

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District THOUSAND ISLANDS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 220701040000 Superintendent JOHN SLATTERY Telephone (315) 686-5594 Grades K-12, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	86	69	98
Grade 1	72	85	76
Grade 2	78	75	95
Grade 3	87	83	79
Grade 4	72	90	83
Grade 5	97	74	89
Grade 6	93	104	81
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	110	94	98
Grade 8	101	101	92
Grade 9	97	98	100
Grade 10	94	95	90
Grade 11	83	84	88
Grade 12	81	90	86
Ungraded Secondary	22	20	24
Total K–12	1173	1162	1179

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	19	19
Grade 8			
English	20	21	19
Mathematics	20	20	18
Science	20	21	19
Social Studies	21	20	20
Grade 10			
English	17	22	16
Mathematics	19	21	16
Science	17	11	15
Social Studies	18	21	

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	201	17%	219	19%	190	16%
Reduced-Price Lunch	115	10%	127	11%	135	11%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	6	1%	5	0%	5	0%
Black or African American	26	2%	26	2%	23	2%
Hispanic or Latino	14	1%	14	1%	32	3%
Asian or Native	12	1%	10	1%	10	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	1115	95%	1107	95%	1109	94%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		95%	
Student Suspensions	35	N/A	38	3%	32	3%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	283	281	395
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	4%	0%	1%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	1	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	1%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	2	0	1
Percentage of Total	2%	0%	1%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	7%	9%	9%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	103	102	106
Total Other Professional Staff	11	11	11
Total Paraprofessionals*	17	17	19
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	4	4	4

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

~	District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been or a District Requiring Academic Progress.	identified as a District in Need of Improvement
^	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

......

District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds. District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District THOUSAND ISLANDS CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		▲ Good Standing Elementary/Middle Level Secondary Level					
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	Good Standing	Math	A Good Standing			
	Science	Good Standing	Graduation Rate	A Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Received T	itle I Part A Funding				

fille i Part A Funding	Tears the District Received fitte Fart A Funding					
	2004–05 2005–06		2006-07			
	YES	YES	YES			

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate	
All Students	v	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v	
Ethnicity							
American Indian or Alaska Native	-	_					
Black or African American	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••	
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	•••••••••••••••••••••••••	–	–	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	•••••	
White	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Other Groups							
Students with Disabilities	✓ SH	 ✓ 		_	_		
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	 	 	•••••••••••••••••••••	–	-	••••	
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1	



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective		Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (545:529)	V	V	100%	V	158	117			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	_	-	-	-	-		_	
Black or African American (10:9)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Hispanic or Latino (15:12)	_	_	-	_	_	-	••••	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (512:500)	<	<	100%	 ✓ 	159	117	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (85:81)	✓ SH	~	100%	✓ SH	93	111	57	104	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (147:135)	<	~	100%	~	147	113			
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4								

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Participation ²		ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	<u> </u>
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (547:522)	V	v	100%	V	163	81		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (9:7)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (15:11)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (515:496)	<	✓	100%	 	163	81	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (85:80)	~	~	99%	~	95	75		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (147:130)	<	~	100%	~	157	77		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

NOTES

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion ²	Test Perfo	rmance ³	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met Criterion	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Qualification		Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (191:176)	v	Qualified	~	98%	V	191	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)		_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (7:5)		-	_	-	-	-	-		–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)							••••••••••		
White (182:169)	••••••••	Qualified	<	99%	<	191	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (28:24)		-	-	-	-	-	_		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•••••••		•••••				•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (40:35)	•••••••	Qualified	~	100%	~	186	100		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	f 1							

NOTES

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

2 Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

AYP Status

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		on ²	n ² Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives			
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	5	
							2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (89:86)	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	99%		190	143			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (2:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	–	–	-	-	-	-	–	–	
Islander (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (82:79)	<	<	99%	 ✓ 	190	143	••••		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (17:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (22:24)	–	–	-	-	-	-	–	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

NO

 These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07
All Students (89:86)			99%		193	135	2005 00	2000 07
 Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (2:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino (5:5)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (82:79)	<	~	99%	~	192	135	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (17:15)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (22:24)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 ² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion.

