

## The New York State School Report Card

Accountability and Overview Report 2005 – 06 School STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT School ID 251501040001 Principal MARY ANNE IRITZ Telephone (315) 495-4550 Grades PK-12

## **This School's Report Card**

The New York State School Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the school's status under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the school report card on a school's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

## Use this report to:

Get School Profile information. This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment.

### **2** Review School Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a school made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies schools in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as schools requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

Review an Overview of School Performance.

This section has information about the school's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## **School Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

## Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	23	34	29
Kindergarten	42	30	39
Grade 1	42	44	37
Grade 2	44	44	38
Grade 3	44	42	41
Grade 4	47	45	39
Grade 5	35	46	44
Grade 6	47	35	48
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	35	45	40
Grade 8	37	38	42
Grade 9	38	40	34
Grade 10	34	43	40
Grade 11	39	34	41
Grade 12	48	35	32
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
Total K–12	532	521	515

### Enrollment Information

Enrollment counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a school's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a school's enrollment. Students classified by schools as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Common Branch	22	21	21
Grade 8			
English	16	37	15
Mathematics	20	35	38
Science			
Social Studies			
Grade 10			
English	15		
Mathematics	18	18	15
Science	16	34	
Social Studies	13	30	21

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

### **Demographic Factors**

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	122	23%	127	24%	120	23%
Reduced-Price Lunch	72	14%	59	11%	36	7%
Student Stability*		100%		94%		97%
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	47	9%	47	9%	43	8%
Black or African American	2	0%	1	0%	2	0%
Hispanic or Latino	0	0%	2	0%	2	0%
Asian or Native	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	481	90%	470	90%	468	91%

\* Not available at the district level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2003	2002-03		2003-04		4-05
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		98%		95%		96%
Student Suspensions	18	N/A	11	2%	18	3%

## Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category. Student Stability is the percentage of students in the highest grade in a school who were also enrolled in that school at any time during the previous school year. (For example, if School A, which serves Grades 6-8, has 100 students enrolled in Grade 8 this year, and 92 of those 100 students were also enrolled in School A last year, the stability rate for the school is 92 percent.)

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A school's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day the school was open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

## **Teacher Qualifications**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers			
Total Number of Core Classes	85	69	135
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	2%	6%	0%
Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate			
Total Number of Teachers	0	2	0
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	5%	0%
Individuals Teaching Out of Certification			
Number of Teachers	1	2	0
Percentage of Total	2%	5%	0%
Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	9%	12%	14%

## **Staff Counts**

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	43	43	44
Total Other Professional Staff	4	4	4
Total Paraprofessionals*	N/A	N/A	N/A
Assistant Principals	0	0	0
Principals	1	1	1

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).



For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml

### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3 Third Indicator**

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### **Accountability Cohort**

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002-03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002-03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from o to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

 $100 \times [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]$ 

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) ÷ Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

### **Progress Target**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

### **Safe Harbor**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

2005-06 PI + (200 - the 2005-06 PI) × 0.10

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target's proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### **Science Progress Target**

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### **Science Standard**

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

School STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

## **Understanding Your School Accountability Status**

The list below defines the school status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for schools at the elementary/middle level are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science; at the secondary level, they are ELA, mathematics, and graduation rate. A school may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a school is the status assigned to the school for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the school receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the school is in good standing under Title I but identified as SRAP under the State hierarchy. A school that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all schools receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Schools in improvement status under Title I must provide school choice for their students; those in need of improvement in year 2 and beyond must also provide Supplemental Education Services to eligible students. Other consequences for schools not in good standing can be found at: www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml.

Federal Title I Status	<b>New York State Status</b>				
(Applies to all New York State schools receiving Title I funds)	(Applies to all New York State public schools)				
School in Good Standing A school is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee Planning for Restructuring, Restructuring, Requiring Academi	n identified as a School in Need of Improvement, in Corrective Action, c Progress, or as a School Under Registration Review.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years while receiving Title I funds is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A school that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.				
School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A School in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.				
School in Corrective Action	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)				
A School in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not				
make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
identified is considered a School in Corrective Action for the	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Planning for Restructuring	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)				
A School in Corrective Action that does not make AYP	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not				
on the accountability measure for which it was identified	make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified				
is considered a School Planning for Restructuring for	is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for				
the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 1)	School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)				
A School Planning for Restructuring that does not make	A School Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that				
AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified	does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was				
is considered a School Restructuring (Year 1) for the	identified is considered a School Requiring Academic Progress				
following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	(Year 5 and above) for the following year.				
School Restructuring (Year 2) A School Restructuring (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a School Restructuring (Year 2) for the following					

