

## The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District PEMBROKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 181302040000 Superintendent GARY MIX Telephone (585) 599-4525 Grades PK-12, US

## **This District's Report Card**

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

#### Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



#### View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

## Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	9	14	4
Kindergarten	146	140	149
Grade 1	80	72	79
Grade 2	82	77	75
Grade 3	99	83	75
Grade 4	99	95	82
Grade 5	103	99	97
Grade 6	106	105	98
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	109	108	101
Grade 8	109	112	112
Grade 9	106	116	116
Grade 10	132	97	112
Grade 11	115	126	86
Grade 12	103	116	120
Ungraded Secondary	9	7	7
Total K-12	1398	1353	1309

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	20	19	18
Grade 8			
English	22	19	21
Mathematics	18	13	20
Science	16	15	22
Social Studies	23	21	23
Grade 10			
English	22	22	23
Mathematics	18	13	20
Science	23	19	20
Social Studies	23	18	23

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		200	2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Eligible for Free Lunch	211	15%	208	15%	268	20%	
Reduced-Price Lunch	93	7%	88	7%	91	7%	
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A	
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	3	0%	3	0%	
Racial/Ethnic Origin							
American Indian or Alaska Native	3	0%	2	0%	2	0%	
Black or African American	17	1%	21	2%	18	1%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	0%	2	0%	2	0%	
Asian or Native	5	0%	6	0%	7	1%	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander							
White	1372	98%	1322	98%	1280	98%	

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	59	N/A	74	5%	73	5%

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	295	273	375
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percentage of Total	0%	0%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	7%	7%

### **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Total Teachers	106	108	104
Total Other Professional Staff	13	13	18
Total Paraprofessionals*	36	35	15
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	3	3	3

\* Not available at the school level.

### Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

#### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

#### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

#### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

#### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

#### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

#### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

#### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4)  $\div$  Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

#### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

#### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

#### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

#### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

#### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

#### **E**odoral Title | Status

Now York State Status

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not be or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	een identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

## 2 District Accountability

District PEMBROKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Status (2006–07)	Element	ary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	l	
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	

litle i Part A Funding	fears the District Received Title I Part A Funding					
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07			
	YES	YES	YES			

#### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_						
Black or African American	–	–	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_		-	_	•••••		
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	••••	✓	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<b>✓</b> SH	<b>v</b>		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	–	–	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	••••	–		••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Perfo	<b>Test Performance</b> <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	-	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07	
			100%		167	117	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (568:559)	•	•	100%		107	11/			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Black or African American (11:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	_	_	-	–	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-		_	
White (552:543)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	<b>~</b>	167	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (86:81)	<b>✓</b> SH	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<b>√</b> SH	105	111	99	115	
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Economically Disadvantaged (147:144)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	153	113		••••	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	1							

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (575:556)	Status		100%		175	81	2005 00	2000 07
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (11:11)	–	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (2:2)	_	_	-	–	-	-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-		-
White (559:540)	<	<b>~</b>	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	176	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (86:79)	~	<b>~</b>	99%	~	110	75		
Limited English Proficient (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Economically Disadvantaged (154:141)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	~	164	77		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4	4						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

### **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

#### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Ctatua	Safe Harbor	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State	Progress	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	-	Qualification					Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (191:185)		Qualified	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	100%	V	195	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (5:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	_	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		_
White (184:178)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	100%	~	196	100		• •• • • • • • • • • • • • •
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (26:24)		-	_	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		–
Economically Disadvantaged (51:46)	•••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	187	100		
Final AYP Determination	<b>1</b> 0	of 1							

#### NOTES

2

#### <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Made AYP

**AYP Status** 

- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07	
							2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (123:120)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	99%	<u> </u>	193	145			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)	•••••••		••••••••••••••••••••••				••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (123:120)	<	✓	99%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	193	145	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (13:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (14:13)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

#### NOTES

#### AYP Status

Made AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
  <sup>2</sup> Council of the second students in the 12th and a second students in the second students.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup> All Students (123:120)			98%		191	137	2005-06	2006-07	
	•	•	9870	•	191	131			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (123:120)	✓	✓	98%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	191	137	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (13:11)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (14:13)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

#### NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
  <sup>2</sup> Council of the second students in the 12th and a second student student student.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 $\ddagger$  This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	6	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (118)	~	<b>v</b>	90%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		-	-	-	-	-
White (117)		~	90%	55%		•••••••••••
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (17)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (15)		_	_	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## <sup>3</sup> School Accountability Status

District PEMBROKE CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

### 2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

#### **Federal Title I Status**

**New York State Status** 

▲ Good Standing

PEMBROKE INTERMEDIATE SCHOOL PEMBROKE JUNIOR-SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL PEMBROKE PRIMARY SCHOOL

3 schools identified 100% of total

### Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	e of students that or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	79%		75
Grade 4	77%		78
Grade 5	80%		96
Grade 6	64%		98
Grade 7	70%		102
Grade 8	56%		111
Mathematics			
Grade 3	95%		76
Grade 4	91%		81
Grade 5	78%		97
Grade 6	70%		99
Grade 7	73%		104
Grade 8	71%		110
Science			
Grade 4	99%		80
Grade 8	90%		96
	-	e of students that or above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	90%		127
Mathematics	91%		127
	Percentage who gradua	e of students ated	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	94%		127

#### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

#### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

### This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 677	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 7	30-780						
	100%	97%				92%					
			79%			92.98	69%				
							09%				
				8	%			7%			
Number of Students:		73	59		<b>6</b>						
		15	29		D						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		75	97%	<b>79</b> %	8%						
Female		41	100%	85%	12%						
Male		34	94%	71%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		±			•••••			·	05		
White		74				Inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		75	97%	79%	8%						
General-Education Students		67	99%	81%	9%						
Students with Disabilities		8	88%	63%	0%						
English Proficient		75	97%	79%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		19	95%	84%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		56	98%	77%	11%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		75	97%	79%	8%		••••	••••••			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	This test	is test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 690	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	99%	95%			94%					
							81%				
				32	2%			25%			
Number of Students:		75	72		4						
		-									
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		76	99%	95%	32%						
Female			100%	95%	36%						
Male		34	97%	94%	26%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er	1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander	•••••				•••••	This to	at was not ai	an in 2004	05		
White							st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		76	99%	95%	32%						
General-Education Students		68	100%	97%	34%						
Students with Disabilities		8	88%	75%	13%						
English Proficient		76	99%	95%	32%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		20	95%	85%	25%						
Not Disadvantaged		56	100%	98%	34%		•••••	••••••••••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	76	99%	95%	32%	••••••	•••••	••••••			

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	-	_	-	This test	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

### This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District				NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 672	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 7	16-775						
	100%	94%				91%					
			77%			9178	69%				
				6	%			9%			
Number of Students:		73	60		<b></b>						
		15	00		)						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		78	94%	77%	6%						
Female		46	96%	80%	4%						
Male		32	91%	72%	9%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		1	_								
Hispanic or Latino		1					essments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level En	5	age		
Pacific Islander					•••••		mathematic				
White		76	-				tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		78	94%	77%	6%		sessments o				
General-Education Students		69	96%	86%	7%		ed to results	•	ousiy		
Students with Disabilities		9	78%	11%	0%	administered assessments.					
English Proficient		77	-	-	_						
Limited English Proficient		1	_	_	_						
Economically Disadvantaged		23	83%	61%	4%						
Not Disadvantaged		55	98%	84%	7%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		78	94%	77%	6%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage so	coring at level(	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 694	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	93%	91%			93%					
			5170				78%				
				4:	1%			26%			
Number of Students:		75	74		33						
		15					_				
Results by	2005–06 <b>Sc</b>	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year					
	n	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		81	93%	91%	41%						
Female		47	94%	94%	43%						
Male		34	91%	88%	38%						
American Indian or Alaska N	lative										
Black or African American		1		<u>-</u>							
Hispanic or Latino		1	–		_		essments for		•		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her						dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander				•••••			mathematic				
White		79	-				ered in 2000 sessments c				
Small Group Totals		81	93%	91%	41%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		72	99%	99%	44%		ered assessr	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		9	44%	33%	11%						
English Proficient		80	–								
Limited English Proficient		1	-	-	-						
Economically Disadvantaged		26	81%	77%	23%						
Not Disadvantaged		55	98%	98%	49%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		81	93%	91%	41%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_		_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	Ţ	_	_	-	0			