AYP Status

Made AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

X Did Not Make AYP

 Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status those two years.
³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives	i	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (81)	~	~	96%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Black or African American (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1)		-	_	-	_	-
White (79)		~	96%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (13)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (14)		_	_	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

▲ Good Standing

CAPE VINCENT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL GUARDINO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL THOUSAND ISLANDS HIGH SCHOOL THOUSAND ISLANDS MIDDLE SCHOOL

4 schools identified 100% of total

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

		tage of stu at or abov	Total Tested	
English Language Arts	0%	6	50%	100%
Grade 3	64%			81
Grade 4	72%			l 85
Grade 5	72%			92
Grade 6	70%			80
Grade 7	58%			102
Grade 8	48%			102
Mathematics				
Grade 3	78%			81
Grade 4	84%			86
Grade 5	71%			92
Grade 6	62%			79
Grade 7	55%			106
Grade 8	60%			100
Science				
Grade 4	97%			88
Grade 8	86%			99
		tage of stu at or abov		2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	6	50%	100%
English	84%		'	95
Mathematics	87%			95
		tage of stu aduated	dents	2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	6	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	86%		·	95

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State F	Public		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	scoring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-780	650-78	80 73	30-780				
	100%	91%				92%			
		9178				5270	69%		
			64%				0978		
				19	Ve			7%	
Number of Students:		74	52	1					
			52	_		_	_		
Results by		2005–06 S o				2004-05	School Year		
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		81	91%	64%	1%				
Female			93%	68%	0%				
Male		37	89%	59%	3%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve								
Black or African American		1			-				
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1							
Pacific Islander		ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ			-				
White		77	-	-	-	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		81	91%	64%	1%				
General-Education Students		69	97%	74%	1%				
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	12	58%	8%	0%				
English Proficient		81	91%	64%	1%				
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Economically Disadvantaged		24	96%	71%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	57	89%	61%	2%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Migrant									
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	81	91%	64%	1%				• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
NOTES									

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			was not giv		4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s):Percentage scoring at level(s):2-43-42-43-4									
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 672	Range:	624-770	650-7	770 7	03-770						
	100%	9/%				94%					
		5470	78%			5470	81%				
				2	= 0/			25%			
				2	5%			25%			
Number of Students:		76	63		20						
Number of Statents.		10	05	2							
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		81	94%	78%	25%						
Female		45	93%	78%	31%						
Male		36	94%	78%	17%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		2									
Hispanic or Latino		2	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe		1									
Pacific Islander		±	-								
White		76	93%	76%	26%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	0%						
General-Education Students		69	99%	86%	29%						
Students with Disabilities		12	67%	33%	0%						
English Proficient		81	94%	78%	25%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged		24	96%	71%	13%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	57	93%	81%	30%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••		94%	78%		••••••					
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This tes	s test was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distric	t			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	Tentage scoring at level(s): 3-4 4 2-775 $650-775$ $716-775$ 72% 7% 7% 61 6 5-06 School Year 1 Percentage scoring at level(s): ed $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 85 94% 72% 7% 49 96% 78% 8% 36 92% 64% 6%			Percentage so	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 665	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 73	16-775						
	100%	94%				91%					
			72%			9178	69%				
				7	%			9%			
Number of Students:		80	61	6							
			01		,						
Results by		2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		85	94%	72%	7%						
Female		49	96%	78%	8%						
Male		36	92%	64%	6%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		2		.							
Hispanic or Latino		4			_		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	-						dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander							mathematic				
White			94%	72%	8%		tered in 200 sessments c				
Small Group Totals		6	100%	67%	0%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		75	99%	77%	8%		tered assessi	•	ousty		
Students with Disabilities		10	60%	30%	0%						
English Proficient		85	94%	72%	7%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		20	85%	55%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		65	97%	77%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		85	94%	72%	7%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distrie	t			NY State Public					
		This District Percentage score at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 622-800 650-800 702-800 97% 84% 20% 97% 84% 20% 93 72 17 83 72 17 Total Percentage score s			Percentage s	coring at level(5):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 676	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800						
	100%	97%				93%					
			84%				78%				
								26%			
				20	0%			20%			
Number of Students:		83	72	1	7						
Number of Students.		05	12	-	.1						
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		86	97%	84%	20%						
Female		50	96%	80%	18%						
Male		36	97%	89%	22%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American		1		<u>-</u>							
Hispanic or Latino		4			_		sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							dle-level Eng		age		
Pacific Islander							d mathematic				
White		81	96%	83%	20%		tered in 2000				
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	20%		ssessments c ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		76	100%	87%	22%		tered assessr	•	Justy		
Students with Disabilities		10	70%	60%	0%						
English Proficient		86	97%	84%	20%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		20	85%	70%	15%						
Not Disadvantaged		66	100%	88%	21%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		86	97%	84%	20%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	ichool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic		
		Percentage s	coring at lev	el(s):		Percentage s	97% 95% 86% 80% 4 2004-05 School Year Total Percentage scoring		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-10	8 00	5-100				
■ 2005–06 ■ 2004–05 Number of Students:	100%	88 90			37%	97% 95%	86% 80	9%	[%] 42%
Results by		2005-06 S				2004-05 \$	School Yea	ır	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	le scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		88	100%	97%	48 %	90	100%	89 %	37%
Female		51	100%	98%	45%	55	100%	87%	33%

