

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District ID 140203060000
Superintendent HOWARD SMITH
Telephone (716) 626-8005
Grades K-12

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

### Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

**3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## **District Profile**

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### **Enrollment**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	709	741	722
Grade 1	727	706	731
Grade 2	740	743	731
Grade 3	799	774	744
Grade 4	812	815	789
Grade 5	861	792	827
Grade 6	818	873	798
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	915	810	888
Grade 8	948	933	815
Grade 9	884	919	939
Grade 10	801	898	923
Grade 11	870	792	899
Grade 12	876	861	788
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K-12	10760	10657	10594

# **Enrollment Information**

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	23	23	23
Grade 8			
English	22	23	21
Mathematics	22	23	21
Science	23	23	22
Social Studies	23	24	22
Grade 10			
English	22	22	22
Mathematics	22	22	23
Science	20	21	22
Social Studies	22	21	21

# **Average Class Size Information**

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **District Profile**

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	435	4%	461	4%	507	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	238	2%	268	3%	293	3%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	178	2%	207	2%	222	2%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	35	0%	23	0%	31	0%
Black or African American	362	3%	366	3%	361	3%
Hispanic or Latino	120	1%	131	1%	135	1%
Asian or Native	726	7%	769	7%	809	8%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	9517	88%	9368	88%	9258	87%

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2002-03		2003	-04	200	4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	238	N/A	239	2%	259	2%

# Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

# Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **District Profile**

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	2644	2527	3197
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	5%	4%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	5	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	16	3	5
Percentage of Total	2%	0%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	17%	15%	15%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	809	813	825
Total Other Professional Staff	80	81	81
Total Paraprofessionals*	288	288	297
Assistant Principals	10	10	10
Principals	13	13	13

<sup>\*</sup> Not available at the school level.

# **Teacher Qualifications Information**

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

# Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

### **A Participation Criterion**

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A Participation Criterion**

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### **Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)**

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### **Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)**

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## **Effective Annual Measurable Objective** (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Performance Index (PI)**

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100  $\times$  [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### **New York State Status**

(Applies to New York State districts)

### District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

### District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

### ♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Summary**

## Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

### Good Standing

Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level	
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA Good Standing	
Math	<b>♠</b> Good Standing	Math	•••••••
Science	♠ Good Standing	Graduation Rate 🔥 Good Standing	

### Title I Part A Funding

### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

<u></u>					
2004-05 2005-06		2006-07			
YES	YES	YES			

## On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>V</b>	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_		
Black or African American	~	<b>~</b>	••••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	~	~	••••••••	_	_	•••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••••	
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		
Limited English Proficient	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>	••••••••			•••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	•••••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	<b>✓</b> 5 of 5	<b>✓</b> 5 of 5	<b>✓</b> 1 of 1	

### AYP Status

Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Accountability Status Levels**

# Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

Improvement (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 
Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>✓</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
<b>All Students</b> (4887:4831)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u> </u>	181	120		<u> </u>
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (158:155)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	148	114	••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino (67:64)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	177	110	•••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (357:338)	<b>'</b>	<b>/</b>	99%	~	189	116	•••••••	•••
White (4293:4263)	<b>~</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	182	120	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (626:619)	<b>/</b>	<b>✓</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	122	118		
Limited English Proficient (102:54)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	96%	~	170	109	•••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (250:244)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	~	162	115	•••••••	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8							

### NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	8 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

_	AYP Partici		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	r Target
All Students (4895:4825)	V	<u> </u>	100%	<u>/</u>	189	84		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (160:154)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	99%	<b>V</b>	154	78	••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino (67:64)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	175	74	••••••••	•••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (358:339)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	196	80	•••••••	•••
White (4298:4257)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	190	84	••••••••	•••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (627:618)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	143	82		
Limited English Proficient (71:59)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	161	73	•••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (251:243)	<b>V</b>	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	174	79	•••••••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 8 of 8							

