

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 132201040000
Superintendent R. LLOYD JAEGER
Telephone (845) 677-4200
Grades K-12

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

**3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## **District Profile**

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	88	82	75
Grade 1	82	84	80
Grade 2	79	85	90
Grade 3	83	80	91
Grade 4	98	84	83
Grade 5	112	98	86
Grade 6	96	108	105
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	109	102	106
Grade 8	105	114	109
Grade 9	87	97	127
Grade 10	96	82	100
Grade 11	64	88	79
Grade 12	99	67	82
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	1198	1171	1213

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	23	22	22
Grade 8			
English	26	22	27
Mathematics	22	22	27
Science	29	24	27
Social Studies	27	23	26
Grade 10			
English	21	20	24
Mathematics	20	20	22
Science	31	21	23
Social Studies		21	23

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	80	7%	93	8%	79	7%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	31	3%	36	3%	43	4%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	17	1%	33	3%	19	2%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	
Black or African American	29	2%	24	2%	30	2%	
Hispanic or Latino	51	4%	56	5%	71	6%	
Asian or Native	16	1%	15	1%	17	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1102	92%	1076	92%	1094	90%	

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	59	N/A	64	5%	30	3%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	236	266	393
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	2%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	1	0
Percentage of Total	2%	1%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	9%	10%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	93	90	91
Total Other Professional Staff	13	8	9
Total Paraprofessionals*	23	21	20
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	3	3	3

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

### **Overall Accountability** Status (2006-07)

### **Good Standing**

Element	tary	/Middle Level	Secondary Level		
ELA		Good Standing	ELA		Good Standing
Math	<b>.</b>	Good Standing	Math	••••	Good Standing
Science	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	••••	Good Standing

### **Title I Part A Funding**

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	NO	NO			

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	diddle Level		Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native							
Black or African American	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		_	••••••	_	_	•••••••	
White	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	•••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	_	_	•••••••••	_	- -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Economically Disadvantaged	~	<b>V</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_ _	- -	••••••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	

### **AYP Status**

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

#### Federal State Good Standing 🔥 Good Standing

Improvement (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) ∧ Improvement (Year 3) 🔨

Improvement (Year 4) ∧

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [204]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	P Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (604:585)	✓	<u> </u>	98%	<u> </u>	173	117	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (24:20)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (28:26)	_	_	-	_	_		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:12)	_	_	_	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
White (539:527)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	98%	<b>/</b>	172	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (139:94)	X	X	89%	<b>V</b>	124	112		
Limited English Proficient (12:10)	_	_	_	_	-	_	•••••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (65:63)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	163	110	•••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 4							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [204]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (605:585)	<b>V</b>	<u>/</u>	98%	<u>/</u>	171	81		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (24:21)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Hispanic or Latino (27:24)	_	_	-	_	-	_	•••••••	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:12)	_	_	_	_	- -	_	•••••••	<u> </u>
White (542:528)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	<b>/</b>	173	81	•••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (142:97)	X	X	92%	~	123	76		
Limited English Proficient (11:9)	_	-	_	_	-	-	•••••••	_
Economically Disadvantaged (65:62)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	98%	~	152	74	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>X</b> 3 of 4	1						

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)		Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

AYP		Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Safe Harbor		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target	
<b>V</b>	Qualified	· /	97%	<u> </u>	187	100		
	-	-	-	-	_	<u> </u>	_	
	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	
					••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Qualified	<b>~</b>	97%	~	188	100	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	_	_	_	_	-	_	_	
•••••••	_	_	-	_	_	-	–	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification  Qualified  - Qualified  - Qualified  -	Safe Harbor Status Qualification  Qualified	Safe Harbor Status Qualification	Safe Harbor Status Qualification  Qualified  Met Criterion Tested Criterion  Percentage Criterion	Safe Harbor Status Qualification  Qualified  Met Criterion Tested  Percentage Tested Criterion  Performance Index  187  187  Qualified  Qualifi	Safe Harbor Status   Qualification   Criterion   Tested   Criterion   Index   State Standard	

#### **AYP Status**





✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
  - Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (83:80)	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	189	143		2000 0/	
Ethnicity								,	
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)	-	_	-	_	-	-	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (9:7)	_	_	-	_	-		_	_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	_	-	_	_		_	
White (71:70)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	189	143	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	*** ***********************************	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (10:8)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (3:4)	_ _	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 2 of 2								

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)		Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>V</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	n <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>			<b>Performance Objectives</b>			
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target		
All Students (83:80)	✓	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	193	135		2000 07		
Ethnicity								,		
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)										
Black or African American (2:2)	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_		
					_		-	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	-	_	_	-	-	_	_		
White (71:70)	<b>/</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	191	135	•••••••	••••		
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (10:8)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	_		
Economically Disadvantaged (3:4)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	-	_		
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2									

