

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT
District ID 141201060000
Superintendent RONALD BUGGS
Telephone (716) 992-3629
Grades PK-12

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.qov

Use this report to:

Get District
Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District Profile

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	18	18	36
Kindergarten	127	115	99
Grade 1	120	114	107
Grade 2	130	121	125
Grade 3	140	125	126
Grade 4	135	141	130
Grade 5	148	137	149
Grade 6	132	146	139
Ungraded Elementary	0	7	0
Grade 7	157	135	150
Grade 8	141	157	139
Grade 9	172	143	152
Grade 10	146	176	142
Grade 11	142	148	179
Grade 12	124	149	150
Ungraded Secondary	12	2	0
Total K-12	1826	1816	1787

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	23	22	23
Grade 8			
English	17	22	20
Mathematics	20	23	23
Science	13		
Social Studies	19	29	22
Grade 10			
English	20	23	24
Mathematics	23	20	23
Science	18	20	21
Social Studies	18	21	24

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. Common Branch refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District Profile

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		200	4-05	200	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	110	6%	111	6%	130	7%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	71	4%	52	3%	64	4%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	0%	2	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	12	1%	12	1%	16	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	11	1%	7	0%	13	1%	
Asian or Native	8	0%	8	0%	9	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1793	98%	1787	98%	1747	98%	

^{*} Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003	-04	2004	1-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		96%
Student Suspensions	12	N/A	32	2%	17	1%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price
Lunch percentages are determined by dividing
the number of approved lunch applicants
by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS)
enrollment in full-day kindergarten through
Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited
English Proficient counts are used to determine
Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource
Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District Profile

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	276	265	426
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	1%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	1%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	2
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	5%	6%	7%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	139	137	135
Total Other Professional Staff	15	15	14
Total Paraprofessionals*	14	15	16
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	3	3	3

^{*} Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of Individuals Teaching Out of Certification is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation and the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement.

This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (**Effective AMO**)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 \times [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

♠ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l
ELA	♠ Good Standing	ELA	♣ Good Standing
Math	♦ Good Standing	Math	♦ Good Standing
Science	♦ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	♠ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004-05	2004-05 2005-06			
YES	YES	YES		

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	V	V	✓	✓	V	✓
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		_	_	
Black or African American	_		••••••••	_	_	••••••••
Hispanic or Latino	_		••••••••	••••••••	•••••••	••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		_	••••••	_	_	•••••••
White	~	V	•••••••	V	~	•••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	V		-	_	
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	·········	••••••••	•••••••••	•••••••	•••••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	V	V	••••••••		_	••••••••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 4 of 4	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 2 of 2	✓ 1 of 1

Improvement (Year 5 & Above) 🔨

AYP Status

✓ Made AY

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal State Good Standing Good Standing Improvement (Year 1) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

Improvement (Year 2) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Improvement (Year 3) Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

Improvement (Year 4)
Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

■ Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Performance ³ Performance		nce Objectiv	e Objectives	
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (837:831)	<u>✓</u>	<u>✓</u>	100%	<u>/</u>	168	118	2003 00	2000 07
Ethnicity						1		1
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (8:8)	_	_	-	-	_	_	•	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)						_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	_	_	_	_	-	-		
White (819:813)	~	~	100%	~	168	118	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (84:79)	✓ SH	/	96%	✓ SH	96	111	20	106
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••				••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged (123:122)	V	V	100%	V	142	113	•••••••••	••••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- 4 If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject		
(2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performar	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (837:830)	<u> </u>	<u>✓</u>	100%	<u>✓</u>	169	82	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity						1		1
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (8:8)	_	_	-	-	_	_	•	_
Hispanic or Latino (6:6)	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)	_	_	_	_	-	-		-
White (819:812)	~	~	100%	~	170	82	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (85:80)	/	/	98%	/	109	75		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		••••••					•••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (122:121)	/	V	100%	V	155	77	•••••••••	•••
Final AYP Determination	✓ 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005-06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005-06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95%participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

- Did Not Make AYP
- **Insufficient Number of Students** to Determine AYP Status

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Subject (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	ormance ³	Performa	nce Objectives
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target
All Students (272:247)	V	Qualified	·	91%	~	193	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (6:6)		-	-	-	-	_	<u> </u>	_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		_	_	_	_	-	_	_
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (265:240)	• • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	V	91%	~	194	100	• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (27:26)		_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							•••••	•••••••
Economically Disadvantaged (43:40)	••••••	Qualified	V	93%	~	180	100	•••••••
Final AYP Determination	1 1 c	of 1						

