

The New York State District Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 District SACKETS HARBOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT District ID 221001040000 Superintendent SUZANNE TINGLEY Telephone (315) 646-3575 Grades K-12, UE, US

This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

Use this report to:

Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.



View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

Enrollment

	2003-04	2004–05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	34	42	36
Grade 1	36	28	42
Grade 2	35	35	34
Grade 3	32	36	36
Grade 4	43	30	36
Grade 5	29	38	33
Grade 6	40	28	40
Ungraded Elementary	5	8	5
Grade 7	36	40	32
Grade 8	35	33	41
Grade 9	39	35	36
Grade 10	41	35	32
Grade 11	31	41	34
Grade 12	36	29	38
Ungraded Secondary	1	2	5
Total K–12	473	460	480

Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	18	16	17
Grade 8			
English	18	18	20
Mathematics	18	15	20
Science	35	34	39
Social Studies	35	17	40
Grade 10			
English	15	15	11
Mathematics	12	19	17
Science			2
Social Studies		15	33

Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	82	17%	70	15%	88	18%
Reduced-Price Lunch	41	9%	42	9%	34	7%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Black or African American	2	0%	6	1%	3	1%
Hispanic or Latino	3	1%	5	1%	3	1%
Asian or Native	3	1%	5	1%	7	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	464	98%	443	96%	467	97%

* Not available at the district level.

Attendance and Suspensions

	200	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%	
Student Suspensions	0	N/A	0	0%	0	0%	

Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	113	111	170
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	1%	3%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	0	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	0	1
Percentage of Total	2%	0%	2%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	5%	5%	5%

Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	44	43	45
Total Other Professional Staff	3	4	3
Total Paraprofessionals*	7	8	7
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

* Not available at the school level.

Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml.

1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science: To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

Secondary-Level Graduation Rate: For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.



Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) \div Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005–06 PI + (200 – the 2005–06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005-06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004-05 AMO.

Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 Pl. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 Pl. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

New York State Status (Applies to New York State districts)

District in Good Standing A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
~	District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
•	District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	 District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

2 District Accountability

District SACKETS HARBOR CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)		ood Standing ary/Middle Level	el		
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	
Title I Part A Funding	Yearst	he District Received T	itle I Part A Funding		

litle i Part A Funding	Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding						
	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07				
	YES	YES	YES				

On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level				
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	v	v	v	 ✓ 	v	v		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	_	_	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	••••	–	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	-	-	•••••		
White	 	v	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	✓	 ✓ 	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	_	_		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	–	–	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🖌 2 of 2	🖌 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 2 of 2	✔ 1 of 1		



Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	r Target 2006–07
All Students (222:213)	~	 ✓ 	98%	~	159	114		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (3:2)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							••••	••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (215:207)	<	 	99%	 ✓ 	158	114	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (33:28)	-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	•••••••••••••	••••••	••••					
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2	2						

NOTES

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

VSH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

to Determine AYP Status

Insufficient Number of Students

~

X

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (222:210)	Status		98%		168	78	2005 00	2000 07	
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (3:2)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (4:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
White (214:204)	<	<	98%	 	167	78	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities ⁴ (33:26)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)					••••				
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

AYP Status

Made AYP

Did Not Make AYP

✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target

to Determine AYP Status

Insufficient Number of Students

1

X

- ¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- ² Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- ³ For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ⁴ If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

		AYP		ion ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) ¹	Status	Safe Harbor Oualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Tar	-
All Students (76:75)		Qualified		100%		193	100	2005-00 200	00-07
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)							••••••	••••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (2:2)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
White (73:72)	• • • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	~	100%	~	193	100	••••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (7:7)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)							••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (0:0)	• •• • • • • • • • • •		••••••	••••			•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							

NOTES

2

¹ These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

Made AYP

AYP Status

- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05

and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	rticipation ²		Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07	
All Students (37:38)	 ✓ 	_	_	 ✓ 	189	138			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)									
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
White (35:36)	✓	–	-	~	189	138	••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (3:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (10:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- ¹ These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Secondary-Level Mathematics