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):			
		2-4	3-4	2	Ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-1	s 00	35-100						
<ul><li>■ 2005-06</li><li>2004-05</li></ul>	100%	100%100%	99% g		3%	97% 95%	86% 80	1% 49'	<sup>%</sup> 42%		
Number of Students:		80 93	79	90	58 47						
Results by		2005-06 S				2004-05	School Yea	r			
Student Group	•	Total		je scoring a		Total	-	e scoring a			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		80	100%	99%	73%	93	100%	97%	51%		
Female		46	100%	98%	72%	46	100%	93%	46%		
Male		34	100%	100%	74%	47	100%	100%	55%		
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		1	_			2					
Hispanic or Latino		1				1					
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	er										
White	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	78	-		-	90		-			
Small Group Totals	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	80	100%	99%	73%	93	100%	97%	51%		
General-Education Students		72	100%	100%	76%	82	100%	98%	55%		
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	100%	88%	38%	11	100%	91%	18%		
English Proficient		79	-	_	-	93	100%	97%	51%		
imited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1	-	-	-				•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged		26	100%	96%	58%	18	100%	100%	39%		
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54	100%	100%	80%	75	100%	96%	53%		
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	80	100%		73%	93	100%		 51%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	_	_	0				

### This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       67%         95%       80%       94%       67%       67%         95%       11%       94%       67%       67%         91       77       11       70%       70% <b>2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Y</b> Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):						s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	608-795	650-7	95 7	11-795						
100%	95%	800/			94%					
		80%	1	1%		67%	12%			
	91	77	1	11						
	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
	Total Percentage scoring			t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
	96	95%	80%	11%						
	45	96%	80%	11%						
	51	94%	80%	12%						
/e										
	2									
	1	_	_	_						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	93	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
	96	95%	80%	11%						
	81	100%	90%	12%						
	15	67%	27%	7%	• • • • • • • • •					
	96	95%	80%	11%						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			••••••						
	21	95%	71%	0%						
	75	95%	83%	15%		••••	••••••••			
	96	95%	80%	11%		••••	•••••••			
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 608-795 100% 95% 95% 91 2005-06 Se Total Tested 96 45 51 7e 2 1 93 96 81 15 96 21 75	Percentage scoring at level         2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-7         100%       95%       80%         95%       80%       9         95%       80%       9         91       77         2005-06 Scbool Year       7         Total       Percentage         Tested       2-4         96       95%         45       96%         51       94%         7e       2         2       -         1       -         93       -         93       -         96       95%         81       100%         15       67%         96       95%         81       100%         15       67%         96       95%         81       100%         15       67%         96       95%         81       100%         15       67%         96       95%         81       100%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4         Range: $608-795$ $650-795$ $7$ $100\%$ $95\%$ $80\%$ $1$ $91$ $77$ $2$ $2005-06$ School Year $91$ $77$ $2$ Total       Percentage scoring at Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ $3-4$ $96$ $95\%$ $80\%$ $45$ $96\%$ $80\%$ $45$ $96\%$ $80\%$ $72$ $  72$ $  96$ $95\%$ $80\%$ $96$ $95\%$ $80\%$ $96$ $95\%$ $80\%$ $81$ $100\%$ $90\%$ $15$ $67\%$ $27\%$ $96$ $95\%$ $80\%$ $81$ $100\%$ $90\%$ $21$ $95\%$ $71\%$ $21$ $95\%$ $83\%$	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795         100%       95%       80%       11%         95%       80%       11%       11%         91       77       11       11         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11       11%         91       77       11%       11%         91       77       11%       11%         91       95%       80%       11%         96       95%       80%       11%         93       -       -       -         93       -       -       -         93       -       -       -         93       -       -       -         93	Percentage scoring at level(s):         Percentage s           2-4         3-4         4         2-4           Range:         608-795         650-795         711-795         94%           100%         95%         80%         94%         94%           91         77         11         94%         94%           91         77         11         94%         94%           2005-06         School Year         2004-05         7004-05         7004-05           Total         Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total         Total         7004-05         7004-05           96         95%         80%         11%         7004-05         705         705         7005         705         705	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       67%         100%       95%       80%       11%       67%       67%         91       77       11       11%       67%       67%         91       77       11       70 at 1       Percentage scoring at level(s):       70 at 1         zoo5-06 School Year       2004-05 School Year         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage         96       95%       80%       11%       2-4       2-4         96       95%       80%       11%       2-4       2-4       2-4         96       95%       80%       11%       51       94%       96       95%         93       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         93       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       608-795       650-795       711-795       94%       94%       94%       91       11%       94%       94%       91       12%       92%       94%       94%       94%       94%       94%       94%       94%       94%       92%       94%       94%       94%       92%       94%       92%       94%       92%       94%		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)<sup>†</sup>: 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         319-780       650-780       699-780       90%       68%         3%       78%       26%       68%       68%         30       76       25       5       5         oo5-o6 School Year       2004-05 School Y         otal       Percentage scoring at level(s):       7       7					s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 674	Range:	619-780	650-7	'80 6	99-780						
