



# The New York State District Report Card

**Accountability  
and Overview Report  
2005 – 06**

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL  
DISTRICT**  
District ID **260101060000**  
Superintendent **CHRISTOPHER MANASERI**  
Telephone **(585) 242-5080**  
Grades **K-12**

## This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services  
New York State Education Department  
Room 863 EBA  
Albany, NY 12234  
Email: RPTCARD@mail.nysed.gov

## Use this report to:

### **1** Get District Profile information.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

### **2** Review District Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies districts in need of improvement and subject to interventions under the federal No Child Left Behind Act as well as districts requiring academic progress and subject to interventions under Commissioner's Regulations.

### **3** View School Accountability Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### **4** Review an Overview of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science, and on high school graduation rate.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## District Profile

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Pre-K	0	0	0
Kindergarten	184	197	202
Grade 1	245	220	244
Grade 2	246	257	226
Grade 3	246	254	273
Grade 4	258	258	266
Grade 5	268	256	265
Grade 6	245	295	267
Ungraded Elementary	0	0	0
Grade 7	323	259	308
Grade 8	282	332	260
Grade 9	361	296	361
Grade 10	299	357	284
Grade 11	318	294	352
Grade 12	292	311	296
Ungraded Secondary	0	0	0
<b>Total K-12</b>	<b>3567</b>	<b>3586</b>	<b>3604</b>

### Average Class Size

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Common Branch</b>	21	22	22
<b>Grade 8</b>			
English	21	22	19
Mathematics	17	20	20
Science	20	22	22
Social Studies	21	21	21
<b>Grade 10</b>			
English	22	21	21
Mathematics	23	20	20
Science	20	19	22
Social Studies	19	20	17

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### Average Class Size Information

*Average Class Size* is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1-6.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Demographic Factors

	2003-04		2004-05		2005-06	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	167	5%	156	4%	169	5%
Reduced-Price Lunch	76	2%	74	2%	73	2%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	131	4%	145	4%	132	4%
<b>Racial/Ethnic Origin</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	10	0%	12	0%	12	0%
Black or African American	213	6%	206	6%	238	7%
Hispanic or Latino	108	3%	114	3%	103	3%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	496	14%	474	13%	455	13%
White	2740	77%	2780	78%	2796	78%

\* Not available at the district level.

## Attendance and Suspensions

	2002-03		2003-04		2004-05	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		96%		96%		96%
Student Suspensions	57	N/A	45	1%	51	1%

## Demographic Factors Information

*Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch* percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day kindergarten through Grade 12. *Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient* counts are used to determine *Similar Schools* groupings within a *Need/Resource Capacity* category.

## Attendance and Suspensions Information

*Annual Attendance Rate* is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. *Student Suspension* rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Teacher Qualifications

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
<b>Core Classes Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers</b>			
Total Number of Core Classes	762	886	1033
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	0%	0%	0%
<b>Teachers with No Valid Teaching Certificate</b>			
Total Number of Teachers	5	3	4
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	2%	1%	1%
<b>Individuals Teaching Out of Certification</b>			
Number of Teachers	5	3	4
Percentage of Total	2%	1%	1%
<b>Percent of Teachers with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate</b>	13%	14%	14%

## Staff Counts

	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06
Total Teachers	305	309	310
Total Other Professional Staff	45	46	46
Total Paraprofessionals*	93	95	103
Assistant Principals	5	5	5
Principals	4	4	4

\* Not available at the school level.

## Teacher Qualifications Information

To be *Highly Qualified*, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. The number of *Individuals Teaching Out of Certification* is the number doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, teaching for five or fewer periods per week outside certification.


## Staff Counts Information

*Other Professionals* includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

## Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2005–06, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that prove student proficiency on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).

For more information about accountability in New York State, visit: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/accountability/home.shtml).



### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

#### A Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2005–06 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### B Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2002 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must meet the qualification for Safe Harbor.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### 3 Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

#### A Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### B Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the PI must equal or exceed the State Science Standard or the Science Progress Target in elementary/middle-level science for that group.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2001 graduation-rate cohort in the All Students group earning a high school diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2001 graduation-rate cohort earning a local diploma by August 31, 2005 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (55%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

### Accountability Cohort

The 2002 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2002–03 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2002–03 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2005 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program by June 30, 2006, are not included in the 2002 school accountability cohort. The 2002 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner’s Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State’s learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The secondary-level AMO will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p)(14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### Continuously Enrolled Students

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

### Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO) is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP). The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group’s PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group’s PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Performance Index (PI)

Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 (indicating no proficiency) to Level 4 (indicating advanced proficiency). At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students}} \right]$$

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

$$100 \times \left[ \frac{\text{Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4} + \text{the Count at Levels 3 and 4}}{\text{Count of All Cohort Members}} \right]$$

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

### Progress Target

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year’s performance.

### Safe Harbor

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) for accountability groups that do not achieve their Effective Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) in English or mathematics.

### Safe Harbor Targets

The original 2005–06 safe harbor targets were calculated using the following equation:

$$2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2005\text{--}06 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$$

The resulting targets were adjusted so that their proportion of the 2005–06 AMO was the same as the original target’s proportion of the 2004–05 AMO.

### Science Progress Target

The elementary/middle-level 2005–06 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2004–05 PI. The 2006–07 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2005–06 PI. The 2006–07 target is provided for groups whose PI was below the State Science Standard in 2005–06.

### Science Standard

The criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory performance in science. In 2005–06, the State Science Standard at the elementary/middle level is a Performance Index (PI) of 100. The Commissioner may raise the State Science Standard at his discretion in future years.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Understanding Your District Accountability Status

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts/school-accountability/about.shtml).

### Federal Title I Status

(Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)

#### ▲ District in Good Standing

A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not been identified as a District in Need of Improvement or a District Requiring Academic Progress.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 2)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 3)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 4)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

#### ▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above)

A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.

### New York State Status

(Applies to New York State districts)

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1)

A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.

#### ■ District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above)

A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.



District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Summary

### Overall Accountability Status (2006–07)

#### ▲ Good Standing

##### Elementary/Middle Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Science ▲ Good Standing

##### Secondary Level

ELA ▲ Good Standing

Math ▲ Good Standing

Graduation Rate ▲ Good Standing

### Title I Part A Funding

#### Years the District Received Title I Part A Funding

**2004–05**

YES

**2005–06**

YES

**2006–07**

YES

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

Student Groups	Elementary/Middle Level			Secondary Level		
	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Graduation Rate
<b>All Students</b>	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
White	✓	✓	–	✓	✓	–
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged	✓	✓	–	–	–	–
<b>Student groups making AYP in each subject</b>	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 8 of 8	✓ 1 of 1	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 3 of 3	✓ 1 of 1

#### AYP Status

- ✓ Made AYP
- ✓SH Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- ✗ Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

#### Accountability Status Levels


- | Federal                        | State  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Good Standing ▲                | Good Standing ■                                |
| Improvement (Year 1) ▲         | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 2) ▲         | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 3) ▲         | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 4) ▲         | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) ■         |
| Improvement (Year 5 & Above) ▲ | Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 & Above) ■ |



District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**


























## Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06    2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (1642:1620)			100%		184	119	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (109:104)			98%		173	112	
Hispanic or Latino (52:52)			100%		165	109	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (209:201)			100%		183	114	
White (1267:1258)			100%		185	119	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (225:217)			97%		141	114	
Limited English Proficient (46:42)			98%		162	107	
Economically Disadvantaged (132:126)			98%		168	113	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**


























## Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 8 of 8 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06    2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (1639:1616)			100%		184	83	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (5:5)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (109:104)			99%		166	76	
Hispanic or Latino (49:49)			100%		178	72	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (210:202)			100%		183	78	
White (1266:1256)			100%		185	83	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities <sup>4</sup> (223:217)			98%		142	78	
Limited English Proficient (46:43)			100%		160	71	
Economically Disadvantaged (130:126)			100%		171	77	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 8 of 8						

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.

<sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

<sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in the All Students group in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more continuously enrolled students in the All Students group in 2005–06, student groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion.

<sup>4</sup> If the district failed to make AYP solely because of the performance of students with disabilities, met the 95% participation requirement for this group, and would meet or exceed the AMO for this subject if 34 points were added to the PI, then the district is considered to have made AYP for students with disabilities.

‡ This student group did not make AYP in science; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**












## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Science  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment) <sup>1</sup>	AYP		Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (534:518)		Qualified		99%		197	100	
<b>Ethnicity</b>								
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:2)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (30:26)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (21:21)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (84:78)		Qualified		100%		196	100	
White (397:391)		Qualified		100%		197	100	
<b>Other Groups</b>								
Students with Disabilities (63:59)		Qualified		97%		190	100	
Limited English Proficient (20:17)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (38:34)	–	–	–	–		191	100	
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of students enrolled during the test administration period (used for Participation) followed by the count of continuously enrolled tested students (used for Performance). For Accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons are not included in the enrollment count.
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 80 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of 2004–05 and 2005–06 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> Groups with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students are not required to meet the performance criterion. For schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students in 2005–06, data for 2004–05 and 2005–06 were combined to determine counts and performance indices.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**











## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in English Language Arts  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English Language Arts accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06    2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (308:270)			99%		194	147	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (22:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (9:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:33)			98%		179	137	
White (236:213)			100%		197	146	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (29:24)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (21:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 3 of 3						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
  - <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
  - <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.


#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**











## Secondary-Level Mathematics

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Subject**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 3 of 3 Student groups making AYP in Mathematics  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level Mathematics accountability measures?

Student Group (12th Graders: 2002 Cohort) <sup>1</sup>	AYP	Participation <sup>2</sup>		Test Performance <sup>3</sup>		Performance Objectives	
	Status	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	Effective AMO	Safe Harbor Target 2005–06    2006–07
<b>All Students</b> (308:270)			99%		195	139	
<b>Ethnicity</b>							
American Indian or Alaska Native (2:0)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (22:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (9:8)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (41:33)			98%		188	129	
White (236:213)			100%		197	138	
<b>Other Groups</b>							
Students with Disabilities (29:24)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (4:4)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (21:16)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>	 3 of 3						

#### NOTES

- <sup>1</sup> These data show the count of 12th graders in 2005–06 (used for Participation) followed by the count of students in the 2002 cohort (used for Performance).
- <sup>2</sup> Groups with fewer than 40 students in the 12th grade are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the participation rate of a group fell below 95 percent in 2005–06, the enrollment shown is the sum of the 2004–05 and 2005–06 Grade 12 enrollments and the percent tested is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.
- <sup>3</sup> For districts with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort, data for 2001 and 2002 cohort members were combined to determine counts and PIs. For districts with 30 or more students in the 2002 cohort in the All Students group, groups with fewer than 30 students in the 2002 cohort are not required to meet the performance criterion.
- ‡ This student group did not make AYP in graduation rate; therefore, it did not qualify for Safe Harbor.

#### AYP Status

-  Made AYP
-  Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
-  Did Not Make AYP
- Insufficient Number of Students to Determine AYP Status

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**






## Graduation Rate

**Accountability Status**  Good Standing  
**for This Indicator**  
**(2006–07)**

**Accountability Measures** 1 of 1 Student groups making AYP in Graduation Rate  
 Made AYP

**Prospective Status** This district will be in good standing in 2007-08. [201]

### How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

Student Group (Cohort Count) <sup>1</sup>	Graduation		Graduation Rate <sup>2</sup>	Objectives		
	AYP	Met Criterion		State Standard	Progress Target 2005–06 2006–07	
<b>All Students</b> (303)			93%	55%		
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
American Indian or Alaska Native (1)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American (15)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Hispanic or Latino (11)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (37)			89%	55%		
White (239)			94%	55%		
<b>Other Groups</b>						
Students with Disabilities (29)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Limited English Proficient (6)	–	–	–	–	–	–
Economically Disadvantaged (13)	–	–	–	–	–	–
<b>Final AYP Determination</b>		1 of 1				