Female	51	100%	98%	45%	55	100%	87%	33%
Male	37	100%	95%	51%	35	100%	91%	43%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander				•	1	-	-	-
White	83	100%	96%	47%	86	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	5	100%	100%	60%	90	100%	89%	37%
General-Education Students	78	100%	97%	51%	75	100%	93%	41%
Students with Disabilities	10	100%	90%	20%	15	100%	67%	13%
English Proficient	88	100%	97%	48%	90	100%	89%	37%
Limited English Proficient				•••••				
Economically Disadvantaged	20	100%	90%	25%	36	100%	92%	28%
Not Disadvantaged	68	100%	99%	54%	54	100%	87%	43%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	88	100%	97%	48%	90	100%	89%	37%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	608-795	650-79	95 7	11-795						
	100%	96%				94%					
			72%				67%				
							67%				
				1	0%			12%			
Number of Students:		88	66		9						
Number of Students.		00	00		5						
Results by		2005–06 S o	hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	96%	72%	10 %						
Female		56	95%	75%	7%						
Male		36	97%	67%	14%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	2			_						
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		3									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						·······			05		
White		86	97%	73%	9%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	83%	50%	17%						
General-Education Students		76	99%	79%	12%						
Students with Disabilities		16	81%	38%	0%						
English Proficient		92	96%	72%	10%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		34	94%	65%	6%						
Not Disadvantaged		58	97%	76%	12%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		92	96%	72%	10%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):
A3563511161115	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	1-05
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent		0				was not yn	200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 5								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 660	Range:	619-780	650-7	80 6	99–780						
	100%	93%				2001					
		5570	71%			90%	68%				
							00%				
				12	2%			19%			
Number of Students:		86	65	1	1						
			00	-	±						
Results by		2005–06 S e				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Oroup		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		92	93%	71%	12%						
Female		55	95%	67%	9%						
Male		37	92%	76%	16%						
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	2									
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	er										
Pacific Islander											
White		86	95%	72%	12%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		6	67%	50%	17%						
General-Education Students		76	97%	80%	13%						
Students with Disabilities		16	75%	25%	6%						
English Proficient		92	93%	71%	12%						
Limited English Proficient		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		34	91%	68%	9%						
Not Disadvantaged		58	95%	72%	14%		••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant			93%	71%	12%		••••				
NOTES		-									

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 Sc	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0	2-4	5-4	4		t was not giv		

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distric	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 661	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785						
	100%	95%				93%					
		5570	70%			5576					
			10%				60%				
				1	1%			12%			
Number of Students		76	56		9						
Number of Students:		10	20		9						
Results by		2005–06 S o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		80	95%	70%	11%						
Female		49	96%	78%	16%						
Male		31	94%	58%	3%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		2	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•	2									
Pacific Islander					-						
White		76	-	-	_	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.		
Small Group Totals		80	95%	70%	11%						
General-Education Students		70	99%	79%	13%						
Students with Disabilities	•••••	10	70%	10%	0%						
English Proficient		80	95%	70%	11%						
Limited English Proficient	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged		20	95%	65%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	60	95%	72%	13%		••••	••••••			
Migrant											
Not Migrant	•••••	80	95%	70%	11%		••••	•••••••			
NOTES											

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-		-		was not giv		
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 6	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Distric	District NY State Public							
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 652	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 69	96-780					
	100%	92%								
		5278				87%				
			62%				60%			
				59	6			13%		
Number of Students:		73	49	4						
		10	10				-			
Results by		2005–06 S o				2004-05	School Year			
Student Group	•	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
	,	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		79	92 %	62%	5%					
Female			94%	53%	6%					
Male		30	90%	77%	3%					
American Indian or Alaska Na	tive									
Black or African American		2								
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er	2	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander									<u>-</u>	
White		75				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		79	92%	62%	5%					
General-Education Students		69	97%	70%	6%					
Students with Disabilities		10	60%	10%	0%					
English Proficient		79	92%	62%	5%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		18	94%	50%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		61	92%	66%	7%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		79	92%	62%	5%					

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	_	_	-	This test	st was not given in 2004-05.			

