

# The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School BYRON-BERGEN MIDDLE SCHOOL District BYRON-BERGEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 180701040005 Principal DANIEL BEDETTE Telephone (585) 494-1220 Grades 5-8

# **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

**Get School Profile information**. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning

## **2** Review School Accountability Status.

environment.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

## For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	0	0	0
Grade 1	0	0	0
Grade 2	0	0	0
Grade 3	0	0	0
Grade 4	0	0	0
Grade 5	73	89	95
Grade 6	96	76	87
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	118	95	78
Grade 8	121	119	96
Grade 9	0	0	0
Grade 10	0	0	0
Grade 11	0	0	0
Grade 12	0	0	0
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	408	379	356

## Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

## **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Common Branch	19	21	23
Grade 8			
English	23	24	
Mathematics	22	24	
Science	23	23	24
Social Studies	24	23	
Grade 10			
English			
Mathematics			
Science			
Social Studies			

## Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	4-05	2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	52	13%	61	16%	68	19%
Reduced-Price Lunch	23	6%	28	7%	17	5%
Student Stability*		97%		92%		94%
Limited English Proficient	3	1%	3	1%	2	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	7	2%	6	2%	8	2%
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	2	1%	2	1%
Asian or Native	3	1%	2	1%	2	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	397	97%	368	97%	344	97%

\* Not available at the district level.

## **Attendance and Suspensions**

	200	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		97%		97%		96%	
Student Suspensions	40	N/A	20	5%	5	1%	

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	79	79	67
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	1
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	6%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	10%	11%	6%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	33	19	15
Total Other Professional Staff	1	1	1
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

## Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

# Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$ 

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School BYRON-BERGEN MIDDLE SCHOOL District BYRON-BERGEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status	<b>New York State Status</b>				
(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not be Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academ	en identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, nic Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not				
make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)				
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not				
on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for				
the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)				
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was				
is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the	identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	(Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following					

# 2 School Accountability

School BYRON-BERGEN MIDDLE SCHOOL District BYRON-BERGEN CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	Good Standing	Standing				
	Elementary/Middle Level	Secondary Level				
	ELA Good Standing	ELA				
	Math Good Standing	Math				
	Science Good Standing	Graduation Rate				
Title I Part A Funding	Years the School Received	Title I Part A Funding				

litle i Part A Funding	Years the School Received little i Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
	NO	NO	NO			

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/I	Middle Level		Secondary Le	evel	
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>			
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_				
Black or African American	–	–	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	–			••••••	•••••
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>V</b>				
Limited English Proficient	–	-	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••
Economically Disadvantaged	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••	••••
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1			



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		es
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target	
All Students (356:353)	V		100%		161	116	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	_	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (344:341)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<b>~</b>	162	116	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (58:58)	~	~	100%	~	114	109		
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	–	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (90:88)	~	~	100%	~	152	111		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (359:351)	Status	<b>Criterion</b>	100%		151	80	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (3:3)	_	_	-	-	-	-	•••••••••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (347:339)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	152	80	••• •••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (59:58)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	102	73		
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (92:88)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	~	134	75		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (97:95)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	100%	<b>V</b>	188	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)							•••••		
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							•••••		
White (96:94)	•••••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	188	100		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (12:11)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (21:19)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	of 1							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- 2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3					
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%				
Grade 3	-		3				
Grade 4	-		1				
Grade 5	73%		94				
Grade 6	66%		88				
Grade 7	55%		74				
Grade 8	65%		94				
Mathematics Grade 3	_		3				
Grade 4	-		1				
Grade 5	62%		94				
Grade 6	59%		86				
Grade 7	56%		78				
Grade 8	55%		96				
Science							
Grade 4	-		1				
Grade 8	87%		95				

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

### What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 32

All schools in this group are middle level schools in school districts with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity. The schools in this group are in the middle range of student needs for middle level schools in these districts.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