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performar	nce Objectiv	es
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (148:140)	✓	<u> </u>	99%	<u>/</u>	189	145		
Ethnicity								,
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-
Black or African American (2:2)	-	_	-	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								••••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	<u> </u>
White (143:135)	/	V	99%	V	190	145	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (13:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		••••••		•			••••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (10:8)	- -	_	_	_	_	_		<u> </u>
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performar	nce Objective	es
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target
All Students (148:140)	- Status	✓ Criterion	99%	- Criterion	194	137	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity			3370	•	134			
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	_	_	_
Black or African American (2:2)	_	_	-	_	_	_	_ _	-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	_
White (143:135)	/	V	99%	/	194	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (13:11)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							•••••••	•••
Economically Disadvantaged (10:8)	- -	_	-	_	-	_	_	-
Final AYP Determination	✓ 2 of 2							

AYP Status



Made AYP



✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target



Did Not Make AYP

Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005-06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005-06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status	^	Good Standing
for This Indicator (2006–07)		
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	/	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduation Rate ² 84%		Progre 2005-06	ss Target 2006-07
	84%	55%	2005-06	2006-07
				-
	 -	<u></u>		.
	-			 -
	-	-	-	-
	85%	55%		• ••• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	_	-
-	_	_	_	_
	1	-	 1	

NOTES

- Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.
- ² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

School Accountability Status

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status



Good Standing

3 schools identified 100% of total

EDEN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL EDEN JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL G.L. PRIESS PRIMARY SCHOOL

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Summary of 2005-06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	_	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	66%		122
Grade 4	70%		130
Grade 5	73%		149
Grade 6	80%		138
Grade 7	72%		151
Grade 8	62%		138
Mathematics			
Grade 3	87%		123
Grade 4	82%		1 31
Grade 5	74%		149
Grade 6	79%		138
Grade 7	49%		152
Grade 8	72%		137
Science			
Grade 4	92%		131
Grade 8	95%		114
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English Mathematics	91%		148 148
	Percentage of who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	85%	I	148

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.


This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts



2005-06	School Yea	r	2004-05	2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
122	94%	66%	1%						
69	97%	70%	1%						
53	91%	62%	0%						
•		••••••							
1	_	-	-	••••					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••							
121		_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
122	94%	66%	1%						
104	100%	74%	1%						
18	61%	22%	0%						
122	94%	66%	1%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••							
16	88%	50%	0%						
106	95%	69%	1%		•••••	•••••••			
122	94%	66%	1%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Tested 122 69 53 1 121 122 104 18 122 16 106	Tested 2-4 122 94% 69 97% 53 91% 1 - 121 - 122 94% 104 100% 18 61% 122 94% 106 88% 106 95%	Tested 2-4 3-4 122 94% 66% 69 97% 70% 53 91% 62% 1 121 122 94% 66% 104 100% 74% 18 61% 22% 122 94% 66% 104 28 16 88% 50% 106 95% 69%	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 122 94% 66% 1% 69 97% 70% 1% 53 91% 62% 0% 1 121 122 94% 66% 1% 104 100% 74% 1% 18 61% 22% 0% 122 94% 66% 1% 18 61% 22% 0% 122 94% 66% 1% 16 88% 50% 0% 106 95% 69% 1%	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 122 94% 66% 1% 69 97% 70% 1% 53 91% 62% 0% 1	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 122 94% 66% 1% 69 97% 70% 1% 53 91% 62% 0% 1 121 122 94% 66% 1% 104 100% 74% 1% 18 61% 22% 0% 122 94% 66% 1% 18 61% 22% 0% 122 94% 66% 1% 18 61% 294% 66% 1% 16 88% 50% 0% 16 88% 50% 0% 106 95% 69% 1%	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 3-4 122 94% 66% 1% 69 97% 70% 1% 53 91% 62% 0% 1 121 122 94% 66% 1% 104 100% 74% 1% 18 61% 22% 0% 122 94% 66% 1% 18 61% 22% 0% 16 88% 50% 0% 16 88% 50% 0% 106 95% 69% 1%		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total Tested	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	2 7				was not giv			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics




2005-06	School Yea	2004-	2004-05 School Year							
Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested		2-4	3-4	4		
123	98%	87%	19%							
69	100%	90%	19%							
54	94%	83%	19%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	••••	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • •						
1			<u> </u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • •						
122	_	_	-	Thi	s test v	was not giv	en in 2004	I-05.		
123	98%	87%	19%							
104	98%	92%	22%							
19	95%	58%	0%	• • • • • • • •						
123	98%	87%	19%							
	••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • •						
16	94%	81%	6%							
107	98%	88%	21%	•••••••	• • • • • • • •	••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
123	98%	87%	19%	••••••	• • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	Total Tested 123 69 54 1 1 122 123 104 19 123 16 107	Total Percentag Tested 2-4 123 98% 69 100% 54 94% 1 - 122 - 123 98% 104 98% 19 95% 123 98% 123 98% 16 94% 107 98%	Tested 2-4 3-4 123 98% 87% 69 100% 90% 54 94% 83% 1 122 123 98% 87% 104 98% 92% 19 95% 58% 123 98% 87% 123 98% 87% 16 94% 81% 107 98% 88%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 123 98% 87% 19% 69 100% 90% 19% 54 94% 83% 19% 1 122 123 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 92% 22% 19 95% 58% 0% 123 98% 87% 19% 16 94% 81% 6% 107 98% 88% 21%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 123 98% 87% 19% 69 100% 90% 19% 54 94% 83% 19% 104 98% 92% 22% 19 95% 58% 0% 123 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 123 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 104 98% 87% 19% 107 98% 88% 21%	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 123 98% 87% 19% 69 100% 90% 19% 54 94% 83% 19% 1 This test of the state of the stat	Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4 123 98% 87% 19% 69 100% 90% 19% 54 94% 83% 19% 1	Total Tested Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested Percentag		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	t was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06 School Year					2004-05 School Year					
	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Teste	ed .	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	130	96%	70%	7%							
Female	62	97%	69%	5%							
Male	68	96%	71%	9%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native											
Black or African American	3	_	_	_							
Hispanic or Latino	1		_	-	Ne	ew asse	essments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ar	nd midd	lle-level Eng	glish langu	age		
Pacific Islander					ar	arts and mathematics were					
White	126	_	_	-			ered in 200				
Small Group Totals	130	96%	70%	7%			sessments c		•		
General-Education Students	117	100%	75%	8%		•	d to results ered assessr	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities	13	62%	23%	0%	ac	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	ereu assessi	nents.			
English Proficient	130	96%	70%	7%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	24	92%	42%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	106	97%	76%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	130	96%	70%	7%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sco	l(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		20	2004-05 School Year							
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	To	tal	Percentage scoring at level(s):						
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Te	sted	2-4	3-4	4				
All Students	131	131	131	131	131	96%	82%	26%					
Female	63	98%	81%	24%									
Male	68	94%	84%	28%			•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
American Indian or Alaska Native													
Black or African American	3		-	- -	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Hispanic or Latino	1	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	New asse	ssments for	r elementa	ıry-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	glish langu	age						
Pacific Islander						arts and mathematics were							
White	127	_	-	-			tered in 2006. Results from						
Small Group Totals	131	96%	82%	26%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		essments c		,				
General-Education Students	118	99%	87%	28%		•	l to results red assessr	•	iously				
Students with Disabilities	13	69%	38%	8%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	aummste	red assessi	nents.					
English Proficient	131	96%	82%	26%									
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantaged	24	92%	67%	21%									
Not Disadvantaged	107	97%	86%	27%			•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Migrant													
Not Migrant	131	96%	82%	26%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S 0	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total Number scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4				Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments					Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	2004-05 School Year						
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	131	100%	92%	63%	143	100%	95%	53%	
Female	63	100%	92%	65%	70	100%	96%	49%	
Male	68	100%	93%	60%	73	100%	95%	58%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	_	_	_	1	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	_	-	2	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	2				
Pacific Islander					2	_	_	_	
White	127	-	_	-	138	100%	95%	54%	
Small Group Totals	131	100%	92%	63%	5	100%	100%	20%	
General-Education Students	118	100%	97%	66%	130	100%	98%	58%	
Students with Disabilities	13	100%	54%	31%	13	100%	62%	8%	
English Proficient	131	100%	92%	63%	143	100%	95%	53%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	••••	••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	79%	38%	12	100%	83%	42%	
Not Disadvantaged	107	100%	95%	68%	131	100%	96%	54%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	131	100%	92%	63%	143	100%	95%	53%	