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State F			
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 655	Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7:	12-790				
	100%	94%				92%			
						5270			
			58%				56%		
				8	%			8%	
Number of Students:		96	59	8	3				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total		scoring at le	evel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		102	94%	58%	8%				
Female		43	98%	53%	9%				
Male		59	92%	61%	7%			••••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e								
Black or African American		2	-	-	-				
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3	_	_	_				
Pacific Islander		د 	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
White		94	94%	59%	9%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.
Small Group Totals		8	100%	50%	0%				
General-Education Students		84	100%	67%	10%				
Students with Disabilities		18	67%	17%	0%				
English Proficient		102	94%	58%	8%				
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
Economically Disadvantaged		28	100%	39%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	74	92%	65%	11%		•••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant		102	94%	58%	8%				

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not giv	on in 200	4 05
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent						was not giv		+-05.
New York State English as a Second								
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 7								

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distric	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage se	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
an Score: 651	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 69	93-800						
	100%	92%				070/					
		5270				87%					
			55%				56%				
				49	%			12%			
mber of Students:		97	58								
mber of students.		51									
esults by		2005–06 S o				2004-05	School Year				
tudent Group		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
Students		106	92 %	55%	4%						
male			94%	51%	2%						
le		59	90%	58%	5%						
nerican Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
ack or African American		2									
panic or Latino		3	_		_						
an or Native Hawaiian/Other		3	_	_	_						
cific Islander											
nite		98	91%	55%	4%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
all Group Totals		8	100%	50%	0%						
neral-Education Students		88	98%	66%	5%						
Idents with Disabilities		18	61%	0%	0%						
glish Proficient		106	92%	55%	4%						
nited English Proficient		•••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
onomically Disadvantaged		31	87%	45%	3%						
t Disadvantaged		75	93%	59%	4%		••••	••••••			
grant											
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	106	92%	55%	4%	• •••••••	••••	••••••			
t Migrant TES		106	92%	55%	4%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distric	:t			NY State Public					
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 652	Range:	602-790	650-7	90 71	L5-790						
	100%	95%				91%					
						91%					
			48%				49%				
				49	%			5%			
Number of Students:		97	49	4	.						
Results by		2005–06 S o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group)	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		102	95%	48%	4%						
Female		50	98%	62%	6%						
Male		52	92%	35%	2%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino		3	-	-	-	New as	sessments fo	r elementa	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er						ddle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander							d mathematic				
White		98			-		stered in 200				
Small Group Totals		102	95%	48%	4%		ssessments c ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		85	99%	56%	5%		stered assess	•	ously		
Students with Disabilities		17	76%	6%	0%			incincs.			
English Proficient		102	95%	48%	4%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		21	95%	24%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		81	95%	54%	5%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		102	95%	48%	4%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	-		-	0	2-4	3-4	4
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	NY State Public				
		Percentage scoring at level(s):				Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 654	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775						
	100%	94%									
						85%					
			60%				54%				
				8	%			10%			
Number of Students:		94	60		– B						
		2005-06 S	chool Voar			2004-05	School Voar				
Results by Student Group					level(s).	2004–05 School Year Total Percentage scoring at leve					
		Total Tested	-	ercentage scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4		Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
		100	94%	60%	8%			<u> </u>			
Female		48	98%	73%	15%						
Male		52	90%	48%	2%		••••	••••••	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		2	_	_			sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							Idle-level En		age		
Pacific Islander					••••••		I mathematic				
White		98					tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		100	94%	60%	8%		ssessments c ed to results		-		
General-Education Students		84	99%	68%	10%		tered assess		Justy		
Students with Disabilities		16	69%	19%	0%			nento.			
English Proficient		100	94%	60%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		20	90%	65%	5%						
Not Disadvantaged		80	95%	59%	9%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		100	94%	60%	8%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4 3-4 4			Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	1	_	_	_	0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):				coring at leve	l(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-10	8 00	5-100					
■ 2005–06 ■ 2004–05 Number of Students:	100%	99 99	86% 8	2	40%	91% 91%	64% 68	% 18'	25%	
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05 \$	ichool Yea	r		
-		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		99	100%	86 %	23%	100	99 %	89 %	40%	
Female		47	100%	87%	17%	42	98%	83%	31%	
Male		52	100%	85%	29%	58	100%	93%	47%	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve									
Black or African American						2				
Hispanic or Latino		2	-		_	2	-			
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander										
White		97	-	-	-	96	-	-		
Small Group Totals		99	100%	86%	23%	100	99%	89%	40%	
General-Education Students		84	100%	88%	26%	87	100%	97%	45%	
Students with Disabilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	15	100%	73%	7%	13	92%	38%	8%	
English Proficient		99	100%	86%	23%	100	99%	89%	40%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	•••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••		••••••••••••	••••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged		20	100%	80%	20%	32	97%	78%	28%	
Not Disadvantaged		79	100%	87%	24%	68	100%	94%	46%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	99	100%	86%	23%	100	99%	89%	40%	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2005-06 \$	School Year			2004-05 School Year			
Accessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	1	_	_	_	0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	±	_	_	_				
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	et:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	4	16	51	17	88	667
Feb 2004	0	12	46	13	71	669
Feb 2003	0	26	50	21	97	665




Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	2	42	49	10	103	705	
Jan 2004	6	51	44	8	109	698	
Jan 2003	5	47	44	5	101	696	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.