### **AYP Status**



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005-06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Safe Harbor		Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005-06 2006-07
<b>All Students</b> (1616:1576)	V	Qualified	·	99%	<u> </u>	196	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (6:5)		_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (65:59)		Qualified		100%	~	180	100	•
Hispanic or Latino (22:20)		_	_	-	_	_	<u> </u>	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (104:98)		Qualified		100%	~	197	100	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
White (1419:1394)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>~</b>	99%	~	197	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (212:202)		Qualified	<b>V</b>	96%	~	181	100	
Limited English Proficient (25:19)		_	_	_	_	-	_	-
Economically Disadvantaged (83:79)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<b>/</b>	99%	~	189	100	•

#### **AYP Status**



Made AYF



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<b>~</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?


	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	on <sup>2</sup> Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performa	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target	
All Students (791:769)	✓ ✓	<u>✓</u>	99%	<u>/</u>	195	150	2003 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity								1	
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Black or African American (28:28)	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Hispanic or Latino (6:8)		_	_	_	_	_		_	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (59:52)		<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	198	141	•••••••	•••	
White (696:679)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	196	150		•••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (85:86)	<b>V</b>	<b>✓</b>	96%	<b>/</b>	159	143			
Limited English Proficient (7:7)	- -	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	
Economically Disadvantaged (46:44)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	189	139	••••••••	•••	
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 5 of 5								

#### **AYP Status**









**Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	5 of 5	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<b>/</b>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]


### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation <sup>2</sup>		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (791:769)	✓ ✓	✓	100%	✓ Criterion	197	142	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (28:28)	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:8)	- -	_	_	_	_	–		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (59:52)		<b>/</b>	100%	~	200	133	•••••••	•••
White (696:679)	<b>/</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>/</b>	197	142		•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (85:86)	<b>/</b>	<b>✓</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	173	135		
Limited English Proficient (7:7)	- -	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged (46:44)	<b>V</b>	<b>/</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	189	131	••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	<b>✓</b> 5 of 5							

#### **AYP Status**







 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator	^	Good Standing
(2006-07) Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
Accountability Measures	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives				
<b>Student Group</b>		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target		
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07		
All Students (849)	~	<b>~</b>	93%	55%				
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	_	-		
Black or African American (34)	• • • • • • • •	<b>/</b>	88%	55%				
Hispanic or Latino (5)		_	-	_	_	_		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (48)		<b>/</b>	94%	55%				
White (761)		<b>'</b>	93%	55%				
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (96)		~	72%	55%				
Limited English Proficient (9)		-	-	-	_	-		
Economically Disadvantaged (43)		<b>/</b>	72%	55%				
Final AYP Determination	1	of 1						

#### NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- <sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

# **Graduation Rate Information**

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## **School Accountability Status**

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006-07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

#### **New York State Status**

### **Good Standing**

13 schools identified 100% of total

CASEY MIDDLE SCHOOL

COUNTRY PARKWAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

DODGE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

FOREST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

HEIM ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

HEIM MIDDLE SCHOOL

MAPLE EAST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MAPLE WEST ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

MILL MIDDLE SCHOOL

TRANSIT MIDDLE SCHOOL

WILLIAMSVILLE EAST HIGH SCHOOL

WILLIAMSVILLE NORTH HIGH SCHOOL

WILLIAMSVILLE SOUTH HIGH SCHOOL

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# **Summary of 2005–06 District Performance**

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of scored at or	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	88%	l .	727
Grade 4	84%		
Grade 5	87%		796
Grade 6	84%		<b>■</b> 792
Grade 7	81%		885
Grade 8	76%		805
Mathematics			
Grade 3	94%		742
Grade 4	92%		791
Grade 5	89%		818
Grade 6	87%		797
Grade 7	89%		895
Grade 8	91%		812
Science			
Grade 4	96%		785
Grade 8	96%		631
	_	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	92%		804
Mathematics	94%		804
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	92%	l l	804

