

The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL District BUFFALO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 140600010045 Principal COLLEEN CAROTA Telephone (716) 816-3300 Grades PK-8

This School's Report Card

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive

data relevant to this school's learning environment.

2 Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

School Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	35	54	49
Kindergarten	81	65	64
Grade 1	91	98	88
Grade 2	80	88	99
Grade 3	84	80	85
Grade 4	84	85	71
Grade 5	117	89	88
Grade 6	108	125	106
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	118	110	115
Grade 8	122	122	116
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	885	862	832

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	24	24	22
Grade 8			
English		27	28
Mathematics	11	27	26
Science	21	27	28
Social Studies	20	27	28
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	2004-05		5-06
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	746	84%	754	87%	714	86%
Reduced-Price Lunch	71	8%	57	7%	58	7%
Student Stability*		80%		83%		88%
Limited English Proficient	337	38%	380	44%	323	39%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	1%	13	2%	12	1%
Black or African American	286	32%	339	39%	327	39%
Hispanic or Latino	137	15%	122	14%	119	14%
Asian or Native	156	18%	140	16%	141	17%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	295	33%	248	29%	233	28%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		94%		93%		93%
Student Suspensions	113	N/A	150	17%	178	21%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	142	151	243
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	22%	15%	11%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	5	1	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	6%	1%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	7	6	5
Percentage of Total	8%	7%	6%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	35%	33%	37%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	86	84	81
Total Other Professional Staff	5	4	1
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your School Accountability Status

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status				
(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not be Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academ	en identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, nic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not				
make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)				
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not				
on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for				
the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)				
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was				
is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the	identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	(Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following					

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

2 School Accountability

School INTERNATIONAL SCHOOL District BUFFALO CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	🔥 Pl	Planning for Restructuring					
	Element	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA	A Planning for Restructuring	ELA				
	Math	Improvement (Year 1)	Math				
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years t	the School Received Title I	Part A Funding				

Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2006-07				
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	X	v	v			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	X	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	
Hispanic or Latino	✓SH	<	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	<	 		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
White	×	~		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	X	X				
Limited English Proficient	X	~	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Economically Disadvantaged	X	 ✓ 	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	X 2 of 8	X 7 of 8	🖌 1 of 1			



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Planning for Restructuring
Accountability Measures	2 of 8	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in English Language Arts, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be Restructuring (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain Planning for Restructuring in 2007-08. [107]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (594:552)	X	Interior	99%	X	114	117	117	123
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (11:11)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (231:216)	X	 	99%	X	107	114	108	116
Hispanic or Latino (82:76)	V SH	<	99%	V SH	101	111	80	111
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (98:90)	<	<	100%	~	140	112		••••
White (172:159)	X	<	98%	X	113	114	114	122
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (136:129)	X	 	96%	X	73	113	74	86
Limited English Proficient (233:209)	X	<	100%	X	101	114	113	111
Economically Disadvantaged (574:534)	X	<	99%	X	112	117	115	121
Final AYP Determination	X 2 of 8							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Improvement (Year 1)
Accountability Measures	7 of 8	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		To be removed from improvement status in Mathematics, this school must make AYP in this measure for two consecutive years. If this school fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the school will be In Need of Improvement (Year 2) in 2007-08. If this school makes AYP in 2006-07, the school will remain In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. [104]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives			
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	r Target 2006–07	
All Students (591:543)	 ✓ 	 ✓ 	98%	 ✓ 	100	81			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (12:11)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (226:212)	~	<	99%	~	80	78			
Hispanic or Latino (84:74)	 ✓ 	<	98%	 ✓ 	96	75	•••••••••	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (98:89)	~	<	99%	~	148	75	•••••••••••••••	••••	
White (171:157)	<	<	98%	~	101	78	•• ••••	••••••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (196:124)	X	X	94%	~	79	77			
Limited English Proficient (229:207)	<	<	99%	~	78	78	••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged (573:527)	~	<	99%	~	99	81		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🗙 7 of 8								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students errolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	Target
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Ident Group Id: Continuous Enrollment)1Safe Harbor StatusMet QualificationPercentage TestedMet CriterionPerformance IndexState StandardStudents (198:177)VQualifiedV97%V143100inicityVQualifiedV97%V143100inicityrican Indian or Alaska Nativek or African American 55)QualifiedV97%V111100anic or Latino (29:24)n or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific rder (34:30)re (63:56)QualifiedV97%V1611000000ents with Disabilities 30)137100ted English ProficientQualifiedV99%V109100	2005-06	2006-07						
All Students (198:177)	~	Qualified	 ✓ 	97%	~	143	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (70:65)		-	•	97%	~	111	100		
Hispanic or Latino (29:24)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (34:30)		-	-	-	~	173			
White (63:56)	• ••••		A	97%	~	161	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (35:30)		-	-	-	~	137	100		
Limited English Proficient (76:70)		Qualified	~	99%	~	109	100		
Economically Disadvantaged (196:176)		Qualified	~	97%	~	143	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		itage of st at or abo	udents that ve Level 3	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	6	50%	100%	
Grade 3	30%		·	60	
Grade 4	43%			47	
Grade 5	41%			64	
Grade 6	27%			75	
Grade 7	24%			90	
Grade 8	20%			92	
Mathematics					
Grade 3	39%			84	
Grade 4	49%			69	
Grade 5	25%			83	
Grade 6	26%			102	
Grade 7	23%			108	
Grade 8	19%			121	
Science					
Grade 4	64%			70	
Grade 8	45%			121	

