



The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability
and Overview Report
2005 – 06**

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL
DISTRICT**

District ID **141800010000**

Superintendent **PAUL HASHEM**

Telephone **(716) 827-6767**

Grades **PK-12, UE**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	89	90	89
Kindergarten	120	127	137
Grade 1	131	100	126
Grade 2	112	104	93
Grade 3	139	92	105
Grade 4	122	131	97
Grade 5	146	117	141
Grade 6	135	140	129
Ungraded Elementary	77	88	109
Grade 7	152	184	169
Grade 8	146	142	173
Grade 9	218	221	210
Grade 10	195	197	199
Grade 11	89	112	113
Grade 12	131	118	158
Ungraded Secondary	39	0	0
Total K-12	1952	1873	1959

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	24	21	24
Mathematics	21	23	25
Science	21	19	21
Social Studies	21	19	21
Grade 10			
English	22	27	21
Mathematics	21	16	17
Science	17	26	23
Social Studies	20	20	24

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	1129	58%	1075	57%	1338	68%
Reduced-Price Lunch	301	15%	316	17%	298	15%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	55	3%	85	5%	107	5%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	5	0%	2	0%	6	0%
Black or African American	453	23%	426	23%	434	22%
Hispanic or Latino	122	6%	132	7%	132	7%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	9	0%	11	1%	11	1%
White	1363	70%	1302	70%	1376	70%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		92%		92%		91%
Student Suspensions	137	N/A	219	11%	215	11%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	455	420	559
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	12%	12%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	181	172	172
Total Other Professional Staff	25	27	26
Total Paraprofessionals*	32	29	31
Assistant Principals	2	2	2
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.


Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.



1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner’s Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State’s learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group’s PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group’s PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[\frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target’s proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

▲ Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05

2005–06

2006–07

YES

YES

YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	✓ ^{SH}	✓	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–	–	–	–	–
White	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✗	✗	–	✗	✗	–
Limited English Proficient	✓	✗	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✗ 6 of 7	✗ 5 of 7	✓ 1 of 1	✗ 2 of 4	✗ 3 of 4	✗ 0 of 1

AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓^{SH} Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

- | Federal | State |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲ | Good Standing ■ |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■ |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 6 of 7 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (907:858)			97%		131	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Black or African American (203:189)			96%		109	114	109 118	
Hispanic or Latino (70:66)			99%		114	110		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (7:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
White (624:593)			98%		140	118		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (241:158)			91%		75	114	83 88	
Limited English Proficient (45:38)			96%		126	106		
Economically Disadvantaged (720:685)			98%		125	118		
Final AYP Determination		6 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 5 of 7 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (897:847)			98%		123	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (3:3)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
Black or African American (195:186)			98%		87	78		
Hispanic or Latino (69:64)			100%		111	74		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (8:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	
White (622:587)			98%		136	81		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (242:159)			94%		76	78	72 88	
Limited English Proficient (67:38)			94%		76	70		
Economically Disadvantaged (710:677)			99%		118	82		
Final AYP Determination		5 of 7						

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science
 Made AYP

Prospective Status This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (294:276)		Qualified		97%		152	100	
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (70:67)		Qualified		99%		127	100	
Hispanic or Latino (27:25)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (194:181)		Qualified		96%		164	100	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (66:58)		Qualified		92%		114	100	
Limited English Proficient (19:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (234:226)		Qualified		100%		146	100	
Final AYP Determination	 1 of 1							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Subject
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 2 of 4 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in English Language Arts at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06	2006–07
All Students (169:166)			96%		145	146	146†	151
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (25:21)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (9:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (132:134)			96%		149	145		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (39:42)		–	–		76	139	90	88
Limited English Proficient (2:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (90:90)			100%		150	144		
Final AYP Determination	 2 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- † This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)  Good Standing

Accountability Measures 3 of 4 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status

A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06 2006–07
All Students (169:166)			96%		164	138	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (25:21)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (9:9)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (132:134)			96%		169	137	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities (39:42)		–	–		110	131	114 119
Limited English Proficient (2:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (90:90)			99%		172	136	
Final AYP Determination	 3 of 4						

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 - ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
 - ³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status  Good Standing
for This Indicator
(2006–07)

Accountability Measures 0 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
 Did not make AYP

Prospective Status A district that fails to make AYP in Graduation Rate for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [203]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) ¹	Graduation		Graduation Rate ²	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07	
All Students (154)			54%	55%	55%	55%
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (23)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (4)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (125)			58%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (26)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (4)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (62)			55%	55%		
Final AYP Determination	 0 of 1					