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP Particij		on ²	Test Performance ³		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) ¹	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005-06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (37:38)		_	_	 ✓ 	189	130		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (0:0)								
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	-	-	_	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
White (35:36)	✓	–	-	~	189	130	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (3:1)	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (10:12)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
 Council to four the count of the count of the council to the coun

² Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

³ For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

 \ddagger This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

Graduation Rate

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objective	5	
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) ¹	AYP	Criterion	Rate ²	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (59)	~	~	98%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (59)	• • • • • • • • • •	~	98%	55%	•	
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (6)		-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (8)		_	_	-	-	_
Final AYP Determination	v 1	of 1				

NOTES

¹ Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

² Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

2006-07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

Federal Title I Status

New York State Status

Good Standing 1 school identified 100% of total

SACKETS HARBOR CENTRAL SCHOOL

Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	86%		37
Grade 4	81%		36
Grade 5	77%		30
Grade 6	70%		40
Grade 7	51%		35
Grade 8	33%		39
Mathematics			
Grade 3	82%		38
Grade 4	92%		36
Grade 5	84%		3 1
Grade 6	56%		39
Grade 7	50%		34
Grade 8	67%		39
Science			
Grade 4	97%		36
Grade 8	87%		39
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	90%	•	42
Mathematics	86%		42
	Percentage who gradua		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	83%	ł	42

About the Performance Level Descriptors

Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

This District's N/RC Category:

Average Need Districts

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State P	Public		
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):	
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 676	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 7	30-780				
	100%	95%	86%			92%	69%		
				5	%			7%	
Number of Students:		35	32	:	2				
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year	1		2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		37	95%	86%	5%				
Female		25	96%	84%	8%				
Male		12	92%	92%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve				•••••				
Black or African American					•••••				
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other									
Pacific Islander					•••••	······			05
White		37	95%	86%	5%	inis tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals									
General-Education Students		31	97%	87%	6%				
Students with Disabilities		6	83%	83%	0%				
English Proficient		37	95%	86%	5%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Not Disadvantaged		37	95%	86%	5%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		37	95%	86%	5%				
NOTES									

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students. 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 3

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 673	Range:	624-770	650-7	70 7	03-770						
	100%	97%				94%					
			82%			5470	81%				
								25%			
				18	3%			2 3 78			
Number of Students:		37	31		7						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		38	97%	82%	18 %						
Female		25	96%	84%	20%						
Male		13	100%	77%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino		1	_	—	_						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander									05		
White		37				inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		38	97%	82%	18%						
General-Education Students			100%	87%	23%						
Students with Disabilities		7	86%	57%	0%						
English Proficient		38	97%	82%	18%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		38	97%	82%	18%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		38	97%	82%	18%						

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	4 3-4 4 2-775 650-775 716-775 % 81% 8% 4 29 3 25-06 School Year 3 al Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 678	Range:	612-775	650-7	75 73	16-775						
	100%	94%				01%					
		54%	81%			91%	600/				
							69%				
				8	%			9%			
					-						
Number of Students:		34	29		3						
Results by		2005-06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		36	94%	81 %	8%						
Female		15	93%	80%	20%						
Male		21	95%	81%	0%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino						New as	sessments fo	r elementar	у-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r						dle-level Eng		ige		
Pacific Islander					••••••		d mathematic				
White		35	-	-			tered in 200				
Small Group Totals		36	94%	81%	8%		ssessments c				
General-Education Students		35	-		-		ed to results tered assessi	•	Justy		
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	-						
English Proficient		36	94%	81%	8%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		36	94%	81%	8%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		36	94%	81%	8%						