	100%	93%				00%					
		5570	78%			90%	600/				
							68%				
				2	6%						
				2	0 70			19%			
Number of Students:		90	76	2	25						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year	r		2004-05	School Year				
		Total Percentage scoring			t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		97	93%	<b>78</b> %	26%						
Female		45	96%	82%	31%						
Male		52	90%	75%	21%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	_	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u>-</u>		
White		94				I his tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		97	93%	78%	26%						
General-Education Students		82	100%	88%	30%						
Students with Disabilities		15	53%	27%	0%						
English Proficient		97	93%	78%	26%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		22	95%	77%	18%						
Not Disadvantaged		75	92%	79%	28%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		97	93%	78%	26%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	This test was not given in 2004					4-05.	

### This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):		
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
100%	95%				93%				
					55%				
		64%				60%			
			9	%			12%		
	93	63		<b>)</b>					
						Cabaal Vaar			
								wol(c):	
D		-	-			-	-		
					Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
•••••	••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••		•••••	••••••		
ativo		92%	51%	9%					
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		•••••				
•••••	<b>ی</b>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		•••••				
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••					
lei									
•••••		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······		This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
•••••	••••••••••	95%	 64%	9%	•••••				
	80	100%	75%	11%	_				
•••••		72%			•••••				
	97	_	_	_					
•••••	1								
	28	93%	50%	7%					
•••••	70	96%	70%	10%	•••••	•••••	•••••		
•••••		95%		9%	••••••	•••••	•••••		
	1	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 598-785 100% 95% 93 93 2005-06 So Total Tested 98 45 53 ative 1 3 ative 1 3 ner 94 98 80 18 97 1 28 70	Percentage scoring at level 2-4 3-4 Range: 598-785 650-7 100% 95% 64% 64% 64% 93 63 93 63 2005-06 School Year 93 63 Percentage 7 total Percentage 7 total Percentage 7 total 98 95% 63 45 98% 53 92% 64 1	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4         Range:       598-785       650-785       70         100%       95%       64%       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       63       99         93       95%       64%         94       -       -         94       -       -         94       -       -         94       -       -         98       95%       64%         80       100%       75%         18       72%       17%         97       -       -         18       72%       17%         97       -       -         18       72%       17%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 598-785 650-785 705-785 100% 95% 64% 97% 64% 98 93 63 9 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 98 95% 64% 9% 45 98% 80% 9% 53 92% 51% 9% ative 1	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4 $3-4$ 4       2-4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785         100%       95%       93%       93%         93       64%       9%       93%         93       63       9       93%         2005-06 School Year       2004-05         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total         Tested       2-4       3-4       4         98       95%       64%       9%         45       98%       80%       9%         ative       1       -       -         94       -       -       -         98       95%       64%       9%         ative       1       -       -         94       -       -       -         98       95%       64%       9%         80       100%       75%       11%         18       72%       17%       0%         97       -       -       -         18       72%       10%       7% <tr< td=""><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%         100%       95%       94       64%       93%       60%         93       63       9       63%       93%       60%         2005-06 School Year       2004-05 School Year       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):       70al         98       95%       64%       9%       9%       9%       9%       9%         94       -       -       -       -       -       1%       1%         98       95%       64%       9%</td><td>Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%       93%       60%         100%       95%       64%       9%       93%       60%       12%         93       63       9       2004-05       School Year       704       70%       <t< td=""></t<></td></tr<>	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%         100%       95%       94       64%       93%       60%         93       63       9       63%       93%       60%         2005-06 School Year       2004-05 School Year       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):       Total       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):         Tested       2-4       3-4       4       70al       Percentage scoring at level(s):       70al         98       95%       64%       9%       9%       9%       9%       9%         94       -       -       -       -       -       1%       1%         98       95%       64%       9%	Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       598-785       650-785       705-785       93%       93%       60%         100%       95%       64%       9%       93%       60%       12%         93       63       9       2004-05       School Year       704       70% <t< td=""></t<>	