#### NOTES

<sup>1</sup> Graduation-rate cohort for each year includes all students in the accountability cohort in the previous year plus all students excluded from that accountability cohort solely because they transferred to a high school equivalency preparation program, approved under Commissioner's Regulations 100.7.

<sup>2</sup> Percentage of the 2001 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005.

## Graduation Rate Information

For a school or a district to make AYP in graduation rate, the percentage of 2001 graduation-rate cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 for the "All Students" group must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for 2005–06.

The Graduation Rate Standard is the criterion value that represents a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local diploma. The State Graduation-Rate Standard for the 2001 cohort is 55 percent. The Commissioner may raise the Graduation-Rate Standard at his discretion in future years.

The 2005–06 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2000 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2004. The 2006–07 Graduation-Rate Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the percentage of the 2001 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005. This target is provided for each group whose percentage earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2005 is below the Graduation-Rate Standard in 2005–06 (55%). Groups with fewer than 30 cohort members are not subject to this criterion.

# 3 School Accountability Status

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## 2006–07 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2006–07 accountability status.

### Federal Title I Status

### New York State Status

#### Good Standing

4 schools identified 100% of total

BRIGHTON HIGH SCHOOL

COUNCIL ROCK PRIMARY SCHOOL

FRENCH ROAD ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

TWELVE CORNERS MIDDLE SCHOOL



District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Summary of 2005–06 District Performance

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			Total Tested
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>English Language Arts</b>				
Grade 3	82%			258
Grade 4	87%			256
Grade 5	87%			260
Grade 6	84%			263
Grade 7	88%			301
Grade 8	84%			250
<b>Mathematics</b>				
Grade 3	93%			270
Grade 4	93%			269
Grade 5	81%			264
Grade 6	79%			262
Grade 7	83%			302
Grade 8	85%			257
<b>Science</b>				
Grade 4	97%			269
Grade 8	92%			153

	Percentage of students that scored at or above Level 3			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>Secondary Level</b>				
English	92%			293
Mathematics	93%			293

	Percentage of students who graduated			2002 Cohort
	0%	50%	100%	
<b>Graduation Rate</b>				
2002 Cohort	87%			293

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

#### Level 1: Not Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 2: Partially Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 3: Meeting Learning Standards.

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

#### Level 4: Meeting Learning Standards with Distinction.

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the content expected in the subject and grade level.

### How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at [www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts](http://www.emsc.nysed.gov/irts).

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:


#### Low Need Districts

This is a school district with low student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 680	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Range:	616-780	650-780	730-780			
Number of Students:	253	211	26	92%	69%	7%



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>258</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>82%</b>	<b>10%</b>				
Female	138	99%	83%	11%				
Male	120	98%	80%	9%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	94%	72%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	31	100%	87%	13%				
White	201	98%	83%	11%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	8	100%	63%	0%				
General-Education Students	226	99%	88%	12%				
Students with Disabilities	32	91%	38%	0%				
English Proficient	257	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	13	100%	62%	8%				
Not Disadvantaged	245	98%	83%	10%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	258	98%	82%	10%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 3	11	10	8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>28%</b>				
Female	140	99%	92%	21%				
Male	130	100%	94%	35%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	18	94%	89%	22%				
Hispanic or Latino	8	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	36	100%	89%	47%				
White	207	100%	94%	26%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	9	100%	89%	11%				
General-Education Students	238	100%	96%	31%				
Students with Disabilities	32	97%	72%	6%				
English Proficient	258	99%	93%	29%				
Limited English Proficient	12	100%	83%	8%				
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	88%	6%				
Not Disadvantaged	254	99%	93%	30%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	270	99%	93%	28%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 688	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Range:	612-775	650-775	716-775			
Number of Students:	252	223	47	91%	69%	9%