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-	

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts



				2004-05 School Year					
Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	sted 2-4	3-4	4		
149	96%	73%	8%						
75	95%	80%	13%						
74	97%	66%	3%			•••••••••••			
1	_	_	_						
3				•••••					
	••••								
۷	_	_	_ 						
143	96%	73%	8%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
6	100%	83%	17%						
131	99%	79%	9%						
18	72%	33%	0%						
149	96%	73%	8%						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••					
24	92%	46%	4%						
125	97%	78%	9%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
149	96%	73%	8%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	149 75 74 1 3 2 143 6 131 18 149 24 125	149 96% 75 95% 74 97% 1 - 3 - 2 - 143 96% 6 100% 131 99% 18 72% 149 96% 24 92% 125 97%	149 96% 73% 75 95% 80% 74 97% 66% 1 - - 3 - - 2 - - 143 96% 73% 6 100% 83% 131 99% 79% 18 72% 33% 149 96% 73% 24 92% 46% 125 97% 78%	149 96% 73% 8% 75 95% 80% 13% 74 97% 66% 3% 1 - - - 3 - - - 2 - - - 143 96% 73% 8% 6 100% 83% 17% 131 99% 79% 9% 18 72% 33% 0% 149 96% 73% 8% 24 92% 46% 4% 125 97% 78% 9%	149 96% 73% 8% 75 95% 80% 13% 74 97% 66% 3% 1 - - - 3 - - - 2 - - - 143 96% 73% 8% This test 6 100% 83% 17% 131 99% 79% 9% 18 72% 33% 0% 149 96% 73% 8% 24 92% 46% 4% 125 97% 78% 9%	149 96% 73% 8% 75 95% 80% 13% 74 97% 66% 3% 1 - - - 3 - - - 2 - - - 143 96% 73% 8% This test was not given by a series of the series of t	149 96% 73% 8% 75 95% 80% 13% 74 97% 66% 3% 1 - - - 3 - - - 2 - - - 143 96% 73% 8% This test was not given in 2004 6 100% 83% 17% 131 99% 79% 9% 18 72% 33% 0% 149 96% 73% 8% 24 92% 46% 4% 125 97% 78% 9%		


NOTES

Other	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year				
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested 1	2-4 3-4		<u>4</u> –	Tested This tes	2-4 3-4 4 st was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	149	93%	74%	9%						
Female	75	96%	80%	9%						
Male	74	91%	68%	8%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	1	_	_	_						
Hispanic or Latino	3	_	_		••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	<u> </u>						
White	143	93%	73%	9%	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.		
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	•••••					
General-Education Students	131	98%	82%	10%						
Students with Disabilities	18	56%	11%	0%						
English Proficient	149	93%	74%	9%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	24	88%	63%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged	125	94%	76%	10%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	149	93%	74%	9%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Accocciments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05						
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students	138	98%	80%	16%							
Female	71	100%	86%	21%							
Male	67	96%	73%	10%			•••••••••••				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-							
Black or African American	1				• • • • • • •						
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Pacific Islander	Т	_ 	_	_ 							
White	135	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	I-05.			
Small Group Totals	138	98%	80%	16%							
General-Education Students	131	100%	84%	17%							
Students with Disabilities	7	57%	0%	0%							
English Proficient	138	98%	80%	16%							
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	63%	11%							
Not Disadvantaged	119	98%	82%	17%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	138	98%	80%	16%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	-				Number sco	J	
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested 1	2-4	3-4	<u>4</u> –	Tested This test	2-4 was not giv	3-4 ren in 200	4)4-05.
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05			
_	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	138	96%	79%	12%				
Female	71	97%	79%	11%				
Male	67	96%	79%	13%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	1	_	_	-				i
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			İ
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_	• • • • • • •			İ
Pacific Islander			_ 					
White	135	_	_	_	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	l-05.
Small Group Totals	138	96%	79%	12%				
General-Education Students	130	99%	83%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	8	50%	13%	0%				İ
English Proficient	138	96%	79%	12%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••				j
Economically Disadvantaged	18	94%	72%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	120	97%	80%	13%		•••••	•••••••	
Migrant								
Not Migrant	138	96%	79%	12%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4		
Assessifients	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.	