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2				This tost y	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	۷	_	-	-		was not yiv		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 6									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage	coring at level(s): 3–4 4 60% 13% School Year Percentage scoring at level(s)			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 662	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
	100%	94%								
		5170	70%			87%				
							60%			
				18	8%			13%		
Number of Students:		93	69	1						
		2005–06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Vea			
Results by		Total	Percentage		Total			vel(s)·		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested			4	
- All Students		99	94%	70%	18%			<u> </u>		
Female		46	96%	78%	17%					
Male	•••••	53	92%	62%	19%		•••••	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	1	-	-	-					
Black or African American		3	-	-	-					
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er									
Pacific Islander				•••••					05	
White		95					st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		99	94%	70%	18%					
General-Education Students		81	100%	81%	22%					
Students with Disabilities		18	67%	17%	0%					
English Proficient		98								
Limited English Proficient		1	-	_	-					
Economically Disadvantaged		29	93%	62%	7%					
Not Disadvantaged		70	94%	73%	23%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		99	94%	70%	18%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This tes	t was not giv	/en in 2004	4-05.	

### This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	a       4       2-4       3-4         a       4       2-4       3-4         b       650-790       712-790       70%       56%         70%       8%       56%       56%         71       8       2004-05 School Year       2004-05 School Year         Percentage scoring at level(s):       70tal       Percentage				s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 663	Range:	600-790	650-79	90 7	12-790					
	100%	99%				0.294				
			70%			92%				
			1070				56%			
				8	%			8%		
		1.01	74							
Number of Students:		101	71		8					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
_		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		102	99%	70%	8%					
Female		43	100%	81%	14%					
Male		59	98%	61%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1								
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r									
Pacific Islander					•••••	······ -· ·		·	<u>-</u>	
White		101		<u>-</u>		This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		102	99%	70%	8%					
General-Education Students		90	100%	76%	9%					
Students with Disabilities		12	92%	25%	0%					
English Proficient		102	99%	70%	8%					
imited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		27	96%	74%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		75	100%	68%	11%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		102	99%	70%	8%					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2				This tost y	test was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	۷	_		_		was not giv	200	4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentages	scoring at level	(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 661	Range:	611-800	650-8	600 6	93-800						
	100%	94%									
			73%			87%					
							56%				
				1	0%			12%			
Number of Students:		98	76	1	LO						
Number of Students.		50	10		10		-				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Yea				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group	)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		104	94%	73%	10%						
Female		46	96%	72%	7%						
Male		58	93%	74%	12%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er										
Pacific Islander				•••••		This too	st was not giv		-05		
White		103			_		se was not yn	2004	00.		
Small Group Totals		104	94%	73%	10%						
General-Education Students		92	96%	75%	11%						
Students with Disabilities		12	83%	58%	0%						
English Proficient		104	94%	73%	10%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		29	93%	48%	7%						
Not Disadvantaged		75	95%	83%	11%						
Migrant		2			_						
Not Migrant		102	-	-	-						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	School Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	(s):	Total Number scoring		oring at leve	l(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	2	-	-	-	This test	s test was not given in 2004-05.			