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

3 School Accountability Status

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

LACKAWANNA HIGH SCHOOL

LACKAWANNA MIDDLE SCHOOL

MARTIN ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

TRUMAN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
English Language Arts				
Grade 3	51%			114
Grade 4	38%			105
Grade 5	41%			156
Grade 6	48%			137
Grade 7	36%			160
Grade 8	33%			169
Mathematics				
Grade 3	59%			121
Grade 4	66%			110
Grade 5	35%			155
Grade 6	36%			139
Grade 7	31%			165
Grade 8	26%			174
Science				
Grade 4	63%			111
Grade 8	56%			172

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Secondary Level				
English	56%			198
Mathematics	63%			198

	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
Graduation Rate				
2002 Cohort	50%			198

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:


High Need/Resource Urban-Suburban Districts

This is an urban or suburban school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 651	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Number of Students:	100	58	3	92%	69%	7%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	114	88%	51%	3%				
Female	60	85%	57%	3%				
Male	54	91%	44%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	25	100%	40%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	79	89%	56%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	10	50%	40%	0%				
General-Education Students	90	93%	59%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	24	67%	21%	0%				
English Proficient	110	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	90	87%	50%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	24	92%	54%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	114	88%	51%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	4	4	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	8	6	5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 652	Range: 624-770	650-770	703-770			
Number of Students:	106	71	3			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	121	88%	59%	2%				
Female	63	87%	60%	2%				
Male	58	88%	57%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	96%	50%	8%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	86	87%	60%	1%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	11	73%	64%	0%				
General-Education Students	96	96%	66%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	25	56%	32%	0%				
English Proficient	110	91%	62%	3%				
Limited English Proficient	11	55%	27%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	93	88%	57%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	28	86%	64%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	121	88%	59%	2%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	5	5	5	4	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 639	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	83	40	1	91%	69%	9%



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	105	79%	38%	1%				
Female	43	79%	37%	2%				
Male	62	79%	39%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	19	63%	21%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	77	83%	42%	1%				
Small Group Totals	9	78%	44%	0%				
General-Education Students	84	89%	46%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	21	38%	5%	0%				
English Proficient	103	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	92	78%	36%	1%				
Not Disadvantaged	13	85%	54%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	105	79%	38%	1%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	5	4	3	N/A	0			

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	110	88%	66%	9%				
Female	45	84%	58%	2%				
Male	65	91%	72%	14%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	20	80%	30%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	-	-	-				
Pacific Islander								
White	79	92%	76%	11%				
Small Group Totals	11	73%	64%	9%				
General-Education Students	87	94%	76%	10%				
Students with Disabilities	23	65%	30%	4%				
English Proficient	103	90%	68%	10%				
Limited English Proficient	7	57%	43%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	97	88%	65%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	13	92%	77%	15%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	110	88%	66%	9%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	111	87%	63%	19%	149	95%	66%	18%
Female	46	80%	54%	11%	75	96%	65%	16%
Male	65	92%	69%	25%	74	95%	68%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	85%	50%	5%	23	91%	39%	4%
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-	15	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	79	91%	71%	24%	107	97%	75%	22%
Small Group Totals	12	67%	33%	8%	19	89%	53%	11%
General-Education Students	88	92%	67%	22%	124	98%	72%	20%
Students with Disabilities	23	70%	48%	9%	25	80%	40%	8%
English Proficient	105	90%	67%	20%	140	96%	68%	19%
Limited English Proficient	6	50%	0%	0%	9	78%	44%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	98	87%	60%	17%	115	95%	62%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	13	92%	85%	31%	34	97%	82%	32%
Migrant					1	-	-	-
Not Migrant	111	87%	63%	19%	148	-	-	-

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	0			

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 639	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Number of Students:	136	64	7	136	64	7



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	156	87%	41%	4%				
Female	80	86%	43%	5%				
Male	76	88%	39%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	30	77%	23%	3%				
Hispanic or Latino	11	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	111	91%	45%	5%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	15	80%	47%	7%				
General-Education Students	123	94%	49%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	33	61%	12%	0%				
English Proficient	155	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	124	88%	37%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	32	84%	56%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	87%	41%	4%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 637	619-780	650-780	699-780			
Range:						
	72%	35%	4%	90%	68%	19%
Number of Students:	112	55	6			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	155	72%	35%	4%				
Female	79	68%	27%	5%				
Male	76	76%	45%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	27	37%	7%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	11	73%	36%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	-	-	-				
White	112	83%	43%	4%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	5	20%	20%	20%				
General-Education Students	122	79%	43%	5%				
Students with Disabilities	33	48%	6%	0%				
English Proficient	150	73%	36%	4%				
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	20%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	123	70%	30%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	32	81%	56%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	155	72%	35%	4%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 645	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Range:	598-785	650-785	705-785			
Number of Students:	127	66	8			