## 2 School Accountability

School STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL District STOCKBRIDGE VALLEY CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT


### Summary

Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)	in Ge	ood Standing			
	Elemen	tary/Middle Level	Secondary Leve	əl	
	ELA	▲ Good Standing	ELA	▲ Good Standing	
	Math	Good Standing	Math	▲ Good Standing	
	Science	▲ Good Standing	Graduation Rate	▲ Good Standing	
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the School Received Ti	itle I Part A Funding		

litte i Part A Funding	fears the School Received Title Part A Funding				
	2004-05	2005–06	2006-07		
	YES	YES	YES		
	<b>·</b> •	YES	YES		

### On which accountability measures did this school make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Le	Secondary Level			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate		
All Students	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	_	_		-	_			
Black or African American	–	–	••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Hispanic or Latino	–	–	••••		••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		
White	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	••••	~	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	V		_	_			
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••••	••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	••••	–	-	••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	🗸 4 of 4	🖌 4 of 4	🖌 1 of 1	✔ 2 of 2	🗸 2 of 2	🖌 1 of 1		



## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	est Performance <sup>3</sup> Performance Object		nce Objectiv	es
	Ctatua	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target	
	Status				Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (258:242)	<b>V</b>	V	100%	<b>V</b>	167	115		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (20:17)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	_	-	-	-	••••••••••	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		–	-	-	-	-		-
White (235:222)	<	<	100%	<	165	115	••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (46:43)	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	~	100%	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	130	107		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	•••••••••		••••					
Economically Disadvantaged (77:72)	~	<	100%	~	163	111		
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 4	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
All Students (263:244)	~	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	165	79		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (20:18)	_	_	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (1:1)	-	-	-	-	-	-		_
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)	_	_	-	–	-	-	••••••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-		_
White (240:223)	<	<	100%	<b>~</b>	164	79	•• ••••	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (46:43)	~	~	100%	~	133	71		
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (77:72)	~	<	100%	~	154	75		••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 4 of 4							

### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.
  - <sup>4</sup> If the school failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the school is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.
  - ‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

### **AYP Status**

- Made AYP
- **V**SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Science**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Science
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participat	ion <sup>2</sup>	Test Perfo	rmance <sup>3</sup>	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Safe Harbor	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	State	Progress	-
(Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	-	Qualification	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (87:78)	~	Qualified	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	99%	<b>V</b>	191	100		
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (9:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Black or African American (0:0)			••••						
Hispanic or Latino (1:1)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (77:69)	• • • • • • • • • • •	Qualified	<	99%	~	190	100		
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (17:16)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)	••••••		••••				••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (23:21)		-	_	-	-	-	-		-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 o	of 1							

### NOTES

### <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count. 2

Made AYP

**AYP Status** 

- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004-05 and 2005-06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years. 3 Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet

For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005-06, data for 2004-05 and 2005-06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts
	~	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participat	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	-	
(12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2005-06	2006-07	
All Students (31:31)	~	-	-	×	184	137			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	
Black or African American (0:0)									
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)									
White (30:30)	✓	_	-	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	187	137	••• ••••	••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (6:6)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2								

### NOTES

- **AYP Status**
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	2 of 2	Student groups making AYP in Mathematics
	<	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	<b>Participation</b> <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives		
<b>Student Group</b> (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbo 2005–06	or Target 2006–07
		Citterion	lesteu				2005-08	2006-07
All Students (31:31)	V	_	-	<b>V</b>	194	129		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (1:1)	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
Black or African American (0:0)								
Hispanic or Latino (0:0)								
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)								
White (30:30)	<	-	-	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	193	129	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)								
Economically Disadvantaged (6:6)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	🖌 2 of 2							

### NOTES

- AYP Status
- Made A
- Made AYP
- ✓ SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status
- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For schools with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For schools with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

## **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2006–07)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This school will be in good standing in 2007-08. [101]

## How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Grad	uation		Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progre	ss Target
(Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Criterion	Rate <sup>2</sup>	Standard	2005-06	2006-07
All Students (34)	~	<b>v</b>	94%	55%		
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)		-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American (0)						
Hispanic or Latino (0)						
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)						
White (33)		✓	94%	55%		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (2)		_	-	-	-	-
Limited English Proficient (0)						
Economically Disadvantaged (7)		-	-	-	-	-
Final AYP Determination	<b>v</b> 1	of 1				

### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

### Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

## Summary of 2005–06 School Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	67%		43
Grade 4	54%		39
Grade 5	74%		43
Grade 6	73%		45
Grade 7	65%		43
Grade 8	75%		44
Mathematics			
Grade 3	89%		44
Grade 4	78%		41
Grade 5	67%		45
Grade 6	49%		45
Grade 7	57%		44
Grade 8	68%		44
Science			
Grade 4	98%		41
Grade 8	89%		45
	-	of students that above Level 3	2002 Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%
English	76%		34
Mathematics	85%		34
	Percentage who graduat		2002 Cohort
Graduation Rate	0%	50%	100%
2002 Cohort	85%		34

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

## How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts**.

In this section, this school's performance is compared with that of similar schools.

### What are Similar Schools?

Within each N/RC category, the Department identifies Similar Schools: schools that serve similar students and have similar resources. Each school report card compares the school's performance with that of similar schools. The following factors are considered in grouping schools: a) the grade level served by the school and b) rates of student poverty and limited English proficiency. Student poverty levels are indicated by determining the percentage of children in each school who participate in the free-lunch program. By combining these factors, a measure of student need is created and used to place schools into relatively low (lowest quartile), relatively high (highest quartile), and typical (mid-range) groups.

### This School's Similar Schools Group: 64

All schools in this group serve students from Kindergarden through Grade 12. Schoolwide measures like Attendance Rate are compared with all other schools in this group. Test results for schools in this group are compared with schools from comparable districts; elementary level schools for grades K-4, middle level schools for grades 5-8, and secondary schools for grades 9-12.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This Schoo		al/a);		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		Percentage s 2–4	coring at lev 3-4	el(s): 4		Percentage s	3-4	s): 4		
	Deres					2-4	5-4	4		
Mean Score: 670	Range:	616-780	650-7	180 1	30-780					
	100%	95%				93%				
			67%				70%			
				2	%			5%		
Number of Students:		41	29		1					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year			
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Grou	ир	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		43	95%	67%	2%					
Female		17	100%	71%	6%					
Male		26	92%	65%	0%					
American Indian or Alaska	Native	2	-	_						
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/O	Other									
Pacific Islander						This too			05	
White		41			<u>-</u>	inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		43	95%	67%	2%					
General-Education Student	s	36	94%	72%	3%					
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	43%	0%					
English Proficient		43	95%	67%	2%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantage	d	13	100%	54%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		30	93%	73%	3%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		43	95%	67%	2%					

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost y	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent						was not giv		4-05.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> :	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 3									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This Schoo	<b>ol</b> coring at leve	J/(c);		Similar Sc	<b>hools</b> scoring at level	<i>c)</i> .	
		2-4	3-4	4	L	2-4	3-4	s). 4	
Mean Score: 683	Range:	624-770	650-7		03-770	2 7	5 -		
	nunge.	100%	050 1	10 1	05 110				
	100%	100%	89%			96%	85%		
			L	2	3%			16%	
Number of Students:		44	39		10				
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
mber of Students: esults by tudent Group I Students male le herican Indian or Alaska Native ack or African American spanic or Latino ian or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander hite hall Group Totals neral-Education Students idents with Disabilities glish Proficient hited English Proficient onomically Disadvantaged t Disadvantaged grant		Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Total Percentage scoring at leve			vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		44	100%	<b>89</b> %	23%				
Female		18	100%	72%	28%				
Male		26	100%	100%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Nat	ive	2							
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r								
Pacific Islander	•••••					This tos	t was not ai	an in 2004	05
White	•••••	42				This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals		44	100%	89%	23%				
General-Education Students			100%	92%	24%				
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	71%	14%				
English Proficient			100%	89%	23%				
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		13	100%	100%	23%				
Not Disadvantaged		31	100%	84%	23%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		44	100%	89%	23%				