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

This School's Similar Schools Group: 6

All schools in this group are elementary level schools in large cities other than New York City. The schools in this group are in the higher range of student needs for elementary level schools in these districts.

This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage so		el(s):		_	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 631	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780				
	100%					700/			
		72%				78%			
							38%		
			30%				5070		
				0	%			1%	
Number of Students:		43	18		0				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
•		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		60	72%	30%	0%				
Female		27	70%	44%	0%				
Male		33	73%	18%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	1	-	-	_				
Black or African American		26	69%	27%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino		14	71%	21%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		4	-	-	-				
White		15	67%	27%	0%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		5	100%	80%	0%				
General-Education Students		37	89%	46%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		23	43%	4%	0%				
English Proficient		60	72%	30%	0%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		57	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged		3	_	_					
Migrant									
Not Migrant		60	72%	30%	0%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	14-05
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent						was not giv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	25	11	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 3								

This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Scl			
		Percentage s					coring at level		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 630	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770				
	100%								
	100%	64%				74%			
			39%				43%		
			3978						
				1	%			4%	
Number of Students:		54	33		1				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		84	64%	39%	1%				
Female		42	60%	33%	2%				
Male		42	69%	45%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	1							
Black or African American		34	62%	38%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino		14	79%	36%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	9	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ر 				This too	+	·	05
White		26	50%	31%	0%		t was not giv	ren in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		10	90%	70%	10%				
General-Education Students			68%	41%	2%				
Students with Disabilities		25	56%	36%	0%				
English Proficient		60	75%	48%	0%				
Limited English Proficient		24	38%	17%	4%				
Economically Disadvantaged		78	64%	38%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged		6	67%	50%	0%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		84	64%	39%	1%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.	

This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar So			
		Percentage s	scoring at leve	el(s):			scoring at level	(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 653	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775				
	100%	85%				750/			
						75%			
			43%				38%		
					201				
					9%			1%	
Number of Students:		40	20		4				
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year	•	
Student Group		Total Percentage scor			t level(s):	Total	Percentage	e scoring at lev	el(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		47	85%	43%	9 %				
Female		22	86%	45%	14%				
Male		25	84%	40%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1							
Black or African American		14	86%	29%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino		7	-	-	_	New a	ssessments fo	r elementar	y-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		10	80%	60%	10%		iddle-level En	5 5	ge
Pacific Islander					TO 10		d mathematic		
White		15	93%	53%	13%		stered in 200		
Small Group Totals		8	75%	25%	0%		assessments o red to results		-
General-Education Students		38	89%	45%	11%		stered assess	•	usty
Students with Disabilities		9	67%	33%	0%		310100 033033	incino.	
English Proficient		45	-	-	_				
Limited English Proficient		2	-	-	–				

Economically Disadvantaged	45	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged	2	-	-	-	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	47	85%	43%	9%	
NOTES					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	8	8	4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	26	18	16	N/A	35	27	22	N/A