			This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage so	coring at level(s)	:		Percentage s	coring at level(	s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: –	Range:	616-780	650-780	730	-780							
	100%					7.40/						
						74%						
							22%					
								0%				
Number of Students:		_	-	-								
<b>Results by</b>		2005-06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004-05	School Year					
-		Total	Percentage sco	oring at lev	vel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):			
Student Gro	Jup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		3	-	-	-							
Female		1		_								
Male		2	-	-	-							
American Indian or Alask	ka Native											
Black or African America	in	1		_	_							
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian	n/Other											
Pacific Islander						·····	t was not siv	on in 2001	05			
White		2					t was not giv	2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		3	-	_	-							
General-Education Stude						•••••						
Students with Disabilities	5	3	-	-	-							
English Proficient		3										
Limited English Proficien												
Economically Disadvanta	ged	3										
Not Disadvantaged												
Migrant		•••••	•••••									
Not Migrant		3	-	-	-							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4			Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo				Similar Sc			
		Percentage	scoring at level(s)	:		Percentage s	scoring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: –	Range:	624-770	650-770	703	3-770				
	100%					89%	50%		
								0%	
Number of Students:		-	-	-					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage sc	oring at le	evel(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
<b>Student Grou</b>	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		3	-	-	-				
Female		1	-	_	_				
Male		2	-	-	-				
American Indian or Alaska N	lative								
Black or African American		1							
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	ther								
Pacific Islander									05
White		2					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		3	-	_	-				
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-				
English Proficient		3			_				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	Ι	3	-	_	_				
Not Disadvantaged									
Migrant									
Not Migrant		3	–	-	-				

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> e		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring at level(s):			l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ven in 200-	4-05.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		<u>This School</u>				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		•	oring at level(s):							
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: –	Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775						
	100%									
					41%					
						7%				
							0%			
Number of Students:		-	-	-						
Results by		2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2004-05	School Year				
	•	Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4			
All Students		1	-							
Female										
Male		1	-							
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments fo	r elementary-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er				and mi	ddle-level Eng	glish language			
Pacific Islander						d mathematic				
White		1					5. Results from			
Small Group Totals		1	-				annot be directly			
General-Education Students						stered assess	from previously			
Students with Disabilities		1	-		aumme		nems.			
English Proficient		1	-							
imited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged		1	-			•••••	••••••			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••	1	_			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 4	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This School			Similar Scl	hools	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage s	coring at level	s):
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: –	Range:	622-800	650-800	702-800			
	100%						
					41%		
						18%	
							0%
Number of Students:		-	-	-			
Results by		2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2004-05	School Year	
		Total	Percentage scori	ng at level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4 3-	-4 4	Tested	2-4	3-4 4
All Students		1	-				
Female							
Male		1	-				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve						
Black or African American							
Hispanic or Latino					New as	sessments fo	r elementary-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and mic	dle-level En	glish language
Pacific Islander						d mathematic	
White		1	_				6. Results from
Small Group Totals		1	_				annot be directly
General-Education Students						tered assess	from previously
Students with Disabilities		1	-		adminis	10100 033033	inents.
English Proficient		1	_				
Limited English Proficient							
Economically Disadvantaged							
Not Disadvantaged		1	_				
Migrant							
Not Migrant		1	-				
NOTES							

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sc			
		Percentage se	coring at level(s)	:		Percentage	scoring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: –	Range:	45-100	65-100	85-10	0				
	100%					94%			
	100%						69%		
2005-06									
2004-05								12%	
Number of Students:		_	-	_					
							<b>6</b> . L L. Y		
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o			(a);		School Year	coording of la	
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage sc	-		Total Tested	-	scoring at le	
All Students		1 1	2-4	3-4	4	Testeu	2-4	3-4	4
All Students Female		1	-	-	-				
Male			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
		L	_	-	_				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ Black or African American	/e		• •••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	• • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••	••••••	•••••	•••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Pacific Islander									
White	•••••	 1		-	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	•••••	1		-	_	•••••	•••••	•••••	
General-Education Students									
Students with Disabilities	••••	1		-	_	•••••	•••••	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •
English Proficient		1	_	-	-				
imited English Proficient		••••••••••••••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	1	-	_	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		1	-	-	-				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This School Percentage scoring at level(s):				Similar Schools					
		-					coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 666	Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
		99%				95%					
	100%		73%				70%				
				1	0%			11%			
Number of Students:		93	69		9						
Results by		2005-06 Se	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
		Total	Percentage scorir		level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		94	99%	73%	10%						
- emale		47	98%	83%	13%						
Male		47	100%	64%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American		3									
Hispanic or Latino		1									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander						This too	t was not aiv	on in 2004	05		
White			99%	76%	10%	This les	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	20%	0%						
General-Education Students		79	100%	82%	10%						
Students with Disabilities		15	93%	27%	7%						
English Proficient			99%	73%	10%						
imited English Proficient											
conomically Disadvantaged		23	100%	74%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		71	99%	73%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		94	99%	73%	10%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>So</b>	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent								-4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι		Similar Schools					
		Percentage so		el(s):			scoring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group Students nale le erican Indian or Alaska Native ck or African American panic or Latino an or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander ite all Group Totals neral-Education Students dents with Disabilities glish Proficient	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 69	99-780					
	100%	93%				91%				
	100%		62%				69%			
			62%							
				5	%			14%		
Number of Students:		87	58	Į	5					
Results by		2005-06 Sc	hool Year		2004-05	School Year				
-		Total		e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		94	93%	62%	5%					
Female		47	94%	60%	4%					
Male		47	91%	64%	6%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e									
Black or African American		3	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-		-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1								
Pacific Islander		L	_							
White		89	93%	62%	6%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		5	80%	60%	0%					
General-Education Students		79	99%	70%	6%					
Students with Disabilities		15	60%	20%	0%					
English Proficient		94	93%	62%	5%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		23	91%	52%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	71	93%	65%	6%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	••••	94	93%	62%	5%		•••••			