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>256</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>18%</b>				
Female	124	99%	90%	22%				
Male	132	98%	85%	15%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	100%	80%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	83%	8%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	35	100%	94%	26%				
White	194	98%	87%	19%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	226	100%	93%	20%				
Students with Disabilities	30	87%	43%	3%				
English Proficient	253	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	14	93%	79%	0%				
Not Disadvantaged	242	99%	88%	19%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	256	98%	87%	18%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 4	11	11	10	N/A	6	6	5	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>38%</b>				
Female	129	100%	92%	42%				
Male	140	97%	93%	35%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	100%	100%	33%				
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	92%	17%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	100%	98%	35%				
White	196	98%	91%	41%				
Small Group Totals								
General-Education Students	237	100%	97%	43%				
Students with Disabilities	32	88%	63%	6%				
English Proficient	254	98%	93%	39%				
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	93%	20%				
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	95%	47%				
Not Disadvantaged	250	98%	92%	38%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	269	99%	93%	38%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES


The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>269</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>73%</b>	<b>259</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>66%</b>
Female	129	100%	98%	73%	125	99%	94%	60%
Male	140	99%	97%	73%	134	100%	95%	71%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	100%	100%	53%	13	100%	77%	23%
Hispanic or Latino	12	100%	100%	75%	7	100%	100%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	46	100%	98%	80%	33	100%	94%	61%
White	196	99%	97%	72%	206	100%	96%	70%
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	237	100%	98%	76%	225	100%	96%	71%
Students with Disabilities	32	94%	94%	47%	34	97%	85%	29%
English Proficient	254	99%	98%	73%	251	100%	95%	68%
Limited English Proficient	15	100%	93%	67%	8	100%	75%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	19	100%	100%	79%				
Not Disadvantaged	250	99%	97%	72%	259	100%	95%	66%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	269	99%	97%	73%	259	100%	95%	66%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	3	–	–	–

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 690	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Range:	608-795	650-795	711-795			
Number of Students:	255	225	79	94%	67%	12%



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>260</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>30%</b>				
Female	126	99%	87%	34%				
Male	134	97%	86%	27%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	93%	80%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	5	100%	60%	20%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	32	97%	88%	28%				
White	208	99%	88%	33%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	221	100%	91%	36%				
Students with Disabilities	39	87%	59%	0%				
English Proficient	257	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	83%	17%				
Not Disadvantaged	236	98%	87%	32%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	260	98%	87%	30%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 5	4	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A


† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.



District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 677	619-780	650-780	699-780			
Range:	619-780	650-780	699-780			
Number of Students:	250	215	69	90%	68%	19%



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>264</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>81%</b>	<b>26%</b>				
Female	128	96%	81%	24%				
Male	136	93%	82%	28%				
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	15	73%	47%	0%				
Hispanic or Latino	5	80%	80%	20%				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	34	94%	79%	29%				
White	210	97%	84%	28%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	225	98%	88%	31%				
Students with Disabilities	39	74%	44%	0%				
English Proficient	257	95%	82%	27%				
Limited English Proficient	7	86%	43%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	27	96%	70%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	237	95%	83%	28%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	264	95%	81%	26%				

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	2	–	–	–	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 679	Range: 598-785			650-785 705-785		
	98%	84%	24%	93%	60%	12%
Number of Students:	257	220	64			

## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>263</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>24%</b>				
Female	133	97%	83%	29%				
Male	130	98%	84%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	20	90%	65%	10%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	100%	81%	30%				
White	205	98%	87%	26%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	11	100%	55%	0%				
General-Education Students	226	100%	89%	28%				
Students with Disabilities	37	86%	49%	0%				
English Proficient	261	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	2	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	24	88%	58%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	239	99%	86%	26%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	263	98%	84%	24%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 6	1	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>262</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>79%</b>	<b>29%</b>				
Female	131	96%	75%	29%				
Male	131	98%	83%	29%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	19	95%	63%	11%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	28	96%	86%	43%				
White	205	97%	80%	30%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	10	100%	60%	0%				
General-Education Students	224	99%	86%	33%				
Students with Disabilities	38	84%	39%	5%				
English Proficient	259	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	3	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	23	91%	70%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	239	97%	80%	31%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	262	97%	79%	29%				

### NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	0				This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>301</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>88%</b>	<b>27%</b>				
Female	146	98%	90%	35%				
Male	155	99%	85%	19%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	27	96%	81%	11%				
Hispanic or Latino	7	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	25	100%	88%	24%				
White	241	99%	89%	29%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	8	88%	75%	25%				
General-Education Students	255	100%	94%	31%				
Students with Disabilities	46	89%	54%	4%				
English Proficient	300	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	1	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	24	100%	83%	21%				
Not Disadvantaged	277	98%	88%	27%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	301	98%	88%	27%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 7	3	-	-	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>97%</b>	<b>83%</b>	<b>29%</b>				
Female	145	98%	83%	30%				
Male	157	96%	83%	28%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	-	-	-				
Black or African American	27	96%	70%	11%				
Hispanic or Latino	6	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	27	93%	78%	52%				
White	241	98%	86%	28%	This test was not given in 2004-05.			
Small Group Totals	7	86%	71%	43%				
General-Education Students	257	99%	91%	34%				
Students with Disabilities	45	84%	42%	2%				
English Proficient	298	-	-	-				
Limited English Proficient	4	-	-	-				
Economically Disadvantaged	26	88%	77%	35%				
Not Disadvantaged	276	98%	84%	29%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	302	97%	83%	29%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	This test was not given in 2004-05.			

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

	This District			NY State Public		
	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
Mean Score: 684	Range: 602-790			650-790 715-790		
	99%	84%	17%	91%	49%	5%
Number of Students:	247	209	43			

## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>250</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>84%</b>	<b>17%</b>				
Female	127	98%	85%	20%				
Male	123	99%	82%	14%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	12	100%	67%	8%				
Hispanic or Latino	10	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	33	97%	76%	24%				
White	193	99%	87%	17%				
Small Group Totals	12	100%	67%	17%				
General-Education Students	228	100%	86%	19%				
Students with Disabilities	22	86%	55%	0%				
English Proficient	250	99%	84%	17%				
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	14	100%	57%	7%				
Not Disadvantaged	236	99%	85%	18%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	250	99%	84%	17%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT): Grade 8	5	5	4	N/A	11	10	9	N/A

† Results in this report are shown for students who took the NYSESLAT in lieu of the New York State Testing Program assessment for accountability purposes.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>257</b>	<b>98%</b>	<b>85%</b>	<b>23%</b>				
Female	130	97%	82%	21%				
Male	127	100%	89%	26%				
American Indian or Alaska Native	2	-	-	-				
Black or African American	14	100%	57%	7%				
Hispanic or Latino	9	-	-	-				
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	38	95%	79%	34%				
White	194	99%	88%	23%				
Small Group Totals	11	100%	100%	18%				
General-Education Students	235	99%	86%	25%				
Students with Disabilities	22	91%	82%	5%				
English Proficient	252	99%	86%	24%				
Limited English Proficient	5	80%	40%	0%				
Economically Disadvantaged	19	89%	68%	16%				
Not Disadvantaged	238	99%	87%	24%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	257	98%	85%	23%				

New assessments for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics were administered in 2006. Results from these assessments cannot be directly compared to results from previously administered assessments.

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.


## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-



District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



## Results by Student Group

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>99%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>35%</b>	<b>219</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>47%</b>
Female	78	99%	88%	26%	102	100%	93%	44%
Male	75	100%	96%	44%	117	100%	95%	50%
American Indian or Alaska Native								
Black or African American	12	100%	83%	17%	26	100%	85%	19%
Hispanic or Latino	8	100%	88%	25%	7	100%	86%	43%
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	19	100%	79%	5%	19	100%	79%	32%
White	114	99%	96%	42%	167	100%	98%	53%
<b>Small Group Totals</b>								
General-Education Students	133	100%	92%	36%	178	100%	96%	52%
Students with Disabilities	20	95%	95%	25%	41	100%	88%	24%
English Proficient	148	99%	94%	35%	209	100%	95%	49%
Limited English Proficient	5	100%	40%	20%	10	100%	70%	10%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	100%	69%	13%				
Not Disadvantaged	137	99%	95%	37%	219	100%	94%	47%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	153	99%	92%	35%	219	100%	94%	47%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

	2005-06 School Year				2004-05 School Year			
	Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):			Total Tested	Number scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4		2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	–	–	–	2	–	–	–
Regents Science	102	102	102	97	106	106	106	106

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for English Language Arts

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

### Grade 4

#### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4  
Range: 603-800      645-800      692-800

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Feb 2005	7	28	107	109	251	687
Feb 2004	3	23	148	71	245	678
Feb 2003	3	29	118	99	249	682

### Grade 8

#### This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4  
Range: 658-830      697-830      737-830

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
Jan 2005	6	64	191	57	318	717
Jan 2004	3	70	139	61	273	718
Jan 2003	8	79	186	67	340	715

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Previous Years' Results for Mathematics

Standards for elementary- and middle-level English language arts and mathematics assessments administered in 1999 through 2005 are different from those for the 2006 assessments. As such, valid comparisons between 2006 data and data from previous years cannot be made.

### Grade 4

#### This District


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4  
Range: 602-810      637-810              678-810

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	3	9	118	129	259	679
May 2004	1	11	108	141	261	682
May 2003	3	14	86	155	258	683

### Grade 8

#### This School


Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4  
Range: 681-882      716-882              760-882

#### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4                      3-4                      4



#### Number of students scoring at each performance level:

Test Date	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Total Tested	Mean Score
May 2005	5	36	211	76	328	742
May 2004	10	24	148	99	281	750
May 2003	7	32	190	117	346	748

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

### 2002 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

### 2001 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>92%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>65%</b>
Female	143	96%	93%	69%	166	96%	95%	66%
Male	150	94%	91%	67%	151	95%	93%	64%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	80%	70%	45%	17	100%	100%	29%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	89%	56%	11	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	95%	84%	59%	42	90%	86%	57%
White	227	96%	96%	72%	245	96%	96%	70%
Small Group Totals					13	85%	77%	46%
General-Education Students	265	96%	94%	72%	285	98%	96%	71%
Students with Disabilities	28	86%	75%	29%	32	75%	75%	13%
English Proficient	288	96%	94%	69%	303	96%	96%	68%
Limited English Proficient	5	20%	0%	0%	14	71%	57%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	80%	65%	25%				
Not Disadvantaged	273	96%	94%	71%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	293	95%	92%	68%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2002 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

### 2001 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	2

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## This District's Total Cohort Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction

### This District

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4


### NY State Public

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4

3-4

4



## Results by Student Group

### 2002 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

### 2001 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Percentage scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*			2001 Cohort*				
	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4	Number of Students	2-4	3-4	4
<b>All Students</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>93%</b>	<b>67%</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>94%</b>	<b>59%</b>
Female	143	97%	93%	66%	166	95%	95%	58%
Male	150	93%	93%	69%	151	95%	93%	60%
American Indian or Alaska Native					2	-	-	-
Black or African American	20	75%	75%	30%	17	100%	94%	35%
Hispanic or Latino	9	89%	89%	33%	11	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	37	97%	92%	76%	42	93%	93%	64%
White	227	96%	95%	70%	245	96%	95%	60%
Small Group Totals					13	85%	85%	54%
General-Education Students	265	97%	96%	72%	285	98%	98%	65%
Students with Disabilities	28	79%	64%	25%	32	66%	59%	9%
English Proficient	288	96%	94%	68%	303	95%	94%	60%
Limited English Proficient	5	40%	40%	40%	14	86%	86%	36%
Economically Disadvantaged	20	80%	75%	45%				
Not Disadvantaged	273	96%	94%	69%				
Migrant								
Not Migrant	293	95%	93%	67%				

### NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

## Other Assessments

### 2002 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

### 2001 Cohort\*

Number of Students

Number scoring at level(s):

2-4 3-4 4

	2002 Cohort*	2001 Cohort*
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): High School Equivalent	1	2

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.


District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Graduation Rate and Other Outcomes for Total Cohort

Students are included in the State total cohort based on the year they entered Grade 9 or, if ungraded, the school year in which they reached their seventeenth birthday. Students are included in the cohort of the school where they were last enrolled if they were enrolled for a minimum of five months. Students were counted as graduates if they earned a local or a Regents diploma.

## Total Cohort Outcomes after Four Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Cohort	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
<b>All Students</b>	<b>2002</b>	<b>293</b>	<b>87%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>2%</b>
	<b>2001</b>	<b>317</b>	<b>86%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>1%</b>
Female	2002	143	90%	1%	1%	9%	0%
	2001	166	88%	1%	1%	10%	1%
Male	2002	150	85%	0%	2%	9%	3%
	2001	151	85%	1%	1%	13%	1%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	2	-	-	-	-	-
Black or African American	2002	20	65%	0%	0%	25%	10%
	2001	17	65%	0%	0%	35%	0%
Hispanic or Latino	2002	9	78%	0%	11%	11%	0%
	2001	11	-	-	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2002	37	78%	0%	0%	22%	0%
	2001	42	83%	0%	0%	17%	0%
White	2002	227	91%	0%	1%	6%	1%
	2001	245	89%	1%	1%	8%	1%
Small Group Totals	2001	13	77%	0%	0%	23%	0%
General-Education Students	2002	265	89%	0%	1%	8%	2%
	2001	285	89%	0%	1%	9%	1%
Students with Disabilities	2002	28	75%	4%	4%	18%	0%
	2001	32	63%	9%	0%	28%	0%
English Proficient	2002	288	89%	0%	1%	8%	1%
	2001	303	88%	1%	1%	10%	1%
Limited English Proficient	2002	5	0%	0%	0%	80%	20%
	2001	14	57%	0%	0%	43%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	2002	20	65%	5%	0%	25%	5%
	2001	273	89%	0%	1%	8%	1%
Not Disadvantaged	2002	273	89%	0%	1%	8%	1%
	2001	293	87%	0%	1%	9%	2%
Migrant	2002	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2001	293	87%	0%	1%	9%	2%


### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

District **BRIGHTON CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT**

## Total 2001 Cohort Outcomes after Five Years of School

Percentage of students who:



	Number of Students	Graduated	Earned an IEP Diploma	Transferred to GED	Were Still Enrolled	Dropped Out
<b>All Students</b>	<b>315</b>	<b>95%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>2%</b>
Female	165	95%	1%	1%	2%	2%
Male	150	95%	2%	1%	0%	2%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	–	–	–	–	–
Black or African American	17	94%	0%	0%	0%	6%
Hispanic or Latino	11	–	–	–	–	–
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	41	93%	0%	0%	5%	2%
White	245	96%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Small Group Totals	12	92%	8%	0%	0%	0%
General-Education Students	286	96%	0%	1%	1%	2%
Students with Disabilities	29	83%	14%	0%	0%	3%
English Proficient	309	95%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Limited English Proficient	6	67%	0%	0%	33%	0%
Economically Disadvantaged	16	88%	0%	0%	13%	0%
Not Disadvantaged	299	95%	2%	1%	0%	2%
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Not Migrant	315	95%	2%	1%	1%	2%

### NOTES

The – symbol indicates that data for a group of students has been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students, data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.