This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l		Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 648	Range:	622-800	650-80	7 00	02-800				
	100%								
		77%				75%			
			49%				45%		
				7	'%			5%	
Number of Students:		53	34		5				
Results by		2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
•		Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		69	77%	49 %	7%				
Female		36	75%	50%	0%				
Male		33	79%	48%	15%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	1							
Black or African American		22	50%	23%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino		8	-	-	-	New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	-	16	94%	75%	6%	and mid	dle-level Eng	glish langu	age
Pacific Islander			9470		070		mathematic		
White		22	91%	59%	14%		ered in 200		
Small Group Totals		9	78%	44%	0%		sessments c		-
General-Education Students		59	78%	53%	5%		ed to results ered assessi	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	30%	20%	auminist		nemu.	
English Proficient		44	82%	52%	11%				
Limited English Proficient		25	68%	44%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		68	-	_	-				
Not Disadvantaged		1	-						
Migrant									
Not Migrant		69	77%	49%	7%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	7	7	3	2

This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This School Percentage scor	This School Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 69	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-100					
■ 2005–06■ 2004–05	100%	91% 86%	64% 54%	21% 18%	93% 89%	66% 59%	^{19%} 15%		
Number of Students:		64 67	45 42	15 14					
Results by		2005-06 Sch	ool Year		2004–05 School Year				
Student Group		Totat	ercentage scoring at level(s):		Total	Percentage scorir	5		

Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	70	91%	64%	21%	78	86%	54%	18 %
Female	36	94%	64%	19%	36	89%	53%	17%
Male	34	88%	65%	24%	42	83%	55%	19%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	5	100%	60%	20%
Black or African American	22	91%	45%	14%	33	76%	36%	15%
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-	8	100%	75%	13%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	15	93%	67%	27%	17	94%	76%	18%
White	23	96%	78%	26%	15	87%	53%	27%
Small Group Totals	10	80%	70%	20%			•••••	
General-Education Students	59	92%	64%	22%	61	84%	56%	20%
Students with Disabilities	11	91%	64%	18%	17	94%	47%	12%
English Proficient	43	98%	77%	26%	38	92%	63%	26%
Limited English Proficient	27	81%	44%	15%	40	80%	45%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	69	-	-	-	57	93%	60%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	1	-	-	–	21	67%	38%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	70	91%	64%	21%	78	86%	54%	18%

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	6	6	6	4

This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Schoo Percentage so		al(s).		Similar Scl	hools coring at level(5).	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3–4	4	
Mean Score: 630	Range:	608-795	650-7		11-795				
	100%	78%				81%			
			41%				31%		
				0	%			1%	
Number of Students:		50	26	()				
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year	1		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grou	P	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		64	78%	41 %	0%				
Female		30	87%	47%	0%				
Male		34	71%	35%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska N	Vative	3	-						
Black or African American		20	75%	40%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino		13	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O [.] Pacific Islander	ther	14	93%	43%	0%				
White	••••••	14	79%	36%	0%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals	••••••	16	69%	44%	0%				
General-Education Students		47	89%	51%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		17	47%	12%	0%				
English Proficient		48	77%	50%	0%				
Limited English Proficient		16	81%	13%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	1	63	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged		1	_		_				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		64	78%	41%	0%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	8	8	6	3	This tost y	was not qiv	in 200	1 05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	0		э		was not yn		4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	20	11	10	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s				_	scoring at level				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 628	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
	100%										
		69%				61%					
			25%				25%				
				2	%			2%			
Number of Students:		57	21		2						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		83	69 %	25%	2 %						
Female		39	72%	10%	0%						
Male		44	66%	39%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	3	-	-	-						
Black or African American		31	61%	23%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		13	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		18	89%	44%	6%						
White		18	56%	17%	6%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.		
Small Group Totals	•••••	16	75%	19%	0%						
General-Education Students		65	75%	28%	3%						
Students with Disabilities	•••••	18	44%	17%	0%						
English Proficient		48	79%	33%	2%						
Limited English Proficient		35	54%	14%	3%						
Economically Disadvantaged		82	-	-	-						
Not Disadvantaged		1									
Migrant											
Not Migrant		83	69%	25%	2%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4 Tested				2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	8	8	Б	4	This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4 05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	0	5	4	This test	was not yiv	en in 2004	+-0J.	