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

#### **NOTES**

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
		The Book will be a local to the state of the

**Prospective Status** 

This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (73)	~	<b>V</b>	86%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)								
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (2)	• • • • • • • •	_	-	<del>-</del>	-	- -		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		_	-	-	_	-		
White (69)	• • • • • • • • •	~	88%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (6)		-	-	-	-	-		
Limited English Proficient (0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (6)		_		-	_			
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b>	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# **Graduation Rate Information**

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## **School Accountability Status**

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### **New York State Status**



### **Good Standing**

3 schools identified 100% of total

ALDEN PLACE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ELM DRIVE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MILLBROOK MIDDLE SCHOOL

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Summary of 2005–06 District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage o	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	84%		91
Grade 4	80%		79
Grade 5	87%		■ 85
Grade 6	75%		102
Grade 7	72%		109
Grade 8	62%		108
Mathematics			
Grade 3	91%		92
Grade 4	89%		■ 80
Grade 5	80%		86
Grade 6	73%		103
Grade 7	62%		111
Grade 8	65%		106
Science			
Grade 4	96%		78
Grade 8	79%		107
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	87%		84
Mathematics	90%		84
	Percentage o		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	89%	l	■ 84

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05	2004–05 School Year						
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	91	96%	84%	4%						
Female	53	94%	81%	4%						
Male	38	97%	87%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	5	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	67%	17%	• • • • • • •					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	_						
White	76	95%	83%	4%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals	9	100%	100%	0%						
General-Education Students	81	98%	90%	5%						
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	30%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
English Proficient	89	-	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	2		_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	12	83%	75%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	79	97%	85%	5%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	91	96%	84%	4%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0			· ·		st was not giv		)4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	92	100%	91%	14%					
Female	54	100%	91%	9%					
Male	38	100%	92%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	5	_		_					
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	0%	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	- -	<u> </u>					
White	77	100%	92%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	0%					
General-Education Students	82	100%	91%	16%					
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	90%	0%	•••••				
English Proficient	90	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	- -	- -	•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	67%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	80	100%	95%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	92	100%	91%	14%	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-0	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	79	95%	80%	19%						
Female	31	97%	94%	35%						
Male	48	94%	71%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_		_						
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	<del></del>	<del>-</del>	New	assessments fo	or elementa	ary-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••	and r	middle-level En	ıglish langu	iage		
Pacific Islander					arts a	arts and mathematics were				
White	75	-	-	_		nistered in 200				
Small Group Totals	79	95%	80%	19%		e assessments (		•		
General-Education Students	68	99%	88%	21%		pared to results	•	iously		
Students with Disabilities	11	73%	27%	9%	admi	nistered assess	ments.			
English Proficient	77	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2	_	- -							
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	62%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged	66	94%	83%	20%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	79	95%	80%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	<u> </u>	_	1	-			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	1	-	-	N/A	1	-	-	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		20	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Tot	al	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tes	sted	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	80	99%	89%	34%						
Female	32	100%	91%	41%						
Male	48	98%	88%	29%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	-	_		New asse	ssments for	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were				
Pacific Islander										
White	77	-	-	_			ered in 2000			
Small Group Totals	80	99%	89%	34%			essments c		,	
General-Education Students	68	99%	94%	38%		•	d to results ered assessr	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	58%	8%		aummiste	reu assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	78	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	2		_	<del></del>	• • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	92%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged	67	99%	88%	37%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	80	99%	89%	34%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			2				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2				2			_	

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	78	100%	96%	59%	76	100%	100%	63%	
Female	32	100%	97%	66%	32	100%	100%	66%	
Male	46	100%	96%	54%	44	100%	100%	61%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	<del>-</del>	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del>-</del>	
Hispanic or Latino	2		_	<del>-</del>	3		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	4	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	
Pacific Islander					4	_	_ 	_	
White	75	-	_	-	68	100%	100%	68%	
Small Group Totals	78	100%	96%	59%	8	100%	100%	25%	
General-Education Students	66	100%	98%	64%	65	100%	100%	68%	
Students with Disabilities	12	100%	83%	33%	11	100%	100%	36%	
English Proficient	76	_	_	_	72	-	_	_	
Limited English Proficient	2	_	<del></del>	_	4		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<del></del>	
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	92%	54%	7	100%	100%	29%	
Not Disadvantaged	65	100%	97%	60%	69	100%	100%	67%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	78	100%	96%	59%	76	100%	100%	63%	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	2004-05 School Year							
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	1				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1			_	1	_		_	