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	137	93%	48%	6%				
Female	75	91%	49%	8%				
Male	62	95%	47%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	29	90%	28%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	88%	50%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	100	94%	54%	8%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	116	100%	55%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	21	52%	10%	0%				
English Proficient	137	93%	48%	6%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	110	91%	45%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged	27	100%	63%	7%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	137	93%	48%	6%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	4	–	–	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 639	616-780	650-780	696-780			
Range:						
	81%	36%	4%	87%	60%	13%
Number of Students:	112	50	5			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	139	81%	36%	4%				
Female	74	72%	35%	5%				
Male	65	91%	37%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	28	68%	14%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	50%	38%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander								
White	103	86%	42%	5%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	118	86%	39%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	21	48%	19%	0%				
English Proficient	137	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	110	78%	34%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	29	90%	45%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	139	81%	36%	4%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 640	Range: 600-790			650-790 712-790		
Number of Students:	147	57	5			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	160	92%	36%	3%				
Female	66	92%	36%	5%				
Male	94	91%	35%	2%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	44	91%	25%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	103	91%	39%	5%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	13	100%	46%	0%				
General-Education Students	143	94%	40%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	17	71%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	159	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	132	92%	31%	3%				
Not Disadvantaged	28	89%	57%	4%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	160	92%	36%	3%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	4	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 640	611-800	650-800	693-800			
Range:						
	81%	31%	7%	87%	56%	12%
Number of Students:	133	51	12			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	165	81%	31%	7%				
Female	70	81%	30%	3%				
Male	95	80%	32%	11%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	41	78%	12%	2%				
Hispanic or Latino	14	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-				
White	108	81%	39%	9%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	16	81%	25%	6%				
General-Education Students	147	84%	34%	8%				
Students with Disabilities	18	50%	6%	0%				
English Proficient	160	83%	32%	8%				
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	137	80%	26%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	28	86%	54%	14%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	165	81%	31%	7%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 633	602-790	650-790	715-790			
Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790			
Number of Students:	145	56	3	145	56	3



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	169	86%	33%	2%				
Female	81	91%	47%	2%				
Male	88	81%	20%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	45	69%	20%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	106	93%	43%	2%				
Small Group Totals	18	83%	6%	6%				
General-Education Students	135	96%	41%	2%				
Students with Disabilities	34	47%	3%	0%				
English Proficient	163	88%	34%	2%				
Limited English Proficient	6	33%	0%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	131	82%	27%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	38	100%	55%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	169	86%	33%	2%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	5	4	4	N/A	5	1	1	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 630	616-775	650-775	701-775			
Range:						
	78%	26%	0%	85%	54%	10%
Number of Students:	135	45	0			

Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	174	78%	26%	0%				
Female	84	85%	33%	0%				
Male	90	71%	19%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	48	58%	10%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	108	87%	33%	0%				
Small Group Totals	18	72%	22%	0%				
General-Education Students	138	88%	33%	0%				
Students with Disabilities	36	36%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	163	79%	27%	0%				
Limited English Proficient	11	64%	9%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	136	74%	23%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	38	89%	37%	0%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	174	78%	26%	0%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
All Students	172	93%	56%	10%	137	96%	79%	31%
Female	83	94%	58%	12%	59	100%	80%	24%
Male	89	92%	55%	9%	78	94%	78%	37%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Black or African American	48	88%	33%	2%	31	97%	68%	13%
Hispanic or Latino	16	-	-	-	9	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-				
White	106	94%	68%	15%	96	96%	82%	36%
Small Group Totals	18	100%	50%	6%	10	100%	80%	40%
General-Education Students	137	94%	66%	13%	113	98%	88%	36%
Students with Disabilities	35	89%	17%	0%	24	88%	33%	8%
English Proficient	161	94%	60%	11%	128	97%	80%	32%
Limited English Proficient	11	73%	0%	0%	9	89%	56%	22%
Economically Disadvantaged	134	93%	50%	8%	96	95%	77%	27%
Not Disadvantaged	38	95%	79%	18%	41	100%	83%	41%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	172	93%	56%	10%	137	96%	79%	31%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Regents Science	0				0			