### This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District					NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 657	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 7	15-790						
	100%	95%				91%					
						91%					
			56%				49%				
				7	%			5%			
Number of Students:		105	62		3						
			ah a al Maan				Cabaal Vaar				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>			1 1 ( )		School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	-		Total	-	scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		<b>111</b> 59	95%	56%	7%						
Female	•••••	••••••••••••••••	95%	58%	8%		••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Male		52	94%	54%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	• • • • • • • •					
Black or African American		4	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······	······						
Hispanic or Latino		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	-	-	-		Idle-level En I mathematic		age		
White	•••••			58%			tered in 200		rom		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		<u> </u>	80% 99%	20% 65%	<u>    0%    </u> 9%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				•••••	· ······ adminis	tered assess	ments.			
Students with Disabilities		17	71%	6%	0%						
English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	111	95%	56%	7%	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
imited English Proficient			0.00/	220/	00/						
Economically Disadvantaged		26	88%	23%	0%	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Not Disadvantaged		85	96%	66%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		111	95%	56%	7%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0	2 .			1	-	_	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775					
	100%	97%								
			71%			85%				
							54%			
				5	%			10%		
Number of Students		107	70		c					
Number of Students:		107	78		6					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		110	97%	71%	5%					
Female		57	96%	70%	9%					
Male		53	98%	72%	2%					
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American		4								
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo		•	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_		ldle-level Eng	5	age	
Pacific Islander		±					l mathematic			
White		105	97%	73%	6%		tered in 200			
Small Group Totals		5	100%	20%	0%		ssessments c ed to results		-	
General-Education Students		93	100%	81%	6%		tered assessi	•	Justy	
Students with Disabilities		17	82%	18%	0%			nemu.		
English Proficient		110	97%	71%	5%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		25	100%	48%	4%					
Not Disadvantaged		85	96%	78%	6%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		110	97%	71%	5%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				1	_	_	_
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				T		_	_

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 79	Range:	44-100	65-10	00 8	5-100				
2005-06	100%	100%100%	90% 9		<sub>3%</sub> 38%	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18'	% 25%
2004–05							_		
Number of Students:		96 87	86	80 3	32 33				
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
Student Group	•	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	tlevel(s):
Student Group	<u> </u>	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		96	100%	90%	33%	87	100%	92%	38%
Female		46	100%	83%	26%	36	100%	86%	31%
Male		50	100%	96%	40%	51	100%	96%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive								
Black or African American		4				2			
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth Pacific Islander	er	1	-	-	-				
White		91	100%	92%	34%	85	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	•••••	5	100%	40%	20%	87	100%	92%	38%
General-Education Students		79	100%	95%	41%	71	100%	93%	42%
Students with Disabilities	•••••	17	100%	65%	0%	16	100%	88%	19%
English Proficient		96	100%	90%	33%	87	100%	92%	38%
Limited English Proficient	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				••••	••••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged		25	100%	76%	24%	20	100%	85%	25%
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	71	100%	94%	37%	67	100%	94%	42%
Migrant									
	•••••	96	100%	90%	33%	87	100%	92%	38%


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				1	-	-	-
Regents Science	14	14	14	14	23	23	23	20

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	ring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	0	21	61	12	94	667	
Feb 2004	2	29	55	11	97	658	
Feb 2003	0	19	59	20	98	668	




#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	0	40	56	14	110	706	
Jan 2004	5	36	55	11	107	706	
Jan 2003	7	35	39	17	98	704	