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		<b>This Schoo</b> Percentage se		1(c):		Similar Sc	hools coring at level(	<u>c)</u> .	
		2-4		4		2-4	3–4	4	
Mean Score: 659	Range:	612-775	650-7		16-775	2 7	5 +		
	5	95%				0.1.0/			
	100%	9378				91%			
			54%				65%		
				Ę	3%			6%	
								070	
Number of Students:		37	21		3				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	tlevel(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		39	95%	54%	8%				
Female		18	89%	67%	17%				
Male		21	100%	43%	0%				
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	e	2	_						
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino							sessments fo		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other							ddle-level En		age
Pacific Islander							d mathematic		
White		37		<u>-</u>			stered in 200		
Small Group Totals		39	95%	54%	8%		ssessments c ed to results		-
General-Education Students			93%	60%	10%		stered assess	•	ousty
Students with Disabilities		9	100%	33%	0%				
English Proficient		39	95%	54%	8%				
imited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	50%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		29	93%	55%	10%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		39	95%	54%	8%				

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0				0				

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This Schoo	ol coring at level	(5).		Similar Scl		20%			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	_				
Mean Score: 667	Range:	622-800	650-80	0 70	02-800						
		98%				94%					
	100%		78%				80%				
								200/			
				7	%			20%			
Number of Students:		40	32	3	3						
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b> e				2004-05	School Year				
Student Group		Total	Percentage s	-		Total					
		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		41	98%	78%	7%						
Female	•••••	20	95%	80%	5%		••••				
Male		21	100%	76%	10%						
American Indian or Alaska Na	ative	2		·····-	<u>-</u>						
Black or African American	•••••				•••••		_				
Hispanic or Latino	•••••						sessments fo		-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	ner						dle-level Englished in the second s	5	age		
Pacific Islander			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		tered in 200		rom		
White	•••••	39	-				ssessments c				
Small Group Totals		41	98% 97%	78% 75%	7% 9%		ed to results		-		
General-Education Students	•••••	·····				· ····· adminis	tered assessi	ments.			
Students with Disabilities		9	100%	89%	0%						
English Proficient	•••••	41	98%	78%	7%						
Limited English Proficient			1000	7001	1007						
Economically Disadvantaged	•••••	10	100%	70%	10%		••••				
Not Disadvantaged		31	97%	81%	6%						
Migrant	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••									
Not Migrant		41	98%	78%	7%						

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	ichool Year	2004–05 School Year						
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0				
(NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0				

## This School's Results in Grade 4 Science

		This Schoo		14. \		Similar Scl		1/ )	
		Percentage s	-		4	Percentage s	-		
		2-4	3-4		4	2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 83	Range:	45-100	65-10	00 8	85-100				
		100%100%	98% 1	00%		99% 99%	93% 9(	1%	
	100%						5000	<b>J</b> 70	
				Ę	59% 57%			53	<sup>%</sup> 48%
<ul> <li>■ 2005-06</li> <li>2004-05</li> </ul>							_		
			40		24 25		_		
Number of Students:		41 44	40	44	24 25				
<b>Results by</b>		2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	ar	
		Total	Percentag	e scoring a	at level(s):	Total	Percentag	ge scoring a	t level(s):
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		41	100%	<b>98</b> %	<b>59%</b>	44	100%	100%	57%
Female		20	100%	95%	50%	25	100%	100%	36%
Male		21	100%	100%	67%	19	100%	100%	84%
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	2				2			
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	r								
Pacific Islander					• •• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
White			-			42			
Small Group Totals		41	100%	98%	59%	44	100%	100%	57%
General-Education Students		32	100%	97%	66%	40	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities		9	100%	100%	33%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient			100%	98%	59%	44	100%	100%	57%
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		9	100%	100%	78%	13	100%	100%	62%
Not Disadvantaged		32	100%	97%	53%	31	100%	100%	55%
Migrant									
Not Migrant		41	100%	98%	59%	44	100%	100%	57%

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	0				0			

## This School's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Similar Sc	scoring at level	-1.			
		•	-		L	2-4	3–4	5): 4	
Mean Score: 674	Range:					2 7	5 -		
	nunge.		050 1		11 135				
	100%	100%				96%			
			74%				68%		
				1	1%				
					470			9%	
Number of Students:		43	32		6				
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grou	1p	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		43	100%	74%	14%				
Female		24	100%	67%	8%				
Male		19	100%	84%	21%		•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
American Indian or Alaska	Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino									
Asian or Native Hawaiian/C	)ther								
Pacific Islander						······			05
White		41					st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.
Small Group Totals				-					
General-Education Students	5	36	100%		17%				
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	14%	0%				
English Proficient		43	100%	74%	14%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantage	d	14	100%	79%					
Not Disadvantaged		29	100%	72%	17%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		43	100%	74%	14%				