	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	el:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	16	49	24	90	665	
May 2004	1	8	37	25	71	669	
May 2003	0	14	48	37	99	669	

	This School			NY State Pub	olic	
	Percentage so	coring at level(s):		Percentage sc):	
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882	760-882			
 2004-05 2003-04 2002-03 	100% 93% 93% 95%	58% 66% 54%	5% 5% 0%	87% 86% 83%	55% 58% 519	6 9% ^{13%} 9%

Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	7	35	54	5	101	719
May 2004	8	29	68	5	110	719
May 2003	5	41	54	0	100	716

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2002 Coho i	ť*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	95	87 %	84%	44%	93	87%	84%	48 %
Female	47	91%	91%	62%	45	89%	89%	47%
Male	48	83%	77%	27%	48	85%	79%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••			•••••	1	_	_	_
Pacific Islander					±	_		
White	88	86%	84%	45%	90	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	7	100%	86%	29%	93	87%	84%	48%
General-Education Students	76	95%	92%	55%	78	91%	87%	56%
Students with Disabilities	19	58%	53%	0%	15	67%	67%	7%
English Proficient	95	87%	84%	44%	93	87%	84%	48%
Limited English Proficient	•••••			•••••				••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	26	85%	77%	31%				
Not Disadvantaged	69	88%	87%	49%				••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	95	87%	84%	44%				

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	't*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	88% 84%	87% 76%	23% 11%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Coho r	·t*			2001 Coho i	hort*			
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	95	88%	87%	11%	93	84%	76%	23%	
Female	47	91%	91%	4%	45	87%	76%	24%	
Male	48	85%	83%	17%	48	81%	77%	21%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••				1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander					±				
White	88	88%	86%	11%	90	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals	7	100%	100%	0%	93	84%	76%	23%	
General-Education Students	76	95%	95%	13%	78	90%	86%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	19	63%	58%	0%	15	53%	27%	0%	
English Proficient	95	88%	87%	11%	93	84%	76%	23%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••						•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	26	88%	88%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	69	88%	87%	14%					
Migrant									
Not Migrant	95	88%	87%	11%					

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ť*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number of Students	Number scoring at level(s): 2–4 3–4 4			Number of Students	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



8% 8%

3%

1%

2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	95	86%	1%	1%	3%	8%
	2001	93	89%	1%	1%	1%	8%
Female	2002	47	89%	0%	0%	4%	6%
	2001	45	89%	0%	0%	0%	11%
Male	2002	48	83%	2%	2%	2%	10%
	2001	48	90%	2%	2%	2%	4%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	2	_		_	_	
African American	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Hispanic or Latino	2002	5	_		_		····· -
The second second	2001	1	_	_	_	_	_
Asian or Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander		1	_	-	-	-	-
White	2002		85%	1%	1%		
	2001	90	_	-		_	_
Small Group Totals	2002	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
	2001	93	89%	1%	1%	1%	8%
General-Education Students	2002	76	92%	0%	0%	1%	7%
	2001	78	91%	0%	1%	0%	8%
Students with Disabilities	2002	19	63%	5%	5%	11%	16%
	2001	15	80%	7%	0%	7%	7%
English Proficient	2002	95	86%	1%	1%	3%	8%
3	2001	93	89%	1%	1%	1%	8%
imited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	26	77%	0%	4%	12%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	69	90%		0%	0%	9%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	95	86%	1%	1%	3%	8%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 90% 72% 1% 2% 1% 1% 0% 5% 8% 19%

DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	93	90%	1%	1%	0%	8%
Female	45	89%	0%	0%	0%	11%
Male	48	92%	2%	2%	0%	4%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	1	_	-	-	_	_
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	1	_	-	_	_	_
Asian or Native	1	_	-	_	_	_
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	90	_	-	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	93	90%	1%	1%	0%	8%
General-Education Students	78	91%	0%	1%	0%	8%
Students with Disabilities	15	87%	7%	0%	0%	7%
English Proficient	93	90%	1%	1%	0%	8%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	17	82%	6%	0%	0%	12%
Not Disadvantaged	76	92%	0%	1%	0%	7%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	93	90%	1%	1%	0%	8%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.