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test	st was not given in 2004-05.			

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		<u>This Schoo</u>				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s	-			_	-			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 662	Range:	598-785	650-7	785 70	05-785					
		99%				95%				
	100%		66%				68%			
			00%							
				5	%			13%		
Number of Students:		87	58		<b></b>					
		2005-06 <b>S</b>				2004 05	School Year			
Results by					lovel/c).					
<b>Student Grou</b>	D	Total	-	e scoring at		Total		scoring at le		
	r	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		88	99%	66%	5%					
Female	•••••	53	100%	62%	8%	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	
Male		35	97%	71%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska N	ative	1	••••••		<u>-</u>	•••••				
Black or African American	•••••	1	••••••	······-	······	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••		•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot Pacific Islander	ner									
White	•••••			 _	 _	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals	•••••		99%			•••••				
General-Education Students		74	100%	73%	5%					
Students with Disabilities	•••••		93%			•••••				
English Proficient		88	99%	66%	5%					
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••		••••		•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged		23	100%	43%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	65	98%	74%	5%		•••••	•••••		
S Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••		99%				••••	••••••		

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
ASSESSITETILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This test y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent							200	·+-0 <i>J</i> .	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This School				Similar Schools					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780						
	100%	92%				91%					
	100/0		500/				66%				
			59%								
				5	%			13%			
Number of Students:		79	51	2	4						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year		2004-05	School Year					
-	Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Percent			Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):					
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		86	92%	59%	5%						
Female		52	92%	52%	0%						
Male		34	91%	71%	12%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	1	-	-	-						
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		•••••••••••••••••			•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••						
Pacific Islander						······					
White		84				This te	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		86	92%	59%	5%						
General-Education Students		72	99%	65%	6%						
Students with Disabilities		14	57%	29%	0%						
English Proficient		86	92%	59%	5%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		23	87%	43%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		63	94%	65%	6%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		86	92%	59%	5%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments New York State Alternate Assessment	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
	0	2-4	5-4	4		t was not giv		4
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0					t was not gri		+ 05.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools				
		Percentage so				-	scoring at level(			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 648	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7	12-790					
	100%	92%				96%				
	100%						66%			
			55%							
				3	%			9%		
Number of Students:		68	41		2					
Results by		2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	ıp	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		74	92%	55%	3%					
Female		43	93%	65%	2%					
Male		31	90%	42%	3%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
American Indian or Alaska I	Native									
Black or African American		1	-	–	–					
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	other									
Pacific Islander						······			05	
White		72					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		74	92%	55%	3%					
General-Education Students	5	59	95%	63%	3%					
Students with Disabilities		15	80%	27%	0%					
English Proficient		74	92%	55%	3%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantage	d	21	95%	57%	5%					
Not Disadvantaged		53	91%	55%	2%					
Migrant					•••••					
Not Migrant		74	92%	55%	3%					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not qiv	in 200	4.05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent						was not giv		,4-0J.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ι			Similar Sc	hools		
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):			scoring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 647	Range:	611-800	650-8	800 69	93-800				
	100%	90%				94%			
	100%						67%		
			56%						
				39	%			15%	
Number of Students:		70	44	2	2				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year	1	
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		78	90%	56%	3%				
Female		46	91%	59%	4%				
Male		32	88%	53%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		1	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ							
White		75			_	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		78	90%	56%	3%				
General-Education Students		63	94%	62%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15	73%	33%	0%				
English Proficient		77	_	-	-				
Limited English Proficient		1	–	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged		22	86%	50%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		56	91%	59%	4%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		78	90%	56%	3%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5		Total		oring at leve		
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200/	4-05.	