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Distri	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 683	Range:	622-800	650-8	00 7	02-800					
	100%	100%	92%			93%				
			5270				78%			
				11	9%			26%		
				1:	9 %					
Number of Students:		36	33		7					
- 1. 1										
Results by		2005–06 S			2004–05 School Year					
Student Group		Total Tested	Percentage	-		Total Tested	-	scoring at lev		
All Students		36	2-4 100%	3-4 92%	4 19%	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
Female		15	100%	87%	27%					
Male	•••••	21	100%	95%	14%	• •••••	••••	••••••	•••••	
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	'e									
Black or African American	•••••	1	-		-	• • • • • • • • •				
Hispanic or Latino	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •••••	•••••	••••••	New ass	sessments for	r elementar	y-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		•••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		and mid	dle-level Eng	glish langua	ige	
Pacific Islander							mathematic			
White		35	-	-	-		tered in 2000			
Small Group Totals		36	100%	92%	19%		sessments c		-	
General-Education Students		35	-	-	-		ed to results	•	busly	
Students with Disabilities		1	-	-	_	auminis	tered assessr	nents.		
English Proficient		36	100%	92%	19%					
Limited English Proficient						* * * * *				
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged		36	100%	92%	19%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Migrant										
Not Migrant		36	100%	92%	19%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	ichool Year	2004-05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	.(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State P	ublic			
		Percentage s	scoring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 87	Range:	45-100	65-1	00	85-100					
	100%	100%100%	97% 1	.00%		97% 95%				
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 		L			78% 73%		86% 8		[%] 42%	
		36 30	35	30	28 22		_		_	
Number of Students:					20 22					
Results by		2005–06 S				2004–05 School Year				
Student Group		Total		ge scoring a		Total		ge scoring at		
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		36	100%	97%	78%	30	100%	100%	73%	
Female			100%	100%	80%	17	100%	100%	82%	
Male		21	100%	95%	76%	13	100%	100%	62%	
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American		1				1				
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r					1	_	_	_	
Pacific Islander		••••••••••••••••••								
White		35				28			-	
Small Group Totals		36	100%	97%	78%	30	100%	100%	73%	
General-Education Students			-	_	-	27	-	_	-	
Students with Disabilities		1	-		-	3	-	_	-	
English Proficient		36	100%	97%	78%	30	100%	100%	73%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged						3	-	-	-	
Not Disadvantaged		36	100%	97%	78%	27	-	-	-	
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	36	100%		78%	30	100%	100%	73%	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	5	.(s):	Total		oring at leve	l(s):
New York State Alternate Assessment	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 608-795 650-795 711-795 100% 77% 20% 30 23 6 30 23 6 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 30 23 6 30 3-4 4 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 16 100% 88% 31%			Percentage	scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 676	Range:	608-795	650-79	95 7	11-795						
	100%	100%				94%					
			77%			5170	670/				
							67%				
				2	20/						
				2	J%			12%			
Number of Students:		30	23		6						
Results by		2005–06 S	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		30	100%	77%	20%						
-emale		16	100%	88%	31%						
Male		14	100%	64%	7%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e										
Black or African American		1									
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	_	_	_						
Pacific Islander		·····					t was not siv	on in 2004	OF		
White		28					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		30	100%	77%	20%						
General-Education Students		27	-		-						
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-						
English Proficient		30	100%	77%	20%						
imited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		30	100%	77%	20%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		30	100%	77%	20%						

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test v	was not giv	en in 2004	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

