The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**
District ID **190401060000**
Superintendent **KATHLEEN FARRELL**
Telephone **(518) 943-4696**
Grades **K-12, US**

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

1 Get District Profile information.
This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

2 Review District Accountability Status.
This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

3 View School Accountability Status.
This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

4 Review an Overview of District Performance.
This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services
New York State Education Department
Room 863 EBA
Albany, NY 12234
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003–04	2004–05	2005–06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	124	115	135
Grade 1	126	121	120
Grade 2	122	113	125
Grade 3	122	135	121
Grade 4	149	118	144
Grade 5	137	137	124
Grade 6	177	134	150
Ungraded Elementary	26	33	0
Grade 7	129	162	147
Grade 8	159	132	160
Grade 9	176	186	144
Grade 10	142	134	143
Grade 11	117	118	116
Grade 12	111	116	111
Ungraded Secondary	0	4	1
Total K–12	1817	1758	1741

Average Class Size

	2003–04	2004–05	2005–06
Common Branch	20	20	20
Grade 8			
English	18	17	16
Mathematics	16	15	15
Science	20	17	19
Social Studies	17	18	19
Grade 10			
English	22	21	17
Mathematics	24	22	22
Science	23	18	20
Social Studies	21	16	18

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Demographic Factors

	2003–04		2004–05		2005–06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	606	33%	743	42%	481	28%
Reduced-Price Lunch	177	10%	198	11%	160	9%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	14	1%	12	1%	13	1%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0%	3	0%	2	0%
Black or African American	240	13%	207	12%	233	13%
Hispanic or Latino	117	6%	113	6%	111	6%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	16	1%	21	1%	29	2%
White	1444	79%	1414	80%	1366	78%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2002–03		2003–04		2004–05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		95%		95%
Student Suspensions	176	N/A	200	11%	93	5%

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and *Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch* and *Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Teacher Qualifications

	2003–04	2004–05	2005–06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	378	406	548
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	6%	4%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	1	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	1%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	8	4	3
Percentage of Total	5%	3%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	13%	9%	10%

Staff Counts

	2003–04	2004–05	2005–06
Total Teachers	150	157	155
Total Other Professional Staff	19	11	18
Total Paraprofessionals*	52	54	55
Assistant Principals	1	1	1
Principals	5	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml**.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml**.

Federal Title I Status (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	**New York State Status** (Applies to New York State districts)
District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	
District in Need of Improvement (**Year 1**) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress** (**Year 1**) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (**Year 2**) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress** (**Year 2**) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (**Year 3**) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress** (**Year 3**) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (**Year 4**) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress** (**Year 4**) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (**Year 5 and above**) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	**District Requiring Academic Progress** (**Year 5 and above**) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

Good Standing

Elementary/Middle Level		Secondary Level	
ELA	Good Standing	ELA	Good Standing
Math	Good Standing	Math	Good Standing
Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	Good Standing

Title I Part A Funding

Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

2004–05	2005–06	2006–07
YES	YES	YES

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
All Students	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–				
Black or African American	✔	✔		–	–	
Hispanic or Latino	✔	✔		–	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	–	–		–	–	
White	✔	✔		✔	✔	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities	✔SH	✘		–	–	
Limited English Proficient	–	–		–	–	
Economically Disadvantaged	✔	✔		–	–	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	✔ 6 of 6	✘ 5 of 6	✔ 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- – Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Accountability Status Levels

Federal	State
Good Standing	Good Standing
Improvement (Year 1)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)
Improvement (Year 2)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)
Improvement (Year 3)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)
Improvement (Year 4)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)
Improvement (Year 5 & Above)	Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above)

2 District Accountability

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	6 of 6	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07
All Students (854:821)	✔	✔	99%	✔	142	118		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (115:110)	✔	✔	100%	✔	124	112		
Hispanic or Latino (53:49)	✔	✔	96%	✔	133	108		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (12:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (672:649)	✔	✔	100%	✔	146	118		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities[4] (144:132)	✔SH	✔	97%	✔SH	67	113	67	80
Limited English Proficient (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (357:339)	✔	✔	99%	✔	123	116		
Final AYP Determination	✔ 6 of 6							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- – Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

[1] These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

[2] Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

[3] For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[4] If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 District Accountability