### **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	l:				
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	8	48	38	94	673	
May 2004	1	13	49	35	98	668	
May 2003	0	4	64	33	101	670	

	This School			NY State Pub	lic		
	Percentage so	oring at level(s)	oring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s)		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882				
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100%	72% 68% 52%	9% 17% 11%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 51%	9% 13% 9%	

#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	6	25	70	10	111	729	
May 2004	6	29	55	18	108	730	
May 2003	14	34	42	11	101	716	

# This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Cohor	·t*		2001 Cohort*				
-	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	127	<b>92</b> %	90%	44%	124	81%	<b>79</b> %	27%
Female	54	100%	98%	57%	68	82%	81%	34%
Male	73	86%	84%	34%	56	80%	77%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American								
Hispanic or Latino								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other					1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					тт			
White	127	92%	90%	44%	123			_
Small Group Totals					124	81%	79%	27%
General-Education Students	112	98%	97%	50%	103	93%	92%	32%
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	33%	0%	21	24%	14%	5%
English Proficient	127	92%	90%	44%	124	81%	79%	27%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					•••••	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	87%	33%				
Not Disadvantaged	112	93%	90%	46%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	127	92%	90%	44%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ʻt*			2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0				

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pu	ublic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>	100%	92% 81%	91% 81%	39% 34%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohor	·t*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	127	<b>92</b> %	91%	39%	124	81%	<b>81</b> %	34%	
Female	54	98%	98%	43%	68	84%	84%	37%	
Male	73	88%	86%	37%	56	79%	77%	30%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	••••••			••••••		•••••	••••••	••••••	
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			••••••	1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					T	_			
White	127	92%	91%	39%	123	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals					124	81%	81%	34%	
General-Education Students	112	97%	96%	42%	103	92%	91%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	53%	20%	21	29%	29%	5%	
English Proficient	127	92%	91%	39%	124	81%	81%	34%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••		•••••	•••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	15	87%	87%	13%					
Not Disadvantaged	112	93%	92%	43%		•••••	••••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	127	92%	91%	39%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.
 2002 Cohort\*
 2001 Cohort\*

Accorrents	Number	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent								

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:

2%

0%


3% 2%

7%

2%

3%

1%



2002 Cohort2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	127	94%	0%	3%	2%	1%
	2001	124	86%	2%	2%	7%	3%
Female	2002	54	98%	0%	0%	2%	0%
	2001	68	85%	1%	1%	7%	4%
Male	2002	73	92%	0%	5%	1%	1%
	2001	56	88%	2%	2%	7%	2%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American				-		-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
					· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2001	1	-	_	—	—	—
White	2002	127	94%	0%	3%	2%	1%
	2001	123	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals				••••		••••••••••	•••••
	2001	124	86%	2%	2%	7%	3%
General-Education Students	2002	112	96%	0%	2%	2%	0%
	2001	103	93%	0%	2%	1%	4%
Students with Disabilities	2002	15	80%	0%	13%	0%	7%
	2001	21	52%	10%	0%	38%	0%
English Proficient	2002	127	94%	0%	3%	2%	1%
	2001	124	86%	2%	2%	7%	3%
Limited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	15	87%	0%	7%	7%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	112	96%	0%	3%	1%	1%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	127	94%		3%	2%	

#### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

#### Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 90% 72% 2% 2% 4% 1% 1% 5% 3%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	122	90%	2%	4%	1%	3%
Female	67	90%	1%	3%	1%	4%
Male	55	91%	2%	5%	0%	2%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	1	_	-	_	_	
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	121	_	-	-		
Small Group Totals	122	90%	2%	4%	1%	3%
General-Education Students	105	92%	0%	3%	1%	4%
Students with Disabilities	17	76%	12%	12%	0%	0%
English Proficient	122	90%	2%	4%	1%	3%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	16	81%	6%	6%	6%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	106	92%	1%	4%	0%	4%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	122	90%	2%	4%	1%	3%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.