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	85	100%	87%	15%					
Female	36	100%	89%	25%					
Male	49	100%	86%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	-	_					
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	_	_	<u> </u>					
White	76	100%	 88%	16%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	9	100%	78%	11%					
General-Education Students	76	100%	88%	17%					
Students with Disabilities	9	100%	78%	0%					
English Proficient	83	_	_	_					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	89%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	76	100%	87%	17%	•••••	•••••	•••••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	85	100%	87%	15%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4  3-4  4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-		<u>4</u> –		2–4 was not giv		4)4-05.	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004–05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	86	98%	80%	17%					
Female	37	97%	81%	19%					
Male	49	98%	80%	16%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	4		_	_	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4			_					
Pacific Islander	4		_ 	_ 					
White	77	99%	84%	18%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	44%	11%					
General-Education Students	76	100%	86%	20%					
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	40%	0%					
English Proficient	84	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	2		_	<u> </u>					
Economically Disadvantaged	10	90%	50%	10%					
Not Disadvantaged	76	99%	84%	18%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	86	98%	80%	17%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> d	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	School Year	ı			
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	102	99%	75%	17%					
Female	48	98%	81%	29%					
Male	54	100%	69%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_		_	• • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	<del></del>	_					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Pacific Islander									
White	95	99%	75%	17%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	ŀ-05.	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	14%					
General-Education Students	82	99%	84%	21%					
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	35%	0%	• • • • • • •				
English Proficient	100	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • •				
Economically Disadvantaged	15	100%	67%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	87	99%	76%	20%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	102	99%	75%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):  Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	9	9	9	9		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	ercentage scoring at le		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	103	94%	73%	5%					
Female	49	92%	69%	2%					
Male	54	96%	76%	7%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_	_	_					
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	·····	_					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	3	••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander		_	_ 						
White	96	94%	75%	5%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	43%	0%					
General-Education Students	82	99%	82%	6%					
Students with Disabilities	21	76%	38%	0%					
English Proficient	101	-	-	-					
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_					
Economically Disadvantaged	15	93%	40%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	88	94%	78%	6%		••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	103	94%	73%	5%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year	2004-05 School Year					
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	9	9	9	7	This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent								

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	109	97%	72%	7%					
Female	50	98%	72%	12%					
Male	59	97%	71%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	1	_			••••				
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	·····		•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	4	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Pacific Islander	1	_	_ 	_ 					
White	105	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	109	97%	72%	7%					
General-Education Students	96	99%	78%	8%					
Students with Disabilities	13	85%	23%	0%	••••				
English Proficient	109	97%	72%	7%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	5	80%	40%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	104	98%	73%	8%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	109	97%	72%	7%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0			· ·	Tested This tes	st was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004–05 School Year						
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring at le					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	111	96%	62%	14%							
Female	52	96%	60%	15%							
Male	59	97%	64%	14%			••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	1	_	_	-							
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	-	••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1			_							
Pacific Islander		 	_ 	_ 							
White	107	_	_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals	111	96%	62%	14%							
General-Education Students	96	100%	69%	17%							
Students with Disabilities	15	73%	20%	0%							
English Proficient	111	96%	62%	14%							
Limited English Proficient		••••	•••••	••••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	5	100%	80%	20%							
Not Disadvantaged	106	96%	61%	14%	••••••	••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	111	96%	62%	14%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	2004-05 School Year						
Assassments	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year						
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s						
Student Group	Tested <b>108</b>	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		98%	62%	8%							
Female	60	100%	73%	12%							
Male	48	96%	48%	4%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	5	100%	20%	0%							
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	0%	New ass	New assessments for elementary-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were						
Pacific Islander											
White	97	98%	63%	9%		administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	•••••	••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		ssessments c		•			
General-Education Students	92	100%	70%	10%		ed to results tered assessr	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	16	88%	19%	0%	auminis	tereu assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	106	_	_	-							
Limited English Proficient	2			- -	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	9	100%	56%	11%							
Not Disadvantaged	99	98%	63%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	108	98%	62%	8%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			Number scoring at level(s):  2-4 3-4 4			
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-		<u>4</u> –	Tested 3	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				1	-	-	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2-4 3-4 4			
All Students	<b>106</b> 59	92%	65%	5%				
Female		93%	68%	7%				
Male	47	91%	62%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	40%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	83%	33%	0%	New assessments for elementary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	••••	•••••••		and middle-level English language			
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were			
White	95	93%	68%	5%	administered in 2006. Results from			
Small Group Totals					these assessments cannot be directly			
General-Education Students	91	98%	74%	5%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.			
Students with Disabilities	15	60%	13%	0%	administered assessments.			
English Proficient	104	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	_		_				
Economically Disadvantaged	9	78%	22%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	97	94%	69%	5%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	106	92%	65%	5%				