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	4–05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	151	98%	72%	7%						
Female	62	98%	82%	11%						
Male	89	98%	65%	3%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••					
Hispanic or Latino	1		·····		•••••					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Pacific Islander										
White	150	-	_	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals	151	98%	72%	7%						
General-Education Students	142	100%	76%	7%						
Students with Disabilities	9	67%	11%	0%	••••					
English Proficient	151	98%	72%	7%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	62%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	130	98%	74%	7%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	151	98%	72%	7%	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	-				Number sco	J		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	_ 	-	Inis test v	test was not given in 2004-0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year				
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	152	93%	49%	3%					
Female	62	92%	58%	6%					
Male	90	94%	43%	1%		••••	••••••		
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	••••••	••••	•••••		••••				
Hispanic or Latino	1			_	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	••••	••••••						
Pacific Islander									
White	151	-	-	-	This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	I-05.	
Small Group Totals	152	93%	49%	3%					
General-Education Students	142	94%	52%	4%					
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	10%	0%	••••				
English Proficient	152	93%	49%	3%					
Limited English Proficient	••••••	••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	21	95%	48%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	131	93%	50%	4%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	152	93%	49%	3%	••••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S C	hool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assassments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	3	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	en in 200	4-05.	

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total Percentage scoring at level(s):
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested 2–4 3–4 4
All Students	138	99%	62%	5%	
Female	67	99%	72%	10%	
Male	71	99%	52%	0%	
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Black or African American	3	_	_	-	
Hispanic or Latino			•••••	•••••	New assessments for elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and middle-level English language
Pacific Islander					arts and mathematics were
White	135	_	_	-	administered in 2006. Results from
Small Group Totals	138	99%	62%	5%	these assessments cannot be directly
General-Education Students	128	99%	65%	5%	compared to results from previously administered assessments.
Students with Disabilities	10	90%	20%	0%	duffillistered assessments.
English Proficient	138	99%	62%	5%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	37%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	119	99%	66%	5%	
Migrant					
Not Migrant	138	99%	62%	5%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco	l(s):	Total Tested		oring at leve	l(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	3-4	-	1	2-4 3-4		-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0				

[†] Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics




Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05 School Year					
•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Total Percentage scoring a		scoring at le	at level(s):	
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Teste	ed	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	137 67		99%	72%	12%					
Female			99%	79%	16%					
Male	70	99%	66%	7%			•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska Native										
Black or African American	3									
Hispanic or Latino		••••	•••••	••••••	N	ew asse	ssments fo	r elementa	ry-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••••	•••••••	aı	glish langu	age			
Pacific Islander					aı	ts and r	mathematic	s were		
White	134	_	-	-		administered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	137	99%	72%	12%		these assessments cannot be directl				
General-Education Students	127	100%	76%	13%		•	l to results	•	ously	
Students with Disabilities	10	80%	30%	0%	a	aministe	red assessi	nents.		
English Proficient	137	99%	72%	12%						
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	••••••						
Economically Disadvantaged	19	95%	53%	11%						
Not Disadvantaged	118	99%	75%	12%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	137	99%	72%	12%			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year		2004-05 S d	2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number sco	ring at level	Total	Number sco	ring at level	(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	ar scoring at level(s): 3–4 –	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_			1			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	_			1	_		_

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by	2005-06	School Yea	r		2004-05	2004-05 School Year			
_	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	114	100%	95%	52%	128	100%	98%	62%	
Female	54	100%	94%	54%	59	100%	98%	53%	
Male	60	100%	95%	50%	69	100%	97%	70%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	3	-	_	-	4	-	-	_	
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	•••••			••••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	••••	••••••	1				
Pacific Islander					Т				
White	111	-	_	-	123	100%	98%	62%	
Small Group Totals	114	100%	95%	52%	5	100%	100%	60%	
General-Education Students	104	100%	96%	54%	121	100%	98%	64%	
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	80%	30%	7	100%	86%	14%	
English Proficient	114	100%	95%	52%	128	100%	98%	62%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••	•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	81%	25%	8	100%	75%	38%	
Not Disadvantaged	98	100%	97%	56%	120	100%	99%	63%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	114	100%	95%	52%	128	100%	98%	62%	


NOTES

Other	2005-06 S c	hool Year			2004-05 School Year			
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	3	-			1	-		
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent			_	_			_	_
Regents Science	0				0			