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	5 of 6	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✗	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		A district that fails to make AYP in Mathematics at the elementary/middle and secondary levels for two consecutive years is placed in improvement status. If this district fails to make AYP at both the elementary/middle and secondary levels in 2006-07, the district will be District In Need of Improvement (Year 1) in 2007-08. If this district makes AYP at either the elementary/middle or secondary level in 2006-07, the district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [202]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07
All Students (853:801)	✔	✔	99%	✔	147	82		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (114:106)	✔	✔	99%	✔	123	76		
Hispanic or Latino (72:46)	✔	✔	96%	✔	143	72		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (13:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (671:636)	✔	✔	99%	✔	151	82		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities[4] (180:124)	✗	✗	94%	✔	84	77		
Limited English Proficient (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (348:328)	✔	✔	98%	✔	128	80		
Final AYP Determination	✗ 5 of 6							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- – Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

[1] These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

[2] Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

[3] For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

[4] If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

2 District Accountability

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)[1]	AYP Status	AYP Safe Harbor Qualification	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06	Progress Target 2006–07
All Students (306:288)	✔	Qualified	✔	98%	✔	180	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Black or African American (30:28)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Hispanic or Latino (21:20)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
White (251:236)		Qualified	✔	98%	✔	181	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (51:43)		Qualified	✔	92%	✔	149	100		
Limited English Proficient (3:3)		–	–	–	–	–	–		–
Economically Disadvantaged (115:109)		Qualified	✔	98%	✔	168	100		
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1 of 1								

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- – Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

2 District Accountability

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07
All Students (228:113)	✔	✔	95%	✔	167	144		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (17:19)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (8:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (185:85)	✔	✔	96%	✔	172	143		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (13:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (24:22)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✔ 2 of 2							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- – Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort)[1]	AYP Status	Participation[2] Met Criterion	Participation[2] Percentage Tested	Test Performance[3] Met Criterion	Test Performance[3] Performance Index	Performance Objectives Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06	Safe Harbor Target 2006–07
All Students (114:113)	✔	✔	95%	✔	181	136		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (17:19)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (8:7)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
White (87:85)	✔	✔	95%	✔	186	135		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (13:11)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (2:1)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (24:22)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✔ 2 of 2							

AYP Status

- ✔ Made AYP
- ✔SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✘ Did Not Make AYP
- – Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

NOTES

1 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✔	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count)[1]	Graduation AYP	Graduation Met Criterion	Graduation Graduation Rate[2]	Objectives State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06	Progress Target 2006-07
All Students (123)	✔	✔	73%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (12)		–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (6)		–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (105)		✔	77%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (22)		–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (1)		–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (24)		–	–	–	–	–
Final AYP Determination	✔ 1 of 1					

NOTES

1 Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

2 Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status	New York State Status
Good Standing	
3 schools identified 100% of total	
CATSKILL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL	
CATSKILL MIDDLE SCHOOL	
CATSKILL SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL	

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0% 50% 100%	
Grade 3	59%	120
Grade 4	62%	146
Grade 5	55%	123
Grade 6	54%	150
Grade 7	51%	149
Grade 8	35%	159
Mathematics		
Grade 3	81%	118
Grade 4	75%	143
Grade 5	53%	122
Grade 6	37%	145
Grade 7	47%	152
Grade 8	45%	159
Science		
Grade 4	92%	146
Grade 8	70%	155

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0% 50% 100%	
English	57%	151
Mathematics	70%	151

	Percentage of students who graduated	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0% 50% 100%	
2002 Cohort	66%	151

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: **Not Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: **Partially Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: **Meeting Learning Standards**. Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: **Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction**. Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