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number sco	oring at leve	el(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not qiv	on in 200	4-05	
(NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent						was not giv		·4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 5									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

						Similar Schools					
		•	-			-					
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 659	Range:	619-780	650-78	30 69	99-780						
		100%				94%					
	100%		670/				70%				
			67%								
				49	%			14%			
Number of Students:		45	30		)						
Number of Students.	Percentage scoring at level(s):       Percentage scoring at level(s):         2-4       3-4       4       2-4       3-4       4         Range:       619-780       650-780       699-780       94%       100%       100%       100%       100%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14%       14% <td></td>										
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year				
Imber of Students: Results by Student Group I Students male ale nerican Indian or Alaska Native ack or African American spanic or Latino ian or Native Hawaiian/Other cific Islander hite nall Group Totals eneral-Education Students udents with Disabilities glish Proficient nited English Proficient onomically Disadvantaged bt Disadvantaged		Total Percentage scoring at level(s):		level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		45	100%	67%	4%						
Female		25	100%	60%	4%						
Male		20	100%	75%	5%						
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	/e	2									
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other											
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		43				inis te	st was not giv	'en in 2004	4-05.		
Small Group Totals											
General-Education Students			100%	74%	5%						
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	29%	0%						
English Proficient		45	100%	67%	4%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		14	100%	57%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		31	100%	71%	6%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		45	100%	67%	4%						

NOTES

Other	2005-06 <b>S</b>	chool Year		2004–05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Total	Number scoring at level(s):						
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

## This School's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This Schoo				Similar Schools				
		•	coring at leve			Ū.	scoring at level(			
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 665	Range:	598-785	650-7	85 7	05-785					
		100%				95%				
	100%		73%							
							60%			
				1	1%			10%		
Number of Chudente		45	22		<b></b>					
Number of Students:		45	33		5					
Results by		2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year			2004-05	School Year			
-		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		45	100%	73%	11%					
Female		19	100%	84%	16%					
Male		26	100%	65%	8%					
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	2								
Black or African American										
Hispanic or Latino										
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	1	_	_	_					
Pacific Islander		<u>+</u>							05	
White		42				inis tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.	
Small Group Totals		45	100%	73%	11%					
General-Education Students			100%	79%	11%					
Students with Disabilities		7	100%	43%	14%					
English Proficient		45	100%	73%	11%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		10	100%	70%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged		35	100%	74%	14%					
Migrant										
Not Migrant		45	100%	73%	11%					

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

 data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

 Other
 2005-06 School Year
 2004-05 School Year

 Total
 Number scoring at level(s):
 Number scoring at

New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0	This test w	J		
New York State English as a Second					
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Grade 6					

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This Schoo	L			Similar Schools				
		Percentage so	coring at leve	el(s):		Percentage s	scoring at level	s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 648	Range:	616-780	650-7	80 6	96-780					
	100%	91%				90%				
	100 /0									
			49%				57%			
				2	%			8%		
Number of Students:		41	22		1					
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> o	:hool Year			2004-05	School Year			
•		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):	
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students		45	91%	<b>49</b> %	2%					
Female		19	95%	37%	5%					
Male		26	88%	58%	0%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••		
American Indian or Alaska Nativ	ve	2	-	_	-					
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••		•••••	••••••	•••••				
Hispanic or Latino			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••	•••••				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1				•••••				
Pacific Islander		L	-	-						
White		42	_			This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	1-05.	
Small Group Totals		45	91%	49%	2%					
General-Education Students		38	95%	53%	3%					
Students with Disabilities		7	71%	29%	0%					
English Proficient		45	91%	49%	2%					
Limited English Proficient										
Economically Disadvantaged		10	80%	40%	0%					
Not Disadvantaged	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	35	94%	51%	3%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Migrant										
Not Migrant	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	45	91%	49%	2%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b> o	hool Year		2004-05 School Year					
Assessments	Total	Total Number scoring a		oring at leve	l(s):				
ASSESSILIEIILS	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