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


### This District's N/RC Category:

### **Low Need Districts**

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	727	97%	88%	14%						
Female	371	98%	89%	19%						
Male	356	96%	86%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	27	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	4			- -	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	60	97%	90%	18%						
White	636	98%	88%	15%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	31	87%	74%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students	653	100%	93%	16%						
Students with Disabilities	74	76%	39%	0%	•••••					
English Proficient	727	97%	88%	14%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	54	93%	74%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged	673	97%	89%	15%	••••••	••••				
Migrant										
Not Migrant	727	97%	88%	14%	••••••	•••••				


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total Tested	Number sc	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	7	7	7	7	This tes	st was not given in 2004-05.		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	17	11	11	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	742	99%	94%	44%						
Female	374	99%	95%	44%						
Male	368	99%	93%	44%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	27	_		<u> </u>						
Hispanic or Latino	4	_		<del>-</del>	•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	70	100%	93%	49%						
White	641	99%	95%	44%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	31	97%	74%	32%						
General-Education Students	664	100%	97%	48%						
Students with Disabilities	78	95%	67%	12%						
English Proficient	725	99%	95%	45%						
Limited English Proficient	17	100%	65%	12%						
Economically Disadvantaged	61	98%	84%	26%						
Not Disadvantaged	681	99%	95%	45%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	742	99%	94%	44%	•••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

2005-06 <b>S</b> d	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
6	6	5	4	This test	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.
	Total	Total Number sco Tested 2-4	Tested 2–4 3–4	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):					
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4					
All Students	771	96%	84%	16%						
Female	382	98%	90%	23%						
Male	389	94%	79%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-						
Black or African American	27	85%	52%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino 11 New assessments for elements										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	49	98%	94%	31%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	681	96%	85%	16%	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	14	100%	86%	21%	these assessments cannot be directly					
General-Education Students	672	99%	91%	19%	compared to results from previously					
Students with Disabilities	99	74%	38%	1%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient	770	_	_	-						
Limited English Proficient	1	_		_						
Economically Disadvantaged	57	95%	70%	2%						
Not Disadvantaged	714	96%	85%	18%						
Migrant										
Not Migrant	771	96%	84%	16%						


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2–4 3–4 4				Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	6	6	6	5	4	-		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	17	17	14	N/A	21	19	16	N/A

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 S	2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	: level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	791	97%	92%	43%							
Female	392	98%	94%	43%							
Male	399	96%	91%	43%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	-	-	-							
Black or African American	28	82%	57%	7%							
Hispanic or Latino	12	<del>-</del>	<del></del>	<del></del>	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	59	98%	97%	61%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were						
White	689	98%	94%	43%	administ	administered in 2006. Results from					
Small Group Totals	15	93%	73%	27%		sessments c		,			
General-Education Students	686	100%	97%	48%		d to results	•	ously			
Students with Disabilities	105	82%	65%	10%	administ	ered assessi	nents.				
English Proficient	772	97%	93%	43%							
Limited English Proficient	19	89%	74%	26%							
Economically Disadvantaged	61	93%	80%	20%							
Not Disadvantaged	730	98%	93%	45%		••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	791	97%	92%	43%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	7	7	4	· ·	4			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	ľ	ľ	4	3	4			_

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	785	99%	96%	72%	814	99%	95%	67%	
Female	391	99%	97%	73%	396	99%	94%	63%	
Male	394	99%	96%	71%	418	99%	95%	71%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Black or African American	31	90%	74%	23%	29	90%	79%	31%	
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	58	100%	97%	83%	58	98%	93%	66%	
White	684	100%	97%	74%	714	100%	95%	69%	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	58%	13	100%	100%	38%	
General-Education Students	689	100%	98%	77%	725	100%	98%	72%	
Students with Disabilities	96	96%	88%	39%	89	94%	70%	26%	
English Proficient	767	99%	97%	72%	792	99%	95%	67%	
Limited English Proficient	18	100%	89%	67%	22	100%	86%	50%	
Economically Disadvantaged	59	100%	86%	44%	61	97%	84%	41%	
Not Disadvantaged	726	99%	97%	74%	753	99%	95%	69%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	785	99%	96%	72%	814	99%	95%	67%	