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Schoo	ol			Similar Sc	hools		
		Percentage s		el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 660	Range:	602-790	650-7	<b>'</b> 90 7	15-790				
		98%				95%			
	100%								
			65%				59%		
				-	L%			6%	
Number of Chudoota		0.2	61						
Number of Students:		92	61		1				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year	•		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		94	<b>98</b> %	65%	1%				
Female		56	98%	63%	2%				
Male		38	97%	68%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	New as	sessments fo	r elementar	у-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r						dle-level En	5 5	age
Pacific Islander							d mathematic		
White		93					tered in 200		
Small Group Totals		94	98%	65%	1%		ssessments c		-
General-Education Students		84	99%	70%	1%		ed to results tered assess	•	Justy
Students with Disabilities		10	90%	20%	0%		10100 033033	nento.	
English Proficient		94	98%	65%	1%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		20	95%	50%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		74	99%	69%	1%		••••		
Migrant									
Not Migrant	•••••		98%	65%	1%		••••	••••••	•••••

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3-4	el(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	1	-	-	N/A	0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo	l			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentage se		l(s):		Percentage sc	coring at level(	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 70	01-775				
	100%	94%				94%			
	100%						67%		
			55%						
				4	%			11%	
Number of Students:		90	53	2	•••				
Deculte by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05 S	ichool Year		
Results by		2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s):			level(s):	Total		scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Gro	up	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		96	94%	55%	4%				
Female		57	93%	56%	5%				
Male		39	95%	54%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska	Native								
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1				New ass	essments fo	r elementa	ry-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/0	Other						dle-level Eng		age
Pacific Islander							mathematic		
White		95					ered in 2000 sessments c		
Small Group Totals		96	94%	55%	4%		ed to results		-
General-Education Student	ts	85	96%	58%	5%		ered assess	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		11	73%	36%	0%				
English Proficient		95							
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantage	ed	21	81%	38%	5%				
Not Disadvantaged		75	97%	60%	4%				
Migrant		1	_		_				
Not Migrant		95	-	-	-				

NOTES

Other Assessments	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Science


		This Scho	ol			Similar Sch	ools		
		Percentages	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 79	Range:	44-100	65-1	00 E	85-100				
2005-06	100%	100% 98%	87% 8		<sup>2%</sup> 33%	98% 98%	85% 88	319	40%
2003-08									
Number of Students:		95 117	83	104	40 40				
<b>Results by</b>		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	ır		2004–05 S	chool Yea	r	
		Total	Percentag	je scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		95	100%	87%	<b>42</b> %	120	98%	<b>87</b> %	33%
Female		56	100%	86%	34%	65	97%	86%	29%
Male		39	100%	90%	54%	55	98%	87%	38%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve								
Black or African American						1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								
Pacific Islander									
White		94				118	–		
Small Group Totals		95	100%	87%	42%	120	98%	87%	33%
General-Education Students		84	100%	92%	43%	106	99%	90%	36%
Students with Disabilities		11	100%	55%	36%	14	86%	64%	14%
English Proficient		94	-	-	-	119	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Economically Disadvantaged		20	100%	65%	25%	29	100%	79%	17%
Not Disadvantaged		75	100%	93%	47%	91	97%	89%	38%
Migrant		1	-	_	_				
Not Migrant		94	-	-	-	120	98%	87%	33%

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year		2004–05 School Year				
-	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	1	-	-	-	0			

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**


Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	et:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	4	52	58	5	119	699	
Jan 2004	1	55	48	12	116	704	
Jan 2003	4	61	51	11	127	700	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	7	45	65	4	121	718	
May 2004	4	44	60	6	114	720	
May 2003	12	52	56	6	126	715	