## This School's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This School				Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s				_	-				
		2-4	3-4	2	1	2-4	3-4	4			
Mean Score: 659	Range:	600-790	650-7	790	12-790						
		98%				94%					
	100%		6594								
			65%				55%				
				1	2%			6%			
Number of Students:		42	28		5						
		42	20		5						
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Year				
-		Total	Percentage	e scoring a	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):		
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students		43	98%	<b>65</b> %	12%						
Female		23	96%	52%	9%						
Male		20	100%	80%	15%						
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	4	-	-	-						
Black or African American		1	-	-	-						
Hispanic or Latino											
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Othe	r	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••••••••						
Pacific Islander						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
White		38	97%	61%	8%	This tes	st was not giv	en in 2004	-05.		
Small Group Totals		5	100%	100%	40%						
General-Education Students		35	100%	71%	14%						
Students with Disabilities		8	88%	38%	0%						
English Proficient		43	98%	65%	12%						
Limited English Proficient											
Economically Disadvantaged		17	94%	59%	0%						
Not Disadvantaged		26	100%	69%	19%						
Migrant											
Not Migrant		43	98%	65%	12%						

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005-06 S	chool Year			2004–05 School Year				
Association	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				This tost	was not given in 2004-05.			
(NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent								·4-0J.	
New York State English as a Second									
Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†:	0				N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Grade 7									

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This Schoo					Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):					
		Percentage s	-			_						
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4				
Mean Score: 649	Range:	611-800	650-8	00 6	93-800							
	100%	91%				91%						
	100%											
			57%				56%					
				2	2%			7%				
Number of Students:		40	25		1							
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05	School Year					
		Total	Percentage	e scoring at	t level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	evel(s):			
Student Group		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4			
All Students		44	91%	57%	2%							
Female		24	88%	42%	4%							
Male		20	95%	75%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Nati	ve	5	_									
Black or African American		1										
Hispanic or Latino												
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other												
Pacific Islander				•••••		This to	st was not ai	on in 200/	1.05			
White		38	89%	55%	0%		st was not giv	/en in 2004	-05.			
Small Group Totals		6	100%	67%	17%							
General-Education Students			97%	61%	3%							
Students with Disabilities		8	63%	38%	0%							
English Proficient			91%	57%	2%	•••••						
Limited English Proficient												
Economically Disadvantaged			88%	47%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged		27	93%	63%	4%							
Migrant												
Not Migrant		44	91%	57%	2%							

NOTES

Other	2005–06 <b>S</b>	chool Year	2004-05 School Year						
-	Total Number scoring at level(s):				Total Number scori		oring at leve	l(s):	
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.				

## This School's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		<b>This School</b> Percentage scoring at level(s):			Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):				
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
Mean Score: 666	Range:	602-790	650-790	715-790					
	100%	100%	75%	2%	94%	51%	4%		
Number of Students:		44	33	1					
Results by		2005–06 School Year				5 School Year			

<b>Results</b> by											
	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	T	otal	Percentage	scoring at lev	vel(s):		
Student Group	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	1	ested	2-4	3-4	4		
All Students	44	100%	75%	<b>2</b> %							
Female	27	100%	89%	4%							
Male	17	100%	53%	0%							
American Indian or Alaska Native	7	-	-	-							
Black or African American											
Hispanic or Latino	1	-	-	-		New asse	ssments fo	r elementar	ry-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••			•••••		glish langua	age				
Pacific Islander						arts and r	nathematic	s were			
White	36	100%	69%	3%			ered in 2006. Results from				
Small Group Totals	8	100%	100%	0%				annot be di	-		
General-Education Students	36	100%	86%	3%		•	i to results red assessi	from previo	ously		
Students with Disabilities	8	100%	25%	0%		auministe		nems.			
English Proficient	44	100%	75%	2%							
Limited English Proficient	•••••										
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	69%	0%							
Not Disadvantaged	31	100%	77%	3%			•••••••				
Migrant											
Not Migrant	44	100%	75%	2%							

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004–05 School Year			
Assessments	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4	Total Tested	Number sco 2–4	oring at leve 3–4	l(s): 4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0				0			

\* Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

## This School's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This Schoo		1/ )		Similar Sch			
		2-4	coring at level 3–4	u(s): 4		2-4	coring at level( 3–4	s): 4	
Mean Score: 661	Range:	616-775	650-77		01-775	Ζ-4	5-4	4	
	Raliye.	010-115	000-11		01-115				
	100%	91%				93%			
			68%				59%		
				0	%			70/	
				5	-70 			7%	
Number of Students:		40	30		4				
Results by		2005-06 <b>S</b> e	chool Year			2004-05 \$	School Year		
		Total	Percentage	scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage	scoring at le	vel(s):
Student Grou	р	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		44	91%	68%	<b>9</b> %				
Female		27	93%	74%	11%				
Male		17	88%	59%	6%				
American Indian or Alaska N	lative	7							
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1					sessments fo		-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Ot	her:						dle-level En	5	age
Pacific Islander							mathematic		
White		36	89%	67%	8%		tered in 200 ssessments c		
Small Group Totals		8	100%	75%	13%		ed to results		-
General-Education Students		36	97%	75%	11%		tered assess	•	0
Students with Disabilities		8	63%	38%	0%				
English Proficient			91%	68%	9%				
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged		13	92%	54%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged		31	90%	74%	13%				
Migrant									
Not Migrant		44	91%	68%	9%				

NOTES

Other	2005-06	School Year	2004–05 School Year					
-	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	ι(s):
Assessments	<b>TESTED 2-4 3-4 4 Tested 2-4</b>		3-4	4				
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	0				0			

## **This School's Results in Grade 8 Science**

		This Schoo		14.)		Similar Scl		1/ )	
		Percentage s	-			Percentage s	-		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4	
Mean Score: 74	Range:	44-100	65-1	8 00	5-100				
	100%	98% 100%	89% <sub>8</sub>	34%	26%	98% 98%	83% 84	.% 254	, 32%
2005-06				1	8% <sup>26%</sup>			25	<i>7</i> 0
2004-05							_		
Number of Students:		44 38	40	32	8 10				
<b>Results by</b>		2005-06 S	chool Yea	r		2004-05	School Yea	r	
Student Group	•	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Group	J	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students		45	<b>98%</b>	89%	18%	38	100%	84%	26%
Female		28	100%	93%	14%	18	100%	89%	28%
Male		17	94%	82%	24%	20	100%	80%	25%
American Indian or Alaska Na	itive	7				9	100%	78%	11%
Black or African American									
Hispanic or Latino		1	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Oth	er								
Pacific Islander									
White		37	97%	86%	14%	29	100%	86%	31%
Small Group Totals		8	100%	100%	38%				
General-Education Students		37	100%	95%	22%	33	100%	88%	30%
Students with Disabilities		8	88%	63%	0%	5	100%	60%	0%
English Proficient		45	98%	89%	18%	38	100%	84%	26%
Limited English Proficient									

NOTES							
Not Migrant	45	98%	89%	18%	38	100%	5
Migrant							
Not Disadvantaged	32	97%	91%	22%	26	100%	9
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	85%	8%	12	100%	(

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2005–06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):		Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent								
Regents Science	0				0			

67%

92%

84%


17%

31% . . . . . . . .

26%

## **Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	el:	
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	0	8	27	10	45	674
Feb 2004	1	9	33	3	46	662
Feb 2003	0	9	17	10	36	668


	This School	L		Similar Scho	ols	
	Percentage s	scoring at level(s):		Percentage sc	oring at level(s	):
Grade 8	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 658–830	697-830	737-830			
2004-05 2003-04 2002-03	100% 97% 100	67% 39% 45%	<sup>25%</sup> 19%	96% 94% 94%	48% 48% 439	6% 10% 6%

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
Jan 2005	0	23	14	1	38	696	
Jan 2004	1	11	15	9	36	712	
Jan 2003	0	23	11	8	42	708	

## **Previous Years' Results for Mathematics**

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.



	Number o	f students sco	oring at each p	erformance leve	l:		
Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	0	1	31	13	45	669	
May 2004	0	9	32	4	45	655	
May 2003	0	3	22	10	35	665	

	This Schoo	ol		Similar Scho	ols	
	Percentage	Percentage scoring at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
Grade 8	2-4	3-4 4		2-4	3-4	4
	Range: 681–882	716-882 76	0-882			
<ul> <li>2004-05</li> <li>2003-04</li> <li>2002-03</li> </ul>	97% 97% 10	84% 71%	% <sup>24%</sup> 17%	92% 93% 91%	58% 67% 55	% 6% 9% 7%

### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score	
May 2005	1	5	24	8	38	738	
May 2004	1	1	27	9	38	748	
May 2003	0	12	22	7	41	732	

# This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
	100%	85% 83%	76% 80%	47% 54%	80% 78%	72% 72%	27% 32%	
2002 Cohort 2001 Cohort								