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	5	_	4	2	4			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	5	5	4	2	4	_		_

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	796	98%	87%	23%					
Female	389	98%	88%	25%					
Male	407	98%	85%	21%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American	26	96%	69%	15%					
Hispanic or Latino	12	_	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	42	100%	95%	29%					
White	715	98%	87%	23%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004		
Small Group Totals	13	92%	69%	23%					
General-Education Students	691	100%	94%	26%					
Students with Disabilities	105	87%	38%	1%					
English Proficient	796	98%	87%	23%					
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	26	96%	73%	8%					
Not Disadvantaged	770	98%	87%	24%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	796	98%	87%	23%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Number scoring at level(s):  Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	6		was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	17	17	17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	818	97%	89%	33%						
Female	397	97%	88%	31%						
Male	421	97%	90%	34%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-						
Black or African American	27	78%	56%	15%				İ		
Hispanic or Latino	14							İ		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	51	100%	96%	35%				Ì		
White	725	98%	90%	33%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	15	87%	67%	13%				·		
General-Education Students	711	100%	94%	36%						
Students with Disabilities	107	79%	53%	7%				Ì		
English Proficient	799	97%	89%	33%						
Limited English Proficient	19	95%	68%	5%				Ì		
Economically Disadvantaged	34	94%	79%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged	784	97%	89%	33%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	818	97%	89%	33%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	hool Year		2004-05 School Year				
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	6	6	6	6	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	792	98%	84%	26%				
Female	387	99%	88%	31%				
Male	405	98%	81%	21%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	21	86%	57%	14%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	62	98%	89%	34%				
White	697	98%	85%	26%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	
Small Group Totals	12	100%	100%	17%	•••••			
General-Education Students	695	100%	91%	29%				
Students with Disabilities	97	87%	36%	2%	•••••			
English Proficient	792	98%	84%	26%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••	•••••			
Economically Disadvantaged	38	95%	71%	21%				
Not Disadvantaged	754	98%	85%	26%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	792	98%	84%	26%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	J		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	7	5	This tes	test was not given in 2004-05			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	Percentage scoring at level(s): d 2-4 3-4 4				
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	797	97%	87%	34%						
Female	391	98%	87%	33%						
Male	406	97%	86%	35%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-						
Black or African American	21	90%	52%	5%				,		
Hispanic or Latino	11				•••••			,		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	62	100%	98%	48%						
White	701	98%	87%	34%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004			
Small Group Totals	13	92%	69%	8%	•••••			ľ		
General-Education Students	699	100%	93%	37%						
Students with Disabilities	98	83%	44%	9%	•••••					
English Proficient	796	_	_	_						
Limited English Proficient	1		<del></del>	<u> </u>	•••••					
Economically Disadvantaged	39	97%	77%	21%						
Not Disadvantaged	758	97%	87%	35%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	797	97%	87%	34%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	S School Year 2004-05 School Year							
Assassments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total Number scoring at			level(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	7	7	6	6	This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(Trestant) ordate o Equitations									

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	885	99%	81%	16%				
Female	439	99%	82%	21%				
Male	446	98%	80%	11%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	21	95%	48%	10%				
Hispanic or Latino	13	_	- -	_	•••••			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	64	100%	89%	34%				
White	786	98%	82%	15%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals	14	100%	71%	7%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
General-Education Students	784	100%	86%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	101	88%	41%	2%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
English Proficient	885	99%	81%	16%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • •			
Economically Disadvantaged	32	97%	56%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	853	99%	82%	17%	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	885	99%	81%	16%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total						Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	5	This tos	t was not air	on in 200	14.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent					This test was not given in 2004-0				
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	8	7	7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05						
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	895	99%	89%	44%							
Female	445	99%	89%	44%							
Male	450	98%	90%	45%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-							
Black or African American	21	95%	76%	10%							
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-							
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	68	100%	96%	69%				·			
White	791	99%	89%	43%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004				
Small Group Totals	15	100%	100%	40%							
General-Education Students	792	100%	94%	49%							
Students with Disabilities	103	90%	53%	13%				ľ			
English Proficient	887	99%	90%	45%							
Limited English Proficient	8	75%	50%	13%				Ì			
Economically Disadvantaged	33	97%	70%	18%							
Not Disadvantaged	862	99%	90%	45%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	895	99%	89%	44%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> c	hool Year	nool Year 2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number sc							
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	E	This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4.05	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	6	В	ь	3	illis test	This test was not given in 2004-			