This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Sch			
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 625	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 70)5-785				
	100%	0.00/				85%			
	10070	80%							
			27%				30%		
				09	%			2%	
Number of Students:		60	20	()				
Results by		2005-06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		75	80%	27%	0%				
Female		33	88%	33%	0%				
Male		42	74%	21%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	3							
Black or African American		29	86%	17%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino		12	58%	33%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	11	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		±±				·····			
White		20	75%	20%	0%	This test	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		14	93%	50%	0%				
General-Education Students		57	86%	33%	0%				
Students with Disabilities		18	61%	6%	0%				
English Proficient		53	89%	32%	0%				
Limited English Proficient		22	59%	14%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged		72	-	-	-				
Not Disadvantaged		3	-						
Migrant									
Not Migrant		75	80%	27%	0%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	4	3	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent			+	J	1113 1631 1			·+-0J.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	26	15	9	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6								

This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Schools					
		Percentage s				_	coring at level(
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 619	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 69	96-780						
	100%										
		57%				62%					
		5178									
			26%				22%				
				2	%			1%			
Number of Students:		58	27	2	2						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		102	57%	26 %	2 %						
Female		46	52%	28%	2%						
Male		56	61%	25%	2%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	4	-	-	-						
Black or African American		42	48%	19%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		12	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		17	71%	47%	6%						
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	27	59%	19%	4%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	63%	38%	0%						
General-Education Students		83	60%	28%	2%						
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	19	42%	21%	0%						
English Proficient		54	69%	35%	2%						
Limited English Proficient		48	44%	17%	2%						
Economically Disadvantaged		98	-	-	-						
Not Disadvantaged		4	-	-	-						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		102	57%	26%	2%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	6	6	Λ	2	This tost	This test was not siven in 2004 OF			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	6	4	3	This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 625	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790					
	100%									
	10070	79%				75%				
			24%				19%			
				1	%		1570	0%		
Number of Students:		71	22							
Results by	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
•		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		90	79 %	24%	1%					
Female		45	84%	27%	0%					
Male		45	73%	22%	2%					
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	2	-	_	_					
Black or African American		34	82%	24%	3%					
Hispanic or Latino		13	-		-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	16	88%	44%	0%					
Pacific Islander			00%	44%	U%					
White		25	80%	12%	0%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	
Small Group Totals		15	60%	27%	0%					
General-Education Students		66	88%	33%	2%					
Students with Disabilities		24	54%	0%	0%					
English Proficient		63	87%	32%	2%					
imited English Proficient		27	59%	7%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		87	-	-	-					
Not Disadvantaged		3	-	-	-					
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	90	79%	24%	1%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • •	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
A3563511161115	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	14.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent					This test	was not yn		J4-0J.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	21	11	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		<u>This Schoo</u>				Similar Schools					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 620	Range:	611-800	650-80	00 69	93-800						
	100%										
	100/0	65%				60%					
						00%					
			23%				18%				
				09	%			0%			
Number of Students:		70	25	С)						
Results by		2005-06 School Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total Percentage scorin		scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		108	65%	23%	0%						
Female		51	67%	22%	0%						
Male		57	63%	25%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	2	-		-						
Black or African American		41	59%	17%	0%						
Hispanic or Latino		14	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	19	79%	58%	0%						
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	32	69%	19%	0%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	16	56%	6%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students		86	71%	27%	0%						
Students with Disabilities		22	41%	9%	0%						
English Proficient		60	72%	28%	0%						
Limited English Proficient		48	56%	17%	0%						
Economically Disadvantaged		106	-	-	-						
Not Disadvantaged		2									
Migrant											
Not Migrant		108	65%	23%	0%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	4.	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This te	st was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.

This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):						
		Percentage s										
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 627	Range:	602-790	650-79	90 71	15-790							
	100%	950/										
	100%	85%				77%						
			20%				4.50/					
			2070	09	%		16%	0%				
Number of Students:		78	18	0)							
	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year						
Results by					2004–05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level							
Student Group		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		92	85%	20%	0%	resteu		5 1				
Female		42	90%	19%	0%							
Male	•••••	50	80%	20%	0%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve											
Black or African American		28	79%	14%	0%							
Hispanic or Latino		19	84%	11%	0%	New as	sessments for	⁻ elementar	·y-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	17	100%	35%	0%		ddle-level Eng d mathematic		age			
White		28	82%	21%	0%		stered in 2000					
Small Group Totals		•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •				ssessments c					
General-Education Students		74	95%	24%	0%		ed to results	-	ously			
Students with Disabilities	•••••	18	44%	0%	0%	administered assessments.						
English Proficient		71	87%	24%	0%							
imited English Proficient		21	76%	5%	0%							
Economically Disadvantaged		92	85%	20%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged												
Migrant												
Not Migrant		92	85%	20%	0%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	2-4	3-4	4	0	2-4	3-4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	27	16	16	N/A	22	15	13	N/A