District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score Feb 2005 1 37 83 21 142 662 36 71 23 136 Feb 2004 6 664 Feb 2003 3 25 74 42 144 673




	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	2	56	83	14	155	706
Jan 2004	3	63	74	5	145	700
Jan 2003	8	66	93	9	176	700


District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




Number of students scoring at each performance level: Test Date Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 **Total Tested** Mean Score 2 17 74 50 143 667 May 2005 3 94 28 136 May 2004 11 661 May 2003 1 21 79 43 144 664



Test Date	Number o	f students sco	l:			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	3	32	102	17	154	732
May 2004	4	36	84	20	144	731
May 2003	9	58	85	24	176	725

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*					2001 Cohort*			
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	148	93%	91%	49%	150	89%	85%	49%		
Female	74	96%	95%	57%	78	87%	86%	50%		
Male	74	89%	86%	42%	72	92%	83%	49%		
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American	3	_	_	-				•••••		
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_		
White	142	94%	92%	51%	149		–	-		
Small Group Totals	6	67%	67%	0%	150	89%	85%	49%		
General-Education Students	135	96%	96%	53%	133	95%	92%	56%		
Students with Disabilities	13	54%	38%	8%	17	47%	24%	0%		
English Proficient	148	93%	91%	49%	149	-	_	-		
Limited English Proficient		•••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	_			
Economically Disadvantaged	12	83%	83%	50%						
Not Disadvantaged	136	93%	91%	49%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	148	93%	91%	49%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••		


NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assassments	Number Number scoring at level(s): of Students 2-4 3-4 4				Number Number scoring at level(s			(s):		
Assessments					of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	t*			2001 Cohort*			
•	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	148	97%	93%	34%	150	91%	88%	19%
Female	74	99%	96%	28%	78	91%	88%	21%
Male	74	95%	89%	41%	72	92%	88%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	_	-				
Black or African American	3	_		_	••••••		•••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	••••••	***************************************	•••••	•••••	••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	_	_	_	1	_	_	_
White	142	96%	93%	36%	149	_	- -	-
Small Group Totals	6	100%	83%	0%	150	91%	88%	19%
General-Education Students	135	99%	96%	36%	133	97%	95%	22%
Students with Disabilities	13	77%	62%	23%	17	47%	35%	0%
English Proficient	148	97%	93%	34%	149	_	_	-
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	1	_	- -	_
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	67%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	136	97%	95%	36%	••••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	148	97%	93%	34%	••••••		•••••	•

NOTES

Other	2002 Cohor	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*				
Assassments	Number Number scoring at level(s): of Students 2-4 3-4 4				Number Number scoring at level(s			(s):		
Assessments					of Students	2-4	3-4	4		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0					

^{*} A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	148	85%	2%	1%	9%	3%
	2001	150	82%	2%	3%	11%	1%
Female	2002	74	91%	0%	0%	5%	4%
	2001	78	79%	3%	6%	9%	3%
Male	2002	74	80%	4%	1%	14%	1%
	2001	72	85%	1%	0%	14%	0%
American Indian	2002	1	_	_	_	_	_
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	3	_	_	_	_	
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002	2	_	_	_	_	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
White	2002	142	87%	2%	1%	8%	3%
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2001	149	-	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	6	50%	0%	0%	50%	0%
	2001	150	82%	2%	3%	11%	1%
General-Education Students	2002	135	89%	0%	1%	8%	2%
	2001	133	88%	0%	4%	8%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	13	46%	23%	0%	23%	8%
	2001	17	35%	18%	0%	41%	6%
English Proficient	2002	148	85%	2%	1%	9%	3%
_	2001	149	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
•	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	12	67%	0%	8%	25%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	136	87%	2%	0%	8%	3%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	148	85%	2%	1%	9%	3%

NOTES

District EDEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



District	
NY State	Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	152	87%	3%	5%	1%	5%
Female	79	84%	4%	8%	0%	5%
Male	73	90%	3%	1%	1%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	151	_	_		_	_
Small Group Totals	152	87%	3%	5%	1%	5%
General-Education Students	135	93%	0%	5%	0%	2%
Students with Disabilities	17	41%	29%	0%	6%	24%
English Proficient	151	_	_	_	_	_
Limited English Proficient	1	_	_		_	_
Economically Disadvantaged	17	76%	6%	6%	0%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	135	88%	3%	4%	1%	4%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	152	87%	3%	5%	1%	5%

NOTES