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	ı			
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	805	98%	76%	11%						
Female	412	99%	78%	14%						
Male	393	96%	74%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-						
Black or African American	32	84%	47%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino 11 New assessments for ele										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	44	100%	91%	20%	and middle-level English languarts and mathematics were					
White	714	98%	77%	11%	adminis	stered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	15	100%	60%	0%		ssessments c		•		
General-Education Students	705	100%	84%	12%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	100	80%	22%	0%	auminis	tered assess	nents.			
English Proficient	805	98%	76%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	23	91%	52%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged	782	98%	77%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	805	98%	76%	11%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year						
Assessments	Total	Total Total						el(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	4	0						
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent			0					
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	6	4	3	N/A	10	10	9	N/A
Grade 8								

<sup>†</sup> Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	812	98%	91%	37%						
Female	417	98%	93%	37%						
Male	395	98%	89%	37%		•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native	4	-	-	-						
Black or African American	32	88%	66%	13%						
Hispanic or Latino	ispanic or Latino 11 New assessments for eleme									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	100%	96%	59%	and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were					
White	719	98%	92%	37%	administ	stered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	15	93%	73%	27%		sessments c		,		
General-Education Students	713	100%	97%	42%		ed to results	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	99	84%	47%	2%	administ	tered assessi	ments.			
English Proficient	805	98%	91%	37%						
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	57%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Economically Disadvantaged	23	83%	74%	17%						
Not Disadvantaged	789	98%	91%	38%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	812	98%	91%	37%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> 0	5-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	0			

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ır	scoring at level(s):				
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	631	99%	96%	62%	731	100%	97%	69%				
Female	314	99%	95%	54%	367	100%	97%	65%				
Male	317	99%	97%	70%	364	100%	96%	74%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	4			-	1							
Black or African American	32	100%	88%	41%	30	100%	97%	60%				
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	_	-	14	-	_	_				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	100%	93%	71%	29	100%	100%	72%				
White	556	99%	96%	63%	657	100%	96%	70%				
Small Group Totals	15	100%	93%	40%	15	100%	100%	60%				
General-Education Students	531	99%	98%	69%	615	100%	99%	77%				
Students with Disabilities	100	98%	81%	24%	116	98%	84%	28%				
English Proficient	624	99%	96%	63%	721	100%	97%	70%				
Limited English Proficient	7	100%	71%	14%	10	100%	90%	40%				
Economically Disadvantaged	23	100%	91%	43%	84	99%	93%	54%				
Not Disadvantaged	608	99%	96%	63%	647	100%	97%	71%				
Migrant												
Not Migrant	631	99%	96%	62%	731	100%	97%	69%				


#### NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 <b>S</b> d	hool Year			
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_		_	0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									
Regents Science	183	183	183	174	199	199	199	189	


District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 22 102 409 256 789 680 121 467 193 797 Feb 2004 16 672 Feb 2003 15 125 419 284 843 677




	Number o	f students sco				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	14	215	554	146	929	713
Jan 2004	15	223	509	187	934	716
Jan 2003	16	228	485	140	869	711


District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 9 22 471 814 683 May 2005 48 320 430 806 May 2004 8 682 May 2003 6 39 365 438 848 681



	Number o	of students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	22	62	477	375	936	751
May 2004	20	63	475	386	944	752
May 2003	26	89	449	314	878	749