This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s 2–4	3–4	4 (s):		2-4	3–4	s): 4		
	-					2-4	5-4	4		
Mean Score: 624	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%									
	10070	70%				66%				
			19%				17%			
				0	%		170	0%		
Number of Students:		85	23		0					
Results by 2005-06 S			chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year			
•	Total Percentage scorin			level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		121	70%	19 %	0%					
Female		57	74%	16%	0%					
Male		64	67%	22%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		46	50%	9%	0%					
Hispanic or Latino		20	65%	10%	0%		essments fo		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	18	100%	50%	0%		dle-level En		ige	
Pacific Islander		· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••••••••••••••		mathematic			
White		37	84%	22%	0%		ered in 200 sessments c			
Small Group Totals		100			001		ed to results		-	
General-Education Students		103	77%	22%	0%		ered assessi	•	, acti	
Students with Disabilities		18	33%	0%	0%					
English Proficient		74	76%	22%	0%					
Limited English Proficient		47	62%	15%	0%					
Economically Disadvantaged		121	70%	19%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged										
Migrant										
Not Migrant		121	70%	19%	0%					

Other	2005-06 S c	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Test				Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Schoo Percentage s		(0)(0)		Similar Sch Percentage se		1(c)	
		2-4	3–4	/et(s). 4	L	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 60	Range:	44-100	65-10		35-100				
	100%	87% 91%	45%	54%		85% 92%	58	%	
2005-062004-05				ţ	5% 9%		35%	3%	9%
Number of Students:		105 102	55	61	6 10				
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	r	
	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):				Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		121	87%	45%	5%	112	91%	54%	9%
emale		57	86%	40%	2%	45	91%	56%	2%
fale		64	88%	50%	8%	67	91%	54%	13%
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive								
Black or African American		46	72%	26%	0%	43	86%	42%	2%
Hispanic or Latino		19	95%	58%	5%	22	91%	55%	0%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er	18	100%	78%	17%	13	100%	69%	31%
White		38	95%	47%	5%	34	94%	65%	15%
Small Group Totals		•••••							
General-Education Students		103	85%	49%	6%	88	93%	61%	11%
Students with Disabilities		18	94%	28%	0%	24	83%	29%	0%
English Proficient		73	97%	63%	8%	78	94%	65%	12%
imited English Proficient		48	71%	19%	0%	34	85%	29%	3%
Economically Disadvantaged		121	87%	45%	5%	86	93%	53%	7%
Not Disadvantaged						26	85%	58%	15%
1igrant						1	-	-	-
lot Migrant		121	87%	45%	5%	111	–	-	–

NOTES

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
	Total Number scoring a			l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent									
Regents Science	0				0				

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	l:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	6	19	14	4	43	637	
Feb 2004	7	26	15	5	53	635	
Feb 2003	16	41	25	3	85	628	


	This School			Similar Schoo	ols			
	Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830					
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 83% 79% 83%	27% 29 19%	% <u>3%</u> 1%3%	82% 83% 77%	19% ^{23%} 17%	6 <u>2%</u> 2%2%		

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

		. .					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	18	60	26	3	107	681	
Jan 2004	21	58	18	1	98	675	
Jan 2003	14	43	21	2	80	677	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	Number of students scoring at each performance level:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	11	16	41	8	76	637	
May 2004	10	17	39	6	72	640	
May 2003	15	31	55	12	113	637	

	This School			Similar Scho	ols		
	Percentage s	coring at level(5):	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100%	47 21%	% <u>1% 3% 5%</u>	66% 71% 70%	18% 27% 269	% 0% <u>1%</u> 2%	

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	40	58	25	1	124	693	
May 2004	33	50	36	4	123	696	
May 2003	26	30	44	5	105	705	