ange:	Percentage : 2–4 619–780 100% 31 2005–06 S	scoring at leve 3-4 650-7 84% 26	4 '80 6 2	3% 7	Percentage s 2–4 90%	coring at level(s 3–4 68%	5): 4 19%	
-	619-780 100% 31	650-7 84% 26	'80 6 2	999-780 3%				
-	100%	84% 26	2	3%	90%	68%	19%	
00%	31	26			90%	68%	19%	
							19%	
				7				
	2005-06 S							
	-	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
	Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
	31	100%	84%	23%				
	16	100%	88%	25%				
	15	100%	80%	20%				
	1	-	-	-				
	1	-	-	-				
• • • • • • • • • • •	29				This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
• • • • • • • • • • •	31	100%	84%	23%				
	28	-	-	-				
• • • • • • • • • • •	3	-	-	-				
	31	100%	84%	23%				
• • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••					
• • • • • • • • • • •	31	100%	84%	23%		••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	31	100%	84%	23%				
		31 16 15 1 1 29 31 28 3 31 31	31 100% 16 100% 15 100% 1 - 1 - 29 - 31 100% 28 - 3 - 31 100% 31 100% 31 100%	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	31 100% 84% 23% 16 100% 88% 25% 15 100% 80% 20% 1 - - - 1 - - - 29 - - - 31 100% 84% 23% 28 - - - 3 - - - 31 100% 84% 23% 31 100% 84% 23%	31 100% 84% 23% 16 100% 88% 25% 15 100% 80% 20% 1 - - - 1 - - - 29 - - - 31 100% 84% 23% 28 - - - 3 - - - 31 100% 84% 23% 31 100% 84% 23%	31 100% 84% 23% 16 100% 88% 25% 15 100% 80% 20% 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 1 - - - 100% 84% 23% - 31 100% 84% 23% 31 100% 84% 23% 31 100% 84% 23%	31 100% 84% 23% 16 100% 88% 25% 15 100% 80% 20% 1 - - - 1 - - - 29 - - - 31 100% 84% 23% 28 - - - 3 - - - 31 100% 84% 23% 31 100% 84% 23% 31 100% 84% 23%

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	ven in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 663	Range:	598-785	650-78	85 7	05-785						
	100%	93%				93%					
		9370	70%			5576					
			1070				60%				
				13	3%			12%			
Number of Students		37	20								
Number of Students:		51	28		U U						
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		40	93%	70%	13%						
Female		25	92%	72%	12%						
Male		15	93%	67%	13%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-										
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		40	93%	70%	13%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students		37	-	-	-						
Students with Disabilities		3	-	-	-						
English Proficient		40	93%	70%	13%						
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••						
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	40	93%	70%	13%				• • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant											
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	40	93%	70%	13%			••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
NOTES											

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year Other Total Number scoring at level(s): Total Number scoring at level(s): Assessments Tested Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 New York State Alternate Assessment 0 This test was not given in 2004-05. (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)[†]: 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 6

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public					
	Percentage s	coring at level	l(s):		Percentage se	coring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Range:	616-780	650-78	30 69	96-780						
100%	97%									
					87%					
		56%				60%				
			5	%			13%			
	38	22		2						
	2005–06 S									
	Total	-	-			-	-	vel(s):		
			-		Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
•••••	· · • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			····· - ·····	••••	••••••			
	15	100%	80%	13%						
/e	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••								
•••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••					
	•••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	•••••					
	39	97%		5%	This tes	t was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
•••••		5170				5				
	36	-	_	-						
•••••	3	_		_						
	-	97%	56%	5%						
•••••										
•••••	39	97%	56%	5%	•••••	••••				
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 616-780 100% 97% 38 2005-06 S Total Tested 39 24 15 /e 39 36 39	2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-78 100% 97% 56% 100% 38 22 38 22 2005-06 School Year Total Percentage Tested 2-4 39 97% 24 96% 15 100% //e 339 39 97% 39 97% 39 97% 39 97% 39 97% 39 97% 39 97% 39 97%	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 69 100% 97% 56% 56% 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 38 22 2 2 39 97% 56% 36 24 96% 42% 42% 15 100% 80% 36 7e 39 97% 56% 36 - - 39 39 97% 56% 36	Percentage scoriug at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 696-780 696-780 100% 97% 56% 55% 38 22 2 2 2005-06 Schul Year Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 39 97% 56% 5% 24 96% 42% 0% 15 100% 80% 13% 7e 39 97% 56% 5% 39 97% 56% 5% 39 97% 56% 5% 39 97% 56% 5% 39 97% 56% 5%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 87% 100% 97% 56% 5% 87% 38 22 2 2 2 zoo5-o6 School Year 2004-05 S Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested 2-4 3-4 4 39 97% 56% 5% 5% 24 96% 42% 0% 13% 7 15 100% 80% 13% 7 39 97% 56% 5% 36 - - - - 39 97% 56% 5% 5% 36 - - - - 39 97% 56% 5% 5%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 87% 60% 100% 97% 56% 5% 87% 60% 60% 100% 97% 56% 5% 87% 60% 60% 38 22 2 2 2004-05 School Year 704 Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage 204-05 School Year 704 2-4 3-4 4 704 2-4	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 616-780 650-780 696-780 87% 60% 13% 100% 97% 56% 5% 87% 60% 13% 100% 97% 56% 5% 13% 60% 13% 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 School Year 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 2004-05 School Year 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 24 96% 5% 5% 5% 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 24 96% 42% 0% 13% 70tal Percentage scoring at level(s): 39 97% 56% 5% 5% 70tal 70tal		