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	804	94%	92%	52%	875	94%	94%	57%
Female	403	95%	93%	56%	435	96%	96%	65%
Male	401	93%	92%	47%	440	93%	92%	49%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	_	-	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	28	82%	75%	7%	38	95%	92%	32%
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	_	-	6	_	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	93%	91%	62%	52	92%	92%	67%
White	711	95%	93%	53%	778	95%	94%	57%
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	20%	7	86%	86%	43%
General-Education Students	712	97%	97%	57%	772	98%	98%	62%
Students with Disabilities	92	67%	55%	8%	103	68%	64%	16%
English Proficient	796	94%	93%	52%	862	94%	94%	57%
Limited English Proficient	8	63%	50%	0%	13	92%	92%	31%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	93%	87%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	758	94%	92%	54%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	804	94%	92%	52%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••


#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
Accessments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	_
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	6	6	О	O	6	6	6	3

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	804	95%	94%	59%	875	94%	93%	49%
Female	403	96%	96%	61%	435	96%	95%	53%
Male	401	94%	93%	58%	440	92%	91%	45%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	1	-	_	_
Black or African American	28	82%	79%	21%	38	87%	87%	21%
Hispanic or Latino	8	_	-	-	6	_	-	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	55	96%	96%	80%	52	96%	96%	71%
White	711	95%	95%	60%	778	94%	93%	49%
Small Group Totals	10	100%	100%	10%	7	86%	86%	29%
General-Education Students	712	98%	98%	64%	772	97%	97%	54%
Students with Disabilities	92	71%	67%	23%	103	71%	68%	13%
English Proficient	796	95%	94%	60%	862	94%	93%	49%
_imited English Proficient	8	100%	100%	25%	13	100%	100%	38%
Economically Disadvantaged	46	93%	91%	37%				
Not Disadvantaged	758	95%	94%	61%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	804	95%	94%	59%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	•••••

#### NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	t <u>*</u>			2001 Cohort*			
Assassments	Number	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students 2-4 3-4		4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	5	5	6	6	5	5
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0	U	3	J	0	O	J	

<sup>\*</sup> A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	804	92%	1%	2%	4%	1%
	2001	875	91%	1%	3%	3%	2%
Female	2002	403	95%	1%	2%	2%	0%
	2001	435	95%	0%	2%	2%	0%
Male	2002	401	88%	1%	2%	6%	2%
	2001	440	87%	1%	5%	5%	3%
American Indian	2002	2	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	28	68%	4%	4%	25%	0%
African American	2001	38	82%	0%	8%	8%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	8	_	_	_		
•	2001	6	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	55	93%	0%	4%	2%	2%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	52	92%	0%	0%	8%	0%
White	2002	711	93%	1%	2%	3%	1%
	2001	778	92%	1%	3%	3%	2%
Small Group Totals	2002	10	80%	0%	10%	10%	0%
•	2001	7	86%	0%	0%	14%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	712	94%	0%	2%	3%	1%
	2001	772	94%	0%	2%	2%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	92	74%	8%	2%	14%	2%
	2001	103	67%	5%	11%	12%	6%
English Proficient	2002	796	92%	1%	2%	4%	1%
3	2001	862	91%	1%	3%	3%	2%
Limited English Proficient	2002	8	63%	0%	13%	25%	0%
3	2001	13	69%	0%	0%	23%	8%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	46	80%	2%	4%	13%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	758	92%	1%	2%	4%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	804	92%	1%	2%	4%	1%

#### **NOTES**

District WILLIAMSVILLE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### **Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	868	93%	1%	4%	0%	2%
Female	432	97%	0%	2%	0%	1%
Male	436	90%	1%	5%	0%	3%
American Indian	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native						
Black or	38	87%	3%	8%	0%	3%
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	5	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	51	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	773	93%	1%	3%	0%	2%
Small Group Totals	6	83%	0%	17%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	769	96%	0%	2%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	99	74%	7%	12%	1%	6%
English Proficient	858	93%	1%	4%	0%	2%
Limited English Proficient	10	90%	0%	0%	0%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	49	71%	4%	16%	0%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	819	95%	1%	3%	0%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	868	93%	1%	4%	0%	2%

#### NOTES