High Need/Resource Rural Districts

This is a rural school district with high student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 655	Range:	616–780	650–780	730–780			
	100%	90%	59%	1%	92%	69%	7%
Number of Students:		108	71	1			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**120**	**90%**	**59%**	**1%**				
Female	51	96%	73%	2%				
Male	69	86%	49%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native					This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Black or African American	19	79%	37%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	86%	43%	0%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	80%	80%	0%				
White	89	93%	64%	1%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	98	94%	71%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	22	73%	5%	0%				
English Proficient	119	–	–	–				
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	55	84%	49%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	65	95%	68%	2%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	120	90%	59%	1%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 673	Range:	624–770	650–770	703–770			
	100%	98%	81%	16%	94%	81%	25%
Number of Students:		116	95	19			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**118**	**98%**	**81%**	**16%**				
Female	51	96%	78%	24%				
Male	67	100%	82%	10%				
American Indian or Alaska Native					This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Black or African American	17	94%	59%	6%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	100%	83%	17%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	5	100%	80%	60%				
White	90	99%	84%	16%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	98	100%	87%	18%				
Students with Disabilities	20	90%	50%	5%				
English Proficient	117	–	–	–				
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	51	96%	75%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	67	100%	85%	19%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	118	98%	81%	16%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 652	Range:	612–775	650–775	716–775			
	100%	88%	62%	3%	91%	69%	9%
Number of Students:		128	90	4			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**146**	**88%**	**62%**	**3%**				
Female	64	92%	73%	5%				
Male	82	84%	52%	1%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–				
Black or African American	16	81%	25%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–				
White	117	89%	68%	3%				
Small Group Totals	13	85%	46%	8%				
General-Education Students	120	97%	72%	3%				
Students with Disabilities	26	46%	15%	0%				
English Proficient	146	88%	62%	3%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	54	76%	44%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	92	95%	72%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	146	88%	62%	3%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				1	–	–	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 670	Range:	622–800	650–800	702–800			
	100%	93%	75%	22%	93%	78%	26%
Number of Students:		133	107	31			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**143**	**93%**	**75%**	**22%**				
Female	63	97%	75%	22%				
Male	80	90%	75%	21%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–				
Black or African American	16	94%	50%	19%				
Hispanic or Latino	11	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–				
White	113	93%	81%	23%				
Small Group Totals	14	93%	57%	14%				
General-Education Students	118	99%	85%	25%				
Students with Disabilities	25	64%	28%	4%				
English Proficient	143	93%	75%	22%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	52	88%	62%	15%				
Not Disadvantaged	91	96%	82%	25%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	143	93%	75%	22%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 81 — Range:	45–100	65–100	85–100			
2005–06	99%	92%	46%	97%	86%	49%
2004–05	98%	87%	45%	95%	80%	42%
Number of Students:	145 117	135 104	67 54			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**146**	**99%**	**92%**	**46%**	**120**	**98%**	**87%**	**45%**
Female	64	100%	94%	45%	59	97%	88%	46%
Male	82	99%	91%	46%	61	98%	85%	44%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–				
Black or African American	17	100%	100%	12%	20	100%	95%	30%
Hispanic or Latino	11	–	–	–	8	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–	3	–	–	–
White	115	99%	91%	52%	89	99%	89%	51%
Small Group Totals	14	100%	93%	36%	11	82%	55%	27%
General-Education Students	121	100%	97%	52%	101	98%	90%	49%
Students with Disabilities	25	96%	72%	16%	19	95%	68%	26%
English Proficient	146	99%	92%	46%	118	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient					2	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	52	98%	87%	29%	56	98%	80%	38%
Not Disadvantaged	94	100%	96%	55%	64	97%	92%	52%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	146	99%	92%	46%	120	98%	87%	45%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 648	Range:	608–795	650–795	711–795			
		90%	55%	3%	94%	67%	12%
Number of Students:		111	68	4			



Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**123**	**90%**	**55%**	**3%**				
Female	56	91%	57%	2%				
Male	67	90%	54%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	22	100%	59%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White	92	88%	55%	3%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	9	89%	44%	0%				
General-Education Students	95	99%	65%	4%				
Students with Disabilities	28	61%	21%	0%				
English Proficient	123	90%	55%	3%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	57	89%	49%	4%				
Not Disadvantaged	66	91%	61%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	123	90%	55%	3%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 653	Range:	619–780	650–780	699–780			
		87%	53%	10%	90%	68%	19%
Number of Students:		106	65	12			



Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**122**	**87%**	**53%**	**10%**				
Female	55	89%	51%	11%				
Male	67	85%	55%	9%				
American Indian or Alaska Native					This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Black or African American	23	87%	48%	9%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White	91	87%	55%	10%				
Small Group Totals	8	88%	50%	13%				
General-Education Students	96	95%	63%	13%				
Students with Disabilities	26	58%	19%	0%				
English Proficient	122	87%	53%	10%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	57	82%	46%	12%				
Not Disadvantaged	65	91%	60%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	122	87%	53%	10%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 648	Range:	598–785	650–785	705–785			
	100%	90%	54%	5%	93%	60%	12%
Number of Students:		135	81	7			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**150**	**90%**	**54%**	**5%**				
Female	73	93%	59%	5%				
Male	77	87%	49%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	21	81%	48%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	71%	29%	14%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					This test was not given in 2004-05.			
White	122	93%	57%	5%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	127	98%	61%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	23	48%	13%	0%				
English Proficient	150	90%	54%	5%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	67	85%	37%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	83	94%	67%	8%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	150	90%	54%	5%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 641	Range:	616–780	650–780	696–780			
	100%	84%	37%	6%	87%	60%	13%
Number of Students:		122	54	8			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**145**	**84%**	**37%**	**6%**				
Female	69	87%	41%	4%				
Male	76	82%	34%	7%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	20	70%	10%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	40%	20%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander					This test was not given in 2004-05.			
White	120	87%	42%	6%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	124	92%	41%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	21	38%	14%	0%				
English Proficient	145	84%	37%	6%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	63	73%	21%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	82	93%	50%	10%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	145	84%	37%	6%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 646	Range:	600–790	650–790	712–790			
	100%	89%	51%	5%	92%	56%	8%
Number of Students:		132	76	8			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**149**	**89%**	**51%**	**5%**				
Female	72	90%	51%	7%				
Male	77	87%	51%	4%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Black or African American	20	80%	30%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–				
White	116	90%	54%	5%				
Small Group Totals	13	92%	54%	15%				
General-Education Students	133	94%	56%	6%				
Students with Disabilities	16	44%	6%	0%				
English Proficient	148	–	–	–				
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	56	79%	43%	9%				
Not Disadvantaged	93	95%	56%	3%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	149	89%	51%	5%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 645	Range:	611–800	650–800	693–800			
	100%	84%	47%	6%	87%	56%	12%
Number of Students:		128	72	9			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**152**	**84%**	**47%**	**6%**				
Female	73	86%	47%	4%				
Male	79	82%	48%	8%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Black or African American	20	60%	30%	5%				
Hispanic or Latino	11	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	3	–	–	–				
White	117	87%	50%	6%				
Small Group Totals	15	93%	53%	7%				
General-Education Students	135	89%	53%	7%				
Students with Disabilities	17	47%	6%	0%				
English Proficient	151	–	–	–				
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	54	74%	39%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	98	90%	52%	6%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	152	84%	47%	6%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 637	Range:	602–790	650–790	715–790			
	100%	87%	35%	1%	91%	49%	5%
Number of Students:		138	56	1			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**159**	**87%**	**35%**	**1%**				
Female	78	88%	38%	1%				
Male	81	85%	32%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	87%	27%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White	134	87%	35%	1%				
Small Group Totals	10	80%	50%	0%				
General-Education Students	137	94%	41%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	22	41%	0%	0%				
English Proficient	156	–	–	–				
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	64	81%	20%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	95	91%	45%	1%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	159	87%	35%	1%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 646	Range:	616–775	650–775	701–775			
	100%	87%	45%	8%	85%	54%	10%
Number of Students:		139	71	13			

Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**159**	**87%**	**45%**	**8%**				
Female	79	85%	37%	10%				
Male	80	90%	53%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	80%	20%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	–	–	–				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–				
White	133	90%	47%	9%				
Small Group Totals	11	64%	45%	9%				
General-Education Students	136	94%	51%	10%				
Students with Disabilities	23	48%	9%	0%				
English Proficient	156	–	–	–				
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–				
Economically Disadvantaged	63	81%	32%	2%				
Not Disadvantaged	96	92%	53%	13%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	159	87%	45%	8%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Mean Score: 72 — Range:	44–100	65–100	85–100			
2005–06	99%	70%	18%	91%	64%	18%
2004–05	95%	75%	20%	91%	68%	25%
Number of Students:	153 115	108 91	28 24			



Results by Student Group

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**155**	**99%**	**70%**	**18%**	**121**	**95%**	**75%**	**20%**
Female	78	100%	58%	17%	65	92%	74%	18%
Male	77	97%	82%	19%	56	98%	77%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	13	85%	46%	8%	13	100%	54%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	10	–	–	–	11	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
White	131	100%	73%	20%	95	97%	80%	22%
Small Group Totals	11	100%	64%	9%	13	77%	62%	23%
General-Education Students	133	99%	76%	21%	107	96%	79%	22%
Students with Disabilities	22	95%	32%	0%	14	86%	43%	0%
English Proficient	152	–	–	–	120	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	3	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	61	97%	54%	7%	48	92%	60%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	94	100%	80%	26%	73	97%	85%	27%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	155	99%	70%	18%	121	95%	75%	20%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
Regents Science	0				0			

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Range:	603–800	645–800	692–800			
2004–05	93%	60%	12%	95%	70%	21%
2003–04	94%	53%	9%	94%	62%	15%
2002–03	94%	51%	11%	94%	64%	22%