#### NOTES

Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s	):
Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4	4
zment 2 3	-
ment	<u>4</u> –

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	ichool Yea	r	
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	107	98%	79%	19%	112	97%	81%	22%
Female	59	98%	75%	20%	65	97%	80%	14%
Male	48	98%	85%	17%	47	98%	83%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	5	100%	60%	0%	2	-	_	-
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	67%	17%	9	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	•••••	•••••
Pacific Islander								
White	96	98%	81%	20%	101	97%	81%	24%
Small Group Totals					11	100%	82%	9%
General-Education Students	92	100%	87%	22%	100	98%	83%	25%
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	33%	0%	12	92%	67%	0%
English Proficient	105	-	_	-	111	-	_	-
Limited English Proficient	2	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	9	89%	56%	0%	9	100%	89%	11%
Not Disadvantaged	98	99%	82%	20%	103	97%	81%	23%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	107	98%	79%	19%	112	97%	81%	22%


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year					ı		
_	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	s): Total Number scoring at l			level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2				4	-	-		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2		_	-	4			_	
Regents Science	0				0				


District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 2 8 48 25 678 14 2 24 56 96 Feb 2004 663 Feb 2003 1 16 50 30 97 674




	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	41	62	9	114	705
Jan 2004	5	58	31	7	101	695
Jan 2003	2	37	48	9	96	703


District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 0 4 37 42 675 May 2005 0 55 37 98 670 May 2004 6 May 2003 3 5 50 41 99 674



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	8	33	64	9	114	724
May 2004	7	35	49	9	100	721
May 2003	7	30	52	5	94	722

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	88%	87%	37%	77	87%	84%	34%
Female	40	90%	88%	50%	38	87%	84%	55%
Male	44	86%	86%	25%	39	87%	85%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	8	88%	88%	25%	2	_	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	71	90%	90%	39%	73	_	- -	<del></del>
Small Group Totals	5	60%	40%	20%	77	87%	84%	34%
General-Education Students	76	91%	91%	41%	72	92%	89%	36%
Students with Disabilities	8	63%	50%	0%	5	20%	20%	0%
English Proficient	82	_	_	-	77	87%	84%	34%
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	5	40%	40%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	79	91%	90%	39%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant	1	-	-	-				
Not Migrant	83	_	_	_	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohort* 2001 Cohort*								
Assossments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	84	94%	90%	13%	77	86%	82%	18%
Female	40	98%	95%	18%	38	87%	84%	26%
Male	44	91%	86%	9%	39	85%	79%	10%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	4	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	100%	25%	2	_		<del></del>
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	71	94%	90%	11%	73	_	<b></b>	<del></del>
Small Group Totals	5	80%	80%	20%	77	86%	82%	18%
General-Education Students	76	96%	92%	14%	72	90%	88%	19%
Students with Disabilities	8	75%	75%	0%	5	20%	0%	0%
English Proficient	82	-	-	-	77	86%	82%	18%
Limited English Proficient	2	_	_	_	•••••		•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	5	80%	60%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	79	95%	92%	14%	••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••
Migrant	1	_	_	-				
Not Migrant	83	_	_	-	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	2001 Cohort*						
Assessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessifients	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	84	89%	2%	4%	1%	4%
	2001	77	86%	1%	6%	5%	1%
Female	2002	40	93%	5%	3%	0%	0%
	2001	38	87%	0%	8%	3%	3%
Male	2002	44	86%	0%	5%	2%	7%
	2001	39	85%	3%	5%	8%	0%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	4	_	_	_	_	_
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	8	88%	0%	0%	0%	13%
	2001	2	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	71	92%	3%	3%	1%	1%
	2001	73	_	_	_		_
Small Group Totals	2002	5	60%	0%	20%	0%	20%
•	2001	77	86%	1%	6%	5%	1%
General-Education Students	2002	76	91%	0%	4%	1%	4%
	2001	72	92%	0%	7%	0%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	8	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	5	0%	20%	0%	80%	0%
English Proficient	2002	82	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	77	86%	1%	6%	5%	1%
Limited English Proficient	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	5	60%	0%	0%	20%	20%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	79	91%	3%	4%	0%	3%
Migrant	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
Not Migrant	2002	83	_			_	_

#### **NOTES**

District MILLBROOK CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	78	87%	5%	6%	0%	1%
Female	38	87%	3%	8%	0%	3%
Male	40	88%	8%	5%	0%	0%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	_	_		_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	2	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	74	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	78	87%	5%	6%	0%	1%
General-Education Students	72	92%	0%	7%	0%	1%
Students with Disabilities	6	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%
English Proficient	78	87%	5%	6%	0%	1%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	6	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	72	86%	6%	7%	0%	1%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	78	87%	5%	6%	0%	1%

#### NOTES