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	ʻt*		2001 Cohort*				
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	34	85%	76%	47%	41	83%	<b>80</b> %	54%
Female	14	93%	93%	71%	22	82%	82%	55%
Male	20	80%	65%	30%	19	84%	79%	53%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	••••••••••						•••••	••••••
Hispanic or Latino		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	32	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	34	85%	76%	47%	41	83%	80%	54%
General-Education Students	27	93%	85%	56%	37	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	7	57%	43%	14%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	34	85%	76%	47%	41	83%	80%	54%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••			•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	6	67%	67%	33%				
Not Disadvantaged	28	89%	79%	50%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	34	85%	76%	47%		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the part group(c) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	ʻt*		2001 Cohort*				
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment	0				0			
(NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### This School's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

		This School Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Similar Schools Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	2-4 3-4		2-4	3-4	4	
	100%	88% 85%	85% 85%	26% 29%	83% 79%	78% <sub>72%</sub>	24% 20%	
<ul> <li>2002 Cohort</li> <li>2001 Cohort</li> </ul>								

Results by	2002 <b>Coho</b> i	rt*		2001 Cohort*				
Student Group	Number of Students	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	34	88%	85%	<b>26</b> %	41	85%	85%	<b>29</b> %
Female	14	93%	93%	43%	22	86%	86%	27%
Male	20	85%	80%	15%	19	84%	84%	32%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Black or African American	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			•••••				•••••
Hispanic or Latino	••••••			••••••				••••••
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••••••••••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••			•••••	••••••
Pacific Islander								
White	32	-	-	-	38	-	-	-
Small Group Totals	34	88%	85%	26%	41	85%	85%	29%
General-Education Students	27	93%	93%	33%	37	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	7	71%	57%	0%	4	-	-	-
English Proficient	34	88%	85%	26%	41	85%	85%	29%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••			•••••				•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	6	83%	83%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	28	89%	86%	29%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	34	88%	85%	26%		• •••••		

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2002 Cohor	't*			2001 Cohort*			
Assessments	Number	Number scoring at level(s):			Number	Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	0				0			


\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

### **Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort**

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

### **Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School**

Percentage of students who:



9% 12%

2%

2002 Cohort
 2001 Cohort

	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
All Students	2002	34	85%	0%	3%	3%	9%
	2001	41	80%	<b>2</b> %	2%	2%	<b>12</b> %
Female	2002	14	93%	0%	0%	0%	7%
	2001	22	77%	0%	5%	5%	14%
Male	2002	20	80%	0%	5%	5%	10%
	2001	19	84%	5%	0%	0%	11%
American Indian	2002	2	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native	2001	3	_	_	_	_	_
Black or	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American		_		( ) · · · ·		· · ·	
Hispanic or Latino	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	2002		N/A	N/A	N/A		
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islande		Ŭ	,		,,,,		,,,,
White	2002	32		···· •·····		············	····· •·········
White	2002	38	_	_	_	_	_
Small Group Totals	2001	34	85%	0%	3%		
	2002	41	80%	2%	2%	2%	12%
General-Education Students	2001	27	89%	0%	0%	0%	11%
	2002	37	-	-	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	2001	7	71%	0%	14%		
Students with Disabilities	2002	4	-	-	-		-
English Proficient	2001	34	85%	0%	3%	3%	9%
	2002	41	80%	2%	2%	2%	12%
Limited English Proficient	2001	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	6	83%	0%	0%	17%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	28	86%	0%	4%	0%	11%
Not Disduvalitayeu	2002	20	00%	0%	4 %	070	TT 30
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	2002	34	85%	0%	3%	3%	9%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

### Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School Percentage of students who:

100% 83% 78% 2% 4% 0% 5% 0% 1% 15% 12%

SchoolSimilar Schools

	Number		Earned an	Transferred	Were Still	Dropped
	of Students	Graduated	IEP Diploma	to GED	Enrolled	Out
All Students	41	83%	2%	0%	0%	15%
Female	22	82%	0%	0%	0%	18%
Male	19	84%	5%	0%	0%	11%
American Indian	3	-	-	-	-	-
or Alaska Native						
Black or	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
African American						
Hispanic or Latino	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Asian or Native	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	38	_	-	-	_	_
Small Group Totals	41	83%	2%	0%	0%	15%
General-Education Students	38	-	-	-	-	-
Students with Disabilities	3	_	-	_	_	_
English Proficient	41	83%	2%	0%	0%	15%
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Economically Disadvantaged	7	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	34	79%	3%	0%	0%	18%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	41	83%	2%	0%	0%	15%

NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.