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	9	40	58	14	121	650
Feb 2004	9	57	63	12	141	646
Feb 2003	8	60	56	15	139	646

Grade 8

	This School			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Range:	658–830	697–830	737–830			
2004–05	94%	44%	3%	93%	48%	9%
2003–04	87%	36%	8%	93%	47%	11%
2002–03	87%	32%	3%	91%	45%	8%



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	7	64	51	4	126	692
Jan 2004	20	82	44	13	159	688
Jan 2003	19	79	42	5	145	687

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

Grade 4

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Range:	602–810	637–810	678–810			



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	4	22	66	29	121	659
May 2004	7	32	77	27	143	654
May 2003	9	37	72	24	142	650

Grade 8

	This School			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2–4	3–4	4	2–4	3–4	4
Range:	681–882	716–882	760–882			



	Number of students scoring at each performance level:					
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	22	48	48	10	128	712
May 2004	29	59	54	13	155	708
May 2003	23	75	46	6	150	706

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**151**	**70%**	**57%**	**15%**	**138**	**72%**	**66%**	**30%**
Female	79	72%	61%	18%	72	83%	78%	42%
Male	72	68%	53%	11%	66	61%	53%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	58%	50%	4%	12	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	8	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–				
White	117	71%	56%	15%	122	72%	67%	32%
Small Group Totals	10	90%	80%	40%	16	75%	56%	19%
General-Education Students	136	73%	60%	16%	118	80%	75%	36%
Students with Disabilities	15	47%	33%	0%	20	30%	15%	0%
English Proficient	150	–	–	–	137	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	26	85%	62%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	125	67%	56%	16%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	151	70%	57%	15%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by Student Group

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
All Students	**151**	**72%**	**70%**	**23%**	**138**	**74%**	**67%**	**21%**
Female	79	75%	72%	30%	72	86%	79%	28%
Male	72	68%	68%	15%	66	61%	55%	14%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	24	63%	63%	4%	12	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	8	–	–	–	4	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	–	–	–				
White	117	72%	70%	22%	122	73%	67%	20%
Small Group Totals	10	90%	90%	80%	16	81%	69%	25%
General-Education Students	136	74%	72%	25%	118	82%	75%	25%
Students with Disabilities	15	53%	53%	7%	20	25%	20%	0%
English Proficient	150	–	–	–	137	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	1	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	26	88%	88%	27%				
Not Disadvantaged	125	68%	66%	22%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	151	72%	70%	23%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other Assessments

	2002 Cohort*				2001 Cohort*			
	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4	3–4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	**2002**	**151**	**66%**	**1%**	**10%**	**7%**	**16%**
	2001	**138**	**67%**	**7%**	**8%**	**5%**	**13%**
Female	2002	79	68%	1%	11%	9%	10%
	2001	72	81%	1%	7%	3%	8%
Male	2002	72	63%	1%	8%	6%	22%
	2001	66	52%	14%	9%	8%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	2002	24	54%	8%	8%	8%	21%
	2001	12	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	2002	8	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	4	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	2	–	–	–	–	–
White	2002	117	66%	0%	11%	8%	15%
	2001	122	67%	7%	8%	3%	15%
Small Group Totals	2002	10	90%	0%	0%	0%	10%
	2001	16	63%	13%	6%	19%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	136	67%	0%	10%	7%	17%
	2001	118	76%	0%	9%	3%	11%
Students with Disabilities	2002	15	53%	13%	13%	13%	7%
	2001	20	10%	50%	0%	15%	25%
English Proficient	2002	150	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	137	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	2002	1	–	–	–	–	–
	2001	1	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	26	81%	8%	4%	8%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	125	62%	0%	11%	7%	19%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	151	66%	1%	10%	7%	16%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **CATSKILL CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	**143**	**65%**	**7%**	**9%**	**3%**	**16%**
Female	75	79%	1%	8%	1%	11%
Male	68	50%	13%	10%	4%	22%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Black or African American	14	50%	14%	14%	14%	7%
Hispanic or Latino	6	50%	0%	17%	17%	17%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
White	123	67%	7%	8%	1%	17%
Small Group Totals						
General-Education Students	120	76%	0%	9%	1%	14%
Students with Disabilities	23	9%	43%	9%	13%	26%
English Proficient	142	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	1	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	27	59%	19%	4%	4%	15%
Not Disadvantaged	116	66%	4%	10%	3%	16%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	143	65%	7%	9%	3%	16%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.