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 603-800	645-800	692-800

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	13	63	64	11	151	643
Feb 2004	11	65	51	6	133	640
Feb 2003	7	69	64	14	154	647

Grade 8

This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
Range: 658-830	697-830	737-830

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4	3-4	4
-----	-----	---



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	14	78	47	6	145	689
Jan 2004	14	104	39	3	160	684
Jan 2003	18	88	42	4	152	684

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
Range: 602-810 637-810 678-810

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	12	31	80	31	154	648
May 2004	6	37	79	14	136	649
May 2003	2	39	93	19	153	653

Grade 8

This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4
Range: 681-882 716-882 760-882

NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	15	49	73	15	152	720
May 2004	21	73	60	11	165	709
May 2003	27	81	55	1	164	705

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	198	62%	56%	8%	188	56%	50%	12%
Female	92	72%	66%	10%	84	61%	56%	12%
Male	106	54%	47%	6%	104	53%	45%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	26	50%	46%	4%	30	47%	37%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	158	65%	59%	9%	150	59%	53%	15%
Small Group Totals	14	50%	43%	0%	8	38%	38%	0%
General-Education Students	145	80%	72%	10%	155	65%	59%	14%
Students with Disabilities	53	13%	11%	2%	33	15%	9%	3%
English Proficient	193	64%	58%	8%	181	58%	51%	12%
Limited English Proficient	5	0%	0%	0%	7	14%	14%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	68%	61%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	90	56%	50%	9%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	198	62%	56%	8%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	3	3

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



Results by Student Group

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	198	68%	63%	7%	188	63%	56%	7%
Female	92	75%	71%	3%	84	67%	60%	6%
Male	106	62%	57%	9%	104	61%	54%	9%
American Indian or Alaska Native					1	-	-	-
Black or African American	26	58%	54%	4%	30	50%	43%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	12	-	-	-	6	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
White	158	71%	66%	7%	150	67%	60%	9%
Small Group Totals	14	57%	50%	7%	8	50%	38%	13%
General-Education Students	145	82%	79%	9%	155	71%	66%	9%
Students with Disabilities	53	30%	21%	0%	33	27%	12%	0%
English Proficient	193	69%	64%	7%	181	64%	57%	8%
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	20%	0%	7	57%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	108	74%	70%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	90	61%	54%	4%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	198	68%	63%	7%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

2002 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

2001 Cohort*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	3	3

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	198	50%	4%	17%	27%	3%
	2001	188	45%	4%	23%	24%	4%
Female	2002	92	55%	3%	16%	22%	3%
	2001	84	54%	4%	20%	21%	1%
Male	2002	106	45%	4%	18%	31%	2%
	2001	104	38%	4%	25%	26%	7%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	2002	26	50%	4%	27%	19%	0%
	2001	30	27%	7%	30%	33%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	12	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	6	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	2	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	1	–	–	–	–	–
White	2002	158	51%	4%	15%	27%	3%
	2001	150	51%	3%	23%	21%	3%
Small Group Totals	2002	14	36%	0%	29%	36%	0%
	2001	8	13%	13%	0%	50%	25%
General-Education Students	2002	145	63%	0%	14%	20%	3%
	2001	155	51%	0%	23%	21%	5%
Students with Disabilities	2002	53	15%	13%	26%	45%	0%
	2001	33	18%	21%	21%	39%	0%
English Proficient	2002	193	51%	4%	17%	26%	2%
	2001	181	46%	3%	23%	23%	4%
Limited English Proficient	2002	5	0%	0%	40%	40%	20%
	2001	7	14%	14%	14%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	108	56%	4%	17%	22%	2%
	2001	108	56%	4%	17%	22%	2%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	90	43%	3%	18%	32%	3%
	2001	90	43%	3%	18%	32%	3%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	198	50%	4%	17%	27%	3%
	2001	188	45%	4%	23%	24%	4%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **LACKAWANNA CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	182	57%	4%	26%	8%	4%
Female	79	67%	5%	23%	5%	0%
Male	103	50%	4%	29%	10%	8%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	29	45%	0%	41%	10%	3%
Hispanic or Latino	5	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–	–	–
White	146	60%	5%	25%	8%	3%
Small Group Totals	7	57%	14%	0%	0%	29%
General-Education Students	152	63%	0%	27%	5%	5%
Students with Disabilities	30	30%	27%	23%	20%	0%
English Proficient	177	58%	4%	26%	7%	5%
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	20%	40%	20%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	70	59%	4%	31%	4%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	112	56%	4%	23%	10%	6%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	182	57%	4%	26%	8%	4%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.