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 S	chool Year	2004-05 School Year					
_	Total	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test	t was not giv	/en in 2004	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

	This Distri	ct			NY State Public						
	Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	Percentage scoring at level(s):					
	2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Range:	600-790	650-7	90 7:	12-790							
100%					0.2%						
	80%				92%						
		51%				56%					
		5170									
			69	26			8%				
											
	28	18	2	2							
	2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
	Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
D	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
	35	80%	51%	6 %							
	14	79%	64%	7%							
	21	81%	43%	5%							
ative											
ier	1	_	_	_							
	±	-			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	34			_	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.			
	35	80%	51%	6%							
	24	96%	71%	8%							
	11	45%	9%	0%							
	35	80%	51%	6%							
	35	80%	51%	6%							
	35	80%	51%	6%		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					
	100%	Percentage s 2-4 Range: 600-790 100% 80% 28 2005-06 So Total Tested 35 14 21 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 Range: 600-790 $650-790$ 73 $100%$ $80%$ $51%$ $51%$ 66 28 18 2 $2005-06$ School Year 28 18 2 $2005-06$ School Year 28 18 $2-4$ $3-4$ 35 $80%$ $51%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ rtive 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 14 $79%$ $64%$ 21 $81%$ $43%$ 11 $45%$ $9%$ 35 $80%$ $51%$ 11 $45%$ $9%$ 35 $80%$ $51%$ 11 $45%$ $9%$	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 Range: $600-790$ $650-790$ $712-790$ 100% $80%$ $51%$ $6%$ 28 18 $22005-06 School YearTotal Percentage scoring at level(s):Tested 2-4 3-4 435 80% 51% 6% 14 79% 64% 7% 21 81% 43% 5% thiveref 1$	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 $2-4$ Range: $600-790$ $650-790$ $712-790$ 100% 80% 92% 92% 100% 80% 6% 92% 28 18 2 2005-06 School Year 2004-05 Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Tested $2-4$ $3-4$ 4 35 80% 51% 6% 14 79% 64% 7% 21 81% 43% 5% at $ -$ at 35 80% 51% 6%	Percentage scoring at level(s): Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 $3-4$ 4 2-4 $3-4$ Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92% 100% 80% 51% 56% 56% 28 18 2 56% 56% 28 18 2 56% 56% 28 18 2 704 Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Total Percentage scoring at level(s): Tested 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 35 80% 51% 6% 4 14 79% 64% 7% 2 14 79% 64% 7% 4 14 79% 64% 7% 5 14 79% 64% 7% 4 16** 1 - - - 11 43% 5% 5 5 11 45% 9% 0% 5 11 <	Percentage scoring at level(s): 2-4 3-4 4 2-4 3-4 4 Range: 600-790 650-790 712-790 92%			

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 \$	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	el(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test	was not giv	ren in 200	4-05.
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 644	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800						
	100%										
		85%				87%					
			50%				56%				
				9	%			12%			
Number of Students:		29	17				_				
		-									
Results by		2005–06 S e					School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage			Total		scoring at le			
•		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		34	85%	50%	9%						
Female		14	79%	64%	14%			••••••			
Male		20	90%	40%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e				•••••						
Black or African American					•••••	• • • • • • • • •					
Hispanic or Latino					•••••						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other		1	-	-	_						
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05		
White			-		-		it was not giv	2004	05.		
Small Group Totals		<u>34</u> 24	85% 100%	50% 71%	<u>9%</u> 13%						
General-Education Students		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••						
Students with Disabilities		10	50%	0%	0%						
English Proficient			85%	50%	9%	•••••					
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		34	85%	50%	9%						
Migrant											
		34	85%	50%	9%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	.(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sc 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This tes	st was not giv	/en in 200	4-05.

