	1%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••			
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	2004–05 School Year							
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 647	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 71	L5-790					
	100%	92%				91%				
		5270				51%				
			46%				49%			
				39	%			5%		
Number of Students:		84	42	3						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> o			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		91	<b>92</b> %	<b>46</b> %	3%					
Female			95%	59%	7%					
Male		50	90%	36%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative									
Black or African American		2			-					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ier	1	_	_	_	and mic	ddle-level En	glish langu	age	
Pacific Islander		Т					d mathematic			
White		87	-	-	-		stered in 200			
Small Group Totals		91	92%	46%	3%		ssessments c		-	
General-Education Students		77	97%	55%	4%		ed to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	14	64%	0%	0%	auminis	stered assess	nents.		
English Proficient		91	92%	46%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantaged		27	93%	37%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	64	92%	50%	5%		••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••		92%	46%	3%		••••	••••••		

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## **This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics**

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775						
	100%	96%				85%					
						85%					
			55%				54%				
				7	%			10%			
Number of Students:		87	50		6						
Results by		2005-06 Sc	chool Year	1		2004-05 S	School Year	1			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		91	96%	55%	7%						
Female		41	95%	61%	5%						
Male		50	96%	50%	8%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		2	_								
Hispanic or Latino		1					essments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_		dle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander					•••••		mathematic				
White		87					tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		91	96%	55%	7%		sessments c ed to results				
General-Education Students		77	99%	60%	8%		tered assess	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		14	79%	29%	0%			inchig.			
English Proficient		91	96%	55%	7%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		27	96%	52%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		64	95%	56%	8%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		91	96%	55%	7%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> a	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4 4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	n	_		_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	_	_	-	0			

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distr	ict			NY State F	Public		
		Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	2	ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 74	Range:	44-100	65-1	.00 8	35-100				
2005-06	100%	100% 97%	77% -		9% 19%	91% 91%	64% 68	%	25%
2004-05							_	10	
Number of Students:		91 97	70	71	17 19				
Results by		2005-06 S	ichool Yea	ır		2004-05	School Yea	r	
Student Group		Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Grot	ih I	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		91	100%	77%	<b>19%</b>	100	97%	71%	<b>19</b> %
Female		41	100%	80%	24%	43	100%	72%	12%
Male		50	100%	74%	14%	57	95%	70%	25%
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American		2				1			
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	_	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O Pacific Islander	)ther	1	-	-	-				
White		87	-	-	-	99	-	-	-
Small Group Totals		91	100%	77%	19%	100	97%	71%	19%
General-Education Students	5	77	100%	82%	22%	78	97%	81%	23%
Students with Disabilities		14	100%	50%	0%	22	95%	36%	5%
English Proficient		91	100%	77%	19%	100	97%	71%	19%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantage	d	27	100%	78%	19%	40	98%	65%	13%
Not Disadvantaged		64	100%	77%	19%	60	97%	75%	23%
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	91	100%		19%	100	97%	71%	19%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2005-06 Sc	2004–05 School Year						
-	Total	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	3	26	50	8	87	654	
Feb 2004	8	34	44	4	90	645	
Feb 2003	5	31	34	10	80	649	




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	4	59	39	2	104	693	
Jan 2004	3	51	32	4	90	693	
Jan 2003	9	56	23	5	93	689	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	3	7	57	19	86	663	
May 2004	3	13	56	17	89	657	
May 2003	2	9	49	20	80	659	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s)	:
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	97% 94%	51% 58% 44%	2% 8% 5%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% 13% 9%

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	13	36	50	2	101	714	
May 2004	3	34	45	7	89	722	
May 2003	6	48	37	5	96	715	

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public			
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	87% 83%	79% 75%	33% 32%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%	

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	ť*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	90	<b>87</b> %	<b>79</b> %	33%	103	83%	75%	32%
Female	44	93%	84%	39%	53	85%	83%	47%
Male	46	80%	74%	28%	50	82%	66%	16%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				•••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	86	-	-	-	103	83%	75%	32%
Small Group Totals	90	87%	79%	33%				
General-Education Students	78	94%	87%	38%	81	94%	86%	41%
Students with Disabilities	12	42%	25%	0%	22	45%	32%	0%
English Proficient	90	87%	79%	33%	103	83%	75%	32%
Limited English Proficient	••••••						•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	25	80%	76%	20%				
Not Disadvantaged	65	89%	80%	38%			•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	90	87%	79%	33%		•••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ʻt*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	ıblic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	84% 72%	76% 50%	29% 8%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	·t*		2001 Cohort*				
_	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	90	84%	76%	<b>29</b> %	103	72%	<b>50%</b>	8%
Female	44	91%	80%	30%	53	75%	55%	13%
Male	46	78%	72%	28%	50	68%	46%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				
Pacific Islander								
White	86	-	-	-	103	72%	50%	8%
Small Group Totals	90	84%	76%	29%				
General-Education Students	78	91%	82%	33%	81	85%	62%	10%
Students with Disabilities	12	42%	33%	0%	22	23%	9%	0%
English Proficient	90	84%	76%	29%	103	72%	50%	8%
Limited English Proficient	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	25	76%	68%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	65	88%	78%	34%		•••••		
Migrant								
Not Migrant	90	84%	76%	29%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 <b>Coho</b> r	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

## **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

#### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:

3%

2%


4% 6%

3%

0%

6%

4%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	90	88%	3%	0%	4%	4%
	2001	103	83%	2%	3%	6%	6%
Female	2002	44	93%	0%	0%	2%	5%
	2001	53	87%	0%	6%	4%	4%
Male	2002	46	83%	7%	0%	7%	4%
	2001	50	80%	4%	0%	8%	8%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	4	-				
- F							
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	r	_		( ) · · · ·			,
White	2002	86	_	_	_	_	
	2001	103	83%	2%	3%	6%	6%
Small Group Totals	2002	90	88%	3%	0%	4%	4%
			00/0	• • • •			
General-Education Students	2002	78	94%	0%	0%	1%	5%
	2001	81	89%	0%	4%	5%	2%
Students with Disabilities	2002	12	50%	25%	0%	25%	0%
	2001	22	64%	9%	0%	9%	18%
English Proficient	2002	90	88%	3%	0%	4%	4%
J	2001	103	83%	2%	3%	6%	6%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	25	84%	4%	0%	4%	8%
2 · · · · · ·							
Not Disadvantaged	2002	65	89%	3%	0%	5%	3%
Migraph	2002	0	NI / A	NI / A	NI / A	NI / A	NI / A
Migrant	2002	U	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	90	88%	3%	0%	4%	4%


#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	103	87%	3%	3%	0%	7%
Female	53	89%	0%	6%	0%	6%
Male	50	86%	6%	0%	0%	8%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	103	87%	3%	3%	0%	7%
Small Group Totals		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	81	93%	0%	4%	0%	4%
Students with Disabilities	22	68%	14%	0%	0%	18%
English Proficient	103	87%	3%	3%	0%	7%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	27	74%	4%	4%	0%	19%
Not Disadvantaged	76	92%	3%	3%	0%	3%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	103	87%	3%	3%	0%	7%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.