This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		This Distrie	ct		NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage s	coring at level(5):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 640	Range:	602-790	650-79	90 71	L5-790					
	100%					91%				
		87%				9198				
							49%			
			33%							
				09	26			5%		
Number of Students:		34	13							
		54	13		, 					
Results by		2005–06 S e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		39	87 %	33%	0%					
Female		16	100%	38%	0%					
Male		23	78%	30%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino							sessments for		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	2	_	_	_		Idle-level Eng		age	
Pacific Islander							l mathematic			
White		37					tered in 2000			
Small Group Totals		39	87%	33%	0%		ssessments c ed to results		-	
General-Education Students		34	88%	35%	0%		tered assessr	•	Justy	
Students with Disabilities		5	80%	20%	0%			nemus.		
English Proficient		39	87%	33%	0%					
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
Economically Disadvantaged										
Not Disadvantaged	•••••	39	87%	33%	0%		••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Migrant										
Not Migrant	•••••		87%		0%		••••			

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at level 3-4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Distrie	ct			NY State Public					
		Percentage s	coring at leve	l(s):		Percentage so	coring at level	s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	ļ	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 658	Range:	616-775	650-7	75 7	01-775						
	100%	90%									
		90%	67%			85%					
			67%				54%				
				1	0%			10%			
Number of Students:		35	26		4						
Results by		2005-06 S e	chool Year			2004-05 S	School Year				
		Total	Percentage	scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		39	90%	67%	10%						
Female		16	94%	69%	6%						
Male		23	87%	65%	13%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve										
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino						New ass	essments fo	r elementai	ſy-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	-	2	_	_	_		dle-level En	5	age		
Pacific Islander							mathematic				
White		37					ered in 200				
Small Group Totals		39	90%	67%	10%		sessments o		-		
General-Education Students		34	94%	71%	12%		 compared to results from previously administered assessments. 				
Students with Disabilities		5	60%	40%	0%			nento.			
English Proficient		39	90%	67%	10%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged											
Not Disadvantaged		39	90%	67%	10%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		39	90%	67%	10%						

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	ichool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

This District's Results in Grade 8 Science

		This Distri	ct			NY State Public				
		Percentage s	coring at lev	vel(s):		Percentage s	coring at leve	el(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 76	Range:	44-100	65-1	00 8	5-100					
 ■ 2005-06 2004-05 	100%	100%100%	87%	97% 3:	55%	91% 91%	64% 68	3%	_% 25%	
Number of Students:		39 33	34	32 1	2 18	_				
Results by		2005-06 S		r		2004-05 \$	School Yea	ır		
		Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		39	100%	87%	31%	33	100%	97%	55%	
Female		16	100%	94%	19%	14	100%	93%	50%	
Male		23	100%	83%	39%	19	100%	100%	58%	
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive									
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino						2				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe Pacific Islander	r	2	-	-	-					
White		37	-	-	-	31	-	-	-	
Small Group Totals		39	100%	87%	31%	33	100%	97%	55%	
General-Education Students		34	100%	94%	32%	28	100%	100%	64%	
Students with Disabilities		5	100%	40%	20%	5	100%	80%	0%	
English Proficient		39	100%	87%	31%	33	100%	97%	55%	
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged						8	100%	100%	63%	
Not Disadvantaged		39	100%	87%	31%	25	100%	96%	52%	
Migrant										
Not Migrant		39	100%	87%	31%	33	100%	97%	55%	


NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


Other	2005-06	School Year		2004–05 School Year				
Accoccmonto	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Feb 2005	0	3	15	12	30	686	
Feb 2004	1	14	23	5	43	657	
Feb 2003	3	12	15	3	33	649	




Number of students scoring at each performance level:


Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	1	15	15	2	33	698	
Jan 2004	2	11	20	1	34	699	
Jan 2003	3	18	15	0	36	690	

Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance level:			
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	1	15	14	30	680	
May 2004	1	3	28	9	41	660	
May 2003	2	4	17	7	30	653	



Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	7	20	7	34	739	
May 2004	1	5	17	8	31	739	
May 2003	2	13	18	4	37	720	

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Public				
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	90% 90%	90% 90%	65% 36%	76% 74%	69% 68%	28% 33%		

Results by	2002 Coho i	ť*		2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	42	90%	90%	36%	31	90%	90%	65%
Female	21	95%	95%	57%	15	93%	93%	67%
Male	21	86%	86%	14%	16	88%	88%	63%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-			•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1	_	_	_			•••••	
Pacific Islander	ـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ							
White	40	_		-	31	90%	90%	65%
Small Group Totals	42	90%	90%	36%				
General-Education Students	39	-	-	-	28	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
English Proficient	42	90%	90%	36%	31	90%	90%	65%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	92%	92%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	30	90%	90%	47%			•••••	••••••
Migrant								
Not Migrant	42	90%	90%	36%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	rt*			2001 Cohor	t*		
Accoccmonts	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
 2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort 	100%	95% 90%	86% 90%	45% 21%	78% 75%	71% 67%	23% 21%	

Results by	2002 Cohor	't*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Number	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Group	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	42	95%	86%	21%	31	90%	90%	45%	
Female	21	100%	86%	33%	15	93%	93%	33%	
Male	21	90%	86%	10%	16	88%	88%	56%	
American Indian or Alaska Native									
Black or African American							••••••	•••••	
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-			••••••	•••••	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	1					••••••	••••••	••••••	
Pacific Islander	±								
White	40	-	-	-	31	90%	90%	45%	
Small Group Totals	42	95%	86%	21%					
General-Education Students	39	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	
Students with Disabilities	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
English Proficient	42	95%	86%	21%	31	90%	90%	45%	
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		••••••	••••••	••••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	12	100%	83%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	30	93%	87%	30%		••••••	••••••	••••••	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	42	95%	86%	21%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••	

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.
 2002 Cohort*
 2001 Cohort*

Accoccmonte	Number	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Number	Number sco	oring at level	(s):
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School


Percentage of students who:

7%

0%

0% 0%

7% 6%



2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort


	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	42	83%	2%	7%	7%	0%
	2001	31	90%	3%	6%	0%	0%
emale	2002	21	95%	0%	5%	0%	0%
	2001	15	93%	0%	7%	0%	0%
Male	2002	21	71%	5%	10%	14%	0%
	2001	16	88%	6%	6%	0%	0%
American Indian	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native							
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American							
Hispanic or Latino	2002	1	_	_	_	-	_
Asian or Native	2002	1			_		
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande	er						
White	2002	40	_	_	_	_	_
	2001	31	90%	3%	6%	0%	0%
Small Group Totals	2002	42	83%	2%	7%	7%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	39				_	
	2001	28	_	_	_	_	_
Students with Disabilities	2002	3	_		_		
	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
English Proficient	2002	42	83%	2%	7%	7%	0%
-	2001	31	90%	3%	6%	0%	0%
imited English Proficient	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	12	75%	8%	8%	8%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	30	87%	0%	7%	7%	0%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2002	J J					
Not Migrant	2002	42	83%	2%	7%	7%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:



DistrictNY State Public

	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
 All Students	29	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Female	14	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Male	15	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%
American Indian	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	29	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Small Group Totals	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
General-Education Students	26	-	_	-	-	_
Students with Disabilities	3	_	-	-		
English Proficient	29	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	3	-	-	-	-	-
Not Disadvantaged	26	-	-	-	_	
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	29	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.